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#### Abstract

Recently, Leindler [2] introduced the sequences of Head Bounded Variation (HBVS) and the sequences of Rest Bounded Variation (RBVS), which are nontrivial generalizations of nondecreasing sequences and nonincreasing sequences respectively. In the present paper, we generalize a classical result of Mazhar([1]) on the approximation by means of Fourier Stieltjes series by using the HBVS and RBVS.


## 1 Introduction

Let $F(x)$ be a function of bounded variation on $[0,2 \pi]$. Then the Fourier Stieltjes Series of $d F$ and its conjugate series are defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
d F(x) \sim \sum_{v=-\infty}^{+\infty} c_{v} e^{i v x} \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
-i \sum_{v=-\infty}^{+\infty}(\operatorname{sign} v) c_{v} e^{i v x}, \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^0]where
$$
c_{v}=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} e^{-i v t} d F(t) \quad(v=0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \ldots)
$$

It is convenient to define $F(x)$ for all values of $x$ by $F(x+2 \pi)-F(x)=$ $F(2 \pi)-F(0)$. This enables us to integrate, in the formula for $c_{v}$, over any interval of length $2 \pi$.

Write

$$
\begin{gathered}
F_{x}(t)=F(x+t)-F(x-t)-2 t F^{\prime}(x), \\
G_{x}(t)=F(x+t)+F(x-t)-2 F(x),
\end{gathered}
$$

and denote the total variation of $f(t)$ over the interval $[0, t]$ by $V_{0}^{t}(f)$.
Let $\Lambda=\left(\lambda_{n, k}\right), n=0,1,2, \ldots ; k=0,1, \cdots, n$ be a triangular matrix, $\left\{s_{k}\right\}$ be a given sequence of numbers. Then the so called $\Lambda$-mean of $\left\{s_{k}\right\}$ is defined as

$$
\sigma_{n}=\sum_{k=0}^{n} \lambda_{n, k} s_{k}, \quad n=1,2, \cdots
$$

In what follows we assume that $C$ is a positive constant not necessarily the same at each occurrence.

There are a lot of papers on the degree of approximation by means of Fourier Stieltjes Series. Among them, Mazhar [1] proved the following.

Theorem A. Let $\left\{\lambda_{n, k}\right\}$ satisfy the following conditions

$$
\begin{align*}
\lambda_{n, k} \geq 0 \quad \text { and } \quad \sum_{k=0}^{n} \lambda_{n, k}=1,  \tag{1.3}\\
\lambda_{n, k} \geq \lambda_{n, k+1}, \quad(k=0,1, \ldots, n-1 ; n=0,1, \ldots) . \tag{1.4}
\end{align*}
$$

And let $t_{n}(x)$ and $\tilde{t}_{n}(x)$ denote respectively the $\Lambda$-means of series (1.1) and (1.2). Then

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left|t_{n}(x)-F^{\prime}(x)\right| \leq C \sum_{k=0}^{n} V_{0}^{\frac{\pi}{k+1}}\left(F_{x}\right) \sum_{v=0}^{k} \lambda_{n, n-v}  \tag{1.5}\\
\left|\tilde{t}_{n}(x)-\left\{-\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\frac{\pi}{n+1}}^{\pi} \frac{G_{x}(t) d t}{(2 \sin t / 2)^{2}}\right\}\right| \leq C \sum_{k=0}^{n} V_{0}^{\frac{\pi}{k+1}}\left(G_{x}\right) \sum_{v=0}^{k} \lambda_{n, n-v} \tag{1.6}
\end{gather*}
$$

Recently, Leindler [2] introduced the sequences of Head Bounded Variation (HBVS) and the sequences of Rest Bounded Variation (RBVS), which are nontrivial generalizations of nondecreasing sequences and nonincreasing sequences respectively.

For a fixed $n, \alpha_{n}:=\left\{\alpha_{n, k}\right\}_{k=0}^{\infty}$ of nonnegative numbers tending to zero is called of Head bounded variation, or briefly $\alpha_{n} \in H B V S$, if there is a constant $C\left(\alpha_{n}\right)$ only depend on $\alpha_{n}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{k=0}^{m-1}\left|\Delta a_{n, k}\right|:=\sum_{k=0}^{m-1}\left|a_{n, k}-a_{n, k+1}\right| \leq C\left(\alpha_{n}\right) a_{n, m} \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all natural numbers $m$, or only for all $m \leq N$ if the sequence $\lambda_{n}$ has only finite nonzero terms, and the last nonzero term is $a_{n, N}$.

