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1. We denote by ( $S$ ) the family of normalized univalent functions

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(z)=z+a_{2} z^{2}+a_{3} z^{3}+\cdots \cdots, \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

regular for $|z|<1$, and by $\left(S_{k}\right), k=2,3, \ldots \ldots$, the family of normalized univalent functions given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{k}(z)=f^{\frac{1}{k}}\left(z^{k}\right)=z+a_{k+1}^{(k)} z^{k+1}+a_{2 k+1}^{(k)} z^{2 k+1}+\cdots \cdots \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Löwner ${ }^{1)}$ succeeded to prove that, for any function of $(S)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|a_{3}\right| \leqq 3, \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

which relates to the Bieberbach's conjecture, using his own essential theorem of expressing all coefficients of any function $f(z)$ of (S) by parametric function corresponding to $f(z)$ itself.

On the other hand, Fekete and Szegö ${ }^{2}$ have recently proved that, for $k=2,3, \ldots \ldots$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|a_{2 k+1}^{(k)}\right| \leqq \frac{2}{k} e^{-2[(k-1) /(k+1)]}+\frac{1}{k} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is remarkable for us that the inequality (4) becomes for $k=2$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|a_{5}^{(2)}\right| \leqq e^{-\frac{2}{3}}+\frac{1}{2}>1 \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the extremal case of (4) and (5) are attained by a function which is different from the well known extremal function

$$
\frac{z}{\left(1-z^{k}\right)^{2 / k}}, \quad(k=2,3, \ldots \ldots)
$$

by which the Bieberbach's conjecture for the class of functions $(S)$ is realized for $k=1$.

In the present note, a coefficient problem closely related to the above mentioned theorems of Löwner, Fekete and Szegö is investigated.
2. Instead of the class ( $S$ ), Löwner considered the class $\left(S^{\prime}\right)$ of univalent functions of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
s(z, t)=e^{-t}\left(z+b_{1}(t) z^{3}+b_{2}(t) z^{3}+\cdots \cdots\right), \quad t \geqq \cdot 0 \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^0]regular for $|z| \leqq 1$ under the condition that $|s(z, t)| \leqq 1, s(z, o)=z$ and coefficients $b_{1}(t), b_{2}(t), \ldots \ldots$ are functions of $t$.

By the theory of Löwner, it is true that from any function $s(z, t)$ of $\left(S^{\prime}\right)$ we get in the limiting case of $t \rightarrow \infty$,

$$
\begin{align*}
\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{s(z, t)}{e^{-t}} & =\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty}\left(z+b_{1}(t) z^{2}+b_{2}(t) z^{3}+\cdots \cdots\right)  \tag{7}\\
& =z+a_{2} z^{2}+a_{3} z^{3}+\cdots \cdots,
\end{align*}
$$

which is a function of (S).
Let

$$
s\left(z, t_{0}\right)=e^{-t_{0}}\left(z+b_{1}\left(t_{0}\right) z^{2}+b_{2}\left(t_{0}\right) z^{3}+\cdots \cdots\right)
$$

be any function of $\left(S^{\prime}\right)$, then Löwner's expression for $b_{1}\left(t_{0}\right), b_{2}\left(t_{0}\right)$ are given by

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
b_{1}\left(t_{0}\right)=-2 \int_{0}^{t_{0}} x(\tau) e^{-\tau} d \tau  \tag{8}\\
b_{2}\left(t_{0}\right)=4\left[\left[\int_{0}^{t_{0}} x(\tau) e^{-\tau} d \tau\right]^{2}-2 \int_{0}^{t_{0}} x^{2}(\tau) e^{-2 \tau} d \tau\right.
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $x(t)$ is the parametric function of the function $s\left(z, t_{0}\right)$ such that $|x(t)|=1$ and is continuous but discontinuous at atmost finite points in the interval $0 \leqq t \leqq t_{0}$.

