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#### Abstract

A function $f:[0,1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is Riemann integrable if and only if its Riemann sums $f(T)$ and $f\left(T^{\prime}\right)$ get closer to each other as $\delta \rightarrow 0$, uniformly over all $\delta$-fine tagged divisions $T$ and $T^{\prime}$. We show that $\delta^{-1}\left|f(T)-f\left(T^{\prime}\right)\right| \asymp \operatorname{Var}(f)$. We also give an example of a function $f \notin \mathrm{BV}$ with $\left|f(T)-f\left(T^{\prime}\right)\right|=\mathcal{O}(\delta|\ln \delta|)$. As a lemma, we show that any $f \in \mathrm{BV}$ can be approximated uniformly by a step function $g$ with $\operatorname{Var}(g) \approx \operatorname{Var}(f)$.


## 1. Introduction and Notation

Definition 1.1. A division of the interval $[0,1]$ is a finite partition

$$
0=s_{0}<s_{1}<s_{2}<\cdots<s_{m}=1
$$

A tagged division is a division together with selected points $\sigma_{j} \in\left[s_{j-1}, s_{j}\right]$; the number $\sigma_{j}$ is called the tag of the subinterval $\left[s_{j-1}, s_{j}\right]$. We shall denote a typical tagged division by $T=\left\{\left(\sigma_{j},\left[s_{j-1}, s_{j}\right]\right)\right\}_{j=1}^{m}$. For any function $f:[0,1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, the Riemann sum over the tagged division $T$ is

$$
f(T)=\sum_{j=1}^{m} f\left(\sigma_{j}\right)\left(s_{j}-s_{j-1}\right)
$$

Let $\delta$ be a positive number; a tagged division $T$ is called $\delta$-fine, written $T \ll \delta$, if $\max _{i}\left(s_{i}-s_{i-1}\right)<\delta$. (Some of the ideas in this paper will be generalized in [2], where $\delta$ may be a positive function, not just a positive number.)

Definition 1.2. (The following is equivalent to the usual definitions.) $A$ number $v$ is the Riemann integral of a function $f:[0,1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ if for each number $\varepsilon>0$ there exists a number $\delta>0$ such that, whenever $T$ is a $\delta$-fine tagged division, then $\mid f(T)-$ $v \mid<\varepsilon$.

Observation 1.3. (Cauchy condition) A function $f:[0,1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is Riemann integrable if it has a Riemann integral. In other words, a function $f$ is Riemann integrable if and only if
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for each number $\varepsilon>0$ there exists a number $\delta>0$ such
that, whenever $T$ and $T^{\prime}$ are $\delta$-fine tagged divisions, then
$\left|f(T)-f\left(T^{\prime}\right)\right|<\varepsilon$.
(That follows from the fact that the real number system is a complete metric space.)

Remark 1.4. For any function $f:[0,1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and any number $\delta>0$, let us denote

$$
\theta_{\delta}(f)=\sup _{T, T^{\prime} \ll \delta}\left|f(T)-f\left(T^{\prime}\right)\right|
$$

Here the supremum is over all tagged divisions $T$ and $T^{\prime}$ that are $\delta$-fine. It is easy to show that $\theta_{\delta}(f)<\infty$ if and only if $f$ is bounded, and that $\theta_{\delta}$ is a seminorm on the linear space of bounded (not necessarily measurable) functions from $[0,1]$ into $\mathbb{R}$. The Cauchy criterion for integrability is that $\lim _{\delta \downarrow 0} \theta_{\delta}(f)=0$.

On the Riemann integrable functions, we may also define this seminorm:

$$
\psi_{\delta}(f)=\sup _{T \ll \delta}\left|f(T)-\int_{0}^{1} f(s) d s\right|
$$

It is evident that both seminorms, $\theta_{\delta}$ and $\psi_{\delta}$, vanish on constant functions $f$. It is shown in [2] that these two seminorms vanish only on constant functions. The two seminorms are equivalent on integrable functions; we have

$$
\psi_{\delta}(f) \leq \theta_{\delta}(f) \leq 2 \psi_{\delta}(f)
$$

(To prove $\psi \leq \theta$, hold $T$ fixed and let $f\left(T^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow \int f$.)
This paper's main theorems state that

$$
\sup _{\delta>0} \frac{\psi_{\delta}(f)}{\delta} \leq \operatorname{Var}(f) \leq \liminf _{\delta \downarrow 0} \frac{\theta_{\delta}(f)}{\delta}
$$

for any function $f:[0,1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. Consequently, a function $f$ has bounded variation if and only if its Riemann sums converge to its integral at a rate of $\mathcal{O}(\delta)$, and that rate cannot be improved even for functions that have greater smoothness properties. In Example 4.1 we give an example of a Riemann integrable function with unbounded variation; its approximations converge at the slower rate of $\mathcal{O}(\delta|\ln \delta|)$. Lemma 2.1, on the approximation of bounded variation functions by step functions, may also be of interest in its own right.

