

ON  $T_D$ -SPACES

Othman Echi

**Abstract.** This paper deals with some new properties of  $T_D$ -spaces. These properties are used in order to give an intrinsic topological characterization of the Goldman spectrum of a commutative ring.

**1. Introduction.** There are three famous separation axioms in topology namely,  $T_0$ ,  $T_1$ , and  $T_2$ .

We denote by  $\mathbf{TOP}$  the category of topological spaces with continuous maps as morphisms, and by  $\mathbf{TOP}_i$  the full subcategory of  $\mathbf{TOP}$  whose object are  $T_i$ -spaces. It is well-known that  $\mathbf{TOP}_{i+1}$  is a reflective subcategory of  $\mathbf{TOP}_i$ , for  $i = -1, 0, 1$ , with  $\mathbf{TOP}_{-1} = \mathbf{TOP}$ . Thus,  $\mathbf{TOP}_i$  is reflective in  $\mathbf{TOP}$ , for each  $i = 0, 1, 2$  [10]. In other words, there is a universal  $T_i$ -space for every topological space  $X$ ; we denote it by  $\mathbf{T}_i(X)$ . The assignment  $X \mapsto \mathbf{T}_i(X)$  defines a functor  $\mathbf{T}_i$  from  $\mathbf{TOP}$  onto  $\mathbf{TOP}_i$ , which is a left adjoint functor of the inclusion functor  $\mathbf{TOP}_i \hookrightarrow \mathbf{TOP}$ .

The  $T_D$  separation axiom was introduced by Aull and Thron [1]. Recall that a topological space  $X$  is said to be a  $T_D$ -space if for each  $x \in X$ ,  $\{x\}$  is locally closed.

For the separation axioms  $T_0$ ,  $T_1$ ,  $T_2$ ,  $T_D$ , we have classically the following implications:

$$T_2 \implies T_1 \implies T_D \implies T_0.$$

We denote by  $\mathbf{TOP}_D$  the full subcategory of  $\mathbf{TOP}$  whose objects are  $T_D$ -spaces.

Unfortunately, the  $T_D$  property is not reflective in  $\mathbf{TOP}$ . Indeed, in [2], Brüner has proved that the countable product of the Sierpinski space is not a  $T_D$ -space. On the other hand, according to Herrlich and Strecker [7], if a subcategory  $\mathbf{A}$  is reflective in a category  $\mathbf{B}$ , then for each category  $\mathbf{I}$ ,  $\mathbf{A}$  is closed under the formation of  $\mathbf{I}$ -limits in  $\mathbf{B}$  [7]. [Taking  $\mathbf{I}$ , a discrete category, one can see that in particular  $\mathbf{A}$  is closed under products in  $\mathbf{B}$ .] Therefore the full subcategory  $\mathbf{TOP}_D$  of  $\mathbf{TOP}$  whose objects are  $T_D$ -spaces is not reflective in  $\mathbf{TOP}$ .

This paper deals with some new categorical properties of  $T_D$ -spaces (see Theorem 1.8).

Let  $R$  be a commutative ring with unit. We denote by  $Spec(R)$  the set of all prime ideals of  $R$ .

A topology  $\mathcal{T}$  on a set  $X$  is defined to be *spectral* [8] (and  $(X, \mathcal{T})$  is called a *spectral space*) if the following conditions hold:

- (i)  $\mathcal{T}$  is sober;
- (ii) the compact open subsets of  $X$  form a basis of  $\mathcal{T}$ ;

- (iii) the family of compact open subsets of  $X$  is closed under finite intersections.

In a remarkable paper, M. Hochster proved that a topological space is homeomorphic to the prime spectrum of some ring if and only if it is a spectral space [8]. In the same paper, Hochster characterized the space of maximal ideals of a ring. When a particular subset of the spectrum of a ring is given, a classical question, of whether we can give a topological characterization of that subspace, is asked.

A prime ideal  $\mathfrak{p}$  of  $R$  is said to be a Goldman ideal ( $G$ -ideal, for short) if there exists a maximal ideal  $\mathfrak{M}$  of the polynomial ring  $R[X]$  such that  $\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{M} \cap R$ . Goldman ideals are important objects of investigation in algebra and algebraic geometry. Note, in particular, that  $G$ -ideals have been used by Goldman [5] and Krull [11] for a short inductive proof of the Nullstellensatz. It is a part of the folklore of algebra that  $\mathfrak{p}$  is a  $G$ -ideal of  $R$  if and only if  $\{\mathfrak{p}\}$  is locally closed in  $\text{Spec}(R)$  (endowed with the hull-kernel topology).

