

35. Operator-theoretical Treatment of Markoff's Process, II.

By Kôzaku YOSIDA.

Mathematical Institute, Osaka Imperial University.

(Comm. by T. TAKAGI, M.I.A., May 12, 1939.)

§ 1. Let $P(x, E)$ denote the transition probability that the point x of the interval $\Omega = (0, 1)$ is transferred, by a simple Markoff's process, into the Borel set E of Ω after the elapse of a unit time. It is naturally assumed that $P(x, E)$ is completely additive for Borel sets E if x is fixed and that $P(x, E)$ is Borel measurable in x if E is fixed. $P(x, E)$ defines a linear operator P on the complex Banach space (\mathfrak{M}) in $(\mathfrak{M})^1$:

$$P \cdot f = g, \quad g(E) = \int_{\Omega} P(x, E) f(dx).$$

It is easy to see that the iterated operator P^n is defined by the kernel $P^{(n)}(x, E) = \int_{\Omega} P^{(n-1)}(x, dy) P(y, E)$ ($P^{(1)}(x, E) = P(x, E)$). In the preceding note,²⁾ it is proved that the following condition (D) implies the condition (K):

- (D) $\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{there exist an integer } s \text{ and positive constants } b, \eta (< 1) \text{ such} \\ \text{that, if } \text{mes}(E) < \eta, P^{(s)}(x, E) < 1 - b \text{ uniformly in } x, E. \end{array} \right.$
- (K) $\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{there exist an integer } n \text{ and a completely continuous linear} \\ \text{operator } V \text{ such that } \|P^n - V\|_{\mathfrak{M}} < 1. \end{array} \right.$

The condition (K) is more general than (D), since there exists $P(x, E)$ which satisfies (K) but not (D). In [I] it is proved that, if $P(x, E)$ satisfies (D), then

- (B) $\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{the proper values } \lambda \text{ with modulus } 1 \text{ of } P \text{ are all roots of} \\ \text{unity.} \end{array} \right.$

Thus, combined with (K), we were able to give an operator-theoretical treatment of the Markoff's process $P(x, E)$ under the condition (D). (See [I].)

In the present note I intend to show that the condition (K) im-

1) (\mathfrak{M}) is the linear space of all the totally additive set functions defined for all the Borel sets of Ω . For any $f \in (\mathfrak{M})$ we define its norm $\|f\|_{\mathfrak{M}}$ by the total variation of f on Ω .

2) K. Yosida: Operator-theoretical Treatment of the Markoff's Process, Proc. **14** (1938), 363. This note will be referred to as [I] below. It contains many misprints. On page 364, line 27 and line 28 (\mathfrak{M}) is to be read (M^*) . On page 364, line 28 $h(dz)$ is to be read $h(z)$. On page 365, line 7 " $f_{i_k}(E) \cdot f_{j_k}(E) \equiv 0$ for $i \neq j$ " is to be read " $f_{i_k}(E_{i_k}) = 1$ where $E_{i_k} \cdot E_{j_k} = \text{void}$ for $i \neq j$." On page 367, line 4 and 5 "From... by (6)" is to be read "Evident from iii) and the equations $f_{(i+1)_a}(E) = \int_0^1 P(x, E) f_{i_a}(dx)$ below."

plies the property (B). Hence the results in [I] are, in essential, valid for the Markoff's process under the condition (K).

§ 2. Let $P(x, E)$ satisfy the condition (K). Then,¹⁾ there exists completely continuous linear operators P_λ such that

$$(1) \quad \begin{cases} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left\| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{m=1}^n \left(\frac{P}{\lambda} \right)^m - P_\lambda \right\|_{\mathfrak{M}} = 0, \\ P_\lambda^2 = P_\lambda, \quad PP_\lambda = P_\lambda P = \lambda P_\lambda \quad (|\lambda|=1). \end{cases}$$

P_λ is the projection operator which maps (\mathfrak{M}) on the proper space (Eigenraum) of P belonging to the proper value λ . Let P_λ be defined by the kernel $P_\lambda(x, E)$. We have, by $P^{(m)}(x, E) \geq 0$ and $P^{(m)}(x, \mathcal{Q}) \equiv 1$,

$$(2) \quad P_1(x, E) \geq 0, \quad P_1(x, \mathcal{Q}) \equiv 1.$$

Thus $P_1 \neq 0$. Let $P \cdot f = f$, that is, $P_1 \cdot f = f$. Then, by (2), we obtain $P_1 \cdot \tilde{f} = \tilde{f}$, where $\tilde{f}(E)$ = the total variation of f on E . As P_1 is completely continuous, the number of the linearly independent solutions of $P_1 \cdot f = f$ is finite. Thus applying Kryloff-Bogoliouboff's arguments²⁾ we obtain the

Lemma. There exist $f_1, f_2, \dots, f_k \in (\mathfrak{M})$ with the properties :

$$P \cdot f_i = f_i, \quad f_i(E) \geq 0, \quad f_i(E_i) = 1 \quad (E_i \cdot E_j = \text{void for } i \neq j),$$

such that any f satisfying $P \cdot f = f$, $f(E) \geq 0$, $f(\mathcal{Q}) = 1$ is uniquely expressed as a linear combination $f(E) = \sum_{i=1}^k c_i f_i(E)$, $\sum_{i=1}^k c_i = 1$, $c_i \geq 0$.

