

Endomorphism Rings of H-comodule Algebras

F. Van Oystaeyen Y.H. Zhang

Abstract

In this note we provide a general method to study the endomorphism rings of H-comodule algebras over subalgebras. With this method we may derive the endomorphism rings of crossed products and some duality theorems for graded rings.

Introduction

In this set-up we study a Hopf Galois extension A/B with Hopf algebra H , in particular we look at a right coideal subalgebra K of H and a Hopf subalgebra L of H and produce in Theorem 2.1, a description of $A \otimes_{A(K)} A$ and $A \otimes_{A(L)} A$. In Section 3 we focus on endomorphism rings of H -comodule algebras over some subalgebras. Using the smash products in view of [D] and [Ko], and in the situation of Section 2, we obtain a description of $\text{End}_{A(K)}(A)$ and $\text{End}_{A(L)}(A)$, cf. Theorem 3.1. As a consequence we have obtained a unified treatment for results on graded rings or crossed product algebras e.g, for skewgroup rings cf. [RR], for duality theorem for graded rings cf. [NRV], for crossed products cf. [Ko].

1 Preliminaries

H is a Hopf algebra, over a fixed field k , with comultiplication Δ , counit ϵ and antipode S . Throughout this note we assume that the antipode S is bijective. A subspace K of H is said to be a left coideal if $\Delta(K) \subseteq H \otimes K$. So a left coideal

Received by the editors October 1993

Communicated by A. Verschoren

subalgebra K of H is both a coideal of H and a subalgebra of H . Similarly we may define a right coideal subalgebra. We refer to [Sw] for full detail on Hopf algebras, and in particular we use the sigma notation: $\Delta h = \sum h_{(1)} \otimes h_{(2)}$, for $h \in H$. Now let us review some definitions and notations.

Definition 1.1 *An algebra A is called a **right H -comodule algebra** if A is a right H -comodule module with comodule structure map ρ being an algebra map. Similarly we may define a left H -module algebra. Dually, a coalgebra C is said to be an H -module coalgebra if C is a left H -module satisfying compatibility condition: $\Delta_C(h \cdot c) = \sum h_{(1)} \cdot c_{(1)} \otimes h_{(2)} \cdot c_{(2)}$.*

Definition 1.2 *A triple (C, A, H) is said to be a **Smash data** if H is a Hopf algebra, C is a left H -coalgebra and A is a right H -comodule algebra. We call (H, A, D) an **opposite smash date** if D is a right H -module coalgebra.*

We say that A/B is a right H -extension if A is an H -comodule algebra and B is its invariant subalgebra $A^{\text{co}H} = \{b \in A | \rho(b) = b \otimes 1\}$. A right H -extension is said to be Galois if the canonical map β :

$$A \otimes_B A \longrightarrow A \otimes H, \quad \beta(a \otimes b) = \sum ab_{(0)} \otimes b_{(1)}$$

is an isomorphism. Since the antipode S is bijective, another canonical map

$$\beta' : A \otimes_B A \longrightarrow A \otimes H, \quad \beta'(a \otimes b) = \sum a_{(0)}b \otimes a_{(1)}$$

is then an isomorphism too.

Let V be a right H -comodule and W a left H -comodule. Recall that a cotensor product $V \square_H W$ is defined by the following equalizer diagram:

$$0 \longrightarrow V \square_H W \longrightarrow V \otimes W \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\rho_V \otimes 1} \\ \xrightarrow{1 \otimes \rho_W} \end{array} V \otimes H \otimes W.$$

For an H -comodule algebra A and a left coideal subalgebra K of H , we write $A(K)$ for the subalgebra $\rho^{-1}(A \otimes K) = A \square_H K$ of A . In case K is a Hopf subalgebra $A(K)$ is a K -comodule algebra with the same invariant subalgebra as A . We write K^+ for $\ker(\epsilon) \cap K$, the augmentation of K .

