

# Primitive arcs on curves

Julien Sebag

## Abstract

We introduce the notion of *primitive arc* of a curve defined over a field  $k$  and study criterions for the existence of such objects in terms of the geometry of the curve. We prove that this notion provides a criterion which determines when the normalization of an irreducible curve singularity  $(X, x)$  induces an isomorphism between the formal neighborhoods of the associated arc schemes at the constant arc  $x$  and its lifting  $\bar{x}$  to the normalization  $\bar{X}$ . We also show that the existence of a primitive arc at  $x \in X$  is equivalent to the smoothness of the analytically irreducible curve  $X$  at  $x$ . In this end, we interpret this notion in terms of the formal deformations of the constant arc  $x$  in the associated arc scheme.

## 1 Introduction

**1.1** Let  $k$  be a field. A *test-ring*  $A$  (or  $(A, \mathfrak{m}_A)$ ) is a local  $k$ -algebra, whose maximal ideal  $\mathfrak{m}_A$  is nilpotent with residue field  $A/\mathfrak{m}_A \cong k$ . A *primitive arc*  $\gamma$  of a  $k$ -curve  $X$  at  $x \in X(k)$  is a primitive  $k$ -parametrization  $\mathcal{O}_{X,x} \rightarrow k[[T]]$  (see definition 3.1), which satisfies the following property: For every test-ring  $(A, \mathfrak{m}_A)$ , for every commutative diagram of morphisms of local  $k$ -algebras

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{O}_{X,x} & \xrightarrow{\gamma_A} & A[[T]] \\ x \downarrow & & \downarrow r_A \\ k & \longrightarrow & k[[T]], \end{array} \quad (1)$$

---

Received by the editors in July 2015 - In revised form in March 2016.

Communicated by S. Caenepeel.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification* : 14E18, 14B05.

*Key words and phrases* : Arc scheme, arc deformation, curve singularity.

where  $r_A: A[[T]] \rightarrow k[[T]]$  is the continuous morphism of complete local  $k$ -algebras defined by  $T \mapsto T$  with kernel  $\mathfrak{m}_A$ , there exists a unique power series  $p_A \in \mathfrak{m}_A[[T]]$  which induces a continuous morphism of complete local  $k$ -algebras  $p_A^\sharp: k[[T]] \rightarrow A[[T]]$  that verifies the formula  $\gamma_A = p_A^\sharp \circ \gamma$ . If it exists, a primitive arc is unique (up to isomorphism).

**1.2** The basic subject of this article could be summarized by the following question:

**Question 1.1.** *Which class of pointed  $k$ -curves admits primitive arcs?*

This article provides a complete answer to question 1.1 for analytically irreducible curves. Precisely, we establish various criterions for the existence of primitive arcs on  $k$ -curves. In this way, the existence of primitive arcs can be interpreted as an original criterion of local smoothness (for  $k$ -curves) in terms of the associated arc schemes, or as a criterion for determining when the normalization morphism induces an isomorphism at the level of the involved arc schemes. If  $X$  is a  $k$ -curve and  $x \in X(k)$ , recall that the point  $x$  can be viewed as a *constant* arc of the associated arc scheme  $\mathcal{L}_\infty(X)$ , and we denote by  $\mathcal{L}_\infty(X)_x$  the formal neighborhood of the arc  $x$  in  $\mathcal{L}_\infty(X)$ , i.e., the formal  $k$ -scheme  $\widehat{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{L}_\infty(X),x}}$ .

**Theorem 1.1.** *Let  $k$  be an algebraically closed field. Let  $X$  be a  $k$ -curve which is unibranch at  $x \in X(k)$ . Then the following assertions are equivalent:*

1. *The  $k$ -curve  $X$  is smooth at  $x$ ;*
2. *There exists a primitive arc  $\gamma$  at  $x$  on  $X$ ;*
3. *The formal  $k$ -scheme  $\mathcal{L}_\infty(X)_x$  is isomorphic to  $\mathrm{Spf}(k[[T_i]_{i \in \mathbb{N}}])$ ;*
4. *The normalization  $\pi: \bar{X} \rightarrow X$  induces, at the level of formal neighborhoods of the associated arc schemes, an isomorphism of formal  $k$ -schemes*

$$\widehat{\mathcal{L}_\infty(\pi)_x}: \mathcal{L}_\infty(\bar{X})_{\bar{x}} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_\infty(X)_x,$$

where  $\bar{x} \in \bar{X}(k)$  is the lifting of  $x$ ;