Hence, from $PP_1 = P_1$ and (2), we obtain

$$(3) \quad \begin{cases} P_1(x, E) = \sum_{i=1}^k c_i(x) f_i(E), \\ c_i(x) \text{ measurable with } c_i(x) \geq 0, \quad \sum_{i=1}^k c_i(x) \equiv 1. \end{cases}$$

Let now $\lambda (|\lambda|=1)$ be a proper value of P : $P_\lambda \neq 0$. Let P_λ be defined by the kernel $P_\lambda(x, E)$. From $P_\lambda P^m = \lambda^m P_\lambda$ we see that the proper value equations

$$(4) \quad \int_{\mathcal{Q}} P^{(m)}(x, dy) g(y) = \lambda^m g(x) \quad (m=1, 2, \dots)$$

admit bounded measurable solution $g(x) \neq 0$. We may assume that

$$(5) \quad \left\| g \right\|_{\mathfrak{M}^*} = \text{lowest upper bound}_{x \in \mathcal{Q}} |g(x)| = 1.$$

Then we may prove that

$$(6) \quad \text{there exists } x_0 \in \mathcal{Q} \text{ such that } |g(x_0)| = 1.$$

1) K. Yosida: Abstract Integral Equations and the Homogeneous Stochastic Process, Proc. **14** (1938), 236. K. Yosida: Quasi-completely-continuous Linear Functional Operations, to appear soon in Jap. J. Math. Cf. also S. Kakutani: Iteration of Linear Operations in Complex Banach Spaces, Proc. **14** (1938), 292.

2) [I], Lemma 4.

Proof of (6). From (4) and $P^{(m)}(x, E) \geq 0$, $P^{(m)}(x, \Omega) \equiv 1$, we obtain

$$|g(x)| \leq \int_{|\sigma(y)| \geq 1-\delta} P^{(m)}(x, dy) + (1-\delta) \int_{|\sigma(y)| < 1-\delta} P^{(m)}(x, dy) = 1 - \delta \int_{|\sigma(y)| < 1-\delta} P^{(m)}(x, dy),$$

$$(1 > \delta > 0).$$

Hence, by (1),

$$(7) \quad |g(x)| \leq 1 - \delta \int_{|\sigma(y)| < 1-\delta} P_1(x, dy).$$

Let $x' \in \Omega$ be such that $|g(x')| \geq 1 - \delta\epsilon$ ($1 > \epsilon > 0$), then, by (7) and (3),

$$\begin{cases} \epsilon \geq P_1(x', E(\delta)) = \sum_{i=1}^k c_i(x') f_i(E_i - E_i \cdot E(\delta)), \\ E(\delta) = E_y \{ |g(y)| \geq 1 - \delta \}. \end{cases}$$

As $c_i(x) \geq 0$, $\sum_{i=1}^k c_i(x) \equiv 1$ and ϵ, δ were arbitrary, we must have

$$f_i(E_i - E_i \cdot E(0)) = 0, \quad E(0) = E_y \{ |g(y)| = 1 \}$$

for a certain i ($=1$ or 2 or ... or k). Thus, by $f_i(E_i) = 1$, $E(0)$ is not void. Q. E. D.

Next let $|g(x_0)| = 1$. Then, by (4) and $P^{(m)}(x_0, \Omega) = 1$, we have

$$(8) \quad \int_{\Omega} P^{(m)}(x_0, dy) \left\{ 1 - \frac{g(y)}{\lambda^m g(x_0)} \right\} = 0. \quad (m=1, 2, \dots)$$

Put $g(y)/\lambda^m g(x_0) = h^{(m)}(y) = h_1^{(m)}(y) + \sqrt{-1} h_2^{(m)}(y)$, where $h_1^{(m)}(y)$ the real part of $h^{(m)}(y)$. From (5) we have $|h^{(m)}(y)| \leq 1$. Thus $h_1^{(m)}(y) \leq 1$, and if $h_1^{(m)}(y) = 1$ we must have $h^{(m)}(y) = 1$ viz. $g(y) = \lambda^m g(x_0)$.

From (8) we have

$$(9) \quad \int_{\Omega} P^{(m)}(x_0, dy) (1 - h_1^{(m)}(y)) = 0. \quad (m=1, 2, \dots)$$

As $P^{(m)}(x_0, E) \geq 0$, $P^{(m)}(x_0, \Omega) = 1$, $1 \geq h_1^{(m)}(y)$ we must have

$$P^{(m)}(x_0, E(m)) = 1, \quad E(m) = E_y \{ g(y) = \lambda^m g(x_0) \}.$$

Hence, if

$$(10) \quad E(i) \cdot E(j) \ni \text{void for a certain couple of integers } i, j \text{ with } i \neq j,$$

then $\lambda^{i-j} = 1$, as was to be proved.

Now let (10) be not true. Then, by (1),

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n P^{(i)}(x_0, E(s)) = P_1(x_0, E(s)) = 0$$

for any s . Hence we would obtain $P_1(x_0, \sum_{s-1}^{\infty} E(s)) = \sum_{s-1}^{\infty} P_1(x_0, E(s)) = 0$. This is a contradiction, since, by (9) and (1),

$$\begin{aligned} P_1(x_0, \sum_{s-1}^{\infty} E(s)) &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i-1}^n P^{(i)}(x_0, \sum_{s-1}^{\infty} E(s)) \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i-1}^n P^{(i)}(x_0, E(i)) = 1. \end{aligned}$$
