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§1. Introduction. In this paper we consider the following initial boundary value problem

$$
\{P, B\}\left\{\begin{array}{l}
P u=f(x, t), \quad \text { for } x \in \Omega, t>0 \\
\left.B u\right|_{\partial \Omega}=g(s, t) \quad \text { for } s \ni \partial \Omega, t>0, \\
D_{t}^{j} u_{t=0}=u_{j}(x),(j=0,1), \quad \text { for } x \in \Omega
\end{array}\right.
$$

in the cylindrical domain $\Omega \times(0, \infty)$, where $\Omega$ is the exterior or the interior of a smooth and compact hypersurface $\partial \Omega$ in $R^{n+1} . \quad P$ is a regularly hyperbolic operator with respect to $t$, and $\partial \Omega$ is non-characteristic to $P$. Moreover we assume that the only one of $\tau_{1}(\nu)$ and $\tau_{2}(\nu)$ is negative for all $(s, t) \in \partial \Omega \times(0, \infty)$, where $\tau_{j}(\xi)$ are the roots of $P(s, t ; \xi, \tau)$ $=0$ and $\nu$ is the inner unit normal at $(s, t)$. This condition means that the number of boundary conditions is one. $B$ is a first order operator :

$$
B=B\left(s, t ; D_{x}, D_{t}\right)=\sum_{j=1}^{n+1} b_{j}(s, t) D_{x_{j}}-c(s, t) D_{t}, \quad D_{t}=\frac{1}{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \quad \text { etc. }
$$

where $\sum_{j=1}^{n+1} b_{j}(s, t) \nu_{j}=B(s, t, \nu, 0)=1$. We assume that all the coefficients are smooth and bounded, and that they remain constant outside some compact sets.

We are concerned with the following question: Under what condition the solution $u(t)$ of $\{P, B\}$ has the continuity for the initial data in the same Sobolev space? The answer is just the condition (H) below, which was derived in [2]. ${ }^{1)}$ We state it as

Theorem 1. The necessary and sufficient condition that the energy inequality

[^0]\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{j=0}^{1}\left\|\left(D_{t}^{j} u\right)(t)\right\|_{1-j} \leq C(T)\left\{\sum_{j=0}^{1}\left\|\left(D_{t}^{j} u\right)(0)\right\|_{1-j}+\int_{0}^{t}\|(P u)(s)\|_{0} d s\right\} \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

holds for any $u=u(x, t)$ in $C_{0}^{\infty}\left(\bar{\Omega} \times R^{1}\right)$ satisfying $B u_{\partial \Omega}=0$ and for any $t$ in $(0, T)$ with some constant $C(T)$, is the following condition $(\mathrm{H})$.
(H): For all $(s, t, \eta) \in \partial \Omega \times R^{1} \times S^{n},(\eta \cdot \nu=0),\{P, B\}$ satisfies the followings. (We state the case $P=\square$. In general, see Theorem 2 in [2].)

$$
A=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
2 \operatorname{Re} \alpha & \operatorname{Im}(\alpha \bar{\beta})  \tag{I}\\
\operatorname{Im}(\alpha \bar{\beta}) & 2 \operatorname{Re} \beta
\end{array}\right) \geq 0, \text { when }|\operatorname{Re} \alpha|+|\operatorname{Re} \beta| \neq 0,
$$

(II) $1+(\operatorname{Im} \alpha)(\operatorname{Im} \beta) \geq \delta>0$, when $|\operatorname{Re} \alpha|+|\operatorname{Re} \beta|=0$.

Here $\alpha=c(s, t)+B(s, t, \eta, 0) /|\eta|$ and $\beta=c(s, t)-B(s, t, \eta, 0) /|\eta|$. $\|u(t)\|_{k}$ means Sobolev $k$-norm in $\Omega$.

