

# A Subordination Result with Salagean-Type Certain Analytic Functions of Complex Order

H. Özlem Güney

A.A. Attiya

## Abstract

In the present paper, we obtain an interesting subordination relation for Salagean-type certain analytic functions by using subordination theorem.

## 1 Introduction

Let  $\mathcal{A}$  denote the class of functions of the form

$$(1.1) \quad f(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k z^k$$

which are analytic in the open unit disk  $\mathbb{U} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\}$ . Also, let  $\mathcal{C}$  denote the familiar class of functions  $f(z) \in \mathcal{A}$  which are convex in  $\mathbb{U}$ . Salagean [3] has introduced the following operator called the Salagean operator :

$$D^0 f(z) = f(z)$$

$$D^1 f(z) = Df(z) = zf'(z)$$

$$\vdots$$

$$D^n f(z) = D(D^{n-1} f(z)), n \in \mathbb{N}_0 = \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}.$$

---

Received by the editors November 2009.

Communicated by F. Brackx.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification* : Primary 30C45; Secondary 33C20.

*Key words and phrases* : analytic functions, univalent functions, bounded starlike functions, convex functions, subordinating factor sequence, Salagean operator, Hadamard product.

With the help of the Salagean operator  $D^n$ , we say that a function  $f(z)$  belonging to  $\mathcal{A}$  is in the class  $H_n(b, M)$  iff  $\frac{D^n f(z)}{z} \neq 0$  in  $\mathbb{U}$ , and

$$(1.2) \quad \left| \frac{b - 1 + \frac{D^{n+1}f(z)}{D^n f(z)}}{b} - M \right| < M \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}),$$

$M > \frac{1}{2}$  and  $b \neq 0$ ; complex. The class  $H_n(b, M)$  was introduced by Aouf et.al. [1]. They showed that

$$f \in H_n(b, M) \quad \text{if and only if} \quad D^n f \in H_0(b, M) = F(b, M),$$

the class  $F(b, M)$  of bounded starlike functions of complex order was introduced by Nasr and Aouf [2].

Aouf et.al. [1] proved that if the function  $f(z)$  defined by (1.1) and

$$(1.3) \quad \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \{k - 1 + |b(1 + m) + m(k - 1)|\} k^n |a_k| \leq |b(1 + m)|$$

hold then  $f(z)$  belongs to  $H_n(b, M)$ , where  $m = 1 - \frac{1}{M}$  ( $M > \frac{1}{2}$ ).

Let  $\alpha_j$  ( $j = 1, 2, \dots, p$ ) and  $\beta_j$  ( $j = 1, 2, \dots, q$ ) be complex numbers with

$$\beta_j \neq 0, -1, -2, \dots; \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, q.$$

The generalized hypergeometric function  ${}_pF_q$  is defined by (cf.[4, p.33])

$$(1.4) \quad \begin{aligned} {}_pF_q(z) &= {}_pF_q(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_p; \beta_1, \dots, \beta_q; z) \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\alpha_1)_k \cdots (\alpha_p)_k z^k}{(\beta_1)_k \cdots (\beta_q)_k k!} \quad (p \leq q + 1), \end{aligned}$$

where  $(\mu)_k$  is the Pochhammer symbol defined by

$$(\mu)_k = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } k = 0 \\ \mu(\mu + 1) \cdots (\mu + k - 1) & \text{if } k \in \mathbb{N} = \{1, 2, \dots\} \end{cases} .$$

We note that the  ${}_pF_q$  series in (1.4) converges absolutely for  $|z| < \infty$  if  $p < q + 1$ , and for  $z \in \mathbb{U}$  if  $p = q + 1$ .

Let  $K_n(b, M)$  denote the class of functions  $f(z) \in \mathcal{A}$  whose coefficients satisfy the condition (1.3).

