

**INDUCED FIBRATIONS ON SPACES OF FIBER
TRANSFERRING MAPS: THE C^r CASE**

Manolis Magiropoulos

Abstract. For C^r differentiable manifolds E and B of the same dimension, and $\pi: E \rightarrow B$ a local C^r diffeomorphism and onto, we examine, as with the topological case, the extent to which some basic properties of π are inherited by the induced map p on spaces of fiber transferring maps with respect to π .

If E and B are two connected, C^r differentiable manifolds ($r = 1, 2, \dots, \infty$) of the same dimension, and $\pi: E \rightarrow B$ is a local C^r diffeomorphism and onto, then one can consider the C^r counterparts of the spaces $C_\pi(E)$, $H_\pi(E)$, $\text{Top}_\pi(E)$, $C(B)$, $H(B)$ and $\text{Top}(B)$ considered in [2]. The new spaces are denoted by $C_\pi^r(E)$, $H_\pi^r(E)$, $\text{Diff}_\pi^r(E)$, $C^r(B)$, $H^r(B)$, and $\text{Diff}^r(B)$, respectively. They are all given the weak or the strong C^r topology [1]. The two topologies coincide for compact manifolds. The proofs which follow, cover the case r is finite. However, all the results hold also for $r = \infty$, by the way weak and strong C^∞ topologies are defined [1].

Proposition 1. The obvious maps $p: C_\pi^r(E) \rightarrow C^r(B)$, $p: H_\pi^r(E) \rightarrow H^r(B)$, and $p: \text{Diff}_\pi^r(E) \rightarrow \text{Diff}^r(B)$ are well defined and continuous.

Proof. We work with the first map.

To prove that p is well-defined, means that if $\bar{f} \in C_\pi^r(E)$, then $p(\bar{f}) = f \in C^r(B)$; but, locally, $f = \pi \circ \bar{f} \circ \pi^{-1}$, and so it is C^r as a composition of C^r maps. Trivially, this implies that f is globally C^r and, thus, well defined.

For $\bar{f} \in C_\pi^r(E)$, let $N^r(\{U_i, \phi_i\}, \{K_i\}, \{V_i, \psi_i\}, \{\epsilon_i\}, i \in \Lambda)$ be a basic neighborhood of f [1].

Let us fix a U_i from the collection $\{U_i\}_{i \in \Lambda}$ (this collection is locally finite); let $k \in K_i$; then $f(k) \in V_i$. We take now $e, e_1 \in E$ such that $\bar{f}(e) = e_1 \in \pi^{-1}(f(k))$ and $e \in \pi^{-1}(k)$. There exists a chart \tilde{V}_i^k of e_1 such that $\pi(\tilde{V}_i^k) \subset V_i$, and $\pi: \tilde{V}_i^k \rightarrow \pi(\tilde{V}_i^k)$ is a C^r diffeomorphism. We can find a chart \tilde{W}_i^k around e such that $\bar{f}(\tilde{W}_i^k) \subset \tilde{V}_i^k$, and a chart U_i^k around k , with a compact neighborhood C_i^k of k such that $C_i^k \subset U_i^k \subset U_i$, and $\pi(\tilde{W}_i^k) = U_i^k$, with $\pi: \tilde{W}_i^k \rightarrow U_i^k$ a C^r diffeomorphism. Then $f(U_i^k) \subset \pi(\tilde{V}_i^k)$. We consider now $\pi^{-1}(C_i^k) \cap \tilde{W}_i^k$. If we repeat this for each $k \in K_i$, we can get a finite cover of K_i with $U_i^{k_l}$ and $C_i^{k_l}$, $l = 1, 2, \dots, j_i$, ($C_i^{k_l} \subset U_i^{k_l}$). The collection $\{\tilde{W}_i^{k_l}\}_{i \in \Lambda, l=1, 2, \dots, j_i}$ is locally finite as is easily seen,