5. *The morphism  $(\widehat{\mathcal{L}_\infty(\pi)_x})^\sharp: \widehat{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{L}_\infty(X),x}} \rightarrow \widehat{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{L}_\infty(\bar{X}),\bar{x}}}$  is surjective.*

**1.3** The point of view of formal neighborhoods of arc schemes has been introduced in [8] (see also [6]). If  $V$  is a variety, the formal neighborhood  $\mathcal{L}_\infty(V)_\gamma$  parametrizes the *formal deformations* of the arc  $\gamma$  in  $\mathcal{L}_\infty(V)$ . In [8, 6] (see also [3] for an analog statement in the context of formal geometry), the authors prove a structure theorem for formal neighborhoods of arc schemes at *non-constant* arcs, which are not contained in the singular locus of the involved variety. The interpretation of such a result in terms of singularity theory remains a challenging problem, and works [3, 4, 5] are, to the best of our knowledge, the first steps in this direction. Let us also mention [7, 12] where some properties of formal neighborhoods of arc schemes are also studied in other frameworks.

Contrary to these works, the involved arcs in our statement are *constant*; hence, our result provides information for arcs contained in the singular locus. (Let us note that in general the main theorem of [8, 6] does not hold for singular constant arcs, see [2] for counter-examples). Roughly speaking, the present work (see assertion (3) of theorem 1.1) investigates the study of the smoothness of an analytically irreducible  $k$ -curve  $X$  at a point  $x$  from the point of view of the “deformations” of the constant arc  $x$  in the associated arc scheme  $\mathcal{L}_\infty(X)$ . In this context, the notion of *rigidity* (i.e., situation where there is no non-trivial deformation) corresponds to the existence of a primitive arc.

## 2 Preliminaries

**2.1** Let  $k$  be a field. A  $k$ -variety is a  $k$ -scheme of finite type. A  $k$ -curve is a *reduced*  $k$ -variety of dimension 1. We say that a pointed curve  $(X, x)$ , with  $x \in X(k)$ , is *unibranch* (or *analytically irreducible*) at  $x$  if the ring  $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{X,x}$  is a domain.

**2.2** Let  $k$  be a field. Let  $V$  be a  $k$ -variety. The functor  $S \mapsto \text{Hom}_k(S \hat{\otimes}_k k[[T]], V)$  defined from the category of  $k$ -schemes to that of sets is representable by a  $k$ -scheme  $\mathcal{L}_\infty(V)$ . (Let us note that this presentation uses a recent non-trivial result due to B. Bhatt, see [1, Theorem 1.1]). If  $V$  is an affine  $k$ -variety, for every  $k$ -algebra  $A$ , every element  $\gamma_A \in \mathcal{L}_\infty(V)(A)$  coincides with the datum of a morphism of  $k$ -algebras  $\mathcal{O}(V) \rightarrow A[[T]]$ .

**2.3** Let  $V$  be a  $k$ -variety and  $\gamma \in \mathcal{L}_\infty(V)(k)$ . Yoneda’s lemma [9, 8.1.4] and the properties of completion formally imply that the formal neighborhood  $\mathcal{L}_\infty(V)_\gamma$  of the  $k$ -scheme  $\mathcal{L}_\infty(V)$  at  $\gamma$  is completely determined by the functor of points

$$A \mapsto \text{Hom}_k^{\text{cpl}}(\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{\mathcal{L}_\infty(V), \gamma}, A),$$

when  $A$  runs over the category of test-rings, and where the considered morphisms are the continuous morphisms of complete local  $k$ -algebras from  $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{\mathcal{L}_\infty(V), \gamma}$  to  $A$ . See [8, 6] or also [3].