For a fixed $n, \alpha_{n}=\left\{\alpha_{n, k}\right\}_{k=0}^{\infty}$ of nonnegative numbers tending to zero is called of Rest bounded variation, or briefly $\alpha_{n} \in R B V S$, if there is a constant $C\left(\alpha_{n}\right)$ only depend on $\alpha_{n}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{k=m}^{\infty}\left|\Delta a_{n, k}\right| \leq C\left(\alpha_{n}\right) a_{n, m} \tag{1.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all natural numbers $m$.
It should be noted that, in (1.7) and (1.8), a sequence of monotone sequences $\alpha_{n}:=\left\{a_{n, k}\right\}_{k=0}^{\infty}$ are involved. Thus, it is natural to assume that $\left\{C\left(\alpha_{n}\right)\right\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ is bounded, that is an absolute constant $C$ such that

$$
0 \leq C\left(\alpha_{n}\right) \leq C
$$

holds for all $n$.
It is clear that every monotone increasing sequence is an $H B V S$, but not conversely. Similarly every monotone decreasing null-sequence is an RBVS, but not conversely ([2]).

In the present paper, we show that the monotonic condition of $\left\{\lambda_{n, k}\right\}$ in Theorem A can be essentially relaxed to $H B V S$. And we further get some new results when the sequence $\left\{\lambda_{n, k}\right\}$ belongs to the class $R B V S$. In fact, we have the following:

Theorem 1. If $\left(\lambda_{n, k}\right)$ satisfies the condition (1.3) and $\left(\lambda_{n, k}\right) \in H B V S$, then (1.5) and (1.6) still hold.

Theorem 2. If $\left(\lambda_{n, k}\right)$ satisfies the condition (1.3) and $\left(\lambda_{n, k}\right) \in R B V S$, then

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left|t_{n}(x)-F^{\prime}(x)\right| \leq C \sum_{k=0}^{n} V_{0}^{\frac{\pi}{k+1}}\left(F_{x}\right) \sum_{v=0}^{k} \lambda_{n, v}  \tag{1.9}\\
\left|\tilde{t}_{n}(x)-\left\{-\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\frac{\pi}{n+1}}^{\pi} \frac{G_{x}(t) d t}{(2 \sin t / 2)^{2}}\right\}\right| \leq C \sum_{k=0}^{n} V_{0}^{\frac{\pi}{k+1}}\left(G_{x}\right) \sum_{v=0}^{k} \lambda_{n, v} \tag{1.10}
\end{gather*}
$$

## 2 Auxiliary Lemmas

We need some Lemmas.
Lemma 1. ([2]) If $\left\{\lambda_{n}\right\} \in H B V S$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{n} \leq C \lambda_{m} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds for any $m \geq n \geq 0$.
Lemma 2. ([2]) If $\left\{\lambda_{n}\right\} \in R B V S$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{m} \leq C \lambda_{n} \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds for any $m \geq n \geq 0$.

Lemma 3. Let $\left(\lambda_{n, k}\right)$ satisfy (1.3) and $\left\{\lambda_{n, k}\right\}_{k=0}^{\infty} \in H B V S$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{v=0}^{n} \lambda_{n, n-v} \leq \frac{C}{k+1} \sum_{v=0}^{k} \lambda_{n, n-v} \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. By Lemma 1, for any $v \geq k+1$, we have

$$
\lambda_{n, n-v} \leq C \frac{1}{k+1} \sum_{v=0}^{k} \lambda_{n, n-v}
$$

and thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{v=k+1}^{n} \lambda_{n, n-v} \leq C \frac{n-k}{k+1} \sum_{v=0}^{k} \lambda_{n, n-v} \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (2.4), we deduce that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{v=0}^{n} \lambda_{n, n-v} & =\frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{v=0}^{k} \lambda_{n, n-v}+\frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{v=k+1}^{n} \lambda_{n, n-v} \\
& \leq \frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{v=0}^{k} \lambda_{n, n-v}\left(1+C \frac{n-k}{k+1}\right) \\
& \leq \frac{C}{n+1} \sum_{v=0}^{k} \lambda_{n, n-v} \cdot \frac{k+1+n-k}{k+1} \\
& =\frac{C}{k+1} \sum_{v=0}^{k} \lambda_{n, n-v} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Similarly, we can get
Lemma 4. Let $\left(\lambda_{n, k}\right)$ satisfy (1.3) and $\left\{\lambda_{n, k}\right\}_{k=0}^{\infty} \in R B V S$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{n+1} \leq \frac{C}{k+1} \sum_{v=0}^{k} \lambda_{n, v} \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\gamma_{n}(t)$ be a linear function on $[k, k+1]$ such that $\gamma_{n}(k)=\lambda_{n, n-k}$, $k=0,1,2, \ldots, n$, and let