The subspace of  $\text{Spec}(R)$ , whose elements are  $G$ -ideals is called the *Goldman spectrum* of  $R$  and it is denoted by  $\text{Gold}(R)$ .

As in [4], by a *goldspectral space* we mean a topological space  $X$  which is homeomorphic to  $\text{Gold}(R)$  for some ring  $R$ .

A natural question is “give an intrinsic topological characterization of goldspectral spaces”.

The goal of this paper is to re-prove our characterization of goldspectral spaces [4] in a short elegant manner. More precisely, using our main result Theorem 1.5, we give an intrinsic topological characterization of the Goldman prime spectrum of a commutative ring (see Theorem 2.2). We prove that a topological space  $X$  is goldspectral if and only if  $X$  satisfies the following conditions:

- (a)  $X$  is compact and has a basis of compact open subsets which is closed under finite intersections.
- (b)  $X$  is a  $T_D$ -space.

**2.  $T_D$ -spaces and Quasihomeomorphisms.** Let us first recall some notions which were introduced by Grothendieck school, such as quasihomeomorphisms, strongly dense subsets and sober spaces.

If  $X$  is a topological space, we denote by  $\mathfrak{D}(X)$  the set of all open subsets of  $X$ . Recall that a continuous map  $q: Y \rightarrow Z$  is called a *quasihomeomorphism* if  $U \mapsto q^{-1}(U)$  defines a bijection  $\mathfrak{D}(Z) \rightarrow \mathfrak{D}(Y)$ . A subset  $S$  of a topological space  $X$  is said to be *strongly dense* in  $X$ , if  $S$  meets every nonempty locally closed subset of  $X$ . Thus, a subset  $S$  of  $X$  is strongly dense if and only if the canonical embedding  $S \hookrightarrow X$  is a quasihomeomorphism. It is well-known that a continuous map  $q: X \rightarrow Y$  is a quasihomeomorphism if and only if the topology of  $X$  is the inverse image by  $q$  of that of  $Y$  and the subset  $q(X)$  is strongly dense in  $Y$  [6].

A subspace  $Y$  of  $X$  is called *irreducible*, if each nonempty open subset of  $Y$  is dense in  $Y$  (equivalently, if  $C_1$  and  $C_2$  are two closed subsets of  $X$  such that  $Y \subseteq C_1 \cup C_2$ , then  $Y \subseteq C_1$  or  $Y \subseteq C_2$ ). Let  $C$  be a closed subset of a space  $X$ ; we say that  $C$  has a *generic point* if there exists  $x \in C$  such that  $C = \overline{\{x\}}$ . Recall that a topological space  $X$  is said to be *sober* if any nonempty irreducible closed subset of  $X$  has a unique generic point.

The main result of this section is Theorem 1.5. Before stating it, we need a sequence of lemmas.

Lemma 1.1 ([3]). Let  $X$  be a topological space. Then the following properties hold:

- (1) if  $X$  is a  $T_0$ -space which has a basis of compact open subsets, then  $Gold(X)$  is strongly dense in  $X$ ;
- (2) if  $Gold(X)$  is strongly dense in  $X$ , then it is the smallest strongly dense subset of  $X$ .

Lemma 1.2 (Never two without three). Let  $p: X \rightarrow Y$  and  $q: Y \rightarrow Z$  be two continuous maps. If two among the three maps  $(p, q, q \circ p)$  are quasihomomorphisms, then so is the third one.

Lemma 1.3. Let  $X$  be a topological space and  $A$  a strongly dense subset of  $X$ . Then  $A$  is strongly dense in each subspace of  $X$  containing  $A$ .

Proof. The proof is straightforward; but I would like to check it in terms of quasihomomorphisms. Clearly,  $B$  is strongly dense in  $X$ . Hence, the canonical embeddings  $i: A \hookrightarrow X$ ,  $j: B \hookrightarrow X$  are quasihomomorphisms. If we let  $t: A \hookrightarrow B$  be the canonical embedding, then  $i = j \circ t$ . By Lemma 1.2,  $t: A \hookrightarrow B$  is a quasihomomorphism; this means that  $A$  is strongly dense in  $B$ .

Lemma 1.4 ([3]). Let  $q: X \rightarrow Y$  be a quasihomomorphism. Then the following properties hold:

- (1) if  $X$  is a  $T_0$ -space, then  $q$  is injective;
- (2) if  $X$  is sober and  $Y$  is a  $T_0$ -space, then  $q$  is a homeomorphism.

Now, we are in a position to state our main result.