## 3 The proof of theorem 1.1

**Definition 3.1.** Let  $k$  be a field. Let  $X$  be a  $k$ -curve with  $x \in X(k)$ . A *primitive  $k$ -parametrization of  $X$  at  $x$*  is a morphism of local  $k$ -algebras  $\gamma: \mathcal{O}_{X,x} \rightarrow k[[T]]$ , which satisfies the following property: For every morphism  $\gamma': \mathcal{O}_{X,x} \rightarrow k[[T]]$  of local  $k$ -algebras, there exists a power series  $p_k \in Tk[[T]]$  such that we have  $\gamma' = p_k^\# \circ \gamma$ .

If  $k$  is algebraically closed and  $X$  is unibranch at  $x$ , the normalization  $\pi: \bar{X} \rightarrow X$  of  $X$  provides a primitive  $k$ -parametrization of  $X$  at  $x$  by considering the induced morphism of local  $k$ -algebras  $\pi_x: \mathcal{O}_{X,x} \rightarrow \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{\bar{X}, \bar{x}}$ .

*Remark 3.1.* A primitive  $k$ -parametrization may not be a primitive arc. Let  $X$  be the affine plane  $k$ -curve defined by the datum of the polynomial  $F = T_1^3 - T_2^2 \in \mathbf{C}[T_1, T_2]$ . Let us consider the primitive  $k$ -parametrization  $\gamma$  at the origin  $\mathfrak{o}$  in  $X = \text{Spec}(\mathbf{C}[T_1, T_2]/\langle T_1^3 - T_2^2 \rangle)$  defined by the element  $(T^2, T^3) \in \mathbf{C}[[T]]$ . Let  $A := \mathbf{C}[S]/\langle S^2 \rangle$ . We observe that the element  $\gamma_A \in \mathcal{L}_\infty(X)_\mathfrak{o}(A)$  given by  $T_1 \mapsto S, T_2 \mapsto S$ , can not be written under the form  $\gamma \circ p_A$ . So,  $\gamma$  is not a primitive arc.

Let us mention that implication  $4 \Rightarrow 5$  is obvious, and that implication  $4 \Rightarrow 1$  also is obvious since we have  $\mathcal{L}_\infty(\bar{X})_{\bar{x}} \cong \text{Spf}(k[[\langle T_i \rangle_{i \in \mathbf{N}}]])$ . Let us prove the other implications.

$1 \Rightarrow 2$  Since  $X$  is smooth at  $x$ , there exists an affine open subscheme  $U$  of  $X$ , which contains  $x$ , endowed with an étale morphism of  $k$ -schemes  $U \rightarrow \mathbf{A}_k^1 = \text{Spec}(k[t])$ , corresponding to the choice of a local parameter in  $\mathcal{O}(U)$  (i.e., a generator  $t$  of the maximal ideal  $\mathfrak{m}_x$  in the ring  $\mathcal{O}_{X,x}$ ). Up to shrinking  $X$ , we may assume that  $X = U$ . Then, let  $\gamma$  be the arc corresponding to the following morphism of  $k$ -schemes:

$$\mathcal{O}_{X,x} \hookrightarrow \widehat{\mathcal{O}_{X,x}} \xrightarrow{\sim} k[[t]] \xrightarrow{t \mapsto T} k[[T]], \tag{2}$$

obtained by composition via the completion morphism. It gives rise to a primitive  $k$ -parametrization of  $X$  at  $x$ . Then, it is easy to check that the arc  $\gamma$  is primitive, since, in this case, for every test-ring  $A$ , and every  $\gamma_A \in \mathcal{L}_\infty(X)_x(A)$ , we take  $p_A = \gamma_A$ .