We note that

$$K_n(b, M) \subseteq H_n(b, M).$$

We can show that:

### Example

i) Let  $b \neq 0$ ; complex and  $m = 1 - \frac{1}{M}$  ( $M > \frac{1}{2}$ ;  $M \neq 1$ ), then  $f_0(z) \in K_n(b, M)$ , where

$$D^n f_0(z) = z(1 - mz)^{\frac{-b(1+m)}{m}} \quad (z \in \mathbb{U})$$

which gives

$$f_0(z) = z \left[ 1 + b(1+m)z {}_{n+2}F_{n+1}(1, \dots, 1, \frac{b(1+m)}{m} + 1; 2, \dots, 2; mz) \right] \quad (z \in \mathbb{U})$$

for real  $b$  with  $b \neq 0$ .

ii) Let  $b \neq 0$ ; complex and  $m = 1 - \frac{1}{M}$  ( $M > \frac{1}{2}$ ), the functions

$$f_1(z) = z \pm \frac{(1+m)|b|}{4(1+|m+b(1+m)|)} z^2$$

and

$$f_2(z) = z \pm \frac{(1+m)|b|}{9(2+|2m+b(1+m)|)} z^3$$

are members in the class  $K_n(b, M)$ .

In this paper, we prove an interesting subordination result for the class  $K_n(b, M)$ . In our proposed investigation of functions in the class  $K_n(b, M)$ , we need the following definitions and lemma.

**Definition 1.** Given two functions  $f, g \in \mathcal{A}$  where  $f(z)$  is given by (1.1) and  $g(z)$  is defined by

$$g(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} b_k z^k.$$

The Hadamard product  $f * g$  is defined by

$$(f * g)(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k b_k z^k \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}).$$

**Definition 2. (Subordination Principle)** For two functions  $f$  and  $g$  analytic in  $\mathbb{U}$ , we say that the function  $f(z)$  is subordinate to  $g(z)$  in  $\mathbb{U}$  and write  $f(z) \prec g(z)$ ,  $z \in \mathbb{U}$ , if there exists a Schwarz function  $w(z)$ , analytic in  $\mathbb{U}$  with  $w(0) = 0$  and  $|w(z)| < 1$ , such that  $f(z) = g(w(z))$ ,  $z \in \mathbb{U}$ . In particular, if the function  $g(z)$  is univalent in  $\mathbb{U}$ , the above subordination is equivalent to  $f(0) = g(0)$  and  $f(\mathbb{U}) \subseteq g(\mathbb{U})$ .

**Definition 3. (Subordinating Factor Sequence)** A sequence  $\{b_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$  of complex numbers is said to be a *Subordinating Factor Sequence* if for the function  $f(z)$  of the form (1.1) is analytic, univalent and convex in  $\mathbb{U}$ , we have the subordination given by

$$(1.5) \quad \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k b_k z^k \prec f(z) \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}; a_1 = 1).$$

**Lemma.** The sequence  $\{b_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$  is Subordinating factor sequence iff

$$(1.6) \quad \operatorname{Re} \left\{ 1 + 2 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} b_k z^k \right\} > 0 \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}).$$

The above lemma is due to Wilf [5].

## 2 Main Theorem

**Theorem .** Let  $m = 1 - \frac{1}{M}$  ( $M > \frac{1}{2}$ ). Also, let  $b \neq 0$ ; complex with  $Re(b) > \frac{-m}{2(1+m)}$  when  $m > 0$  and  $Re(b) < \frac{-m}{2(1+m)}$  when  $m < 0$ . If  $f(z) \in K_n(b, M)$  then

$$(2.1) \quad \frac{(1 + |b(1+m) + m|)2^{n-1}}{[(1 + |b(1+m) + m|)2^n + |b(1+m)|]} (f * g)(z) \prec g(z)$$

$(z \in \mathbb{U}; n \in \mathbb{N}_0; g(z) \in \mathcal{C})$

and

$$(2.2) \quad Re f(z) > -1 - \frac{(1+m)|b|}{(1 + |b(1+m) + m|)2^n}.$$

The constant  $\frac{(1+|b(1+m)+m|)2^{n-1}}{[(1+|b(1+m)+m|)2^n+|b(1+m)|]}$  is the best estimate.

*Proof.* Let  $f(z) \in K_n(b, M)$  and  $g(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} c_k z^k \in \mathcal{C}$ . Then

$$(2.3) \quad \frac{(1 + |b(1+m) + m|)2^{n-1}}{[(1 + |b(1+m) + m|)2^n + |b(1+m)|]} (f * g)(z)$$

$$= \frac{(1 + |b(1+m) + m|)2^{n-1}}{[(1 + |b(1+m) + m|)2^n + |b(1+m)|]} \left( z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k c_k z^k \right).$$

