

### 103. Notes on Fourier Series (II): Convergence Factor.

By Shin-ichi IZUMI and Tatsuo KAWATA.

Mathematical Institute, Tohoku Imperial University, Sendai.

(Comm. by M. FUJIWARA, M.I.A., Dec. 13, 1937.)

1. R. Salem has proved the following theorem:<sup>1)</sup>

If  $f(x)$  is a continuous function with period  $2\pi$  and its Fourier coefficients be  $a_n$  and  $b_n$ , then the relation

$$(1) \quad \lim_{s \rightarrow 0} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} a_0 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_n \cos nx + b_n \sin nx}{1 + s \log n} \right\} = f(x)$$

holds good almost everywhere.

In this relation we must notice that the series in the bracket of the right hand side is convergent for every positive value of  $s$  and for almost all  $x$ .

One of the present authors<sup>2)</sup> has proved that

$$(2) \quad \lim_{s \rightarrow 0} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} a_0 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_n \cos nx + b_n \sin nx}{1 + s \sqrt{\log n}} \right\} = f(x)$$

almost everywhere for squarely integrable function  $f(x)$ .

The object of this paper is to prove that (1) is true for any integrable function and there is the corresponding relation for the function in  $L^p$  ( $1 \leq p \leq 2$ ).

2. Theorem. If  $f(x) \in L^p$  ( $1 \leq p \leq 2$ ) and is periodic with period  $2\pi$  and  $a_n$  and  $b_n$  are its Fourier coefficients, then we have

$$(3) \quad \lim_{s \rightarrow 0} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} a_0 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_n \cos nx + b_n \sin nx}{1 + s (\log n)^{1/p}} \right\} = f(x)$$

almost everywhere.

Actually we can replace the factors  $\left\{ \frac{1}{1 + s (\log n)^{1/p}} \right\}$  by the more general sequence  $\{\psi_n(s)\}$  which satisfies certain conditions.<sup>3)</sup> But we make here no attention to this.

For the proof we make use of the theorem:

Lemma. If  $f(x) \in L^p$  ( $1 \leq p \leq 2$ ), then

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_n \cos nx + b_n \sin nx}{(\log n)^{1/p}}$$

1) R. Salem, Comptes Rendus, **205** (1937), pp. 14-16, **205** (1937), pp. 311-313. In the latter paper, Salem remarked that more generally for a bounded function, (2) holds good.

2) T. Kawata, Proc. **13** (1937), 381-384.

3) Cf. Salem, loc. cit. and T. Kawata, loc. cit.

converges for  $x$  in a set  $E$  with measure  $2\pi$ . And for every  $x$  in  $E$  the  $n$ -th partial sum of the series (3) is  $o((\log n)^{1/p})$ .

The case  $p=1$  is due to Hardy-Littlewood-Plessner, the case  $p=2$  due to Kolmogoroff-Seliverstoff-Plessner and the remaining case was recently proved by Littlewood-Paley.<sup>1)</sup>

From Lemma we can easily verify that the series in the left hand side of (3) converges in  $E$  for all  $s (> 0)$  and the  $n$ -th partial sum is  $o((\log n)^{1/p})$ .

We will prove the theorem for the case  $p=1$ . The other case can be proved quite similarly.

3. Let us put

$$(4) \quad f(x, s) = \frac{1}{2} a_0 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_n \cos nx + b_n \sin nx}{1 + s \log n}$$

which converges almost everywhere by Lemma.

By the twice application of the Abel's lemma and by Lemma, we have

$$\begin{aligned} f(x, s) &= \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} a_0 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_n \cos nx + b_n \sin nx}{1 + s \log n} \right\} \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} K_n(x) \Delta^2 \left( \frac{1}{1 + s \log n} \right), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$K_0(x) = \frac{1}{2} a_0,$$

$$K_n(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f(x+t) \frac{\sin^2 \frac{nt}{2}}{\sin^2 \frac{t}{2}} dt \quad (n > 1),$$

$$\Delta a_p = a_p - a_{p+1}, \quad \Delta^2 a_p = \Delta(\Delta a_p).$$

We will suppose that  $f(x) \geq 0$ . Since  $K_n(x) \geq 0$  and  $\left\{ \frac{1}{1 + s \log n} \right\}$  is a convex sequence,  $f(x, s) \geq 0$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \lim_{s \rightarrow 0} f(x, s) dx &\leq \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \lim_{\sigma \rightarrow 0} [\text{l. u. b. } f(x, s)] dx \\ &\leq \lim_{\sigma \rightarrow 0} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \text{l. u. b. } f(x, s) dx \\ &= \lim_{\sigma \rightarrow 0} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \text{l. u. b. } \left[ \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} K_n(x) \Delta^2 \left( \frac{1}{1 + s \log n} \right) \right] dx. \end{aligned}$$

1) See Zygmund, Trigonometrical series, 1935. pp. 58-59, pp. 252-255.