Theorem 1.5. Let  $X$  be a  $T_0$ -space and  $Y$  be a topological space such that  $Gold(X)$  is strongly dense in  $X$  and  $Gold(Y)$  is strongly dense in  $Y$ . Let  $q: X \rightarrow Y$  be a quasihomomorphism. Then the following properties hold:

- (a)  $q(Gold(X)) = Gold(q(X)) = Gold(Y)$ ;
- (b) the induced map  $q_G: Gold(X) \rightarrow Gold(Y)$  which carries  $x$  to  $q(x)$  is a homeomorphism.

Proof.

(a) Let us consider the map  $q_1: X \rightarrow q(X)$  induced by  $q$ . Let  $j: q(X) \rightarrow Y$  be the canonical embedding; then  $j$  is a quasihomomorphism. Since  $q = j \circ q_1$ , we get  $q_1$  a quasihomomorphism (by “Never two without three”). Now, since  $X$  is a  $T_0$ -space,  $q_1$  is injective, by Lemma 1.4. Thus,  $q_1$  is a bijective quasihomomorphism; so that it is a homeomorphism.

It follows that  $Gold(q(X)) = q_1(Gold(X)) = q(Gold(X))$ . Since  $X$  is homeomorphic to  $q(X)$ ,  $Gold(q(X))$  is strongly dense in  $q(X)$ . But  $q(X)$  is strongly dense in  $Y$ ; this forces  $Gold(q(X))$  to be strongly dense in  $Y$ .

On the other hand,  $Gold(Y)$  is the smallest strongly dense subset of  $Y$  (see Lemma 1.1); this yields

$$Gold(Y) \subseteq Gold(q(X)) = q(Gold(X)) \subseteq q(X).$$

By Lemma 1.3,  $Gold(Y)$  is strongly dense in  $q(X)$ ; but Lemma 1.1 says that  $Gold(q(X))$  is the smallest strongly dense subset of  $q(X)$ ; consequently,  $Gold(q(X)) \subseteq Gold(Y)$ . We conclude that  $q(Gold(X)) = Gold(q(X)) = Gold(Y)$ .

(b) Since the induced map  $q_1: X \rightarrow q(X)$  is a homeomorphism and  $q_1(Gold(X)) = Gold(Y)$ , the mapping  $q_G: Gold(X) \rightarrow Gold(Y)$  defined by  $x \mapsto q(x)$  is also a homeomorphism.

Proposition 1.6. Every quasihomomorphism between two  $T_D$ -spaces is a homeomorphism.

Proof. It follows immediately from Theorem 1.5 (b).

Note also that one may give an easy direct proof. Indeed, let  $q: X \rightarrow Y$  be a quasihomomorphism between two  $T_D$ -spaces. Hence,  $q$  is injective by Lemma 1.4. On the other hand,  $q(X)$  is strongly dense in  $Y$  and every point set of  $Y$  is locally closed; so that  $q(X) = Y$ . Thus,  $q$  is a bijective quasihomomorphism. Therefore,  $q$  is a homeomorphism.

The following concept, motivated by Proposition 1.6, proves to be useful.

Definition 1.7. Let  $\mathbf{C}$  be a category. By a *categoroid* of  $\mathbf{C}$  we mean a full subcategory of  $\mathbf{C}$  closed under isomorphisms in which all arrows are isomorphisms.

Theorem 1.4 and Definition 1.6 immediately give the following categorical properties of  $T_D$ -spaces.

Theorem 1.8. Let  $\mathbf{C}$  be the category where objects are topological spaces  $X$  such that  $Gold(X)$  is strongly dense in  $X$  and arrows are quasihomomorphisms. Let  $\mathbf{C}_1$  be the full subcategory of  $\mathbf{C}$  whose objects are  $T_D$ -spaces. Then  $\mathbf{C}_1$  is a coreflective categoroid of  $\mathbf{C}$ . The coreflector is  $\mu_X: Gold(X) \hookrightarrow X$ .

**Remark 1.9.**  $\mathbf{C}_1$  is strictly contained in  $\mathbf{C}$ . Let  $Y$  be an infinite set equipped with the cofinite topology and  $\omega \notin Y$ . Set  $X = Y \cup \{\omega\}$  and equip it with the topology whose closed sets are  $X$  and the closed sets of  $Y$ . Clearly,  $\text{Gold}(X) = Y$  is strongly dense in  $X$ . However,  $X$  is not a  $T_D$ -space, since  $\{\omega\}$  is not locally closed.

**3. The Goldman Spectrum of a Ring.** Our next investigation of Theorem 1.5 is a new proof of our main result of [4], which gives an intrinsic topological characterization of the Goldman spectrum of a commutative ring.

We need to recall the the notion of soberification of a topological space which proved to play an important part in the next theorem.

