

## 62. A Generalization of Ostrowski's Theorem on "Overconvergence" of Power Series.

By Satoru TAKENAKA.

Shiomi Institute, Osaka.

(Comm. by M. FUJIWARA, M.I.A., June 13, 1932.)

In my previous paper,<sup>1)</sup> I have proved that a function  $f(z)$ , regular and analytic for  $|z| < r (r > 1)$ , can be expanded into the series of the form

$$(1) \quad f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n z^n e^{\bar{a}_n z}$$

which converges absolutely and uniformly for  $|z| \leq 1$  provided that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |a_n| = L < \frac{1}{e}.$$

Let  $\{\pi_n(z)\}$  be a sequence of polynomials defined by

$$p_n(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{|\zeta|=1} \pi_n(\zeta) e^{z\bar{\zeta}} |d\zeta|, \quad (n=0, 1, 2, \dots)$$

where  $p_0(z) = 1$ ,  $p_n(z) = \int_{\alpha_0}^z \int_{\alpha_1}^{t_1} \dots \int_{\alpha_{n-1}}^{t_{n-1}} dt_n dt_{n-1} \dots dt_1$ ,  $(n \geq 1)$ .

Since  $\{\pi_n(z)\}$  and  $\{z^n e^{\bar{a}_n z}\}$  are each other biorthogonal<sup>2)</sup> on  $|z|=1$ , we have, from (1),

$$\frac{1}{1-\bar{x}z} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \overline{\pi_n(x)} z^n e^{\bar{a}_n z}, \quad (|x| < 1, |z| < \frac{1}{|x|})$$

or

$$(2) \quad \frac{1}{\zeta-x} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \pi_n(x) \frac{1}{\zeta^{n+1}} e^{\frac{\alpha_n}{\zeta}}, \quad (|x| < 1, |\zeta| > |x|)$$

the series on the right hand side of (2) being convergent absolutely and uniformly for  $|\zeta| \geq r' > |x|$ .

Now let  $f(z)$  be a function, regular and analytic for  $|z| < 1$ , with at least one singular point on  $|z|=1$ . Then the function defined by

$$F(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{|\zeta| < 1} f(\zeta) \frac{1}{\zeta} e^{\frac{z}{\zeta}} d\zeta$$

may easily be shown to be an integral transcendental function of type 1 and of the first order, and this can be uniquely determined if

1) S. Takenaka: On the expansion of an integral transcendental function of the first order in generalized Taylor's series, Proc., **8** (1932), 59.

2) See Takenaka loc. cit.

$$F^{(n)}(a_n) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{|\zeta| < 1} f(\zeta) \frac{1}{\zeta^{n+1}} e^{\frac{a_n}{\zeta}} d\zeta, \quad (n=0, 1, 2, \dots)$$

are given. (See my paper loc. cit. <sup>1)</sup>).

Multiplying both sides of (2) by  $\frac{1}{2\pi i} f(z)$  and integrating term by term we get (putting  $z$  in place of  $x$ )

$$(3) \quad f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n \pi_n(z), \quad c_n = F^{(n)}(a_n), \quad (n=0, 1, 2, \dots)$$

which converges absolutely and uniformly for  $|z| \leq r < 1$ .

Particularly if we put

$$a_n = -a, \quad (n=0, 1, 2, \dots),$$

it can easily be shown that (3) holds good for any finite value of  $|a|$ .

In this special case, we have

$$p_n(z) = \frac{1}{n!} (z+a)^n, \quad (n=0, 1, 2, \dots)$$

and 
$$\pi_n(z) = z^n \sum_{\nu=0}^n \frac{1}{\nu!} \left(\frac{a}{z}\right)^\nu, \quad (n=0, 1, 2, \dots).$$

Since 
$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\pi_n(z)}{z^n} = e^{\frac{a}{z}}, \quad (z \neq 0),$$

we have 
$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sqrt[n]{|\pi_n(z)|} = |z|.$$

Moreover, by the definition of the type and the order of integral transcendental functions, we have

$$\overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sqrt[n]{|F^{(n)}(-a)|} = 1.$$

Therefore the series

$$(4) \quad f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} F^{(n)}(-a) \pi_n(z), \quad \pi_n(z) = z^n \sum_{\nu=0}^n \frac{1}{\nu!} \left(\frac{a}{z}\right)^\nu, \quad (n=0, 1, 2, \dots)$$

must absolutely converge for  $|z| < 1$  and not converge for  $|z| > 1$ .

By the use of (4) we can prove the following theorem:

**THEOREM I.** *If in  $f(z) = \sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty} a_\nu \pi_{\lambda_\nu}(z)$ ,  $\overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sqrt[n]{|a_n|} = 1$ , there are infinitely many suffices such that*

$$\lambda_{\nu_{k+1}} - \lambda_{\nu_k} > \theta \lambda_{\nu_k}, \quad (\theta > 0),$$

*then the series  $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \Delta_k(z)$  where*

$$\Delta_0(z) = a_0 + \dots + a_{\nu_1} \pi_{\lambda_{\nu_1}}(z), \quad \dots,$$

$$\Delta_k(z) = a_{\nu_{k+1}} \pi_{\lambda_{\nu_{k+1}}}(z) + \dots + a_{\nu_{k+1}} \pi_{\lambda_{\nu_{k+1}}}(z),$$

converges uniformly in the neighbourhood of every regular point on the circle of convergence  $|z|=1$ .