$2 \Rightarrow 4$  Let  $\gamma$  be a primitive arc at  $x$  on the curve  $X$ . Let  $A$  be a test-ring. By §2.3, we only have to prove that the map:

$$\pi_A := \widehat{\mathcal{L}_\infty(\pi)_x}(A) : \mathcal{L}_\infty(\bar{X})_{\bar{x}}(A) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_\infty(X)_x(A)$$

is a bijection. Let  $\gamma_A \in \mathcal{L}_\infty(X)_x(A)$ . By assumption, there exists a unique power series  $p_A \in \mathfrak{m}_A[[T]]$  such that  $\gamma_A = \gamma \circ p_A$  (where we identify  $p_A$  and the induced morphisms of  $k$ -schemes). Since the morphism  $\pi$  is proper and birational, the valuative criterion of properness implies the existence of a unique non-constant arc  $\tilde{\gamma} \in \mathcal{L}_\infty(\bar{X})(k)$  such that  $\pi \circ \tilde{\gamma} = \gamma$ . Then, we easily observe that  $\tilde{\gamma} \circ p_A$  is the unique preimage by  $\pi_A$  of  $\gamma_A$ .

$5 \Rightarrow 1$  We assume that the morphism  $(\widehat{\mathcal{L}_\infty(\pi)_x})^\sharp$  is surjective. Then, for every test-ring  $A$ , the induced map:

$$\pi_A := (\widehat{\mathcal{L}_\infty(\pi)_x})^\sharp(A) : \text{Hom}_k^{\text{cpl}}(\widehat{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{L}_\infty(\bar{X})_{\bar{x}}}}, A) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_k^{\text{cpl}}(\widehat{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{L}_\infty(X)_x}}, A)$$

is injective. We are going to show that this property implies the smoothness of  $X$  at  $x$ . Let us denote by  $\text{mult}_x(X)$  the integer defined as follows. If  $\gamma$  is a primitive  $k$ -parametrization at  $x$ , let us consider the ideal  $\gamma(\mathfrak{m}_x)$  in the ring  $\widehat{\mathcal{O}_{\bar{X},\bar{x}}} = k[[t]]$  ( $t$  is here a generator of the maximal ideal  $\mathfrak{m}_{\bar{x}}$  of the ring  $\mathcal{O}_{\bar{X},\bar{x}}$ ). There exists an integer  $n$  such that  $\gamma(\mathfrak{m}_x) = \langle t^n \rangle$ . We then set  $n =: \text{mult}_x(X)$ . This definition

does not depend on the choice of  $\gamma$ . If the  $k$ -curve  $X$  is singular at  $x$ , we have  $\text{mult}_x(X) \geq 2$ .

Let us assume that  $x$  is a singular point of  $X$ . Up to shrinking  $X$ , we may assume that  $X$  is affine, embedded in  $\mathbf{A}_k^N = \text{Spec}(k[T_1, \dots, T_N])$ , and, up to a translation, we may assume that  $x$  is the origin  $\mathfrak{o}$  of  $\mathbf{A}_k^N$ . Let  $A := k[U]/\langle U^2 \rangle$ . The power series  $\varphi_1 = 0 \in A[[T]]$  and  $\varphi_2 = UT \in A[[T]]$  define two elements of  $\mathcal{L}_\infty(\bar{X})_{\bar{x}}(A)$ . It follows from the definition that

$$\mathcal{L}_\infty(\pi)(\varphi_1) = \mathcal{L}_\infty(\pi)(\varphi_2). \tag{3}$$

since  $\text{mult}_x(X) > 1$ . Indeed, every variable  $T_i$  (seen in the ring  $\mathcal{O}_{X,x}$ ) is sent by the morphism of local  $k$ -algebras  $\pi_x: \mathcal{O}_{X,x} \rightarrow \widehat{\mathcal{O}_{\bar{X},\bar{x}}}$  to an element in the ideal  $\langle t^{\text{mult}_x(X)} \rangle$ , where  $t$  is a generator of the ideal  $\mathfrak{m}_{\bar{x}}$ . So, we obtain formula (3) since  $\mathcal{L}_\infty(\pi)(\varphi_i)$  corresponds, for every integer  $i \in \{1, 2\}$ , to the following composition of morphisms of local  $k$ -algebras:

$$\mathcal{O}_{X,x} \xrightarrow{\pi_x} \widehat{\mathcal{O}_{\bar{X},\bar{x}}} \xrightarrow{t \mapsto \varphi_i} A[[T]].$$

The injectivity of the map  $\pi_A$  then implies that  $\varphi_1 = \varphi_2$ . That is a contradiction, which concludes the proof.

