

The index of certain hyperelliptic curves over p -adic fields*

Van Geel J. Yanchevskii V.I. †

1 Introduction

Throughout k will be a local field of characteristic zero, i.e., k will be a finite extension of the field of p -adic numbers \mathbb{Q}_p . O_k will be the ring of integers in k , κ its residue field, and π a fixed uniformizing element, and v the corresponding valuation.

Let C be a geometrically connected smooth projective curve over k , the index of C , $I(C)$, is the greatest common divisor of the degrees of the divisors on C . For curves over local fields other interpretations of the index exist. For instance an important theorem of Roquette and Lichtenbaum (cf. [6]) tells us that

$$I(C) = \#[\ker(\text{Br}(k) \rightarrow \text{Br}(k(C)))] \quad (RL)$$

with $\text{Br}(k), \text{Br}(k(C))$ the Brauer groups of respectively k and $k(C)$.

Since the existence of a k -rational point implies clearly that the index is 1, the determination of the index of a curve C is related to the basic diophantine question whether or not the curve C has a k -rational point.

We like to determine the index of curves C defined by an affine equation of the form $Y^2 = h(X)$, with $h(X) \in k[X]$. There is always a rational point on such curves in some quadratic extension of k , so for such curves the index is necessarily 1 or 2. (This fact follows also from the other characterization, (RL), of the index. Namely $k(C) = k(X)(\sqrt{h(X)})$ so the kernel of $\text{Br}(k) \rightarrow \text{Br}(k(C))$ consists of quaternion algebras only. And there is only one quaternion division algebra over the local field

*This work originated in a RIP-program at Oberwolfach.

†Supported by TMR Network ERB FMRX CT-97-0107 and INTAS Project INTAS-99-00817

Received by the editors August 2002.

Communicated by M. M. Van den Bergh.

k .) It follows that $I(C) = 1$ if and only if C has a prime divisor of odd degree, so if and only if C has an l -rational point in some odd degree extension l/k .

Since the index is an invariant of the isomorphism class of the curve one can reduce the problem of determining the index to equations of type $Y^2 = \varepsilon f(X)$ with $f(X)$ a monic polynomial over O_k and $\varepsilon \in O_k$. Moreover if one multiplies ε by a square the index does not change. If ε is a square the index is 1 (the point or points at infinity will be k -rational points). This means that without loss of generality one can assume that $\varepsilon \in \{\alpha, \pi\}$, where α is a unit in O_k which is not a square. (Equations $Y^2 = \alpha\pi f(X)$ can then be dealt with by replacing the uniformizing element π by $\alpha\pi$.) So now we assume that the curve C is given by an equation $Y^2 = \varepsilon f(X)$, $f(X)$ monic over O_k and $\varepsilon \in \{\alpha, \pi\}$. (Moreover we restrict to the case $\deg f(X) > 2$, the other cases being known, therefore the curves we consider are all hyperelliptic curves.)

This note is a sequel to the papers [7], [8]. The starting point of these investigations was the fact that for certain polynomials $f(X)$ the characterization (RL) allows to find sufficient conditions for the index of C to be 2 (cf. [7, propositions 3.7, 3.10], proposition 1). For irreducible polynomials $f(X)$ the conditions we obtained depend only on the root field $L = k(\theta)$, with $f(\theta) = 0$. We wondered whether or not this was always the case. In [7] we were able to give necessary and sufficient conditions for the index to be equal to 2 under the assumption that $f(X)$ is an irreducible polynomial such that the ramification index of its root field is a power of 2. These conditions show that the index depends on the π_L -adic expansion of a root θ of $f(X)$. The proofs were based on norm calculations and an analysis of the parity of the values $v(f(x))$, with x an element in an odd degree extension of k . These techniques are algorithmic in nature, for instance in [8] using the same methods we were able to determine the index for equations $Y^2 = \varepsilon f(X)$ with $\deg f(X) = 4$, $f(X)$ not necessarily irreducible, (i.e., for equations that define elliptic curves over the algebraic closure \bar{k}). Results similar to ours were obtained by Poonen and Stoll in [5, lemma 15,16]. They use these results to obtain information on the Tate-Shafarevich group of the Jacobians of the curves. The problem to determine the index of hyperelliptic curves also turns up in [1, lemma 4] where Colliot-Thélène and Poonen investigate families of hyperelliptic curves. These papers motivated us to investigate further whether or not we could improve the results obtained in [7]. It turned out that at least for equations of type $Y^2 = \pi f(X)$, with $f(X)$ a monic irreducible polynomial over O_k , the index can be determined in the case the root field $L = k(\theta)$ of $f(X)$ is a tamely ramified extension of k , this is the main result of this note (cf. theorem 6). The proof is based on a reduction to the results in [7] by considering suitable Galois extensions of k .