Löwner's results obtained from (8) are

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left|b_{1}\left(t_{0}\right)\right| \leqq 2\left(1-e^{-t_{0}}\right),  \tag{9}\\
& \left|b_{2}\left(t_{0}\right)\right|<3-4 e^{-t_{0}}+e^{-2 t_{0}} . \tag{10}
\end{align*}
$$

The inequality (9) is the best and identical with that of Pick ${ }^{1)}$ and its extremal case is attained by the function $s(z)$ given by the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{s(z)}{(1+\varepsilon s(z))^{2}}=e^{-t_{0}} \frac{z}{(1+\varepsilon z)^{2}}, \quad|\varepsilon|=1 \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

And the inequality (10) is not the best, but for the limit $t_{0} \rightarrow \infty$ it becomes to the best inequality $\left|a_{3}\right| \leqq 3$ for the class (S).
3. In the following we get the best inequality for $\left|b_{2}\left(t_{0}\right)\right|$ instead of (10) and prove that the exact maximum of $\left|b_{2}\left(t_{0}\right)\right|$ for any fixed $t_{0}$ ( $t_{0} \geqq 1$ ) is not attained by the corresponding coefficient of the extremal function given by (11), in which we can see in the class of functions $(S),\left(S^{\prime}\right)$, there exists the close relation to the theorem of Fekete-Szegö for $\left(S_{k}\right), k=2,3, \ldots \ldots$.

Theorem 1. For the functions of the class $\left(S^{\prime}\right)$ for $t=t_{0}$,

$$
\begin{align*}
\operatorname{Max}_{\left(S^{\prime}\right)}\left|b_{2}\left(t_{0}\right)\right| \leqq 4\{ & \left(\nu_{0} e^{-\nu_{0}}+e^{-\nu_{0}}-e^{-t_{0}}\right)^{2}  \tag{12}\\
& \left.\quad-\left(\nu_{0} e^{-2 \nu_{0}}+\frac{1}{2} e^{-2 \nu_{0}}-\frac{1}{2} e^{-2 t_{0}}\right)\right\}+1-e^{-2 t_{0}},
\end{align*}
$$

[^1]where $\nu_{0}=\nu_{0}\left(t_{0}\right),\left(0 \leqq \nu_{0} \leqq t_{0}\right)$, is given by the root of the equation
\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nu_{0} e^{-\nu_{0}}=e^{-t_{0}} . \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

We see that

$$
\lim _{t_{0} \rightarrow \infty} \nu_{0}\left(t_{0}\right)=0
$$

and for $t_{0} \rightarrow \infty$ (12) becomes

$$
\operatorname{Max}_{(S)}\left|a_{3}\right| \leqq 3
$$

which is consistent with the Löwner's theorem and inequality (12) is the best for $t_{0} \geqq 1$.

To prove the theorem 1, we must use the following
Theorem 2. Let $\lambda(\tau)$ be a function, real and continuous except atmost one point in the interval $0 \leqq \tau \leqq t_{0}$, and be

$$
|\lambda(\tau)| \leqq e^{-\tau}
$$

and also for $N$ such that

$$
\begin{gathered}
0<N \leqq \frac{1}{2}\left(1-e^{-2 t_{0}}\right), \\
\int_{0}^{t_{0}} \lambda^{2}(\tau) d \tau \leqq N
\end{gathered}
$$

Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\int_{0}^{t_{0}} \lambda(\tau) d \tau\right| \leqq V(N) \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $V(N)=(\nu+1) e^{-\nu}-e^{-t_{0}}$ and $\nu=\nu(N),\left(0 \leqq \nu \leqq t_{0}\right)$, is the root of the equation

$$
\begin{array}{cc}
\left(\nu+\frac{1}{2}\right) e^{-2 \nu}-\frac{1}{2} e^{-2 t_{0}}=N & \text { when } t_{0} e^{-2 t_{0}} \leqq N \leqq \frac{1}{2}\left(1-e^{-2 t_{0}}\right), \\
\text { and } \nu(N)=t_{0} & \text { when } \quad 0<N \leqq t_{0} e^{-2 t_{0}}
\end{array}
$$

Applying theorem 2 we arrive at the following Valiron-Landau's theorem ${ }^{1)}$ in a generalized form.

