## RINGS WHOSE MODULES REQUIRE AN INVARIANT NUMBER OF MINIMAL GENERATORS

## William H. Rant

Abstract. We examine rings having the property that, for each minimally generated module, the number of elements in a minimal generator set is invariant.

**Introduction.** Let R be a ring. A left R-module M is a minimally generated module if M contains a subset  $S = \{m_i : i \in I\}$  that generates M, and for each  $i, m_i \notin \text{span}\{m_j : j \neq i\}$ . The set S is a minimal generator set (abbreviated as "mgs") of M. Every left module of a left perfect ring has a minimal generator set. A ring R has the left invariant minimality property (IMP) and is call an IMP ring if, for each minimally generated left R-module M, the number of elements in each minimal generator set of M is invariant. The prefix "left" (IMP) is presumed throughout. Unless stated to the contrary, a module is presumed to be a left module. Every module is presumed to be unitary and every ring has an identity. Throughout, "R" denotes a ring and "J" denotes the Jacobson radical of R.

<u>Theorem 1</u>. A ring R has IMP if and only if R is a local ring.

<u>Proof.</u> Assume R is a local ring with  $J = \operatorname{Rad}(R)$ . Let  $S = \{m_i : i \in I\}$  and  $T = \{t_k : k \in K\}$  be minimal generator sets of a minimally generated R-module M. In M/JM we let  $S^{\wedge} = \{m_i + JM : i \in I\}$  and  $T^{\wedge} = \{t_k + JM : k \in K\}$ . We will show that the canonical map  $p: S \to S^{\wedge}$ , where  $p(m_i) = m_i + JM$ , for all  $i \in I$ , is a bijection. Suppose that  $p(m_k) = p(m_n)$  for some  $k \neq n$ , then  $m_k + JM = m_n + JM$ , so  $m_k = m_n + \sum r_i m_i$  for some  $r_i \in J$ , thus  $(1 - r_k)m_k = \sum_{i \neq k} r_i m_i + m_n$ . Since  $r_k \in J = \operatorname{Rad}(R), r_k$  is a unit.  $m_k = \sum_{i \neq k} (1 - r_k)^{-1} r_i m_i + (1 - r_k)^{-1} m_n$ . This is a contradiction since S is a minimal generator set of M. So p is injective (and clearly surjective). So  $\operatorname{card}(S^{\wedge}) = \operatorname{card}(S)$ .

Now we show that  $S^{\wedge}$  is a basis for the vector space M/JM over the division ring R/J. Clearly  $S^{\wedge}$  generates M/JM. Suppose that  $S^{\wedge}$  is not a minimal generator set of M/JM. Then for some  $k, m_k + JM = \sum_{i \neq k} (a_i + J)(m_i + JM)$ , so  $m_k = \sum_{i \neq k} a_i m_i + \sum_i r_i m_i$  for some  $r_i \in J$ . Hence,  $m_k = \sum_{i \neq k} (1 - r_k)^{-1} (a_i + r_i) m_i$ , a contradiction since  $S = \{m_i : i \in I\}$  is a minimal generator set of M. We have shown that  $S^{\wedge}$  is a basis of M/JM and that  $\operatorname{card}(S^{\wedge}) = \operatorname{card}(S)$ . In the same manner it can be shown that the mapping  $t_j \to t_j + RM$  is a bijection from T to  $T^{\wedge}$  (so  $\operatorname{card}(T^{\wedge}) = \operatorname{card}(T)$ ), and that  $T^{\wedge}$  is a basis of M/JM over R/J. Since any two bases of a vector space have the same cardinality, we know that  $\operatorname{card}(S^{\wedge}) = \operatorname{card}(T^{\wedge})$ . Thus,  $\operatorname{card}(S) = \operatorname{card}(T)$ . Hence, the ring R has IMP.

For the converse, assume that R has IMP. To show that R is a local ring we will show that R has only one maximal left ideal. Let  $M_1$  be a maximal left ideal of R. Suppose  $x \in R - M_1$ . We will show that x is a unit. Since  $R = M_1 + Rx$ , 1 = r + axfor some  $r \in M_1$ ,  $a \in R$ ; so  $\{r, x\}$  generates R. Now  $\{1\}$  is a minimal generator set of R with one element, and since R has IMP, every mgs of R must have exactly one element. Thus,  $\{r\}$  or  $\{x\}$  is a mgs of R. Now  $\{r\}$  does not generate R. So  $\{x\}$ is a mgs of R. Hence, 1 = yx for some  $y \in R$ . Suppose  $xy \neq 1$ . Then 1 - xy = eis a non-trivial idempotent and  $R = Re \oplus R(1 - e)$ . Hence,  $\{e, 1 - e\}$  is a minimal generator set of R with two elements, a contradiction. Hence, xy = 1; so x is a unit. Therefore, R has only one maximal left ideal. This proves that R is a local ring [1].

<u>Definition</u>. Let C be a class of R-modules. A ring R has the invariant minimality property for modules in C if, for each minimally generated R-module in C, the number of elements in a minimal generator set is invariant.