2 An application of the Roquette-Lichtenbaum theorem

From now on we assume that the curve C is defined by $Y^2 = \pi f(X)$, with $f(X)$ a monic polynomial over O_k .

As announced in the introduction a sufficient condition for $I(C) = 2$ is stated in [7], proposition 3.10 without proof. (Proposition 3.7 of the same paper gives a similar statement for curves of type $Y^2 = \alpha f(X)$.) For the sake of completeness we restate this result here with a proof. The proof is based on the theorem of Roquette and Lichtenbaum, i.e., the characterization (RL) of the index of C .

Proposition 1. *Let $f(X) = \prod_{i=1}^r f_i(X)$ with $f_i(X)$ different monic irreducible polynomials over O_k . Let $L_i \cong k[T]/(f_i(T))$, $i = 1, \dots, r$. Let C be the smooth projective geometrically connected curve defined by the equation $Y^2 = \pi f(X)$.*

- i) *If for all $i = 1, \dots, r$, $k(\sqrt{\alpha}) \subset L_i$ then $I(C) = 2$.*
- ii) *If for all $i = 1, \dots, r$, $k(\sqrt{-\alpha\pi}) \subset L_i$ then $I(C) = 2$.*

Proof. Let $L_i = k(\theta_i)$ with $f_i(\theta_i) = 0$, then

$$k(X) \subset k(\sqrt{\alpha})(X) \subset k(\theta_i)(X) = L_i.$$

Define the polynomials $P_i(X) \in k(\sqrt{\alpha})[X]$ by $P_i(X) := N_{k(\sqrt{\alpha})(X)/k(X)}^{k(\theta_i)(X)}(X - \theta_i)$. Let σ be the generator of the Galois group $\text{Gal}(k(\sqrt{\alpha})/k)$, i.e., $\sigma(\sqrt{\alpha}) = -\sqrt{\alpha}$, then $f_i(X) = P_i(X)^\sigma P_i(X)$ so $f(X) = P(X)^\sigma P(X)$ with $P(X) = \prod_{i=1}^r P_i(X)$. Consider over k the unique quaternion algebra $D = \left(\frac{\alpha, \pi}{k}\right)$ and put $D(X) = \left(\frac{\alpha, \pi}{k}\right) \otimes_k k(X)$. Then $D(X)$ is a quaternion algebra over k with as basis $\{1, I, J, K\}$; $I^2 = \alpha, J^2 = \pi, K = IJ = -JI$ and $K^2 = -\alpha\pi$. Conjugating with J defines an inner automorphism of $D(X)$ which restricted to $k(I) = k(\sqrt{\alpha}) \subset D(X)$ is σ . Let $P(X) \in k(\sqrt{\alpha})[X]$ be the polynomial defined above. Consider $JP(X) \in D(X)$. Then $(JP(X))^2 = JP(X)JP(X) = JJJ^{-1}P(X)JP(X) = J^2P(X)^\sigma P(X) = \pi f(X)$. So $\pi f(X)$ is a square in $D(X)$, i.e., we have an embedding $k(X)(\sqrt{\pi f(X)}) \subset D(X)$. Since $D(X)$ is a quaternion division algebra over $k(X)$, i.e., a central simple algebra of index 2 over $k(X)$, this is equivalent with the fact that $k(X)(\sqrt{\pi f(X)})$ is a splitting field for $D(X)$. So the algebra $D(X) \otimes_k k(X)(\sqrt{\pi f(X)}) \cong D(X) \otimes_k k(C)$ is a full matrix algebra over $k(C)$ (cf. [2, Theorem 1.6.17]). It follows from the theorem of Roquette and Lichtenbaum (cf. [6]) that $I(C) = 2$.