2 Induced isomorphisms

Theorem 2.1 *Suppose that A/B is right H -Galois. Then for any left coideal subalgebra K of H and Hopf subalgebra L ,*

1) $\beta_K : A \otimes_{A(K)} A \longrightarrow A \otimes H/K^+H$, $a \otimes b \mapsto ab_{(0)} \otimes \overline{b_{(1)}}$ *is a left A -linear isomorphism if A_B is flat.*

2) $\beta'_K : A \otimes_{A(L)} A \longrightarrow A \otimes H/HL^+$, $a \otimes b \mapsto a_{(0)}b \otimes \overline{a_{(1)}}$ *is a right A -linear isomorphism if ${}_B A$ is flat.*

proof. Let π denote the projection $H \longrightarrow \overline{H} = H/K^+H$. The following diagram is commutative:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & D & \longrightarrow & A \otimes_B A & \xrightarrow{\phi} & A \otimes_{A(K)} A & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \downarrow \beta|_D & & \downarrow \beta & & \downarrow \beta_K & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & A \otimes K^+H & \longrightarrow & A \otimes H & \xrightarrow{1 \otimes \pi} & A \otimes \overline{H} & \longrightarrow & 0 \end{array}$$

Here D is the kernel of the canonical map ϕ . Since β is bijective, $\beta|_D$ is injective and β_K is surjective. So $\beta|_D$ is surjective if and only if β_K is injective. However, to show $\beta|_D$ is surjective it is enough to check $\beta^{-1}(1 \otimes K^+H) \subseteq D$. For any $x \in K, h \in H$, take an element $\sum x_i \otimes y_i$ and $\sum a_j \otimes b_j$ in $A \otimes_B A$ such that

$$\beta(\sum x_i \otimes y_i) = 1 \otimes h, \quad \beta(\sum a_j \otimes b_j) = 1 \otimes x.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \beta(\sum_{i,j} x_i a_j \otimes b_j y_i) &= \sum_{i,j} x_i a_j b_{j(0)} y_{i(0)} \otimes b_{j(1)} y_{i(1)} \\ &= \sum_i x_i y_{i(0)} \otimes x y_{i(1)} \\ &= 1 \otimes xh \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, since A_B is flat we have isomorphism $(A \otimes_B A) \square_H K \simeq A \otimes_B (A \square_H K) = A \otimes_B A(K)$. Now the following commutative diagram induces the isomorphism $A \otimes_B A(K) \simeq A \otimes K$.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A \otimes_B A(K) & \xrightarrow{\sim} & (A \otimes_B A) \square_H K \\ \downarrow & & \text{can} \otimes 1 \downarrow \\ A \otimes K & \xrightarrow{\sim} & (A \otimes H) \square_H K \end{array}$$

This allow us to pick the elements $\{b_j\}$ in $A(K)$ such that $1 \otimes x = \beta(\sum a_j \otimes b_j)$. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum x_i a_j \otimes_{A(K)} b_j y_i &= \sum_i x_i (\sum_j a_j b_j) \otimes y_i \\ &= \epsilon(x) \sum x_i \otimes y_i \end{aligned}$$

Now, if $x \in K^+$, then

$$\beta^{-1}(1 \otimes hx) = \sum x_i a_j \otimes_B b_j y_i \in D.$$

Consequently, the left A -linearity of β^{-1} entails that $\beta|_D$ is surjective.

The bijectivity of β'_L may be established in a similar way. □

Let $\bar{\rho}$ indicate the composite map:

$$A \xrightarrow{\rho} A \otimes H \longrightarrow A \otimes \bar{H}.$$

There is a subalgebra A_K of A induced by $\bar{\rho}$ as follows:

$$A_K = \{a \in A \mid \bar{\rho}(a) = a \otimes \bar{1}\}.$$

It is easy to see that $A(K) \subseteq A_K$ and the canonical map

$$\beta^K : A \otimes_{A_K} A \longrightarrow A \otimes \bar{H}$$

is well-defined. Moreover, We have the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A \otimes_{A(K)} A & \xrightarrow{\text{can.}} & A \otimes_{A_K} A \\ \beta_K \searrow & & \swarrow \beta^K \\ & & A \otimes \bar{H} \end{array}$$

Corollary 2.2 *With assumptions as in Theorem 2.1, the map*

$$\beta^K : A \otimes_{A_K} A \longrightarrow A \otimes \bar{H}$$

is bijective.

proof. Since *can.* is surjective, the statement follows from the above commutative diagram and the bijectivity of β_K . \square

The above corollary tell us that A/A_K is \bar{H} -Galois extension introduced in [Sch]. In [Sch] Schneider proved Corollary 2.2 by assuming that H is \bar{H} -faithfully coflat, or H is K -projective cf.[Sch;1.4, 1.8]. Now what is the relationship between $A(K)$ and A_K ? We have the following:

Corollary 2.3 *With assumptions as above, we have:*

$$A_K = \{a \in A \mid a \otimes_{A(K)} 1 = 1 \otimes_{A(K)} a \in A \otimes_{A(K)} A\}.$$

proof. The left hand side is contained in the right hand side since $a \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes a \in \ker(\text{can.}), a \in A_K$. Conversely, suppose $a \otimes_{A(K)} 1 = 1 \otimes_{A(K)} a$ then $(1 \otimes \pi)\rho(a) = \beta_K(1 \otimes a) = \beta_K(a \otimes 1) = a \otimes \bar{1}$. It follows that $a \in A_K$ and the left hand side contains the one on the right. \square

3 Endomorphism rings

In [NRV;2.18] the following algebra isomorphism has been established:

$$(R\#G) * L \cong M_{|L|}(R\#G/L),$$

where G is a finite group, R is a G -graded ring, L is a subgroup of G and $R\#G$ is nothing but $R\#\mathbb{Z}G^*$ the usual smash product over \mathbb{Z} . This is just the duality theorem for graded rings. We derive an analogous result for H -Galois extensions generalizing the foregoing duality theorem as well as [Ko].

Let A be a right H -comodule algebra, E a left H -module algebra. In view of [Ta] we may form a smash product $A\#E$ as follows:

$A\#E = A \otimes E$ as underlying space, and the multiplication is given by $(a\#b)(c\#d) = \sum ac_{(0)}\#(c_{(1)} \cdot b)d$, $a, c \in A, b, d \in E$.

If C is a left H -module coalgebra, then C^* is a right H -module algebra via $c^* \leftarrow h(x) = c^*(h \cdot x)$. Similarly, if C is a right H -module coalgebra, then C^* is a left H -module algebra. Let A be a right H -comodule algebra, C a left H -module coalgebra. Recall that a generalized smash product algebra is defined as follows cf.[D]:

- 1). $\#(C, A) = \text{Hom}(C, A)$ as underlying spaces, the multiplication is
- 2). $(f \cdot g)(c) = \sum f(g(c_{(2)})_{(1)} \cdot c_{(1)})g(c_{(2)})_{(0)}$, $f, g \in \#(C, A), c \in C$.

This is an associative algebra with unit $\epsilon : c \mapsto \epsilon(c)1$. In case C is finite dimensional, $\text{Hom}(C, A) \simeq C^* \otimes A$. In this situation, the generalized smash product is exactly the usual smash product $A\#C^*$. Similarly, we may define the **opposit smash product** for the opposit smash data (H, A, D) as follows:

- 1). $\#^0(D, A) = \text{Hom}(D, A)$ as underlying spaces, the multiplication is:
- 2). $(f \cdot g)(d) = \sum f(d_{(2)})_{(0)}g(d_{(1)} \leftarrow f(d_{(2)})_{(1)})$, $f, g \in \text{Hom}(D, A), c \in D$.

Let K be a left coideal subalgebra of H . Then H/K^+H is a right H -module coalgebra. In the situation of Theorem 2.1, the canonical map

$$\beta : A \otimes_{A(K)} A \longrightarrow A \otimes H/K^+H$$

is a left A -module isomorphism. Now β induces a bijective map $\text{Hom}_{A-}(-, A)$ and the following diagram of linear isomorphisms:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Hom}_{A-}(A \otimes H/K^+H, A) & \longrightarrow & \text{Hom}_{A-}(A \otimes_{A(K)} A, A) \\ \updownarrow & & \parallel \\ \#^0(H/K^+H, A) & \longrightarrow & \text{End}_{A(K)-}(A). \end{array}$$

Where the bottom bijective map is just the canonical map: $f \mapsto (a \mapsto \sum a_{(0)}f(\overline{a_{(1)}}))$ and is an anti-algebra isomorphism. Write $|H/L|$ for the dimension of the space H/L . In view of Theorem 2.1 we obtain:

Theorem 3.1 *Suppose that A/B is an H -Galois extension For any left coideal subalgebra K and Hopf subalgebra L of H ,*

- a) $\#^0(H/K^+H, A) \cong \text{End}_{A(K)-}(A)^{op}$ if A_B is flat.
- b) $\#(H/HL^+, A) \cong \text{End}_{-A(L)}(A)$ if ${}_B A$ is flat.
- c) In situation of b), if $|H/L| < \infty$, then $\text{End}_{-A(L)}(A) \cong A\#(H/HL^+)^*$.