Let  $X$  be a topological space and  $\mathbb{S}(X)$  the set of all nonempty irreducible closed subsets of  $X$  [6]. Let  $U$  be an open subset of  $X$  and set

$$\tilde{U} = \{C \in \mathbb{S}(X) \mid U \cap C \neq \emptyset\}.$$

Then the collection  $(\tilde{U}, U \text{ is an open subset of } X)$  provides a topology on  $\mathbb{S}(X)$  and the following properties hold [6]:

- (i) the map  $\mu_X: X \rightarrow \mathbb{S}(X)$  which carries  $x$  to  $\overline{\{x\}}$  is a quasihomomorphism;
- (ii)  $\mathbb{S}(X)$  is a sober space.

The topological space  $\mathbb{S}(X)$  is called the *soberification* of  $X$ .

Before stating the result which characterizes goldspectral spaces, let us give a straightforward remark.

**Remark 2.1.** If  $q: X \rightarrow Y$  is a quasihomomorphism, then the following properties hold:

- (a) Let  $U$  be an open subset of  $Y$ , then  $U$  is compact if and only if  $q^{-1}(U)$  is compact.
- (b)  $X$  has a basis of compact open subsets closed under finite intersections if and only if so is  $Y$ .

**Theorem 2.2.** Let  $X$  be a topological space. Then  $X$  is goldspectral if and only if  $X$  satisfies the following properties:

- (a)  $X$  is compact;
- (b)  $X$  has a basis of compact open subsets;
- (c) the intersection of two compact open subsets is compact;
- (d)  $X$  is a  $T_D$ -space.

Proof.

• For each ring  $R$ ,  $Gold(R) = Gold(Spec(R))$  is a  $T_D$ -space. Since the canonical embedding  $Gold(R) \hookrightarrow Spec(R)$  is a quasihomeomorphism and  $Spec(R)$  satisfies properties (a), (b), and (c), then so is  $Gold(R)$ , by Remark 2.1.

• Conversely, let  $X$  be a space satisfying properties (a), (b), (c), and (d). Let  $\mathbb{S}(X)$  be the soberification of  $X$  and  $\mu_X: X \rightarrow \mathbb{S}(X)$  defined by  $\mu_X(x) = \{x\}$  the canonical embedding of  $X$  into  $\mathbb{S}(X)$ .

According to Theorem 1.5,  $X$  is homeomorphic to  $Gold(\mathbb{S}(X))$ . Thus, Remark 2.1 implies immediately that  $\mathbb{S}(X)$  is a spectral space, completing the proof.

Acknowledgement. I wish to thank the referee for his valuable suggestions. I also thank Professors H. P. Kunzi and G. Brümer from the University of Cape Town (South Africa) for their comments on some categorical properties about  $T_D$ -spaces.

References

1. C. E. Aull and W. J. Thron, "Separation Axioms Between  $T_0$  and  $T_1$ ," *Indag. Math.*, 24 (1962), 26–37.
2. G. Brümmer, "Initial Quasi-Uniformities," *Indag. Math.*, 31 (1969), 403–409.
3. E. Bouacida, O. Echi, G. Picavet, and E. Salhi, "An Extension Theorem for Sober Spaces and the Goldman Topology," *Int. J. Math. Math. Sc.*, 51 (2003), 3217–3239.
4. O. Echi, "A Topological Characterization of the Goldman Prime Spectrum of a Commutative Ring," *Comm. Algebra*, 28 (2000), 2329–2337.
5. O. Goldman, "Hilbert Rings and the Hilbert Nullstellensatz," *Math. Z.*, 54 (1951), 136–140.
6. A. Grothendieck and J. Dieudonné, *Eléments de Géométrie Algébrique*, Die Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften, Vol. 166, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1971.
7. H. Herrlich, G. E. Strecker, *Category Theory. An Introduction*, second edition, Sigma Series in Pure Mathematics 1, Heldermann Verlag, Berlin, 1979.
8. M. Hochster, "Prime Ideal Structure in Commutative Rings," *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 142 (1969), 43–60.
9. M. Hochster, "The Minimal Prime Spectrum of a Commutative Ring," *Canad. J. Math.*, 23 (1971), 749–758.

10. S. MacLane, *Categories for the Working Mathematician*, Springer, New York, 1971.
11. W. Krull, “Jacobsonsche Ringe, Hilbertscher Nullstellensatz Dimensionentheorie,” *Math. Z.*, 54 (1951), 354–387.

Mathematics Subject Classification (2000): 54B30, 54D10, 54F65

Othman Echi  
Department of Mathematics  
Faculty of Sciences of Tunis  
University of Tunis El-Manar  
“Campus Universitaire” 2092 El-Manar II  
Tunis, TUNISIA  
email: othechi@yahoo.com  
email: othechi@math.com