

### 47. On the Domain of Existence of an Implicit Function defined by an Integral Relation $G(x, y) = 0$ .

By Masatsugu TSUJI.

Mathematical Institute, Tokyo Imperial University.

(Comm. by T. YOSIE, M.I.A., May 12, 1943.)

#### 1. Theorems of Julia and Gross.

Let  $G(x, y)$  be an integral function with respect to  $x$  and  $y$  and  $y(x)$  be an analytic function defined by  $G(x, y) = 0$  and  $F$  be its Riemann surface spread over the  $x$ -plane. Let  $E$  be a set of points on the  $x$ -plane, which are not covered by  $F$ . Evidently  $E$  is a closed set.

Julia<sup>1)</sup> proved that  $E$  does not contain a continuum. If  $y(x)$  is an algebroid function of order  $n$ , such that  $A_0(x)y^n + A_1(x)y^{n+1} + \dots + A_n(x) = 0$ , where  $A_i(x)$  are integral functions of  $x$ , then  $F$  consists of  $n$  sheets and covers every point on the  $x$ -plane exactly  $n$ -times, where a branch point of  $F$  of order  $k$  is considered as covered  $k$ -times by  $F$ . We will prove

*Theorem I.* *If  $y(x)$  is not an algebroid function of  $x$ , then  $F$  covers any point on the  $x$ -plane infinitely many times, except a set of points of capacity zero.*

In this paper "capacity" means "logarithmic capacity."

If we interchange  $x$  and  $y$ , we have

*Let  $G(x, y)$  be an integral function with respect to  $x$  and  $y$  and  $y(x)$  be an analytic function defined by  $G(x, y) = 0$ . If  $y(x)$  does not satisfy a relation of the form:  $A_0(y)x^n + A_1(y)x^{n+1} + \dots + A_n(y) = 0$ , where  $A_i(y)$  are integral functions of  $y$ , then  $y(x)$  takes any value infinitely many times, except a set of values of capacity zero.*

This is a generalization of Picard's theorem for a transcendental meromorphic function for  $|x| < \infty$ .

Julia's proof depends on the following

Gross' theorem<sup>2)</sup>: Let  $f(z)$  be one-valued and regular on the Riemann surface  $F$ , which does not cover a continuum. If  $f(z)$  tends to zero, when  $z$  tends to any accessible boundary point of  $F$ , then  $f(z) \equiv 0$ .

We will first extend this Gross' theorem in the following way.

*Theorem II.* *Let  $f(z)$  be one-valued and meromorphic on a connected piece  $F$  of its Riemann surface, whose projection on the  $z$ -plane lies inside a Jordan curve  $C$  and  $F$  do not cover a closed set  $E$  of positive capacity, which lies with its boundary entirely inside  $C$ . If  $f(z)$  tends to zero, when  $z$  tends to any accessible boundary point of  $F$ , whose projection on the  $z$ -plane lies inside  $C$ , except enumerably infinite number of such accessible boundary points, then  $f(z) \equiv 0$ .*

1) G. Julia: Sur le domaine d'existence d'une fonction implicite définie par une relation entière  $G(x, y) = 0$ . Bull. Soc. Math. (1926).

2) W. Gross: Zur Theorie der Differentialgleichungen mit festen kritischen Punkten. Math. Ann. 78 (1918).

2. *Priwaloff's theorem.*

We use the following Priwaloff's theorem<sup>1)</sup> in the proof.

*Theorem III.* Let  $f(z)$  be meromorphic in  $|z| < 1$  and  $E$  be a measurable set of positive measure on  $|z|=1$ . If  $f(z)$  tends to zero, when  $z$  tends to any point of  $E$  by the curves non-tangential to  $|z|=1$ , then  $f(z) \equiv 0$ .

I will give a simple proof for the sake of completeness.