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma_{n}(t)=\int_{0}^{t} \gamma_{n}(u) d u, \quad t \geq 0 \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (1.3) and Lemma 1, one can prove the following.
Lemma 5. Let $\left\{\lambda_{n, k}\right\}_{k=0}^{\infty} \in H B V S$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma_{n}(k) \sim \Gamma_{n}(k+1) \sim \sum_{v=0}^{k} \lambda_{n, n-v} \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $A \sim B$ means that there exists positive constants $K_{1}$ and $K_{2}$ such that:

$$
K_{1} B \leq A \leq K_{2} B
$$

Lemma 6. Let $\left\{\lambda_{n, k}\right\}_{k=0}^{\infty} \in H B V S$. Then

$$
\left|\sum_{k=0}^{n} \lambda_{n, n-k} \sin (n-k) t\right| \leq C\left(\Gamma_{n}(\pi / t)\right)
$$

Proof. By Lemma 5, it is element to deduce that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left|\sum_{k=0}^{n} \lambda_{n, n-k} \sin (n-k) t\right| \\
& \leq \sum_{k=0}^{\tau} \lambda_{n, n-k}+O\left(\frac{1}{t}\right) \cdot\left(\lambda_{n, n-\tau}+\sum_{k=\tau}^{n-1}\left|\Delta \lambda_{n, n-k}\right|+\lambda_{n, 0}\right) \quad\left(\tau:=\left[\frac{\pi}{t}\right]\right) \\
& \leq \sum_{k=0}^{\tau} \lambda_{n, n-k}+O\left(\frac{1}{t}\right) \cdot\left(\lambda_{n, n-\tau}+\lambda_{n, 0}\right) \\
& =O\left(\sum_{k=0}^{\tau} \lambda_{n, n-k}\right) \quad\left(\lambda_{n, n-\tau}=O\left(\lambda_{n, n-k}\right), k=0,1,2, \ldots, \tau\right) \\
& =O\left(\Gamma_{n}(\tau)\right) \quad(\operatorname{By}(2.7)) \\
& =O\left(\Gamma_{n}(\pi / t)\right) \tag{2.8}
\end{align*}
$$

Let $\phi_{n}(t)$ be a linear function on $[k, k+1]$ such that $\phi_{n}(k)=\lambda_{n, k}, k=0,1,2, \ldots, n$, and let

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi_{n}(t)=\int_{0}^{t} \phi_{n}(u) d u, \quad t \geq 0 \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similar to Lemma 5 and Lemma 6, we have
Lemma 7. If $\left\{\lambda_{n, k}\right\}_{k=0}^{\infty} \in R B V S$, then

$$
\Phi_{n}(k) \sim \Phi_{n}(k+1) \sim \sum_{v=0}^{k} \lambda_{n, v}
$$

Lemma 8. If $\left\{\lambda_{n, k}\right\}_{k=0}^{\infty} \in R B V S$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\sum_{k=0}^{n} \lambda_{n, k} \sin k t\right|=O\left(\Phi_{n}\left(\frac{\pi}{t}\right)\right) \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 3 Proofs of Results

Proof of (1.5). We will follow the ideas of Mazhar [1].
Write $K_{n}(t)=\sum_{k=0}^{n} \lambda_{n, k} D_{k}(t)$, with $D_{k}(t)=\frac{\sin \left(k+\frac{1}{2} t\right)}{2 \sin \frac{t}{2}}$ and denote by $s_{n}(x)$ the $n$-th partial sum of (1.1), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& t_{n}(x)=\sum_{k=0}^{n} \lambda_{n, k} s_{k}(x)=\sum_{k=0}^{n} \lambda_{n, k} \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} D_{k}(x-t) d F(t) \\
&=\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{0}^{\pi} \sum_{k=0}^{n} \lambda_{n, k} D_{k}(t) d {[F(x+t)-F(x-t)] } \\
&=\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{0}^{\pi} K_{n}(t) d[F(x+t)-F(x-t)]
\end{aligned}
$$

and hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
t_{n}(x)-F^{\prime}(x) & =\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{0}^{\pi} K_{n}(t) d\left[F(x+t)-F(x-t)-2 t F^{\prime}(x)\right] \\
& =\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{0}^{\pi} K_{n}(t) d F_{x}(t)=\frac{1}{\pi}\left(\int_{0}^{\frac{\pi}{n+1}}+\int_{\frac{\pi}{n+1}}^{\pi}\right) K_{n}(t) d F_{x}(t):=I_{1}+I_{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\left|K_{n}(t)\right| \leq 2 n$ uniformly in $t$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left|I_{1}\right| \leq \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{0}^{\frac{\pi}{n+1}} 2 n\left|d F_{x}(t)\right| \leq \frac{2 n}{\pi} V_{0}^{\frac{\pi}{n+1}}\left(F_{x}\right) \\
& \leq C \frac{1}{n+1} V_{0}^{\frac{\pi}{n+1}} F_{x} \sum_{k=0}^{n}(k+1)=C V_{0}^{\frac{\pi}{n+1}} F_{x} \sum_{k=0}^{n}(k+1) \frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{v=0}^{n} \lambda_{n, n-v} \\
& \leq C V_{0}^{\frac{\pi}{n+1}} F_{x} \sum_{k=0}^{n}(k+1) \frac{1}{k+1} \sum_{v=0}^{k} \lambda_{n, n-v} \quad \text { (By Lemma 3) } \\
& \leq C \sum_{k=0}^{n} V_{0}^{\frac{\pi}{n+1}} F_{x} \sum_{v=0}^{k} \lambda_{n, n-v} .  \tag{3.1}\\
& \left|I_{2}\right| \leq \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\frac{\pi}{n+1}}^{\pi}\left|K_{n}(t)\right|\left|d F_{x}(t)\right| \leq C \int_{\frac{\pi}{n+1}}^{\pi}\left|d F_{x}(t)\right| \frac{\Gamma_{n}(\pi / t)}{t}  \tag{2.8}\\
& =C \int_{\frac{\pi}{n+1}}^{\pi} \frac{\Gamma_{n}(\pi / t)}{t} d V_{0}^{t}\left(F_{x}\right) \\
& =C\left\{\left[\frac{\Gamma(\pi / t)}{t} V_{0}^{t}\left(F_{x}\right)\right]_{\frac{\pi}{n+1}}^{\pi}+\int_{\frac{\pi}{n+1}}^{\pi} V_{0}^{t}\left(F_{x}\right) \frac{\Gamma(\pi / t)}{t^{2}} d t+\int_{\frac{\pi}{n+1}}^{\pi} \pi V_{0}^{t}\left(F_{x}\right) \frac{\gamma_{n}(\pi / t)}{t^{3}} d t\right\} \\
& =\frac{C}{\pi} \Gamma_{n}(1) V_{0}^{\pi}\left(F_{x}\right)-\frac{(n+1) C}{\pi} \Gamma_{n}(n+1) V_{0}^{\frac{\pi}{n+1}}\left(F_{x}\right) \\
& +\frac{C}{\pi} \int_{1}^{n+1} V_{0}^{\pi / t}\left(F_{x}\right) \Gamma_{n}(t) d t+\frac{C}{\pi} \int_{1}^{n+1} t V_{0}^{\pi / t}\left(F_{x}\right) \gamma_{n}(t) d t \\
& \leq C a_{n, n} V_{0}^{\pi}\left(F_{x}\right)+C(n+1) V_{0}^{\frac{\pi}{n+1}}\left(F_{x}\right)+C \sum_{k=1}^{n} \int_{k}^{k+1} V_{0}^{\pi / t}\left(F_{x}\right) \Gamma_{n}(t) d t \\
& +C \sum_{k=1}^{n} \int_{k}^{k+1} V_{0}^{\pi / t}\left(F_{x}\right) t \gamma_{n}(t) d t
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \leq C \sum_{k=0}^{n} V_{0}^{\frac{\pi}{k+1}}\left(F_{x}\right) \sum_{v=0}^{k} \lambda_{n, n-v} \quad \text { (By Lemma 3 again) } \\
& +C \sum_{k=1}^{n} V_{0}^{\frac{\pi}{k}}\left(F_{x}\right) \Gamma_{n}(k+1)+C \sum_{k=1}^{n} V_{0}^{\frac{\pi}{k}}\left(F_{x}\right)(k+1)\left(\frac{\gamma_{n}(k)+\gamma_{n}(k+1)}{2}\right) \\
& \leq C \sum_{k=0}^{n} V_{0}^{\frac{\pi}{k+1}}\left(F_{x}\right) \lambda_{n, n-v}+C \sum_{k=1}^{n} V_{0}^{\frac{\pi}{k}}\left(F_{x}\right)(k+1) \lambda_{n, n-k} \\
& \leq C \sum_{k=0}^{n} V_{0}^{\frac{\pi}{k+1}}\left(F_{x}\right) \sum_{v=0}^{k} \lambda_{n, n-v} \tag{3.2}
\end{align*}
$$