The proof of case (ii) is obtained in completely the same way. One considers the inner automorphism $K(-)K^{-1}$. ■

Corollary 2. *Let $f(X)$ be a monic irreducible polynomial over O_k . Let $L \cong k[T]/(f(T))$. Let C be the smooth projective geometrically connected curve defined by the equation $Y^2 = \pi f(X)$. Then the index $I(C) = 2$ in the following cases:*

- i) *The maximal unramified extension un of k in L is of even degree.*
- ii) *$k(\sqrt{-\alpha\pi}) \subset L$.*

Proof. (ii) is immediate from the proposition.

(i) If $[L_{un} : k] \in 2\mathbb{Z}$ then since L_{un}/k is a cyclic Galois extension it contains $k(\sqrt{\alpha})$ as unique quadratic subextension. Now one can apply the theorem again. ■

Corollary 3. *Let k be a dyadic field and $f(X)$ a monic irreducible polynomial over k defining a tamely ramified extension $L = k[T]/(f(T))$ over k . Let C be the smooth projective geometrically connected curve defined by the equation $Y^2 = \pi f(X)$. Then $I(C) = 1$ if and only if $[L : k]$ is odd.*

Proof. Since L/k is tamely ramified, the degree $[L : L_{un}]$ is odd. So either $[L_{un} : k]$ is odd, i.e., $f(X)$ is a polynomial of odd degree and then it has a zero in some odd degree extension of k . This immediately implies that $I(C) = 1$. Or $[L_{un} : k]$ is even in which case the previous corollary implies that $I(C) = 2$. ■

3 The main result

From now on $f(X)$ will be a monic irreducible polynomial over O_k , defining a tamely ramified extension $L \cong k[T]/(f(T))$ of k and C will be the smooth projective geometrically connected curve over k defined by the equation $Y^2 = \pi f(X)$. From the above it follows that the only case where we do not yet have an answer for the index problem is the case in which neither $k(\sqrt{\alpha}) \subset L$ nor $k(\sqrt{-\alpha\pi}) \subset L$. In [7] we showed that in this case the determination of the index is more subtle. Namely we showed that in the case where the ramification index of L/k is a power of 2, the index of C not only depends on L but also on a π_L -adic expansion of a root θ of $f(X)$. This result can be generalized to tamely ramified extensions in general. We fix our notation first (compare with [7, 2.3]).

Notations 4.

- $f(X)$ is a monic irreducible polynomial over O_k of even degree, θ is a root of $f(X)$ in a fixed algebraic closure \bar{k} of k .
- $L = k(\theta)$ is a tamely ramified extension with ramification index $e(L/k) = 2^m d$, d odd, $m \geq 1$
- L_{un} is the maximal unramified sub-extension of L/k and E/k the maximal unramified sub-extension of odd degree. We have $[L : k] = 2^\mu \delta$, $[L : L_{un}] = 2^m d$ and $[E : k] = \frac{\delta}{d}$.
(In the remaining cases we are considering in this note, we always have $L_{un} = E$.)
- $\bar{\Omega}$ is the set of Teichmüller representatives in the maximal unramified extension $k^{un} \subset \bar{k}$ of k . Ω is the set of Teichmüller representatives in L_{un} , i.e., $\Omega = \bar{\Omega} \cap L_{un}$.
- α is a unit representing a non square in k . We choose $\alpha \in \Omega$ (cf. [7, page 320]).
- We choose a uniformizing element $\pi_L \in L$ such that $\pi_L^{2^m d} = u\pi$, $u \in \Omega$. This is possible since L/L_{un} is totally and tamely ramified, cf. [4]. It follows that $N_{L_{un}}^L(\pi_L) = -u\pi$ (a minus sign since the ramification index $e(L/k)$ is even). We denote the element $\pi_L^{2^m} \in L$ by $\sqrt[d]{u\pi}$.