2) We must replace  $\frac{1}{1 + s \log n}$  by 1, if  $n=0$ .

Now we can find  $n_s$  such that  $\mathcal{D}^2\left(\frac{1}{1+s \log x}\right)$  is decreasing for  $x \geq n_s$  and is increasing for  $x \leq n_s$ . Thus we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 (5) \quad \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \overline{\lim}_{s \rightarrow 0} f(x, s) dx &\leq \overline{\lim}_{\sigma \rightarrow 0} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \text{l. u. b.} \left[ \sum_{\nu=0}^{n_s-3} K_n(x) \mathcal{D}^2\left(\frac{1}{1+s \log n}\right) \right. \\
 &\quad \left. + \sum_{\nu=n_s-2}^{n_s+2} K_n(x) \mathcal{D}^2\left(\frac{1}{1+s \log n}\right) \right. \\
 &\quad \left. + \sum_{\nu=n_s+3}^{\infty} K_n(x) \mathcal{D}^2\left(\frac{1}{1+\sigma \log n}\right) \right] dx \\
 &\leq \overline{\lim}_{\sigma \rightarrow 0} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \left[ f(x, 1) + f(x, \sigma) \right. \\
 &\quad \left. + \text{l. u. b.} \sum_{\sigma \leq s \leq 1}^{n_s+2} K_n(x) \mathcal{D}^2\left(\frac{1}{1+s \log n}\right) \right] dx \\
 &\leq c_1 \int_0^{2\pi} f(x) dx.
 \end{aligned}$$

4. Let us consider the general integrable function  $f(x)$ . Let us put

$$f(x) = f^+(x) - f^-(x),$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{where} \quad f^+(x) &= f(x), \quad f^-(x) = 0, \quad \text{if } f(x) \geq 0; \\
 &= 0, \quad = f(x), \quad \text{if } f(x) < 0.
 \end{aligned}$$

Then  $|f| \geq f^+ \geq 0$  and  $|f| \geq f^- \geq 0$ . Thus we get from (5)

$$\begin{aligned}
 (6) \quad \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \overline{\lim}_{s \rightarrow 0} |f(x, s)| dx &\leq \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \{ \overline{\lim}_{s \rightarrow 0} f^+(x, s) + \overline{\lim}_{s \rightarrow 0} f^-(x, s) \} dx \\
 &\leq c_2 \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |f(x)| dx,
 \end{aligned}$$

where  $f^+(x, s)$  and  $f^-(x, s)$  represent the series in left hand side of (4), constructed from  $f^-(x)$  instead of  $f(x)$ .

Now let the Fejér sum of the Fourier series of  $f(x)$  be  $\sigma_n(x)$  and form  $\sigma_n(x, s)$  from  $\sigma_n(x)$  as before. Then we have by (6)

$$\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \overline{\lim}_{s \rightarrow 0} |f(x, s) - \sigma_n(x, s)| dx \leq c_3 \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |f(x) - \sigma_n(x)| dx,$$

which tends to zero as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Thus the known result concerning the mean convergence shows that there exist a sequence of integers  $\{n_k\}$  and a set  $F$  such that  $mF = 2\pi$  and

$$(7) \quad \lim_{n_k \rightarrow \infty} \overline{\lim}_{s \rightarrow 0} |f(x, s) - \sigma_{n_k}(x, s)| = 0$$

for  $x$  in  $F$ .

5. We have

$$\lim_{s, s' \rightarrow 0} |f(x, s) - f(x, s')| \leq \overline{\lim}_{s \rightarrow 0} |f(x, s) - \sigma_{n_k}(x, s)| \\ + \overline{\lim}_{s' \rightarrow 0} |f(x, s') - \sigma_{n_k}(x, s')|.$$

Letting  $n_k \rightarrow \infty$ , we reach the result that

$$\lim_{s \rightarrow 0} f(x, s)$$

exists almost everywhere. The fact that the limit function  $g(x)$  is equal to  $f(x)$ , is immediate. For (7) yields us

$$\lim_{n_k \rightarrow \infty} |g(x) - \sigma_{n_k}(x)| = 0.$$

Since  $\sigma_{n_k}(x)$  tends to  $f(x)$  almost everywhere,  $g(x) = f(x)$  almost everywhere. Thus the theorem is proved.

---