*Proof.* We map  $|z| < 1$  on  $\Re(s) > 0$  on the  $s = \sigma + it = re^{i\theta}$ -plane by  $z = \varphi(s)$  and put  $F(s) = f(\varphi(s))$ . Then  $E$  corresponds to a set  $e$  of positive measure on the  $t$ -axis. Let  $\Delta_{r_0}$  be a triangle determined by three points:  $0, r_0 e^{i\theta_0}, r_0 e^{-i\theta_0}$  ( $0 < \theta_0 < \frac{\pi}{2}$ ) and  $s_n$  ( $n=1, 2, \dots$ ) be rational points in  $\Delta_{r_0}$ , whose coordinates are rational numbers. We put  $F_n(t) = |F(s_n + it)|$  and

$$\Phi_{r_0}(t) = \text{upper limit}_n F_n(t). \tag{1}$$

Then 
$$\Phi_{r_0}(t) = \text{upper limit}_{s \in \Delta_{r_0}} |F(s + it)|. \tag{2}$$

Since  $F_n(t)$  is continuous,  $\Phi_{r_0}(t)$  is a measurable function and by the hypothesis,  $\lim_{r_0 \rightarrow 0} \Phi_{r_0}(t) = 0$  on  $e$ . Hence by Egoroff's theorem,  $\lim_{r_0 \rightarrow 0} \Phi_{r_0}(t) = 0$  uniformly on a bounded closed sub-set  $e_1$  of  $e$ , such that  $m e_1 > 0$ .

Hence from (2) we have for a small  $r_0$ ,

$$|F(s + it)| < \epsilon, \text{ for } s \in \Delta_{r_0}, t \in e_1. \tag{3}$$

Let  $\Delta(t)$  be a triangle determined by three points:  $it, it + r_0 e^{i\theta_0}, it + r_0 e^{-i\theta_0}$ . We add all such triangles for  $t \in e_1$  and put  $\Delta_1 = \sum_{t \in e_1} \Delta(t)$ . Let  $\Delta_2$  be a rectangle:  $r_0 \cos \theta_0 \leq \sigma \leq R_0, |t| \leq M$ , such that  $F(s)$  has no poles on the boundary of  $\Delta_2$ . We put  $\Delta = \Delta_1 + \Delta_2$ , then the boundary  $\Gamma$  of  $\Delta$  is a rectifiable curve, which meets the  $t$ -axis in  $e_1$  and  $F(s)$  is bounded in the neighbourhood of  $\Gamma$  and tends to zero, when  $s$  tends to  $e_1$  from the inside of  $\Gamma$ . If we consider  $e_1$  as a set on  $\Gamma$ , then its measure defined by arc length of  $\Gamma$  is positive. Hence if we map the inside of  $\Gamma$  on  $|\zeta| < 1$  by  $s = \psi(\zeta)$ , then, by F. and M. Riesz' theorem<sup>2)</sup>,  $e_1$  corresponds to a set  $\epsilon_1$  of positive measure on  $|\zeta|=1$ . Let  $G(\zeta) = F(\psi(\zeta))$  and  $\zeta_1, \zeta_2, \dots, \zeta_n$  be the poles of  $G(\zeta)$  in  $|\zeta| < 1$  and  $H(\zeta) = G(\zeta) \prod_{\nu=1}^n \frac{\zeta - \zeta_\nu}{1 - \bar{\zeta}_\nu \zeta}$ , then  $H(\zeta)$  is regular and bounded in  $|\zeta| < 1$  and tends to zero, when  $\zeta$  tends to any point of  $\epsilon_1$ . Hence by the well known theorem,  $H(\zeta) \equiv 0$ , or  $f(z) \equiv 0$ , q. e. d.

1) M. J. Priwaloff: Sur certaines propriétés métriques des fonctions analytiques. Jour. d. l'école polytechnique. (1924).

2) F. u. M. Riesz: Über die Randwerte analytischer Functionen. 4. congr. scand. math. Stockholm. 1916.

### 3. Proof of Theorem II.

Let  $\mathfrak{F}$  be the simply connected universal covering Riemann surface of  $F$ . We map  $\mathfrak{F}$  on  $|x| < 1$  by  $z = \varphi(x)$  and put  $F(x) = f(\varphi(x))$ . Since  $\varphi(x)$  is bounded in  $|x| < 1$ , by Fatou's theorem,  $\lim \varphi(x)$  exists almost everywhere on  $|x| = 1$ , when  $x$  tends to  $|x| = 1$  non-tangentially.