- Let $\theta = a_0 + a_1\pi_L + a_2\pi_L^2 + \dots$ be the π_L -adic expansion of θ , where the coefficients a_i are taken in Ω (cf. [7, 2.3]). Define $s = \min\{i | a_i\pi_L^i \notin E(\sqrt[d]{u\pi})\}$, $\theta_0 = \sum_{i=0}^{s-1} a_i\pi_L^i$ and $\theta_1 = \theta - \theta_0$.

Note first that in the case $d = 1$ the definition of θ_0 and θ_1 is exactly the same as in [7]. Secondly if $[L_{un} : k]$ is odd then $L_{un} = E$ and so $u \in E$, this implies that $s = \min\{i | a_i \neq 0 \text{ and } i \notin 2^m\mathbb{Z}\}$.

Proposition 5. *Suppose the notations are as fixed above. Assume that $\sqrt{\alpha} \notin L$ and $\sqrt{-\alpha\pi} \notin L$ then $I(C) = 2$ if and only if $v_L(\theta - \theta_0) \in 2\mathbb{Z}$.*

Proof. Since L is tamely ramified and its ramification index is even the local field k is non-dyadic.

I. We assume that $v_L(\theta - \theta_0) \in 2\mathbb{Z}$ and we will show that $I(C) = 2$.

a) Assume some $\sqrt[d]{u} \in L_{un}$. This implies that $\sqrt[d]{\pi} := \frac{\pi_L^{2^m}}{\sqrt[d]{u}} \in L$.

Since L_{un} and $k(\sqrt[d]{\pi})$ are linearly disjoint over k we have $[L_{un}(\sqrt[d]{\pi}) : L_{un}] = d$ and $L_{un}(\sqrt[d]{\pi})$ is the maximal unramified extension in $L/k(\sqrt[d]{\pi})$.

Let $M = k(\zeta_d)(\sqrt[d]{\pi})$, ζ_d a primitive d -th root of unity.

Claim: For all odd degree extensions l/M and all $x \in l$, $\pi f(x)$ has odd valuation in l .

To proof the claim we consider the equation $Y^2 = \pi g^{\tau_1} g^{\tau_2} \dots g^{\tau_r} = \pi g g^{\tau_2} \dots g^{\tau_r}$, where $\text{Gal}(M/k) = \{\tau_1 = id, \tau_2, \dots, \tau_r\}$, and g is the minimal polynomial of θ over M . We want to apply the results of [7] to the extension $(L(\zeta_d) =) LM/M$ and its conjugates $(LM)^{\tau_i}$ (where the automorphism τ_i is extended to a k -embedding of $LM \hookrightarrow \bar{k}$). So let us first collect the properties of these extensions.

- Since L/k is tamely ramified, p does not divide d which implies that $k(\zeta_d)^{\tau_i}/k$ is an unramified Galois extension. The ramification index of $(LM)^{\tau_i}/M$ equals the ramification index of $L/L_{un}(\sqrt[d]{\pi})$ so it is equal to 2^m , $m \geq 1$.
- $(LM)^{\tau_i} = M(\theta^{\tau_i})$ and θ^{τ_i} is a root of the irreducible polynomial $g^{\tau_i}(X)$ over M , a polynomial of even degree (since $m \neq 1$).
- $(\pi_L)^{\tau_i}$ is a uniformising element in $(LM)^{\tau_i}$ and $(\pi_L^{\tau_i})^{2^m} = (\sqrt[d]{u\pi})^{\tau_i}$, $(\sqrt[d]{u})^{\tau_i} \in \Omega$ (remember that we are assuming $\sqrt[d]{u} \in L_{un}$) and $N_{(LM)_{un}^{\tau_i}}^{(LM)^{\tau_i}}(\pi_L^{\tau_i}) = -(\sqrt[d]{u\pi})^{\tau_i}$.
- $\theta^{\tau_i} = a_0^{\tau_i} + a_1^{\tau_i}\pi_L^{\tau_i} + a_2^{\tau_i}(\pi_L^{\tau_i})^2 + \dots$ are the π_L -adic expansion of the θ_i^{τ} 's, with the coefficients $(a_i)^{\tau_i}$ in Ω .