and $\bar{f}(\pi^{-1}(C_i^{k_l}) \cap \tilde{W}_i^{k_l}) \subset \tilde{V}_i^{k_l}$. We consider the collection $\{\epsilon_i^{k_l}\}_{i \in \Lambda, l=1,2,\dots,j_i}$ of positive numbers, where $\epsilon_i^{k_l} = \epsilon_i$. Then, for the basic neighborhood $N^r(\{\tilde{W}_i^{k_l}, \phi_i \circ \pi\}, \{\pi^{-1}(C_i^{k_l}) \cap \tilde{W}_i^{k_l}\}, \{\tilde{V}_i^{k_l}, \psi_i \circ \pi\}, \{\epsilon_i^{k_l}\}, i \in \Lambda, l = 1, 2, \dots, j_i)$ of \bar{f} , we have that if \bar{g} belongs to this neighborhood, then $g = p(\bar{g})$ satisfies: $g(K_i) \subset V_i, i \in \Lambda$. Let $x \in (\phi_i \circ \pi)(\pi^{-1}(C_i^{k_l}) \cap \tilde{W}_i^{k_l}) = \phi_i(C_i^{k_l})$; then, for $s = 0, \dots, r, \|D^s(\psi_i \circ \pi \circ \bar{f} \circ \pi^{-1} \circ \phi_i^{-1})(x) - D^s(\psi_i \circ \pi \circ \bar{g} \circ \pi^{-1} \circ \phi_i^{-1})(x)\| < \epsilon_i$. Since, locally, $\bar{f} = \pi^{-1} \circ f \circ \pi, \bar{g} = \pi^{-1} \circ g \circ \pi$, the above inequality leads to $\|D^s(\psi_i \circ f \circ \phi_i^{-1})(x) - D^s(\psi_i \circ g \circ \phi_i^{-1})(x)\| < \epsilon_i$, for $s = 0, \dots, r, x \in \phi_i(K_i)$; thus, $g \in N^r(\{U_i, \phi_i\}, \{K_i\}, \{V_i, \psi_i\}, \{\epsilon_i\}, i \in \Lambda)$, and p is continuous. The Proposition holds for the other two maps as an obvious consequence.

The following Lemma has an easy proof which is omitted.

Lemma 2. The set theoretical inclusion $i: C^r(B) \rightarrow C(B)$ ($H^r(B) \rightarrow H(B), \text{Diff}^r(B) \rightarrow \text{Top}(B)$, respectively) is a continuous map if $C^r(B)$ ($H^r(B), \text{Diff}^r(B)$, respectively) is given the weak or the strong C^r topology.

For the following results, the spaces are given only the weak C^r topology.

Proposition 3. $C_\pi^r(E)$ is the fibered product of $i: C^r(B) \rightarrow C(B)$, and $p: C_\pi(E) \rightarrow C(B)$. Similar statements hold for $H_\pi^r(E), \text{Diff}_\pi^r(E)$.

Proof. The fibered product of i and p is the subspace \mathcal{U} of $C^r(B) \times C_\pi(E)$ consisting of all pairs (f, \bar{f}) for which $f = p(\bar{f})$. We now show that the correspondence $\tau: C_\pi^r(E) \rightarrow \mathcal{U}$, given by $\tau(\bar{f}) = (f, \bar{f})$ is a homeomorphism. That it is 1-1, is immediate; to show that it is onto, all we have to show is that if $f \in C^r(B)$ has a lifting $\bar{f} \in C_\pi(E)$, then $\bar{f} \in C_\pi^r(E)$. Since π is a local C^r diffeomorphism, locally $\bar{f} = \pi^{-1} \circ f \circ \pi$, thus \bar{f} is C^r differentiable, and τ is onto. Now $\tau = p \times i$, where i is the inclusion $C_\pi^r(E) \rightarrow C_\pi(E)$ which, by an obvious modification of Lemma 2, is continuous. Since p is also continuous (Proposition 1), τ is continuous.