Our results should be contrasted with those of Chui [3], who investigates the rate at which $R_{n}(f ; a) \rightarrow \int f$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, where

$$
R_{n}(f ; a)=\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} f\left(\frac{k-a}{n}\right) \quad \text { for } a \in[0,1]
$$
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Chui's sum $R_{n}(f ; a)$ is just one of the many sums $f(T)$ that can be obtained with a choice of $T \ll 1 / n$; hence

$$
\left|R_{n}(f ; a)-\int f\right| \leq \psi_{1 / n}(f)
$$

The quantities on the two sides of this inequality need not be close. For instance, Chui shows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& R_{n}\left(f ; \frac{1}{2}\right)-\int f=o(1 / n) \quad \text { for } f \text { absolutely continuous, and } \\
& R_{n}\left(f ; \frac{1}{2}\right)-\int f=\mathcal{O}\left(1 / n^{2}\right) \quad \text { if } f \text { is differentiable with } f^{\prime} \in B V
\end{aligned}
$$

But our own Theorem 2.2 shows that $\psi_{1 / n}(f)$ cannot converge to 0 any faster than $\mathcal{O}(1 / n)$.

On the other hand, some of Chui's examples of slow convergence would also apply to our own functions. Chui's Theorem 2 shows that for any sequence $\left(\varepsilon_{n}\right)$ decreasing to 0 , there exists a function $f$ satisfying $R_{n}(f ; 0)-$ $\int f \geq \varepsilon_{n}$; hence also $\psi_{1 / n}(f) \geq \varepsilon_{n}$.

This paper is based on results in the first author's doctoral dissertation [1].

## 2. Upper Bound for Errors

Lemma 2.1. Suppose $f:[0,1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ has bounded variation, and some number $\varepsilon>0$ is given. Then there exists a step function $g:[0,1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$
\|f-g\|_{\text {sup }} \leq \varepsilon \quad \text { and } \quad|\operatorname{Var}(f)-\operatorname{Var}(g)| \leq \varepsilon
$$

where "Var" denotes variation.
Remarks. We emphasize that the step function need not be left- or rightcontinuous.

One is tempted to make the stronger assertion that there exists a step function $g$ satisfying $|\operatorname{Var}(f-g)| \leq \varepsilon$. But that is not true, for instance when $f(s)=s$.

Proof. Since $f$ has bounded variation, it has a left-hand limit $f(s-)$ at each point $s \in(0,1]$ and a right-hand limit $f(s+)$ at each point $s \in[0,1)$. It has only countably many discontinuities, and each of those is a jump. The size of a jump at $s$ is the number $|f(s)-f(s+)|+|f(s)-f(s-)|$; the sum of the sizes of the jumps is less than or equal to the variation. Let $s_{1}, s_{2}, s_{3}, \ldots, s_{N}$ be the locations of the largest jumps, chosen so that any jump not in this finite set has size less than $\varepsilon$.
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Enlarge the set $\left\{s_{1}, s_{2}, \ldots, s_{N}\right\}$, adding finitely many points to obtain a partition

$$
0=v_{0}<v_{1}<v_{2}<\cdots<v_{M}=1
$$

with the property that

$$
\operatorname{Var}(f)-\varepsilon \leq \sum_{j=1}^{P}\left|f\left(v_{j-1}\right)-f\left(v_{j}\right)\right| \leq \operatorname{Var}(f)
$$

This pair of inequalities will be preserved if we add still more points to the partition. We now add points to make the partition

$$
\begin{aligned}
0= & v_{0}<w_{0}<u_{1}<v_{1}<w_{1}<\cdots<u_{M-1}<v_{M-1} \\
& <w_{M-1}<u_{M}<v_{M}=1
\end{aligned}
$$

as follows:
For each of $i=0,1,2, \ldots, M-1$, choose some point $w_{i}$ that is greater than $v_{i}$, and is close enough to $v_{i}$ to satisfy these conditions:

$$
w_{i}-v_{i}<\frac{1}{2}\left(v_{i+1}-v_{i}\right), \quad \sup _{s \in\left(v_{i}, w_{i}\right]}\left|f(s)-f\left(w_{i}\right)\right|<\varepsilon
$$