3  $\Rightarrow$  1 It is sufficient to prove that the ring  $\mathcal{O}_{X,x}$  is formally smooth for the  $\mathfrak{m}_x$ -adic topology thanks to [11, 17.5.3]. By [10, 19.3.3, 19.3.6], we observe that, due to our assumption, the ring  $\widehat{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{L}_\infty(X),x}}$  is formally smooth, and we conclude by the existence of the following diagram of continuous morphisms of local  $k$ -algebras:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & \text{Id} & & \\ & \curvearrowright & & \curvearrowleft & \\ \widehat{\mathcal{O}_{X,x}} & \longrightarrow & \widehat{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{L}_\infty(X),x}} & \longrightarrow & \widehat{\mathcal{O}_{\bar{X},\bar{x}}} \end{array}$$

**Example 3.1.** Keep the notation of remark 3.1. In this case, the normalization morphism  $\pi^\sharp: \mathcal{O}(X) \rightarrow k[T]$  is defined by  $T_1 \mapsto T^2, T_2 \mapsto T^3$ ; hence, every  $A$ -deformation of the origin in  $\bar{X}$  is sent to the origin in  $X$ . We easily conclude that the deformation  $(S, S)$  of the origin in  $X$  does not lift to the normalization  $\bar{X}$ .

*Remark 3.2.* By base change, we observe that theorem 1.1 can be generalized to every geometrically unibranch integral curve  $X$  and any closed point  $x \in X$ .

*Remark 3.3.* Keep the notation and assumptions of theorem 1.1. It is not hard to prove that the morphism  $(\mathcal{L}_\infty(\pi)_x)^\sharp: \widehat{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{L}_\infty(X),x}} \rightarrow \widehat{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{L}_\infty(\bar{X}),\bar{x}}}$  is a formal invariant of the curve singularity  $(X, x)$ . By this way, formal neighborhoods at constant arcs in arc scheme provide *new* formal invariants of curve singularities. It would be interesting to study these invariants with respect to the classical theory of singularities.

*Acknowledgement.* We would like to thank the referee for his comments and David Bourqui for pointing out the current argument used in the proof of implication 3  $\Rightarrow$  1 to us.

## References

- [1] B. Bhatt, *Algebraization and tannaka duality*, Preprint.
- [2] D. Bourqui and J. Sebag, *The Drinfeld–Grinberg–Kazhdan theorem is false for singular arcs*, To appear in *Journal of IMJ* (DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/S1474748015000341>).
- [3] ———, *Drinfeld–Grinberg–Kazhdan’s theorem for formal schemes and singularity theory*, Preprint (submitted, 2015).
- [4] ———, *Formal minimal models of plane curve singularities*, Preprint (submitted, 2016).
- [5] ———, *Smooth arcs on algebraic varieties*, Preprint (submitted, 2016).
- [6] V. Drinfled, *On the Grinberg–Kazhdan formal arc theorem*, Preprint.
- [7] L. Ein and M. Mustață, *Generically finite morphisms and formal neighborhoods of arcs*, *Geom. Dedicata* **139** (2009), 331–335.
- [8] M. Grinberg and D. Kazhdan, *Versal deformations of formal arcs*, *Geom. Funct. Anal.* **10** (2000), no. 3, 543–555.
- [9] A. Grothendieck, *Éléments de géométrie algébrique. III. Étude cohomologique des faisceaux cohérents. I*, *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.* (1961), no. 11, 167.
- [10] ———, *Éléments de géométrie algébrique. IV. Étude locale des schémas et des morphismes de schémas. I*, *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.* (1964), no. 20, 259.
- [11] ———, *Éléments de géométrie algébrique. IV. Étude locale des schémas et des morphismes de schémas IV*, *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.* (1967), no. 32, 361.
- [12] A. J. Reguera, *Towards the singular locus of the space of arcs*, *Amer. J. Math.* **131** (2009), no. 2, 313–350.

Institut de recherche mathématique de Rennes  
UMR 6625 du CNRS  
Université de Rennes 1  
Campus de Beaulieu  
35042 Rennes cedex (France)  
email: [julien.sebag@univ-rennes1.fr](mailto:julien.sebag@univ-rennes1.fr)