Also $s = \min\{j | a_j\pi_L^j \notin E(\sqrt[d]{u\pi})\} = \min\{j | a_j \neq 0 \text{ and } j \notin 2^m\mathbb{Z}\} = \min\{j | a_j^{\tau_i} \neq 0 \text{ and } j \notin 2^m\mathbb{Z}\}$. It follows that s is the same for all θ^{τ_i} and that $(\theta_0)^{\tau_i} = (\theta^{\tau_i})_0$ and $(\theta_1)^{\tau_i} = (\theta^{\tau_i})_1$.

The assumption $v_L(\theta_1) \in 2\mathbb{Z}$ then implies $v_{(LM)^{\tau_i}}(\theta_1^{\tau_i}) \in 2\mathbb{Z}$ for all $i = 1, \dots, r$.

Let now l/M be an extension of odd degree and $x \in l$ then [7, lemma 2.5 (ii)] (see also the proof of proposition 3.9 in [7]) applied to the polynomials g^{τ_i} , which are irreducible over M , yields that $g^{\tau_i}(x) \equiv 1 \pmod{l^{*2}}$. Now π has odd valuation in M since d is odd and $k(\zeta_d)/k$ is unramified (as we remarked above). So the valuation of π in l is odd. It follows that for all odd degree extensions l/M and all $x \in l$,

$\pi g(x)g^{\tau^2}(x) \cdots g^{\tau^r}(x)$ has odd valuation in l , therefore it cannot be a square in l . This proves our claim.

Consequently the curve defined by the affine equation $y^2 = \pi f(X)$ has no rational point in any odd degree extension of M , so $I(C_M) = 2$. Therefore also $I(C) = 2$ for otherwise there is an extension l/k of odd degree such that $C(l) \neq \emptyset$. But lM/M is also of odd degree since M/k is a Galois extension (cf. [3]), so $C_M(lM) \neq \emptyset$ implying $I(C_M) = 1$, a contradiction.

b) To apply part a) we consider the unramified extension of odd degree $L_{un}(\sqrt[d]{u})/k$. We know (lemma 2.1 in [7]) that $I(C) = I(C_{L_{un}(\sqrt[d]{u})})$. Let $f(X) = p(X)p^{\gamma_2}(X) \cdots p^{\gamma_t}(X)$ be the factorization of $f(X)$ over $L_{un}(\sqrt[d]{u})$, $\{id = \gamma_1, \gamma_2, \dots, \gamma_t\}$ being the Galois group of $L_{un}(\sqrt[d]{u})/k$. We can apply the results of part (a) to the polynomials $p^{\gamma_i}(X)$. Note that θ^{γ_i} is a root of $p^{\gamma_i}(X)$ and that we have (similar to observations in part a) $\theta_0^{\gamma_i} = (\theta_0)^{\gamma_i}$ and $\theta_1^{\gamma_i} = (\theta_1)^{\gamma_i}$. Also $v_L(\theta - \theta_0) \in 2\mathbb{Z}$ is equivalent with $v_{L(\sqrt[d]{u})^{\gamma_i}}(\theta^{\gamma_i} - \theta_0^{\gamma_i}) \in 2\mathbb{Z}$ for all γ_i .

So if $v_L(\theta - \theta_0) \in 2\mathbb{Z}$ the claim proven in part (a) implies that for all $l/L_{un}(\sqrt[d]{u})(\sqrt[d]{\pi})$ of odd degree, for all $x \in l$ and for all $i = 1, \dots, t$ the valuation of $\pi g^{\gamma_i}(x)$ as an element of lM , with $M = L_{un}(\sqrt[d]{u}, \zeta_d, \sqrt[d]{\pi})$, is odd. Then these elements also have odd valuation as elements of l (Ml/l being unramified). Since t is odd it follows that the valuation of $\pi f(x)$ as an element of l is odd. This implies that $I(C_{L_{un}(\sqrt[d]{u})}) = I(C) = 2$.

Consequently we have shown that if $v_L(\theta - \theta_0) \in 2\mathbb{Z}$ then $I(C) = 2$.