We can say more, that is
Theorem 2. Suppose (H). If $f(t) \in \mathcal{E}_{t}^{0}\left(L^{2}(\Omega)\right),{ }^{2)} g \in \mathcal{E}_{t}^{0}\left(H^{\frac{1}{2}}(\partial \Omega)\right)$ and $u_{j} \in H^{1-j}(\Omega),(j=0,1)$, then there exists a solution $u(t)$ of $\{P, B\}$ in $\mathcal{E}_{t}^{0}\left(H^{1}(\Omega)\right) \cap \mathcal{E}_{t}^{1}\left(L_{2}(\Omega)\right)$ satisfying the following energy estimate $(E)$ with $k=0$. Moreover if we assume that the smooth data $\left\{f, g, u_{0}, u_{1}\right\}$ satisfy the compatibility condition ${ }^{3)}$ of order $k,(k \geq 1)$, then the solution satisfies
(E) $\sum_{j=0}^{1}\left\|\left(D_{t}^{j} u\right)(t)\right\|_{1-j+k}^{2}+\gamma_{i+j \leq k} \int_{0}^{t} e^{\gamma(t-s)}\left\langle\left(D_{x}^{i} D_{t}^{j} u(s)\right\rangle_{i-i-j+k}^{2} d s\right.$ $\leq C e^{r t}\left\{\sum_{j=0}^{1}\left\|u_{j}\right\|_{1-j+k}^{2}+\frac{1}{\gamma} \sum_{j \leq k} \int_{0}^{t} e^{-r s}\left(\left\|\left(D_{t}^{j} f\right)(s)\right\|_{k-j}^{2}+\left\langle\left\langle D_{t}^{j} g\right)(s)\right\rangle_{z_{+k-j}}^{2}\right) d s\right\}$, for $\gamma>_{\gamma_{k}}$, where $C$ and $\gamma_{k}$ are positive constants. $\langle v(s)\rangle_{r}$ means Sobolev r-norm in $\partial \Omega$. The solution has the same propagation speed as that in the case of Cauchy problem.

Remark. If $g \equiv 0$ in the problem $\{P, B\}$, the above solution $u$ satisfies (1.1).

The detailed proof will be given in a forthcoming paper. Here we sketch the proofs of (1.1) and ( $E$ ) in the case where $P=\square$ and $\Omega=R_{+}^{n+1}$ $=\left\{(x, y): x>0, y \in R^{n}\right\}$ for simplicity.
§2. The choice of $\boldsymbol{Q}$. We prove (1.1) by the integration by parts of

$$
\mathcal{G}\left((0, t), P, Q ; \varphi_{j} u\right)=2 i \operatorname{Im} \int_{0}^{t} \int_{R_{+}^{n+1}} e^{-2 r^{t}} P \varphi_{j} u \overline{Q \varphi_{j}} u d x d y d t
$$

where $Q$ is a suitable first order operator. Here $\varphi_{j} u=\overline{F_{y}} \varphi_{j} \mathcal{F}_{y} u$ is a localization of $u$ corresponding to the partition of unity:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{j=0}^{\text {fintoto }} \varphi_{j}(x, y, t, \eta) \equiv 1 \quad \text { on } \quad \bar{R}_{+}^{1} \times R^{n} \times \bar{R}_{+}^{1} \times R^{n}, \tag{*}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\varphi_{j},(j \geq 1)$, are homogeneous of degree zero in $\eta$ for $|\eta| \geq 1$. We take $Q$ in a neighbourhood of the boundary as follows:
2) $\mathcal{E}_{t}^{k}(H) \ni u(t)$ means that $u$ is a continuous function in $H$, up to their $k$-th derivatives.
3) See $\S 9$ in [2].
(I) $Q=\left(\alpha_{1} z_{1}+\beta_{1} z_{2}\right)+\varepsilon\left(z_{1}+c z_{2}-d \xi\right)$, if the supports of $\varphi_{j}$ contain any point satisfying $\alpha_{1} \beta_{1}=0,\left(z_{1}=\tau-|\eta|, z_{2}=\tau+|\eta|, \alpha=\alpha_{1}+i \alpha_{2}, \beta=\beta_{1}+i \beta_{2}\right)$.
(II) $Q=\left(\alpha_{1} z_{1}+\beta_{1} z_{2}\right)-\varepsilon\left(2 \xi-c_{1} z_{1}-c_{2} z_{2}\right)$, in other cases.

Here $\varepsilon$ is a sufficiently small positive number and $c_{1}, c_{2}$ and $c$ are positive functions in $(y, t, \eta)$. We choose these as follows:
(1.1) $\quad 2\left(\alpha_{1} z+\beta_{1}\right)\left(c_{1} z+c_{2}\right)=|\alpha|^{2} z^{2}+2(2+\operatorname{Re} \alpha \bar{\beta}) z+|\beta|^{2}+(\operatorname{det} A) / \alpha_{1}^{2}$,
(1.2) $\varepsilon_{1}<c<1 / \varepsilon_{2}$
(1.3)

$$
d=4\left(d_{1} X+d_{2} Y\right)
$$

where $X=\left(\beta_{1}-\alpha_{1} \varepsilon_{1}\right) / \rho\left(1-\varepsilon_{1} \varepsilon_{2}\right)$,

$$
Y=\left(\alpha_{1}-\beta_{1} \varepsilon_{2}\right) / \rho\left(1-\varepsilon_{1} \varepsilon_{2}\right),
$$

and

$$
\binom{d_{1}}{d_{2}}=\frac{1}{1-\varepsilon_{1} \varepsilon_{2}}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & -\varepsilon_{2} \\
-\varepsilon_{1} & 1
\end{array}\right)\binom{1}{c} .
$$