Let  $u(z)$  be the solution of the Dirichlet problem for the schlicht domain bounded by  $C$  and  $E$  with the boundary condition that  $u(z) = 0$  on  $C$  and  $u(z) = 1$  on the boundary of  $E$ . Then, since  $\text{cap. } E > 0$  we have  $u(z) \not\equiv 0$ . If by the mapping  $z = \varphi(x)$ ,  $C$  corresponds to a set of measure  $2\pi$  on  $|x| = 1$ , then any bounded harmonic function on  $\mathfrak{F}$ , which vanishes on the points of  $\mathfrak{F}$  above  $C$ , would vanish identically. But the above solution  $u(z)$  of the Dirichlet problem, considered as a bounded harmonic function on  $\mathfrak{F}$ , vanishes on the points of  $\mathfrak{F}$  above  $C$  and does not vanish identically. Hence  $C$  corresponds to a set of measure  $< 2\pi$  on  $|x| = 1$ , so that the accessible boundary points of  $F$ , whose projections on the  $z$ -plane lies inside  $C$  correspond to a set  $e_1$  of positive measure on  $|x| = 1$ . Since, by F. Riesz' theorem, the set on  $|x| = 1$ , which corresponds to a given point, is of measure zero, the exceptional accessible boundary points in the Theorem correspond to a set  $e_0$  of measure zero on  $|x| = 1$ . Hence if we put  $e = e_1 - e_0$ , then  $m_e = m_{e_1} > 0$ . By the hypothesis,  $F(x)$  tends to zero, when  $x$  tends to any point of  $e$  non-tangentially to  $|x| = 1$ . Hence by Theorem III,  $F(x) \equiv 0$ , or  $f(z) \equiv 0$ , q. e. d.

### 4. Proof of Theorem I.

First we will prove a lemma.

*Lemma.* If a disc  $K_0$  is covered exactly  $n$ -times by  $F$ , then  $y(x)$  becomes an algebraic function of order  $n$ .

*Proof.* Let  $G$  be a connected domain containing  $K_0$ , such that every point of  $G$  is a center of a disc, which is covered exactly  $n$ -times by  $F$  and  $E$  be its boundary. We will prove that  $G$  coincides with the finite plane  $|x| < \infty$ . Suppose that  $E$  contains points in the finite distance. From the definition of  $G$ , every point  $x_0$  ( $\neq \infty$ ) on  $E$  is covered at most  $n$ -times by  $F$ . If  $x_0$  is covered  $n$ -times by  $F$ , then the part of  $F$  above a small disc  $K$  about  $x_0$  contains  $n$  discs:  $F_1, \dots, F_n$  consisting of only inner points of  $F$ , where a piece of the Riemann surface of  $(x - x_0)^{\frac{1}{k}}$  above  $K$  is considered as  $k$  discs.

If there is no connected piece of  $F'$  above  $K$  other than  $F_1, \dots, F_n$ , then  $K$  is covered exactly  $n$ -times by  $F$ , so that  $K$  belongs to  $G$ , which contradicts the hypothesis, that  $x_0$  is a boundary point of  $G$ . Hence there is another connected piece  $F_0$  of  $F$  above  $K$  other than  $F_1, \dots, F_n$ . Then  $F_0$  does not cover the common part  $G_0$  of  $G$  and  $K$  from the definition of  $G$ . Since, as Julia proved,  $\frac{1}{y(x)}$  tends to zero, when  $x$  tends to any accessible boundary point of  $F'$  and  $\text{cap. } G_0 > 0$ , if we apply Theorem II to  $F_0$ , we would have  $\frac{1}{y(x)} \equiv 0$ , which is absurd. Hence every point of  $E$  is covered at most  $(n-1)$ -times by  $F$ . Let  $E_k$  be a sub-set of  $E$ , such that every point of  $E_k$  is covered

at most  $k$ -times by  $F$ , then  $E_k$  is a closed set and  $E_0 < E_1 < \dots < E_{n-1} = E$ . We will prove that  $\text{cap. } E = 0$ .