We obtain (1.5) by combining (3.1) and (3.2).
Proof of (1.6). It is obvious that

$$
\tilde{t}_{n}(x)=-\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \tilde{K}_{n}(t) d F(x+t)
$$

where

$$
\tilde{K}_{n}(t)=\sum_{v=0}^{n} \lambda_{n, k} \tilde{D}_{k}(t)
$$

and

$$
\tilde{D}_{k}(t)=\sum_{v=1}^{k} \sin v t=\frac{\cos t / 2-\cos \left(k+\frac{1}{2}\right) t}{2 \sin t / 2}
$$

Since

$$
\tilde{t}_{n}(x)=-\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{0}^{\pi} \tilde{K}_{n}(t) d[F(x+t)+F(x-t)]=-\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{0}^{\pi} \tilde{K}_{n}(t) d G_{x}(t)
$$

then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \tilde{t}_{n}(x)-\left(-\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\frac{\pi}{n+1}}^{\pi} \frac{G_{x}(t)}{(2 \sin t / 2)^{2}}\right)=-\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{0}^{\frac{\pi}{n+1}} \tilde{K}_{n}(t) d G_{x}(t) \\
&+\frac{1}{\pi}\left[-\frac{G_{x}(t)}{2 \tan t / 2}\right]_{\frac{\pi}{n+1}}^{\pi}+\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\frac{\pi}{n+1}}^{\pi}\left\{\frac{1}{2 \tan t / 2}-\tilde{K}_{n}(t) d t\right\} d G_{x}(t) \\
&:=L_{1}+L_{2}+L_{3}
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\left|\tilde{K}_{n}(t)\right| \leq n$, as shown in (3.1) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|L_{1}\right| \leq \frac{n}{\pi} \int_{0}^{\frac{\pi}{n+1}}\left|d G_{x}(t)\right| \leq C \sum_{k=0}^{n} V_{0}^{\frac{\pi}{k+1}}\left(G_{x}\right) \sum_{v=0}^{k} \lambda_{n, n-v} \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Also

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|L_{2}\right|=\frac{1}{\pi} \left\lvert\, G_{x}\left(\frac{\pi}{n+1}\right)-\right. & G_{x}(0) \left\lvert\, \frac{1}{2 \tan \frac{\pi}{2(n+1)}}\right. \\
& \leq \frac{(n+1)}{\pi^{2}} V_{0}^{\frac{\pi}{n+1}}\left(G_{x}\right) \leq C \sum_{k=0}^{n} V_{0}^{\frac{\pi}{k+1}}\left(G_{x}\right) \sum_{v=0}^{k} \lambda_{n, n-v} . \tag{3.4}
\end{align*}
$$

By (2.8), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\frac{1}{2 \tan t / 2}-\tilde{K}_{n}(t)\right|=\mid \sum_{k=0}^{n} \lambda_{n, k}\{ & \left.\frac{1}{2 \tan t / 2}-\frac{\cos t / 2-\cos \left(k+\frac{1}{2}\right) t}{2 \sin t / 2}\right\} \mid \\
& =\left|\sum_{k=0}^{n} \lambda_{n, n-k} \frac{\cos \left(n-k+\frac{1}{2}\right) t}{2 \sin t / 2}\right| \leq \frac{C}{t} \Gamma_{n}\left(\frac{\pi}{t}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|L_{3}\right| \leq C \int_{\frac{\pi}{n+1}}^{\pi} \frac{1}{t} \Gamma_{n}\left(\frac{\pi}{t}\right)\left|d G_{x}(t)\right| \leq C \sum_{k=0}^{n} V_{0}^{\frac{\pi}{k+1}}\left(G_{x}\right) \sum_{v=0}^{k} \lambda_{n, n-v} . \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

as shown in $I_{2}$.
We get (1.6) by combining (3.3)-(3.5).
Proof of Theorem 2. By using Lemma 4 and Lemma 8 instead of Lemma 3 and Lemma 6, in a similar way to the proof of Theorem 1, one can prove Theorem 2, we omit the details here.
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