Thus, by definition 3, (2.1) will hold true if

$$(2.4) \quad \left\{ \frac{(1 + |b(1+m) + m|)2^{n-1}}{[(1 + |b(1+m) + m|)2^n + |b(1+m)|]} a_k \right\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$$

is a subordinating factor sequence with  $a_1 = 1$ . In view of Lemma, this is equivalent to

$$(2.5) \quad Re \left\{ 1 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(1 + |b(1+m) + m|)2^n}{[(1 + |b(1+m) + m|)2^n + |b(1+m)|]} a_k z^k \right\} > 0.$$

Now because  $\{k - 1 + |b(1+m) + m(k-1)|\} k^n$  ( $n \in \mathbb{N}_0; k \geq 2$ ) is increasing function of  $k$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \operatorname{Re} \left\{ 1 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(1 + |b(1+m) + m|)2^n}{[(1 + |b(1+m) + m|)2^n + |b(1+m)|]} a_k z^k \right\} \\
 &= \operatorname{Re} \left\{ 1 + \frac{(1 + |b(1+m) + m|)2^n}{[(1 + |b(1+m) + m|)2^n + |b(1+m)|]} z + \right. \\
 & \quad \left. \frac{1}{[(1 + |b(1+m) + m|)2^n + |b(1+m)|]} \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} (1 + |b(1+m) + m|)2^n a_k z^k \right\} \\
 & \geq 1 - \frac{(1 + |b(1+m) + m|)2^n}{[(1 + |b(1+m) + m|)2^n + |b(1+m)|]} r - \\
 & \quad \frac{1}{[(1 + |b(1+m) + m|)2^n + |b(1+m)|]} \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} (k-1 + |b(1+m) + m(k-1)|) k^n |a_k| r^k \\
 & > 1 - \frac{(1 + |b(1+m) + m|)2^n}{[(1 + |b(1+m) + m|)2^n + |b(1+m)|]} r - \\
 & \quad \frac{|b(1+m)|}{[(1 + |b(1+m) + m|)2^n + |b(1+m)|]} r > 0. \quad (|z| = r < 1)
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence, (2.5) holds true in  $\mathbb{U}$  and also the subordination result (2.1) asserted by Theorem 1. The inequality (2.2) follows by taking  $g(z) = \frac{z}{1-z} = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} z^k \in \mathcal{C}$  in (2.1).

Now, consider the function

$$t(z) = z - \frac{|b(1+m)|}{[(1 + |b(1+m) + m|)2^n + |b(1+m)|]} z^2 \quad (z \in \mathbb{U})$$

which is a member of the class  $K_n(b, M)$ . Then by using (2.1), we have

$$\frac{(1 + |b(1+m) + m|)2^{n-1}}{[(1 + |b(1+m) + m|)2^n + |b(1+m)|]} t(z) \prec \frac{z}{1-z} \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}).$$

It is easily verified that

$$\min \operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{(1 + |b(1+m) + m|)2^{n-1}}{[(1 + |b(1+m) + m|)2^n + |b(1+m)|]} t(z) \right\} = -\frac{1}{2} \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}).$$

Then the constant  $\frac{(1+|b(1+m)+m|)2^{n-1}}{[(1+|b(1+m)+m|)2^n+|b(1+m)|]}$  cannot be replaced by a larger one, which completes the proof of Theorem.

### References

[1] M.K. Aouf, H.E. Darwish and A.A. Attiya, *On a class of certain analytic functions of complex order*, Indian J.Pure App.Math, 32(2001), no.10, 1443-1452.

[2] M.A. Nasr and M.K. Aouf, *Bounded starlike functions of complex order*, Proc. Indian Acad. Sci. Math. Sci.92(1983), no.2, 97-102.

- [3] G.S. Salagean, *Subclasses of univalent functions*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, 1013-Springer Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg and Newyork, (1983), 362-372.
- [4] H.M.Srivastava and B.R.K. Kashyap, *Special Functions in Queuing Theory and Related Stochastic Processes*, Academic Press, New York and London, 1982.
- [5] H.S. Wilf, *Subordinating factor sequences for convex maps of the unit circle*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 12(1961), 689-693.

Department of Mathematics,  
Faculty of Science, University of Dicle,  
21280, Diyarbakir, TURKEY  
email:ozlemg@dicle.edu.tr

Department of Mathematics,  
Faculty of Science, University of Mansoura,  
Mansoura 35516, EGYPT  
Current address: Department of Mathematics  
College of Science, King Khalid University  
Abha, P.O. Box 9004, Saudi Arabia  
email:aattiy@mans.edu.eg