Theorem 3. Let $x(\tau)=e^{i \vartheta(\tau)}$ be a function, continuous except atmost one point in the interval $0 \leqq \tau \leqq t_{0}$, and be

$$
|\boldsymbol{u}(\tau)|=1
$$

and also for $M$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 0<M \leqq \frac{1}{2}\left(1-e^{-2 t_{0}}\right) \\
& \left|\int_{0}^{t_{0}} x^{2}(\tau) e^{-2 \tau} d \tau\right| \leqq M
\end{aligned}
$$

Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\int_{0}^{t_{0}} x(\tau) e^{-\tau} d \tau\right| \leqq V\left(\frac{1}{4}+\frac{M}{2}-\frac{1}{4} e^{-2 t_{0}}\right) \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^2]and we can see that for $t_{0} \geqq 1$, there exists in fact a function $x(\tau)=e^{i \vartheta(\tau)}$ which realize the equality in (15).
4. Now using theorem 3, the proof of our theorem 1 is immediately given.
$$
b_{2}\left(t_{0}\right)=4\left[\int_{0}^{t_{0}} x(\tau) e^{-\tau} d \tau\right]^{2}-2 \int_{0}^{t_{0}} x^{2}(\tau) e^{-2 \tau} d \tau
$$
and here, without loss of generality, we can assume that $\left|b_{2}\left(t_{0}\right)\right|=$ $\Re b_{2}\left(t_{0}\right)$, because in the other case we replace $\boldsymbol{u}(\tau)$ by $\varepsilon u(\tau),|\varepsilon|=1$, and using theorem 3,
\[

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\left|b_{2}\left(t_{0}\right)\right|= \Re b_{2}\left(t_{0}\right)= \\
& \quad 4\left\{\left(\int_{0}^{t_{0}} \cos \vartheta(\tau) \cdot e^{-\tau} d \tau\right)^{2}-\left(\int_{0}^{t_{0}} \sin \vartheta(\tau) \cdot e^{-\tau} d \tau\right)^{2}\right. \\
&\left.\quad \int_{0}^{t_{0}} \cos ^{2} \vartheta(\tau) \cdot e^{-2 \tau} d \tau\right\}+1-e^{-2 t_{0}} \\
& \leqq 4\left\{\left(\int_{0}^{t_{0}} \cos \vartheta(\tau) \cdot e^{-\tau} d \tau\right)^{2}-\int_{0}^{t_{0}} \cos ^{2} \vartheta(\tau) \cdot e^{-2 \tau} d \tau\right\}+1-e^{-2 t_{0}} \\
& \leqq 4\left\{\left(\nu e^{-\nu}+e^{-\nu}-e^{-t_{0}}\right)^{2}-\left(\nu e^{-2 \nu}+\frac{1}{2} e^{-2 \nu}-\frac{1}{2} e^{-2 t_{0}}\right)\right\} \\
&+1-e^{-2 t_{0}} \equiv F\left(\nu, t_{0}\right), \quad \text { put. }
\end{aligned}
$$
\]

The maximum of $F\left(\nu, t_{0}\right)$ is given when $\nu=\nu_{0}\left(0 \leqq \nu_{0} \leqq t_{0}\right)$ which is given by the root of the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nu e^{-\nu}=e^{-t_{0}}, \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

and we see that

$$
F\left(\nu_{0}, t_{0}\right)>3-8 e^{-t_{0}}+5 e^{-2 t_{0}}=F^{\prime}\left(0, t_{0}\right) \quad \text { for } \quad t_{0} \geqq 1
$$

Thus we get the proof of our theorem 1. The details of this and other relating problems shall be published soon in the another place.
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