II) Let us now assume that $v_L(\theta_1) \notin 2\mathbb{Z}$. We want to prove that $I(C) = 1$.

We claim that given the hypotheses $\sqrt{-\alpha} \notin L$, $\sqrt{-\alpha\pi} \notin L$ and $v_L(\theta_1) \notin 2\mathbb{Z}$, $\pi f(\theta_0)$ is a square in $L_{un}(\sqrt[d]{u}, \sqrt[d]{\pi})$. If this is true then the curve C has a rational point over the odd degree extension $L_{un}(\sqrt[d]{u}, \sqrt[d]{\pi})$, so $I(C) = 1$.

The calculation of the square class of $\pi f(\theta_0)$ is implicitly in lemma 2.5 of [7]. For the sake of completeness we give it here explicitly for this special case.

$L_{un}(\sqrt[d]{u})/k$ is a Galois extension so $f(X) = \prod_{\sigma \in \text{Gal}(L_{un}(\sqrt[d]{u})/k)} g^\sigma(X)$, with $g(X)$ the minimal polynomial of θ over $L_{un}(\sqrt[d]{u})$, it is a polynomial of even degree since $[L_{un}(\sqrt[d]{u}) : k]$ is odd. $L_{un}(\sqrt[d]{u})$ is an unramified extension over L_{un} , it follows that L/L_{un} is linearly disjoint from $L_{un}(\sqrt[d]{u})$, so we can extend the σ 's to embeddings of $L(\sqrt[d]{u})$ leaving the uniformising element π_L invariant.

We can now determine the square class of $g^\sigma(\theta_0)$ (we abbreviate in the calculations $N_{L_{un}(\sqrt[d]{u}, \sqrt[d]{\pi})}^{L(\sqrt[d]{u})}$ simply with N):

$$\begin{aligned} g^\sigma(\theta_0) &= N(\theta_0 - \theta^\sigma) \\ &= N(\theta_0 - \theta_0^\sigma - \theta_1^\sigma) \end{aligned}$$

If $v_{L(\sqrt[d]{u})}(\theta_0 - \theta_0^\sigma) < v_{L(\sqrt[d]{u})}(\theta_1^\sigma)$ then $\theta_0 - \theta_0^\sigma - \theta_1^\sigma = (\theta_0 - \theta_0^\sigma)(1 + z)$ with $z \in \pi_L O_L$. The one-unit $1 + z$ is a square in $L(\sqrt[d]{u})$, this yields:

$$\begin{aligned} g^\sigma(\theta_0) &= N((\theta_0 - \theta_0^\sigma)(1 + z)) \\ &\equiv N(\theta_0 - \theta_0^\sigma) \pmod{L_{un}(\sqrt[d]{u}, \sqrt[d]{\pi})} \\ &\equiv (\theta_0 - \theta_0^\sigma)^{[L(\sqrt[d]{u}):L_{un}(\sqrt[d]{u}, \sqrt[d]{\pi})]} \pmod{L_{un}(\sqrt[d]{u}, \sqrt[d]{\pi})^{*2}} \\ &\equiv (\theta_0 - \theta_0^\sigma)^{2^m} \pmod{L_{un}(\sqrt[d]{u}, \sqrt[d]{\pi})^{*2}} \\ &\equiv 1 \pmod{L_{un}(\sqrt[d]{u}, \sqrt[d]{\pi})^{*2}} \end{aligned}$$

Here we used the fact that $L_{un}(\sqrt[d]{u})$ is an unramified extension (k being non-dyadic), this implies that $L_{un}(\sqrt[d]{u}, \sqrt[d]{\pi})$ is the maximal unramified extension in $L(\sqrt[d]{u}, \sqrt[d]{\pi})$ and that the ramification index of $L(\sqrt[d]{u}, \sqrt[d]{\pi})/k$ is equal to $e(L/k) = 2^m$, yielding $[L(\sqrt[d]{u}) : L_{un}(\sqrt[d]{u}, \sqrt[d]{\pi})] = 2^m \in 2\mathbb{Z}$.