Suppose that  $\text{cap. } E > 0$ , then there is a certain  $k$  ( $0 \leq k \leq n-1$ ), such that

$$\text{cap. } E_0 = 0, \text{cap. } E_1 = 0, \dots, \text{cap. } E_{k-1} = 0, \text{cap. } E_k > 0. \quad (4)$$

We put  $E_k^0 = E_k - E_{k-1}$ , then  $\text{cap. } E_k^0 = \text{cap. } E_k > 0$ . Let  $e_k^0$  be a closed sub-set of  $E_k^0$ , such that  $\text{cap. } e_k^0 > 0$ . Then there exists a point  $x_0$  on  $e_k^0$ , such that  $\text{cap. } e_k^0(K) > 0$ , a fortiori,  $\text{cap. } E_k(K) > 0$  for any small disc  $K$  about  $x_0$ , where we denote the part of a set  $e$  inside a disc  $K$  by  $e(K)$ .

Since  $x_0 \in E_k^0$ ,  $x_0$  is covered  $k$ -times by  $F$ . Hence the part of  $F$  above a small disc  $K$  about  $x_0$  contains  $k$  discs:  $F_1, \dots, F_k$  consisting of only inner points of  $F$ . Since  $k \leq n-1$ , there is another connected piece  $F_0$  of  $F$  above  $K$  other than  $F_1, \dots, F_k$ . Since  $E_k(K_0)$  is covered  $k$ -times in  $F_1, \dots, F_k$  by  $F$ , from the definition of  $E_k$ ,  $F_0$  does not cover  $E_k(K_0)$ , where  $K_0$  is a disc about  $x_0$  contained in  $K$ .

Since  $\frac{1}{y(x)}$  tends to zero, when  $x$  tends to any accessible boundary point of  $F$ , and  $\text{cap. } E_k(K_0) > 0$ , if we apply Theorem II to  $F_0$ , we would have  $\frac{1}{y(x)} \equiv 0$ , which is absurd. Hence  $\text{cap. } E = 0$ , so that every point of  $E$  is an accessible boundary point.

Let  $y_1(x), \dots, y_n(x)$  be  $n$  branches of  $y(x)$  outside  $E$  and  $x_0 (\neq \infty)$  be any point of  $E$ . Suppose that  $\frac{1}{y_1(x)}, \dots, \frac{1}{y_s(x)}$  have essential singularities and  $\frac{1}{y_{s+1}(x)}, \dots, \frac{1}{y_n(x)}$  have algebraic singularities at  $x_0$ . We put

$$\left. \begin{aligned} \prod_{i=1}^s \left( \frac{1}{y} - \frac{1}{y_i(x)} \right) &= \frac{1}{y^s} + \frac{a_1(x)}{y^{s-1}} + \dots + a_s(x), \\ \prod_{i=s+1}^n \left( \frac{1}{y} - \frac{1}{y_i(x)} \right) &= \frac{1}{y^{n-s}} + \frac{b_1(x)}{y^{n-s-1}} + \dots + b_{n-s}(x), \end{aligned} \right\} \quad (5)$$

then  $a_i(x)$  are one-valued and meromorphic outside  $E$  and since  $\frac{1}{y_i(x)}$  ( $i=1, 2, \dots, s$ ) tends to zero, when  $x$  tends to any point of  $E$  in the neighbourhood  $U$  of  $x_0$ ,  $a_i(x)$  are bounded in  $U$ , so that, since  $\text{cap. } E = 0$ ,  $a_i(x)$  are regular at  $x_0$ <sup>1)</sup>. Since  $b_i(x)$  are meromorphic at  $x_0$ , if we put

$$\prod_{i=1}^n \left( \frac{1}{y} - \frac{1}{y_i(x)} \right) = \frac{1}{y^n} + \frac{c_1(x)}{y^{n-1}} + \dots + c_n(x), \quad (6)$$

then  $c_i(x)$  are meromorphic at  $x_0$ , so that the neighbourhood of  $x_0$  is covered exactly  $n$ -times by  $F$ , which contradicts the hypothesis, that  $x_0$  is a boundary point of  $G$ . Hence  $G$  coincides with the finite plane

1) R. Nevanlinna: Eindeutige analytische Funktionen. p. 132.