PROOF. Putting  $z = xe^{i\theta}$ ,  $a = \beta e^{i\theta}$  and

$$F(z) = F(xe^{i\theta}) = \phi(x),$$

$$\text{we have } \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} F^{(n)}(-a)z^n \sum_{\nu=0}^n \frac{1}{\nu!} \left(\frac{a}{z}\right)^\nu = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \phi^{(n)}(-\beta)x^n \sum_{\nu=0}^n \frac{1}{\nu!} \left(\frac{\beta}{x}\right)^\nu$$

in which  $\phi(x)$  is also an integral transcendental function of type 1 and of the first order. Thus without any loss of generality we prove the theorem for  $z=1$ .

As usual we put

$$R_n(z) = f(z) - \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} A_k(z)$$

and take three circles with centers at  $z = \frac{1}{2}$  and radii  $r_1, r_2, r_3$  such

$$\text{that } \frac{1}{2} + r_1 < \frac{1}{2} + r_2 < 1 < \frac{1}{2} + r_3$$

and  $f(z)$  is regular in and on these circles.

Applying Hadamard's theorem of three circles, we have

$$\log \frac{r_3}{r_1} \log M_2^{(n)} \leq \log \frac{r_3}{r_2} \log M_1^{(n)} + \log \frac{r_2}{r_1} \log M_3^{(n)}$$

$$\text{where } M_k^{(n)} = \max_{|\zeta - \frac{1}{2}| = r_k} |R_n(\zeta)|, \quad (k=1, 2, 3).$$

We introduce another circle with center at  $\frac{1}{2}$  and radius  $r_1'$  such that

$$\frac{1}{2} + r_1 < \frac{1}{2} + r_1' < 1.$$

Furthermore let us put

$$\frac{1}{2} + r_1 = 1 - \delta\rho, \quad \frac{1}{2} + r_1' = 1 - \delta^2, \quad \frac{1}{2} + r_2 = 1 + \delta^2, \quad \frac{1}{2} + r_3 = 1 + \delta\rho$$

where  $\rho$  is a fixed positive number.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Since } |F^{(n)}(-a)| &= \left| \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{|\zeta|=1-\delta^2} f(\zeta) \frac{1}{\zeta^{n+1}} e^{-\frac{a}{\zeta}} d\zeta \right| \\ &\leq S e^{\frac{|a|}{1-\delta^2}} \frac{1}{(1-\delta^2)^{n+1}} < S' \frac{1}{(1-\delta^2)^n} \end{aligned}$$

in which

$$S = \max_{|z|=1-\delta^2} |f(z)|$$

and  $S'$  is a positive constant depending only on  $\delta$ , we have

$$M_1^{(n)} \leq \sum_{\nu=\nu_n+1}^{\infty} |F^{(\lambda_\nu)}(-a)\pi_{\lambda_\nu}(z)| < \sum_{\nu=\nu_n+1}^{\infty} |F^{(\lambda_\nu)}(-a)z^{\lambda_\nu}e^{\frac{\alpha}{z}}|$$

$$< S'e^{\frac{|\alpha|}{1-\delta\rho}} \sum_{\nu=\nu_n+1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1-\delta\rho}{1-\delta^2}\right)^{\lambda_\nu} < S_1\left(\frac{1-\delta\rho}{1-\delta^2}\right)^{\lambda_{\nu_n+1}}$$

where  $S_1$  is a positive constant depending only on  $\delta$ .

If we put  $M = \max_{|z-\frac{1}{2}|=r_3} |f(z)|$ , we have

$$M_3^{(n)} \leq M + \sum_{\nu=0}^{\nu_n} |F^{(\lambda_\nu)}(-a)\pi_{\lambda_\nu}(z)| < M + S'e^{\frac{|\alpha|}{1+\delta\rho}} \sum_{\nu=0}^{\nu_n} \left(\frac{1+\delta\rho}{1-\delta^2}\right)^{\lambda_\nu}$$

$$< S_2\left(\frac{1+\delta\rho}{1-\delta^2}\right)^{\lambda_{\nu_n}},$$

again  $S_2$  being a positive constant which depends only on  $\delta$ .

Therefore we have

$$\log \frac{r_3}{r_1} \log M_2^{(n)} < \log \frac{\frac{1}{2} + \delta\rho}{\frac{1}{2} + \delta^2} \log \left(\frac{1-\delta\rho}{1-\delta^2}\right)^{\lambda_{\nu_n+1}}$$

$$+ \log \frac{\frac{1}{2} + \delta^2}{\frac{1}{2} - \delta\rho} \log \left(\frac{1+\delta\rho}{1-\delta^2}\right)^{\lambda_{\nu_n}} + S_3$$

from which, by a similar discussion as in Bieberbach's *Funktionentheorie* Bd. II, 295, we can prove Theorem I.

From Theorem I, we can conclude that

**THEOREM II.** *Let  $f(z)$  be regular and analytic for  $|z| < 1$  and let*

$$F(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{|\zeta| < 1} f(\zeta) \frac{1}{\zeta} e^{\frac{z}{\zeta}} d\zeta$$

*be an integral transcendental function of type 1 and of the first order.*

*If  $F^{(n)}(-a) = 0$  for  $\lambda_{\nu+1} > n > \lambda_\nu$*

*and  $F^{(n)}(-a) \neq 0$  for  $n = \lambda_\nu$*

*where  $\lambda_\nu (\nu = 0, 1, 2, \dots)$  are integers such that*

$$\lambda_{\nu+1} - \lambda_\nu > \theta \lambda_\nu, \quad \theta > 0$$

*Then  $|z|=1$  is a natural cut for  $f(z)$ . Here  $a$  is any complex constant.*

This is a generalization of a theorem of Hadamard's.