Finally, we have to show that τ^{-1} is continuous. Let $N^r(\{U_i, \phi_i\}, \{K_i\}, \{V_i, \psi_i\}, \{\epsilon_i\}, i \in I)$ be a basic neighborhood of $\bar{f} \in C_\pi^r(E)$ (here I is finite). We can always assume that $\pi: U_i \rightarrow \pi(U_i), \pi: V_i \rightarrow \pi(V_i)$ are C^r diffeomorphisms, for each $i \in I$. To see why this is so, let us say that U_i, V_i , for some i , do not have this property. Then, for each $k \in K_i$, consider a chart (W_k, ψ_i) around $\bar{f}(k)$, with $W_k \subset V_i$ and $\pi: W_k \rightarrow \pi(W_k)$ being a C^r diffeomorphism. By continuity of \bar{f} we may find a compact neighborhood C_k of k and a chart (U'_k, ϕ_i) around k such that $C_k \subset U'_k \subset U_i, \bar{f}(C_k) \subset W_k$, and $\pi: U'_k \rightarrow \pi(U'_k)$ is a C^r diffeomorphism. Now K_i is covered by a finite number of such C_k 's say $C_{k_1}, C_{k_2}, \dots, C_{k_j}$. We replace in our neighborhood,

U_i with $\{U'_{k_l}\}_{l=1}^j$, K_i with $\{C_{k_l}\}_{l=1}^j$, V_i with $\{W_{k_l}\}_{l=1}^j$, and the positive numbers corresponding to the new sets can all be taken equal to ϵ_i . The new neighborhood is obviously a subneighborhood of the old one and the charts satisfy the desired property.

We consider now the following neighborhood of (f, \bar{f}) in $C^r(B) \times C_\pi(E)$: $N^r(\{\pi(U_i), \phi_i \circ \pi^{-1}\}, \{\pi(K_i)\}, \{\pi(V_i), \psi_i \circ \pi^{-1}\}, \{\epsilon_i\}, i \in I) \times (\bigcap_{i \in I} S(K_i, V_i))$.

If (g, \bar{g}) is a member of \mathcal{U} which belongs to the above neighborhood, then on one hand $\bar{g}(K_i) \subset V_i$, and on the other hand, for $s = 0, 1, \dots, r$ and $x \in \phi_i(K_i)$, $\|D^s(\psi_i \circ \bar{f} \circ \phi_i^{-1})(x) - D^s(\psi_i \circ \bar{g} \circ \phi_i^{-1})(x)\| = \|D^s(\psi_i \circ \pi^{-1} \circ f \circ \pi \circ \phi_i^{-1})(x) - D^s(\psi_i \circ \pi^{-1} \circ g \circ \pi \circ \phi_i^{-1})(x)\| < \epsilon_i$, since $x \in \phi_i(K_i) = (\phi_i \circ \pi^{-1})(\pi(K_i))$, and locally (on U_i or V_i) π is a C^r diffeomorphism, so that π^{-1} makes sense. That is, \bar{g} belongs to the chosen neighborhood of \bar{f} , and τ^{-1} is continuous.

One should notice that since π has taken a local C^r diffeomorphism, it has the unique path lifting property. This, together with Propositions 1, 2, and 3 in [2], and Proposition 3 above gives:

Proposition 4. If $\pi: E \rightarrow B$ is a fibration (regular), then the maps $p: C_\pi^r(E) \rightarrow C^r(B)$, $H_\pi^r(E) \rightarrow H^r(B)$, $\text{Diff}_\pi^r(E) \rightarrow \text{Diff}^r(B)$ are all fibrations (regular).

The next theorem follows the C^r counterpart of Theorem 5 in [2].

Theorem 5. If $\pi: E \rightarrow B$ is a regular covering map, then so are the obvious maps $p: C_\pi^r(E) \rightarrow p(C_\pi^r(E))$, $H_\pi^r(E) \rightarrow p(H_\pi^r(E))$, $\text{Diff}_\pi^r(E) \rightarrow p(\text{Diff}_\pi^r(E))$.

Proof. By Theorem 5 in [2], the corresponding map $p: C_\pi(E) \rightarrow p(C_\pi(E))$ is a regular covering map. From Proposition 3 above, one immediately sees that $C_\pi^r(E)$ is the fibered product of $C_\pi(E) \rightarrow p(C_\pi(E))$ and the inclusion $p(C_\pi^r(E)) \rightarrow p(C_\pi(E))$; this proves the theorem for the C_π^r case. The other two cases are handled similarly.