Likewise, for each of $i=1,2,3, \ldots, M$, choose some point $u_{i}$ that is less than $v_{i}$, and is close enough to $v_{i}$ to satisfy these conditions:

$$
v_{i}-u_{i}<\frac{1}{2}\left(v_{i}-v_{i-1}\right), \quad \sup _{s \in\left[u_{i}, v_{i}\right)}\left|f(s)-f\left(u_{i}\right)\right|<\varepsilon
$$

(The conditions involving $\frac{1}{2}$ ensure that we actually do have $w_{i-1}<u_{i}$.)
Finally, we add still a few more points to the partition, as follows: Subdivide each interval $\left[w_{i-1}, u_{i}\right]$ into finitely many subintervals

$$
w_{i-1}=x_{i}^{0}<x_{i}^{1}<x_{i}^{2}<\cdots<x_{i}^{p_{i}}=u_{i}
$$

having the property that

$$
\sup \left\{\left|f(s)-f\left(s^{\prime}\right)\right|: s, s^{\prime} \in\left[x_{i}^{j-1}, x_{i}^{j}\right]\right\}<\varepsilon
$$

That such a subdivision is possible follows via a compactness argument, using the fact that any jumps $f$ has in $\left[w_{i-1}, u_{i}\right]$ are smaller than $\varepsilon$.

Now define a step-function $g:[0,1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ as follows:

$$
g(s)=\left\{\begin{array}{lll}
f\left(x_{i}^{j-1}\right) & \text { if } \quad x_{i}^{j-1} \leq s<x_{i}^{j} \\
f\left(u_{i}\right) & \text { if } \quad u_{i} \leq s<v_{i} \\
f\left(v_{i}\right) & \text { if } \quad s=v_{i} \\
f\left(w_{i}\right) & \text { if } \quad v_{i}<s \leq w_{i}
\end{array}\right.
$$
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It is now easy to verify that $\|f-g\|_{\text {sup }} \leq \varepsilon$ and that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Var}(g)=\sum_{i=1}^{M}\left\{\mid f\left(v_{i-1}\right)\right. & -f\left(w_{i-1}\right) \mid \\
& \left.+\left|f\left(u_{i}\right)-f\left(v_{i}\right)\right|+\sum_{k=1}^{p_{i}}\left|f\left(x_{i}^{k-1}\right)-f\left(x_{i}^{k}\right)\right|\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

hence, $\operatorname{Var}(f)-\varepsilon \leq \operatorname{Var}(g) \leq \operatorname{Var}(f)$.
Theorem 2.2. Suppose $f:[0,1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ has bounded variation, $\delta$ is a positive number, and $T$ is a $\delta$-fine tagged division of $[0,1]$. Then

$$
\left|f(T)-\int_{0}^{1} f\right| \leq \delta \operatorname{Var}(f)
$$

Proof. By Lemma 2.1, it suffices to consider the case where $f$ is a step function. Then we may describe $f$ as taking constant values $x_{j}$ on disjoint nonempty intervals $J_{j}(j=1,2,3, \ldots, p)$ whose union is $[0,1]$. Each $J_{j}$ may be open, closed, or half-open, and each $J_{j}$ may have positive length or (in the case where $J_{j}$ is a single point) zero length. We may assume the intervals $J_{j}$ are arranged from left to right with increasing $j$. Then $\operatorname{Var}(f)=\sum_{j=1}^{p-1}\left|x_{j}-x_{j+1}\right|$.

Let $r_{j}$ be the right endpoint of $J_{j}$ (which may or may not be a member of $J_{j}$ ), and let $r_{0}=0$. Then

$$
0=r_{0} \leq r_{1} \leq r_{2} \leq \cdots \leq r_{p}=1
$$

with $r_{j-1}=r_{j}$ holding just in the case where $J_{j}$ is a singleton. The length of $J_{j}$ is $r_{j}-r_{j-1}$, and we have

$$
\int_{0}^{1} f=\sum_{j=1}^{p}\left(r_{j}-r_{j-1}\right) x_{j}=x_{p}+\sum_{j=1}^{p-1}\left(x_{j}-x_{j+1}\right) r_{j}
$$

Let $T=\left\{\left(\sigma_{i},\left[s_{i-1}, s_{i}\right]\right)\right\}_{i=1}^{m}$ be some tagged division that is $\delta$-fine. Note that each $\left[s_{i-1}, s_{i}\right]$ has positive length.