## <u>Comments</u>.

- (A) The proof of Theorem 1 shows that the following are equivalent:
  - (1) Ring R has IMP;
  - (2) Ring R has IMP for the class of cyclic R-modules;

(3) Ring R has IMP for the class of free R-modules;

(4) Every minimal generator set of the module  $_RR$  contains exactly one element.

- (B) Ring R has the left IMP if and only if ring R has the right IMP (since both conditions are equivalent to R being a local ring).
- (C) The existence of a minimal generator set for each R-module does not imply that the ring R has IMP. Let R be a left perfect ring that is not a local ring. Every left R-module of a left perfect ring has a mgs [5], but R doesn't have IMP.

Corollary 1. A ring R has IMP if and only if the ring R/J has IMP.

<u>Proof.</u> R is a local ring if and only if R/J is a local ring. The statement follows from Theorem 1.

<u>Corollary 2</u>. Let R be a ring such that each left R-module has a minimal generator set. Then, R has IMP if and only if R is a left perfect local ring.

<u>Proof.</u> Assume R has IMP. Then R is a local ring. Let M be a non-zero R-module. The non-zero, minimally generated module M contains a maximal submodule [5], thus,  $JM \subseteq \operatorname{Rad}(M) \neq M \Rightarrow M \neq JM$ . Hence,  $J = \operatorname{Rad}(R)$  is left T-nilpotent [1]. Since ring R/J is semisimple (being a division ring) and J is left T-nilpotent, R is a left perfect ring [1]. Conversely, if we assume that R is a local ring then R has IMP by Theorem 1.

Corollary 3. Let R be a semisimple ring. Then R has IMP if and only if R is a division ring.

<u>Proof.</u> Assume R is a semisimple ring with IMP. Since R is a semisimple ring, J = Rad(R) = 0. Since R has IMP, R is a local ring; thus,  $R/J = R/\{0\}$  is a division ring. Hence, R is a division ring.

For the converse, if we assume that R is a division ring, then R is a local ring, which implies R has IMP.

In [4] a ring is defined to be an invariant basis number (IBN) ring if for every free module, the number of elements in a basis is invariant. It is proven as a consequence of [4] that a local ring is an invariant basis number ring. This result follows directly from Theorem 1.

Corollary 4. If R is a local ring, then R is an invariant basis number ring.

<u>Proof.</u> If R is a local ring then R has IMP by Theorem 1. Since a basis for a free left R-module F is also a minimal generator set of F, it follows that any two bases of F must have the same cardinality. So R is an IBN-ring.

<u>Definition</u>. Let M be an R-module. M is finitely related if there exist an exact sequence  $0 \to G \to F \to M \to 0$  of finitely generated R-modules F and G, with F free.

<u>Definition</u>. Let M be a minimally generated R-module and let  $S = \{m_i : i \in I\}$ be a mgs of M. Let F be a free R-module with basis  $\{f_i : i \in I\}$ . Consider the epimorphism  $p: F \to M$  defined by  $\sum a_i f_i \to \sum a_i m_i$  and let  $K = \ker(f)$ . If for some mgs S of M, K is a minimally generated R-module, then we define M to be a minimally related A-module.

It is known that every finitely related flat module is projective [4]. Over a local ring this extends to a minimally related flat module.

<u>Theorem 2</u>. Every non-zero, flat, minimally related module of a local ring is a free module.

<u>Proof.</u> Let M be a non-zero, flat, minimally related R-module of a local ring R. Let  $S = \{m_i : i \in Q\}$  be a mgs of M and let F be a free module with basis  $\{f_i : i \in Q\}$  such that the homomorphism  $f: F \to M$  defined by  $\sum a_i f_i \to \sum a_i m_i$  has a minimally generated kernel K. Let  $x = \sum a_i f_i \in K$ . Since S is a mgs of M, each  $a_i$  is a non-unit, and since R is a local ring, each  $a_i$  must be in J = Rad(R), so

K is contained in JF. Since M is a flat module,  $K \cap JF = JK$ , so K = JK. Assume that  $K \neq 0$ . Because K is minimally generated, K has a maximal submodule, so  $K \neq \text{Rad}K$ , and thus,  $K \neq JK$ ; a contradiction. Therefore, K = 0, so  $F \approx M$ , and it follows that M is a free module.

## References

- F. W. Anderson and K. R. Fuller, *Rings and Categories of Modules*, 1st ed., Springer-Verlag, New York, 1974.
- H. Bass, "Finitistic Dimension and a Homological Generalization of Semiprimary Rings," Transactions of the American Mathematical Society, 95 (1960), 466–488.
- 3. C. Faith, Algebra II: Ring Theory, 1st ed., Springer-Verlag, New York, 1976.
- C. Faith, Algebra I: Rings, Modules, and Categories, corrected reprint, 1st ed., Springer-Verlag, New York, 1981.
- W. H. Rant, "Minimally Generated Modules," Canad. Math. Bull., 23 (1980), 103–105.
- W. H. Rant, "Left Perfect Rings That are Right Perfect and a Characterization of Steinitz Rings," *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, 32 (1972), 81–84.

William H. Rant Department of Natural Sciences and Mathematics Lincoln University Jefferson City, MO 65101 email: rantw@lincolnu.edu