Now let $\pi: E \rightarrow B$ be a regular fibration. If $G(C_\pi^r(E)/C^r(B))$, $G(H_\pi^r(E)/H^r(B))$, $G(\text{Diff}_\pi^r(E)/\text{Diff}^r(B))$ denote the groups of deck transformations of the induced fibrations p of Proposition 4, then we have:

Proposition 6. The following diagram is a commutative diagram of groups and group homomorphisms:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
G(E/B) & & & & \\
\downarrow i & & & & \\
G(C_\pi(E)/C(B)) & \xrightarrow{r} & G(H_\pi(E)/H(B)) & \xrightarrow{r} & G(\text{Top}_\pi(E)/\text{Top}(B)) \\
\downarrow r & & \downarrow r & & \downarrow r \\
G(C_\pi^r(E)/C^r(B)) & \xrightarrow{r} & G(H_\pi^r(E)/H^r(B)) & \xrightarrow{r} & G(\text{Diff}_\pi^r(E)/\text{Diff}^r(B)) \\
\uparrow i & & & & \\
G(E/B) & & & &
\end{array}$$

where r is defined in all cases by restriction to the corresponding subset, and the i 's are group embeddings given by $i(\bar{f})(\bar{h}) = \bar{f} \circ \bar{h}$.

Proof. The upper half of the diagram is just Proposition 4 in [2]. The horizontal r 's are well-defined homomorphisms by an obvious C^r modification of the argument given in the above mentioned proposition. We now show that the vertical r 's are well-defined homomorphisms. If $\bar{f} \in C_\pi^r(E)$, and $\sigma \in G(C_\pi(E)/C(B))$, then $\sigma(\bar{f}) \in C_\pi^r(E)$ (since $p(\bar{f}) \in C^r(B)$, all its liftings are members of $C_\pi^r(E)$ as we saw in the proof of Proposition 3; but $\sigma(\bar{f})$ is such a lifting of $f = p(\bar{f})$, proving the assertion). That $r(\sigma)$ is 1-1 and onto is straightforward (repeat similar argument in Proposition 4 in [2]). Continuity of $r(\sigma)$ follows from the fact that this map is the restriction of the map $1 \times \sigma: C^r(B) \times C_\pi(E) \rightarrow C^r(B) \times C_\pi(E)$ to the fibered product $C_\pi^r(E)$. Finally, $(r(\sigma))^{-1} = r(\sigma^{-1})$, which is continuous; thus, r is well defined. That it is a homomorphism is straightforward. The same proof works for the other two vertical r 's. We now show that the obvious map $i: G(E/B) \rightarrow G(\text{Diff}_\pi^r(E)/\text{Diff}^r(B))$ is a well-defined group embedding. We first notice that the elements of $G(E/B)$ are all the liftings of the identity $1: B \rightarrow B$; consequently, they are all C^r diffeomorphisms. This means that the map $\bar{f} \circ \bar{h}$ is C^r differentiable for $\bar{f} \in G(E/B)$, $\bar{h} \in \text{Diff}_\pi^r(E)$. By a similar argument given in Proposition 4 in [2], $i(\bar{f})$ is 1-1, onto. Continuity of $i(\bar{f})$ follows from the fact that it is the restriction of $1 \times i(\bar{f}): \text{Diff}^r(B) \times \text{Top}_\pi(E) \rightarrow \text{Diff}^r(B) \times \text{Top}_\pi(E)$ to the fibered product $\text{Diff}_\pi^r(E)$. Since $i(\bar{f})^{-1} = i(\bar{f}^{-1})$, $i(\bar{f})$ is bicontinuous, thus i is well defined (the same proof

works for $i:G(E/B) \rightarrow G(C_\pi^r(E)/C^r(B))$ and $i:G(E/B) \rightarrow G(H_\pi^r(E)/H^r(B))$. The same argument employed in Proposition 4 in [2], proves that i is a group embedding. Commutativity of the whole diagram is straightforward.

References

1. M. W. Hirsch, *Differential Topology*, Springer-Verlag, 1976.
2. M. Magiropoulos, "Induced Fibrations on Spaces of Fiber Transferring Maps," *Missouri Journal of Mathematical Sciences*, 12 (2000), 36–41.

Manolis Magiropoulos
General Department of School of Technological Applications
Technological Educational Institute of Heraklion
Stavromenos, Heraklion 71500
Crete, Greece
email: mageir@stef.feih.gr