Let A be an H -module algebra, $\sigma : H \otimes H \longrightarrow A$ an invertible cocycle. We may form a crossed product $A\#_\sigma H$ (for full detail we refer to [BCM]). It is well-known that $A\#_\sigma H/A$ is a right H -Galois extension, and $A\#_\sigma H$ is flat both as a left and a right A -module. In view of the forgoing theorems, we obtain the following generalizations of [NRV] and [Ko].

Corollary 3.2 *Suppose that A is an H -module algebra and σ is an invertible co-cycle. For any left coideal subalgebra K and Hopf subalgebra L of H ,*

$$a) \#^0(H/K^+H, A\#_\sigma H) \cong \text{End}_{A\#_\sigma K^-}(A\#_\sigma H)^{op}.$$

$$b) \#(H/HL^+, A\#_\sigma H) \cong \text{End}_{-A\#_\sigma L}(A\#_\sigma H).$$

Example 3.3 *Let $H = kG$, and let L be a subgroup of G such that $|G/L| < \infty$. Put $\overline{H} = kG/L$, the left coset of L in G , which is a left H -module coalgebra. Since H is cocommutative $\overline{H} = H/HL^+$, here $L^+ = (kL)^+$. As a consequence of the foregoing theorems we now obtain the following property of skew group ring $A * G$:*

$$(A * G) * \overline{H}^* \cong \text{End}_{-A*L}(A * G).$$

In particular, if G is a finite group and L is the commutator subgroup G' , then \overline{H}^ is nothing but the character subgroup X on G . Therefore, the above equality is exactly [RR;5.1], i.e.,*

$$(A * G) * X \cong \text{End}_{-A*G'}(A * G).$$

Example 3.4 (cf.[NRV;2.18]) *Let G be a finite group and R is a graded algebra of type G . L is a subgroup of G . Put $K = (kG/L)^*$, and $H = kG^*$. Then $K \subseteq H$, is a right H -module coalgebra. In view of the foregoing theorems we have*

$$\begin{aligned} (R\#kG^*) * L &\cong \#(H/HK^+, R\#kG^*) \\ &\cong \text{End}_{R\#K}(R\#kG^*) \\ &\cong M_{|L|}(R\#K) \end{aligned}$$

Example 3.5 (cf.[NRV;2.20]) *Notations as above but now R is a crossed product graded algebra, and L has finite index $n = [G : L] < \infty$, $K = k(G/L)^*$. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} R\#G/L &= R\#K \cong \#(K^*, R) \\ &= \#(G/L, R) = \#(H/HL^+, R) \\ &\cong \text{End}_{R(L)}(R) = \text{End}_{R^K}(R) \\ &\cong M_n(R^{(L)}) \end{aligned}$$

References

- [BCM] R.Blattner, M.Cohen and S.Montgomery, Crossed products and Inner Actions of Hopf Algebras, *Trans.Amer.Math.Soc.*298(1986), 671-711.
- [D] Y.Doï, Cleft Comodules and Hopf Modules, *Comm. Alg.* 12(1984), 1155-1169.
- [Ko] M.Koppinen, Variations on The Smash Product with Application to Group-Graded Rings, Preprint.
- [NRV] C.Năstăsescu, S.Raianu and F.Van Oystaeyen, Modules Graded by G -sets. *Math. Z.*203,605-627(1990).
- [RR] I.Reiten, C.Riedtmann, Skew Group Rings in Representation Theory, *J.Alg.*92,224-282,1985.
- [Sch] H-J. Schneider, Normal Basis and Transitivity of Crossed Products for Hopf Algebras, *J.Alg.*152, 289-312(1992).
- [Sw] M.E.Sweedler, Hopf algebras, Benjamin New York,1969.
- [Ta] M. Takeuchi, $\text{Ext}_{ad}(Sp R, \mu^A) \simeq \cap Br(A/k)$, *J.Alg.* 67(1980),436-475.

F.Van Oystaeyen
Dept. of Mathematics
University of Antwerp (UIA)
B-2610,Wilrijk,Belgium

Y.H. Zhang
Dept. of Mathematics
University of Antwerp (UIA)
B-2610,Wilrijk,Belgium