For each $j \in\{1,2, \ldots, p\}$, say that

- the integer $j$ is taggish if at least one tag $\sigma_{i}$ lies in the interval $J_{j}$, or
- $j$ is untaggish if no tag lies in $J_{j}$.

If $j$ is a taggish integer, then all the $i$ 's satisfying $\sigma_{i} \in J_{j}$ must be consecutive $i$ 's (since the $\sigma_{i}$ 's form a nondecreasing sequence). Hence the union of their $\left[s_{i-1}, s_{i}\right]$ 's is an interval, which we shall denote by $\left[u_{j}, v_{j}\right]$; it has positive length.

For each untaggish integer $j$, it will be convenient to define an interval $\left[u_{j}, v_{j}\right]$ of length 0 , i.e., a single point. That point is chosen so that all
the intervals $\left[u_{j}, v_{j}\right]$ (taggish or not) are arranged from left to right with increasing $j$. That is,

$$
0=u_{0} \leq v_{0}=u_{1} \leq v_{1}=\cdots \leq v_{p-1}=u_{p} \leq v_{p}=1 .
$$

Hence, $u_{j}=v_{j-1}$ for all $j=1,2, \ldots, p$. Observe that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f(T)=\sum_{i=1}^{m}\left(s_{i}-s_{i-1}\right) f\left(\sigma_{i}\right)=\sum_{j=1}^{p} \sum_{\left\{i: \sigma_{i} \in J_{j}\right\}}\left(s_{i}-s_{i-1}\right) x_{j} \\
& =\sum_{j=1}^{p}\left(v_{j}-u_{j}\right) x_{j}=\sum_{j=1}^{p}\left(v_{j}-v_{j-1}\right) x_{j}=x_{p}+\sum_{j=1}^{p-1}\left(x_{j}-x_{j+1}\right) v_{j} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Subtracting that from our earlier expression for $\int f$ yields

$$
\int f-f(T)=\sum_{j=1}^{p-1}\left(x_{j}-x_{j+1}\right)\left(r_{j}-v_{j}\right) .
$$

Since $\sum_{j}\left|x_{j}-x_{j+1}\right|=\operatorname{Var}(f)$, it suffices to show that $\left|r_{j}-v_{j}\right|<\delta$ for all $j$. We prove that in two parts. First, we shall show $v_{j}<r_{j}+\delta$ by considering two cases:

- First, suppose that $j$ is lower than every taggish integer. Any intervals $[u, v]$ to the left of $v_{j}$ have length zero, so $v_{j}=0$. Hence $v_{j}<\delta \leq r_{j}+\delta$.
- In the remaining case, there exists at least one taggish $\widehat{\jmath}$ satisfying $\hat{\jmath} \leq j$. Take the highest such $\hat{\jmath}$. (Thus $\hat{\jmath}=j$ if $j$ itself is taggish.) Now, $v_{j}=v_{\jmath}$ since any untaggish integer has its $[u, v]$ with length zero. We have $\sigma_{\hat{\imath}} \in J_{\hat{\jmath}}$ for some $\widehat{\imath}$, and any such $\widehat{\imath}$ satisfies $\sigma_{\imath} \leq r_{\hat{\jmath}}$. More specifically, let $\widehat{\imath}$ be the highest integer for which $\sigma_{\hat{\imath}}$ lies in $J_{\hat{\jmath}}$; then $s_{\imath}=v_{\hat{\jmath}}$. Hence,

$$
v_{j}-\delta=s_{\hat{\imath}}-\delta<s_{\hat{\imath}-1} \leq \sigma_{\hat{\imath}} \leq r_{\widehat{\jmath}} \leq r_{j} .
$$

Finally, we shall show $r_{j}<v_{j}+\delta$ by considering two cases:

- First, suppose that there are no taggish integers higher than $j$. Then any intervals $[u, v]$ to the right of $v_{j}$ have length 0 , so $v_{j}=1$. Therefore, $r_{j} \leq v_{j}<v_{j}+\delta$.
- On the other hand, suppose that there does exist a taggish integer $\hat{\jmath}$ with $j<\widehat{\jmath}$. Choose the smallest such $\widehat{\jmath}$. Then any intervals [ $u, v$ ] between $v_{j}$ and $u_{\hat{\jmath}}$ correspond to untaggish integers, and have length 0 , so $v_{j}=u_{\widehat{\jmath}}$. Let $\widehat{\imath}$ be the smallest integer for which $\sigma_{\imath} \in J_{\widehat{\imath}}$. Thus, $\sigma_{\imath}$ is the lowest tag that lies to the right of $R_{j}$. The interval $\left[s_{\hat{\imath}-1}, s_{\hat{\imath}}\right]$ is the leftmost of the intervals whose union makes up $\left[u_{\hat{\jmath}}, v_{\hat{\jmath}}\right]$, so $s_{\widehat{\imath}-1}=u_{\hat{\jmath}}$. Finally,

$$
r_{j} \leq \sigma_{\hat{\imath}} \leq s_{\hat{\imath}}<s_{\hat{\imath}-1}+\delta=v_{j}+\delta
$$
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as required.

## 3. Lower Bound for Worst-Case Errors

Theorem 3.1. Let any function $f:[0,1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and any real number $\rho<$ $\operatorname{Var}(f)$ be given. Then for every number $\delta>0$ sufficiently small,

$$
\sup _{T, T^{\prime} \ll \delta}\left|f(T)-f\left(T^{\prime}\right)\right|>\delta \rho
$$

Remark. We do not require that $f$ has bounded variation; the following argument is valid even in the case where $\operatorname{Var}(f)=+\infty$.

Proof. Choose some number $\theta$ slightly greater than $\rho$, and some large integer $k$, so that

$$
\rho<\frac{k-1}{k} \theta<\theta<\operatorname{Var}(f)
$$

Since $\operatorname{Var}(f)>\theta$, we may choose a partition of $[0,1]$,

$$
0=r_{0}<r_{1}<r_{2}<\cdots<r_{p}=1
$$

such that $\sum_{i=1}^{p}\left|f\left(r_{i}\right)-f\left(r_{i-1}\right)\right| \geq \theta$. Fix any positive number $\delta$ less than $\min _{i}\left(r_{i}-r_{i-1}\right) / k$. It suffices to exhibit tagged divisions $T, T^{\prime}$, both $\delta$-fine for this choice of $\delta$, satisfying

$$
\left|f(T)-f\left(T^{\prime}\right)\right| \geq \frac{k-1}{k} \delta \theta .
$$

Our tagged divisions $T=\left\{\left(\sigma_{j},\left[s_{j-1}, s_{j}\right]\right)\right\}_{j=1}^{m}$ and $T^{\prime}=\left\{\left(\sigma_{j}^{\prime},\left[s_{j-1}, s_{j}\right]\right)\right\}_{j=1}^{m}$ will both have the same division points

$$
0=s_{0}<s_{1}<s_{2}<\cdots<s_{m}=1
$$

and will differ only in their tags $\sigma_{j}$ and $\sigma_{j}^{\prime}$. Choose the division points $s_{j}$ as follows.

For $1 \leq i \leq p$, let $n_{i}$ be the integer part of $1+\delta^{-1}\left(r_{i}-r_{i-1}\right)$. Then arithmetic yields

$$
\frac{k-1}{k} \delta<\frac{n_{i}-1}{n_{i}} \delta \leq \frac{r_{i}-r_{i-1}}{n_{i}}<\delta .
$$

Divide each interval $\left[r_{i-1}, r_{i}\right]$ into $n_{i}$ subintervals of equal length; the subintervals obtained in this fashion will be the intervals $\left[s_{j-1}, s_{j}\right]$ of our tagged divisions $T$ and $T^{\prime}$. Each of those intervals has length $s_{j}-s_{j-1}$ between $(k-1) \delta / k$ and $\delta$. Hence, $T$ and $T^{\prime}$ are $\delta$-fine. Since the partition $\left(s_{j}\right)$ is a refinement of the partition $\left(r_{i}\right)$, we have $\sum_{j=1}^{m}\left|f\left(s_{j}\right)-f\left(s_{j-1}\right)\right| \geq \theta$.