If $v_{L(\sqrt[d]{u})}(\theta_0 - \theta_0^\sigma) \geq v_{L(\sqrt[d]{u})}(\theta_1^\sigma)$ then necessarily $\theta_0 = \theta_0^\sigma$. To see this note that $\theta_0 = \sum_{i=0}^{s-1} a_i \pi_L^i$ and $\theta_0^\sigma = \sum_{i=0}^{s-1} a_i^\sigma (\pi_L^\sigma)^i = \sum_{i=0}^{s-1} a_i^\sigma \pi_L^i$. If $\theta_0 \neq \theta_0^\sigma$ then $a_i \neq a_i^\sigma$ for some $i = 1, \dots, s-1$. But then $v(\theta_0 - \theta_0^\sigma) \leq i \leq v(\theta_1^\sigma)$.

So in the case $v_{L(\sqrt[d]{u})}(\theta_0 - \theta_0^\sigma) \geq v_{L(\sqrt[d]{u})}(\theta_1^\sigma)$ we have (again we denote $N_{L_{un}(\sqrt[d]{u}, \sqrt[d]{\pi})}^{L(\sqrt[d]{u})}$ simply with N)

$$\begin{aligned} g^\sigma(\theta_0) &= N(\theta_1^\sigma) \\ &\equiv N(a_s \pi_L)^s \pmod{L_{un}(\sqrt[d]{u}, \sqrt[d]{\pi})^{*2}} \\ &\equiv N(a_s)N(\pi_L) \pmod{L_{un}(\sqrt[d]{u}, \sqrt[d]{\pi})^{*2}} \\ &\equiv -u^\sigma \pi \pmod{L_{un}(\sqrt[d]{u}, \sqrt[d]{\pi})^{*2}} \end{aligned}$$

Here we used that $N_{L_{un}(\sqrt[d]{u}, \sqrt[d]{\pi})}^{L(\sqrt[d]{u})}(a_s)$ is a square since $a_s \in L_{un}$ and $[L(\sqrt[d]{u}) : L_{un}(\sqrt[d]{u}, \sqrt[d]{\pi})] = 2^m$ is even. We used also our hypothesis that $v_L(\theta_1) = s$ is odd.

Now if, still under the assumption that $v_{L(\sqrt[d]{u})}(\theta_0 - \theta_0^\sigma) \geq v_{L(\sqrt[d]{u})}(\theta_1^\sigma)$, $\pi g^\sigma(\theta_0)$ is not a square in $L_{un}(\sqrt[d]{u}, \sqrt[d]{\pi})$, then necessarily, since α represents the non-squares in the odd degree extension $L_{un}(\sqrt[d]{u}, \sqrt[d]{\pi})$ of k , $-u^\sigma \equiv \alpha^\sigma (= \alpha)$ or equivalently $-u \equiv \alpha$. This would imply $\sqrt{-\alpha\pi} = \sqrt{u\pi} \in L$ contrary to our assumptions.

So recapitulating what we found, for all $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(L_{un}(\sqrt[d]{u})/k)$ with $\theta_0^\sigma \neq \theta_0$ we have that $g^\sigma(\theta_0)$ is a square in $L_{un}(\sqrt[d]{u}, \sqrt[d]{\pi})$. For all σ 's with $\theta_0^\sigma = \theta_0$, i.e., for all σ 's in $H := \text{Gal}(L_{un}(\sqrt[d]{u})/k(a_0, \dots, a_{s-1}))$, we have that $\pi g^\sigma(\theta_0)$ is a square in $L_{un}(\sqrt[d]{u}, \sqrt[d]{\pi})$. Note that there is an odd number $t = \#\text{Gal}(L_{un}(\sqrt[d]{u})/k(a_0, \dots, a_{s-1}))$ of σ 's satisfying the latter property. We obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \pi f(\theta_0) &\equiv (\prod_{\sigma \in H} \pi g^\sigma(\theta_0)) \left(\prod_{\tau \notin H} g^\tau(\theta_0) \right) \pmod{L_{un}(\sqrt[d]{u}, \sqrt[d]{\pi})^{*2}} \\ &\equiv 1 \pmod{L_{un}(\sqrt[d]{u}, \sqrt[d]{\pi})^{*2}} \end{aligned}$$

This proves our claim and so we obtain $I(C) = 1$ as desired. ■

Corollary 2, corollary 3 and proposition 5 together cover the calculation of the index for a curve C defined by $Y^2 = \pi f(X)$, with $f(X)$ an irreducible monic polynomial over O_k such that a root of $f(X)$ generates a tamely ramified extension of k . We summarize the result in the following theorem.

