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1. Introduction. In this paper we shall prove the following result.

Theorem. Let $G$ be a 4 -fold transitive group on $\Omega=\{1,2, \cdots, n\}$. If the stabilizer of four points in $G$ is a Frobenius group, then $G$ is one of the following groups: $S_{7}, A_{8}$ or $M_{23}$.

We shall use the same notations as in [1].
2. Proof of the theorem. Let $K$ be the Frobenius kernel of $G_{1234}$ and $H$ a Frobenius complement of $G_{1234}$.

By a theorem of M. Hall, the order of $G_{1234}$ is even.
Let $P$ be a Sylow 2-subgroup of $G_{1234}$. Then $P \neq 1$. If $P$ is isomorphic to a subgroup of $H$, then $G$ is $S_{7}$ by Theorem 1 in [2]. Hence we may assume that $P$ is contained in $K$. Thus $P$ is a normal subgroup of $G_{1234}$.

By [1; IV] and Lemma 1 in [1; II], $\left|I\left(G_{1234}\right)\right|=4$ and $|I(P)|=4,5,6,7$ or 11. If $|I(P)| \geqq 6$, then $G$ is $M_{23}$ by [1; VIII, IX, XI]. If $|I(P)|=5$, then $\left|I\left(G_{1234}\right)\right|=5$, which is a contradiction. Hereafter we assume $|I(P)|$ $=4$, and so, that $n$ is an even integer.

If $P$ is semiregular on $\Omega-I(P)$ or $P$ is abelian, then $G$ is $A_{8}$ by [1; VII, X]. From now on, we shall examine the case where $P$ is neither semiregular on $\Omega-I(P)$ nor abelian, and prove eventually that this case does not arise.

Let $R$ be a Sylow 3 -subgroup of $G_{1234}$. By [1; XIII] and [3], $R$ is a nonidentity group and $[R, P] \neq 1$. If $R$ is contained in $K$, then $[R, P]$ $=1$, which is a contradiction. Hence $R$ must be contained in a conjugate of $H$.

Let $r$ be an element of order three of $R$. Then $r$ is an element of order three acting fixed point free on $P-\{1\}$. Hence by [4], the nilpotency class of $P$ is two.

By Theorem A in [5], $G_{123}$ has either (1) an abelian normal subgroup $\neq 1$, or (2) a unique minimal normal subgroup, and this minimal normal subgroup is simple. In the case (1), $G$ must be $S_{7}$ or $M_{23}$ by [6], in contradiction to our present assumption $|I(P)|=4$. We shall now consider the case (2). Let $N$ be the minimal normal subgroup of $G_{123}$. It is easily seen that $G_{123}$ is contained in $\operatorname{Aut}(N)$.

Let $S$ be a Sylow 2-subgroup of $N$. Since $n$ is even, we may assume that $S$ is contained in $P$. Hence the nilpotency class of $S$ is one or two.

By [7] and [8], $N$ is one of the following groups : $\operatorname{PSL}\left(2,2^{m}\right)(m>1)$, $\operatorname{PSL}(2, q)(q \equiv 3$ or $5(\bmod 8), q>3)$, Ja, Ree group, $\operatorname{PSL}(2, q)(q \equiv 7$ or $9(\bmod 16)), A_{7}, S z\left(2^{2 m+1}\right)(m \geqq 1), U_{3}\left(2^{m}\right)\left(=P S U\left(3,2^{m}\right)\right)(m \geqq 2), L_{3}\left(2^{m}\right)$ $\left(=P S L\left(3,2^{m}\right)\right)(m \geqq 2)$ or $\operatorname{Sp}\left(4,2^{m}\right)(m \geqq 2)$.

Suppose $N$ is Ja, Ree group, $A_{7}$ or $P S L(2, q)(q \equiv 7$ or $9(\bmod 16))$. Then $S$ is of order 8. As $r$ normalizes $P$ and $N, r$ normalizes $S$, and $r$ acts fixed point free on $S-\{1\}$. Hence $3 \mid 7$, which is a contradiction.

Suppose $N$ is $\operatorname{PSL}\left(2,2^{m}\right)(m>1)$. Then we may assume that

$$
S=\left\{\left.\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 0 \\
x & 1
\end{array}\right) \right\rvert\, x \in G F\left(2^{m}\right)\right\} .
$$

Since $3 \mid 2^{m}-1,3 \nmid 2^{m+1}-1$. Thus there must be an element of order 4 in $G_{123}$ which is a field automorphism of $G F\left(2^{m}\right)$. Let $a$ be that element. Then

$$
Z(\langle a\rangle \ltimes S)=\left\{\left.\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 0 \\
x & 1
\end{array}\right) \right\rvert\, x \in G F\left(2^{m / 4}\right)\right\} .
$$

Hence the nilpotency class of $\langle a\rangle \ltimes S$ is greater than two, which is a contradiction.

Suppose $N$ is $\operatorname{PSL}(2, q)(q \equiv 3$ or $5(\bmod 8), q>3)$. Then $G_{123}$ is contained in $P \Gamma L(2, q)$. Hence $P$ is a dihedral group or a semidihedral group. On the other hand the order of $P$ is not less than 16. Hence $P / Z(P)$ is a non-abelian group, which is a contradiction.

Suppose $N$ is $S z\left(2^{2 m+1}\right)(m \geqq 1), U_{3}\left(2^{m}\right)(m \geqq 2)$ or $L_{3}\left(2^{m}\right)(m \geqq 2)$. Then it is easily seen that $P=S$. Since $|I(P)|=4$ and $N \leqq G_{123}, N_{N}(S)$ $=N_{N}(P) \leqq G_{1234}$. Let $i$ be an involution of $Z(S)$. As $S z\left(2^{2 m+1}\right), U_{3}\left(2^{m}\right)$ and $L_{3}\left(2^{m}\right)$ are $C$-groups, we have $C_{N}(i) \leqq N_{N}(S)$. Since $C_{N}(i)$ is contained in $G_{1234}, C_{N}(i)$ is a nilpotent group. Hence $N$ must be $S z\left(2^{2 m+1}\right)$. On the other hand $\operatorname{Syl}_{2}\left(G_{123}\right)=\operatorname{Syl}_{2}(N)$ and $P$ is not semiregular on $\Omega-I(P)$. Hence the Sylow 2-subgroups are not disjoint, which is a contradiction.

Suppose $N$ is $S p(4, q)\left(q=2^{m}, m \geqq 2\right)$. Then it is easily seen that $P=S$. We may assume that $N=\left\{y \in G L(4, q) \mid y^{t} \cdot j \cdot y=j\right\}$. In this case

$$
j=\left(\begin{array}{llll}
0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

We may assume that

$$
S=\left\{\left.\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
1 & d & e & f \\
0 & 1 & g & e+d g \\
0 & 0 & 1 & d \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right) \right\rvert\, d, e, f, g \in G F(q)\right\}
$$

Since $P=S$, we have $N_{N}(S) \leqq G_{1234}$. Let $c$ be a generator of the cyclic group $G F(q)^{*}$. Let

$$
u=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
c & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & c^{-1} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & c & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & c^{-1}
\end{array}\right), \quad v=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right)
$$

and

$$
w=\left(\begin{array}{llll}
1 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right)
$$

Then $u$ normalizes $S$ and $u$ is an odd order element of $G_{1234} . v$ and $w$ are involutions of $P$, and $v u=u v$ but $w u \neq u w$. This is a contradiction.
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