Here $\varepsilon_{1}, \varepsilon_{2}$ and $\rho$ are defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\alpha|^{2} z^{2}+2(2+\operatorname{Re} \alpha \bar{\beta}) z+|\beta|^{2}=\rho\left(z+\varepsilon_{1}\right)\left(1+\varepsilon_{2} z\right) . \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

We remark that for the estimates (1.1) and ( $E$ ) we need the localization of type (*). This makes the choice of $Q$ difficult. In the actual calculations we employ a special device concerning the reverse process of Green formula which will be explained below. We need these, because the estimates (1.1) and ( $E$ ) are finer than the estimate; $\gamma|u|_{1, r}^{2}$ $\leq \frac{c}{\gamma}|P u|_{0, r}^{2}$ which was treated earlier in [2], [1] and [3].
§3. Green formula associated with the boundary condition. To $\mathcal{G}((0, t), P, Q ; u)$ there corresponds the following symbolic calculus:

$$
\begin{aligned}
G(P, Q) & =P(\xi, \eta, \tau) Q(\zeta, \eta, \bar{\tau})-Q(\xi, \eta, \tau) P(\zeta, \eta, \bar{\tau}) \\
& =(\xi-\zeta) G_{x}(P, Q)-(\tau-\bar{\tau}) G_{t}(P, Q) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Here $G_{x}(P, Q)$ and $G_{t}(P, Q)$ are quadratic forms in $\left(\xi, z_{1}, z_{2}\right), z_{1}$ and $z_{2}$ being $z_{1}=\tau-|\eta|$ and $z_{2}=\tau+|\eta|$ respectively. Now, taking account of the boundary condition $\left.D_{x} u\right|_{x=0}=\left.\frac{1}{2}\left(\alpha z_{1}+\beta z_{2}\right)(D) u\right|_{x=0}+g$, we substitute $\frac{1}{2}\left(\alpha z_{1}+\beta z_{2}\right)$ into $\xi$ in $G_{x}(P, Q)$, and $\frac{1}{2}\left(\bar{\alpha} \bar{z}_{1}+\bar{\beta} \bar{z}_{2}\right)$ into $\zeta$ in $G_{x}(P, Q)$. Then $G_{x}(P, Q)$ becomes an Hermite form $G_{x}^{\prime}(P, Q)$ in $\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right)$. Denote the anti-symmetric part of $G_{x}^{\prime}(P, Q)$ by $i \operatorname{Im} g(P, Q)_{1,2}\left(z_{1} \bar{z}_{2}-z_{2} \bar{z}_{1}\right)$ and notice that

$$
(\xi-\zeta)\left\{z_{1} \bar{z}_{2}-z_{2} \overline{\bar{z}}_{1}\right\}=-(\tau-\bar{\tau})\left\{\xi \bar{z}_{1}-\xi \bar{z}_{2}-z_{1} \zeta+z_{2} \xi\right\} .
$$

Hence we have

$$
G(P, Q)=(\xi-\zeta) \tilde{G}_{x}(P, Q)-(\tau-\bar{\tau}) \tilde{G}_{t}(P, Q),
$$

where $\tilde{G}_{x}(P, Q)$ is a symmetric part of $G_{x}^{\prime}(P, Q)$ and $\tilde{G}_{t}$ is an Hermite form :

$$
\tilde{G}_{t}(P, Q)=G_{t}(P, Q)+i \operatorname{Im} g(P, Q)_{1,2}\left\{\xi \bar{z}_{1}-\xi \tilde{z}_{2}-z_{1} \zeta+z_{2} \zeta\right\} .
$$

Using $Q$ defined in $\S 2$ we can prove $\tilde{G}_{x} \geq 0$ and $\tilde{G}_{t}>0$.
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[^0]:    1) (H) was introduced as a characterization of problems which satisfy

    $$
    \gamma|u|_{1, r}^{2} \leq \frac{c}{\gamma}|P u|_{0, r}^{2},
    $$

    holds for any smooth function with compact support satisfying the homogeneous boundary condition, in the case of constant coefficients. See also [1] and [3]. In [2] we proved the existence theorem with the initial data in a weaker sense. It is difficult to prove the estimate (1.1) as the direct extension of the arguments in [2]. For this purpose we need more precise considerations on the global properties of (H).