Theorem 6. *Let $f(X) \in O_k[X]$ be a monic irreducible polynomial of degree $2^\mu \delta$. Let $L = k(\theta)$, with θ a root of $f(X)$. Let the ramification index $e(L/k) = 2^m d$, be prime to the characteristic of k , i.e., L/k is tamely ramified. Let C be the hyperelliptic curve defined by the affine equation $Y^2 = \pi f(X)$. Then*

- 1) *If k is dyadic then $I(C) = 1$ if and only if $\mu = 0$, i.e., $[L : k]$ is odd.*
- 2) *If k is non-dyadic then $I(C) = 2$ if and only if $\mu \geq 1$ ($f(X)$ is of even degree) and one of the following conditions hold: $k(\sqrt{\alpha}) \subset L$, or $k(\sqrt{-\alpha\pi}) \subset L$ or $v_L(\theta - \theta_0) \in 2\mathbb{Z}$ (where θ_0 is defined as in 4.)*

Remark 7. 1. It is not difficult to obtain from theorem 6 examples of equations $Y^2 = \pi f(X)$ for which the associated curves are of index 2 as well as examples of such equations for which the curves have index 1. The idea is to look for an integral primitive element θ in some tamely ramified extension of k of even degree having the π_L -adic expansion with the desired properties. Then one takes $f(X)$ as the minimal polynomial of θ . One can start even with a uniformizing element π_L and look at $a_s \pi_L^s$ for suitable s and a_s .

2. For hyperelliptic curves defined by an equation of the form $Y^2 = \pi f(X)$ our main result followed (at least partially) from the result we obtained in [7] (the case where the ramification index $e(L/k)$ is a power of 2). The reduction does not immediately work for curves defined by equations $Y^2 = \alpha f(X)$ for different reasons. First of all by going over to extensions $k(\zeta_d)$, ζ_d a d -root of unity, α becomes a square. This already complicates things. But more seriously since cases where $L_{un} \neq E$ have to be considered, the definition of θ_0 and θ_1 does not behave well under galois conjugation, this is bad since the fact (cf. page 349) that s is the same for the different θ^{τ_i} plays an essential role in the proof.

References

- [1] Colliot-Thélène, J.-L., Poonen, B., *Algebraic families of nonzero elements of Shafarevich-Tate groups*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **13** nr. 1, 83-99, (2000).
- [2] Jacobson, N., *Finite-Dimensional Division Algebras over Fields*, Heidelberg, Springer-Verlag, 1996.
- [3] Lang, S., *Algebra*, Third edition, London, Addison Wesley, 1993.
- [4] Lang, S., *Algebraic number theory*, London, Addison Wesley, 1970.
- [5] Poonen, B., Stoll, M., *The Cassels-Tate pairing on polarized Abelian varieties*, Ann. of Math., **150**, 1109-1149, (1999).
- [6] Lichtenbaum, S., *Duality theorems for curves over p -adic fields*, Invent. Math. **7**, 120-136, (1969).
- [7] Van Geel, J., Yanchevskii, V.I., *Indices of hyperelliptic curves over p -adic fields*, Manuscripta math. **96**, 317-333, (1998).
- [8] Van Geel, J., Yanchevskii, V.I., *Indices of double coverings of genus 1 over p -adic fields*, An. de la Fac. de Toulouse, Vol. VIII, nr. 1, 155-172, (1999)

Ghent University,
Department of Pure Mathematics and Computer Algebra,
Galglaan 2,
B-9000 Gent, Belgium.
email: jvg@cage.rug.ac.be

Institute of Mathematics,
Academy of Science of Belarus,
Surganov str. 11,
220072 Minsk, Belarus.
email: yanch@im.bas-net.by