For each $j$, we now define the tags $\sigma_{j}$ and $\sigma_{j}^{\prime}$ by this rule:

$$
\begin{array}{lll} 
& \sigma_{j}=s_{j-1}, & \sigma_{j}^{\prime}=s_{j} \\
\text { or } & \text { if } \quad f\left(s_{j-1}\right) \geq f\left(s_{j}\right) ; \\
\sigma_{i}^{\prime}=s_{j-1}, & \sigma_{j}=s_{j} & \text { if } \quad f\left(s_{j-1}\right)<f\left(s_{j}\right) .
\end{array}
$$
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It follows that $f\left(\sigma_{j}\right)-f\left(\sigma_{j}^{\prime}\right)=\left|f\left(s_{j}\right)-f\left(s_{j-1}\right)\right|$. Hence,

$$
\begin{aligned}
f(T)-f\left(T^{\prime}\right) & =\sum_{j=1}^{m}\left[f\left(\sigma_{j}\right)-f\left(\sigma_{j}^{\prime}\right)\right]\left(s_{j}-s_{j-1}\right) \\
& =\sum_{j=1}^{m}\left|f\left(s_{j}\right)-f\left(s_{j-1}\right)\right|\left(s_{j}-s_{j-1}\right) \geq \frac{k-1}{k} \delta \theta
\end{aligned}
$$

as required.

## 4. Example With Unbounded Variation

Example 4.1. Let $r_{n}=1-e^{-n}$. Define $f:[0,1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$
f(t)=\left\{\begin{array}{llll}
0 & \text { if } \quad t=r_{n} & (n=0,1,2,3, \ldots) \\
(-1)^{n} & \text { if } \quad r_{n-1}<t<r_{n} & (n=1,2,3, \ldots)
\end{array}\right.
$$

Then $f$ is Riemann integrable but does not have bounded variation. Moreover, if $\delta \in(0,1 / e)$ and $T \ll \delta$, then

$$
\left|f(T)-\int f\right|<8 \delta \ln (1 / \delta)
$$

Proof. Note that $0=r_{0}<r_{1}<r_{2}<\cdots$ with $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} r_{n}=1$. The variation of $f$ on $\left[0, r_{n}\right]$ is equal to $2 n$; the variation of $f$ on $[0,1]$ is infinite. The function $f$ is Riemann integrable, since it is bounded and has discontinuities in a set of measure 0 .

Now suppose that $\delta \in(0,1)$, and $T=\left\{\left(\sigma_{i},\left[s_{i-1}, s_{i}\right]\right)\right\}_{i=1}^{m}$ is a $\delta$-fine tagged division of $[0,1]$. We shall estimate $\left|f(T)-\int f\right|$.

Let $n$ be the integer part of $1+\ln (1 / \delta)$. Then $n$ is a positive integer, so $0<r_{n}<1$. Arithmetic yields $n>\ln (1 / \delta)$, hence, $e^{-n}<\delta$. Also, since $\delta<1 / e$, we have $1<\ln (1 / \delta)$.

Choose the largest value of $k$ that satisfies $s_{k}<r_{n}$; then $s_{k+1} \geq r_{n}$. Since $T$ is $\delta$-fine, we have $r_{n}-s_{k}<\delta$. Now compute

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|f(T)-\int f\right|= & \left|\sum_{i=1}^{m} f\left(\sigma_{i}\right)\left(s_{i}-s_{i-1}\right)-\int_{0}^{1} f(s) d s\right| \\
\leq & \left|\sum_{i=1}^{k} f\left(\sigma_{i}\right)\left(s_{i}-s_{i-1}\right)-\int_{0}^{s_{k}} f(s) d s\right| \\
& \quad+\left|\sum_{i=k+1}^{m} f\left(\sigma_{i}\right)\left(s_{i}-s_{i-1}\right)-\int_{s_{k}}^{1} f(s) d s\right|
\end{aligned}
$$
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For $\sum_{i=1}^{k}$ we shall apply Theorem 2.2. For $\sum_{i=k+1}^{m}$ we shall use the fact that $|f(t)| \leq 1$ for all $t$. Thus we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|f(T)-\int f\right| & \leq \delta \operatorname{Var}\left(f ;\left[0, s_{k}\right]\right)+2\left(1-s_{k}\right) \\
& \leq \delta \operatorname{Var}\left(f ;\left[0, r_{n}\right]\right)+2\left(\delta+1+-r_{n}\right) \\
& =2 \delta n+2\left(\delta+e^{-n}\right) \\
& \leq 2 \delta(1+\ln (1 / \delta))+2(\delta+\delta) \\
& <8 \delta \ln (1 / \delta)
\end{aligned}
$$
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