$|x| < \infty$ . Then  $c_i(x)$  are meromorphic functions for  $|x| < \infty$ . Consequently  $y(x)$  satisfies a relation of the form:  $A_0(x)y^n + A_1(x)y^{n-1} + \dots + A_n(x) = 0$ , where  $A_i(x)$  are integral functions of  $x$ . Thus the lemma is completely proved.

By this lemma, we can prove Theorem I simply as follows.

Suppose that  $y(x)$  is not an algebroid function and its Riemann surface  $F$  does not cover a set  $E$  of positive capacity infinitely many times. Let  $E_k$  be a set of points, which are covered at most  $k$ -times by  $F$ . Then  $E_k$  is a closed set and  $E_0 < E_1 < \dots < E_k < \dots, E = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} E_k$ .

Since  $\text{cap. } E > 0$ , there is a certain  $k$  ( $0 \leq k < \infty$ ), such that

$$\text{cap. } E_0 = 0, \text{ cap. } E_1 = 0, \dots, \text{ cap. } E_{k-1} = 0, \text{ cap. } E_k > 0. \quad (7)$$

Let  $E_k^0 = E_k - E_{k-1}$ , then  $\text{cap. } E_k^0 = \text{cap. } E_k > 0$  and  $e_k^0$  be a closed sub-set of  $E_k^0$ , such that  $\text{cap. } e_k^0 > 0$ . Then there exists a point  $x_0$  on  $e_k^0$ , such that  $\text{cap. } e_k^0(K) > 0$ , a fortiori,  $\text{cap. } E_k(K) > 0$  for any small disc  $K$  about  $x_0$ . Since  $x_0 \in E_k^0$ ,  $x_0$  is covered  $k$ -times by  $F$ , hence the part of  $F$  above a small disc  $K$  about  $x_0$  contains  $k$  discs:  $F_1, \dots, F_k$  consisting of only inner points of  $F$ . Since  $y(x)$  is not an algebroid function, we see by the lemma, that there is another connected piece  $F_0$  of  $F$  above  $K$  other than  $F_1, \dots, F_k$ . Since  $E_k(K_0)$  is covered  $k$ -times in  $F_1, \dots, F_k$  by  $F$ , from the definition of  $E_k$ ,  $F_0$  does not cover  $E_k(K_0)$ , where  $K_0$  is a disc about  $x_0$  contained in  $K$ . Since  $\frac{1}{y(x)}$  tends to zero, when  $x$  tends to any accessible boundary point of  $F$  and  $\text{cap. } E_k(K_0) > 0$ , if we apply Theorem II to  $F_0$ , we would have  $\frac{1}{y(x)} \equiv 0$ , which is absurd. Hence  $\text{cap. } E = 0$ , q. e. d.

5. *Extension of Iversen's theorem.*

We will prove the following extension of Iversen's theorem<sup>1)</sup>.

*Theorem IV.* Let  $G(x, y)$  be an integral function with respect to  $x$  and  $y$  and  $y(x)$  be an analytic function defined by  $G(x, y) = 0$  and  $F$  be its Riemann surface spread over the  $x$ -plane and suppose that  $y(x)$  is not an algebroid function of  $x$ . If  $x_0$  ( $\neq \infty$ ) is covered finite times by  $F$ , then  $x_0$  is an asymptotic value of the inverse function  $x = x(y)$  of  $y = y(x)$ .

*Proof.* Let  $x_0$  be covered  $k$ -times by  $F$ . We denote the disc:  $|x - x_0| \leq \frac{\delta}{2^n}$  by  $K_n$  ( $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ ). Then for a small  $\delta$ , the part of  $F$  above  $K_0$  contains  $k$  discs:  $F_0^{(1)}, \dots, F_0^{(k)}$  consisting of only inner points of  $F$ . Since  $y(x)$  is not an algebroid function, we see from the lemma, that there is another connected piece  $F_0$  of  $F$  above  $K_0$  other than  $F_0^{(1)}, \dots, F_0^{(k)}$ . Since  $x_0$  is covered  $k$ -times in  $F_0^{(1)}, \dots, F_0^{(k)}$  by  $F$ ,  $F_0$  does not cover  $x_0$ . Let  $E_0$  be a set of points in  $K_0$  which are not covered by  $F_0$ , then as we have proved in § 4,  $\text{cap. } E_0 = 0$ . Hence there is a point  $\xi_0$  in  $K_2$ , which is covered by  $F_0$ . Let  $(\xi_0)$  be such a

1) F. Iversen: Recherches sur les fonctions inverses des fonctions meromorphes. Thèse. Helsingfors. 1914.

point on  $F_0$  above  $\xi_0$ , where we denote a point on  $F$ , whose projection on the  $x$ -plane is  $x$  by  $(x)$ .

Let  $F_1$  be the connected part of  $F_0$  above  $K_1$ , which contains  $(\xi_0)$ . Similarly we see that there exists a point  $(\xi_1)$  on  $F_1$ , whose projection  $\xi_1$  lies inside  $K_2$ . We connect  $(\xi_0)$  and  $(\xi_1)$  by a curve  $(L_0)$  on  $F_0$ , whose projection on the  $x$ -plane we denote by  $L_0$ . By the similar way, we have points  $(\xi_n)$  and curves  $(L_n)$  on a connected piece  $F_n$  ( $F_0 \supset F_1 \supset \dots \supset F_n$ ) above  $K_n$ , such that  $\xi_n$  lies in  $K_{n+2}$  and  $L_n$  lies in  $K_n$ , so that  $\xi_n \rightarrow x_0$ ,  $L_n \rightarrow x_0$ . Hence if we put  $L = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} L_n$ , then  $L$  is a continuous curve on the  $x$ -plane tending to  $x_0$ . To  $L$ , there corresponds on the  $y$ -plane, a curve tending to infinity. Hence  $x_0$  is an asymptotic value of the inverse function  $x=x(y)$  of  $y=y(x)$ , q. e. d.

#### 6. Direct transcendental singularities.

Let  $(x_0)$  be a boundary point of the Riemann surface  $F$  of  $y(x)$ . Iversen called  $(x_0)$  a direct transcendental singularity of  $y(x)$ , if  $x_0$  is lacunary for a connected piece  $F_0$  of  $F$  above a certain disc  $K$  about  $x_0$ , which contains  $(x_0)$  as its boundary. We will prove that the set of points on the  $x$ -plane, which are the projections of direct transcendental singularities is of capacity zero.

In § 4 we have proved that the set  $e$  in a disc  $K$ , which is lacunary for a connected piece of  $F$  above  $K$  is of capacity zero. Since there are at most enumerably infinite number of such connected pieces above  $K$ , the set  $E$  in  $K$ , which is lacunary for some connected piece of  $F$  above  $K$  is of capacity zero. Let  $K_n$  ( $n=1, 2, \dots$ ) be discs on the  $x$ -plane, whose centers are rational points and whose radii are rational numbers and  $E_n$  be the corresponding set in  $K_n$ . Then  $\text{cap. } E_n = 0$  and hence  $E = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n$  is of capacity zero.  $E$  is  $F_\sigma$ , i. e. a sum of enumerably infinite number of closed sets. Let  $(x_0)$  be a direct transcendental singularity of  $y(x)$ . Then  $x_0$  is lacunary for a connected piece above a certain  $K_n$ , which contains  $(x_0)$  as its boundary. Hence  $x_0$  is contained in  $E_n$  and so in  $E$ . Hence the set of points on the  $x$ -plane, which are the projections of direct transcendental singularities is of capacity zero. Hence we have

*Theorem V.* Let  $G(x, y)$  be an integral function with respect to  $x$  and  $y$  and  $y(x)$  be an analytic function defined by  $G(x, y) = 0$ . Then the set of points on the  $x$ -plane, which are the projections of the direct transcendental singularities of  $y(x)$  is of capacity zero.