## NOTE ON BIRATIONAL EXTENSIONS IN D-DIMENSION

## Mee-Kyoung Kim

**Abstract.** Let (R, m) be a *d*-dimensional regular local ring with quotient field K and (S, n) be a *d*-dimensional normal local domain birationally dominating R with l(mS) = d. In this paper, it is shown that the following three properties hold.

(1) S is dominated by the *m*-adic prime divisor of R;

(2)  $n^i \cap R = m^i$ , for all  $i \ge 1$ ;

(3) R/m = S/n.

1. Introduction. Let (R, m) be a *d*-dimensional regular local ring with quotient field K of R. A valuation v of K which birationally dominates R is called a prime divisor of R if tr.  $\deg_{R/m} V/m(V) = d - 1$ , where V is the corresponding valuation ring of v and m(V) denotes the maximal ideal of V. Then the order valuation  $v_m$  of K is called the *m*-adic prime divisor of R [7].

Let  $v_1, \ldots, v_r$  be elements of an ideal I in a local ring (T, p) and suppose that whenever  $f(X_1, \ldots, X_r)$  is a form of (arbitrary) degree s with coefficients in T such that  $f(v_1, \ldots, v_r) \equiv 0 \pmod{I^s p}$ , then all the coefficients of f are in p. In these circumstances, the elements  $v_1, \ldots, v_r$  are said to be analytically independent in I. Elements  $v_1, \ldots, v_r$  are said to be analytically independent if they are analytically independent in the ideal they generate. The analytic spread, l(I), of an ideal I in Tis the dimension of the graded ring  $\bigoplus_{\geq 0} I^n / p I^n$ . In [6], Northcott and Rees proved that if T/p is an infinite field, then l(I) is the maximum number of elements in Iwhich are analytically independent in I.

Let (S, n) be a 2-dimensional normal local domain birational dominating a 2dimensional regular local ring (R, m). In [2], Huneke and Sally showed that if R is maximally regular in S (i.e., if  $R \subseteq R_0 \subseteq S$  and  $R_0$  is a regular ring, then  $R = R_0$ ), then S is dominated by the *m*-adic prime divisor of R,  $n^i \cap R = m^i$  for all  $i \ge 1$ and the residue fields of the two rings are the same. We extend these results to the case of a dimension  $d \ge 3$  with an assumption l(mS) = d.

**2.** Main Theorems. In this section, (R, m) will denote a *d*-dimensional regular local ring with quotient field K and (S, n) will denote a *d*-dimensional normal local domain birationally dominating R (i.e.,  $R \subseteq S \subseteq K$  and  $n \cap R = m$ ). Let  $x_1, \ldots, x_d$  be a regular system of parameters for R; i.e.,  $m = (x_1, \ldots, x_d)$ .

Lemma 1. If l(mS) = d, then  $nS[x_2/x_1, \ldots, x_d/x_1]$  is a prime ideal in  $S[x_2/x_1, \ldots, x_d/x_1]$ .

<u>Proof.</u> Define the canonical homomorphism  $\phi$  from  $S[T_2, \ldots, T_d]$  onto  $S[x_2/x_1, \ldots, x_d/x_1]/nS[x_2/x_1, \ldots, x_d/x_1]$  by  $\phi(T_i) = x_i/x_1 + nS[x_2/x_1, \ldots, x_d/x_1]$  for  $i = 2, 3, \ldots, d$ , where  $T_2, \ldots, T_d$  are indeterminates. Since l(mS) = d,  $x_1, \ldots, x_d$  are analytically independent, and hence, Ker $\phi = n[T_2, \ldots, T_d]$ . Thus,  $nS[x_2/x_1, \ldots, x_d/x_1]$  is a prime ideal in  $S[x_2/x_1, \ldots, x_d/x_1]$ , by the First Isomorphism Theorem.

<u>Theorem 1</u>. If l(mS) = d, then S is dominated by the *m*-adic prime divisor of R.

<u>Proof.</u> Since l(mS) = d,  $nS[x_2/x_1, \ldots, x_d/x_1]$  is a prime ideal in  $S[x_2/x_1, \ldots, x_d/x_1]$ , by Lemma 1. Moreover, since  $n \cap R = m$ , we get that

$$nS\left[\frac{x_2}{x_1},\ldots,\frac{x_d}{x_1}\right] \cap R\left[\frac{x_2}{x_1},\ldots,\frac{x_d}{x_1}\right] = mR\left[\frac{x_2}{x_1},\ldots,\frac{x_d}{x_1}\right],$$

which is ht 1 prime and a principal ideal in  $R[x_2/x_1, \ldots, x_d/x_1]$ . Hence, we have the following local rings:

$$V = R\left[\frac{x_2}{x_1}, \dots, \frac{x_d}{x_1}\right]_{mR\left[\frac{x_2}{x_1}, \dots, \frac{x_d}{x_1}\right]} \subseteq W = S\left[\frac{x_2}{x_1}, \dots, \frac{x_d}{x_1}\right]_{nS\left[\frac{x_2}{x_1}, \dots, \frac{x_d}{x_1}\right]}.$$

Let m(V) and m(W) be the maximal ideals of V and W, respectively. Then,  $V \subseteq W \subseteq K$  and  $m(W) \cap V = m(V)$ . But, V is the discrete valuation of  $v_m$ , the *m*-adic prime divisor of R. Thus, V = W and

$$\begin{split} m(V) \cap S &= m(W) \cap S \\ &= nS \left[ \frac{x_2}{x_1}, \dots, \frac{x_d}{x_1} \right]_{nS[\frac{x_2}{x_1}, \dots, \frac{x_d}{x_1}]} \cap S \\ &= n. \end{split}$$

We remark that if l(mS) = d, then  $nS[x_2/x_1, \ldots, x_d/x_1]$  is a ht 1 prime ideal, since V = W in the proof of Theorem 1.

<u>Theorem 2</u>. If l(mS) = d, then  $n^i \cap R = m^i$ , for all  $i \ge 1$ .

<u>Proof.</u> Let  $V = R[x_2/x_1, \ldots, x_d/x_1]_{mR[x_2/x_1, \ldots, x_d/x_1]}$  be the discrete valuation of  $v_m$ , the *m*-adic prime divisor of *R*. Since l(mS) = d, we get that  $m(V) \cap S = n$  by Theorem 1. Thus, for any  $\alpha \in n$ ,

(\*) 
$$v_m(\alpha) \ge 1.$$

For each  $i \ge 1$ , let  $z \in n^i \cap R$ . Let us express

$$z = \sum_{j=1}^t a_{j_1} \alpha_{j_2} \cdots \alpha_{j_i},$$

where  $\alpha_{j_1}, \ldots, \alpha_{j_i} \in n$ . Then, by (\*),

$$v_m(z) \ge \min_{j=1,\dots,t} \{ v_m(\alpha_{j_1}\alpha_{j_2}\cdots\alpha_{j_i}) \}$$
$$= \min_{j=1,\dots,t} \{ v_m(\alpha_{j_1}) + \dots + v_m(\alpha_{j_i}) \}$$
$$\ge i.$$

By the definition of order valuation  $v_m$ ,  $z \in m^i$ . To see the other inclusion we will use an inductive argument.  $n \cap R = m$  by the hypothesis. Assume inductively that  $m^i \subseteq n^i \cap R$ . Then,

$$\begin{split} m^{i+1} &= mm^i \\ &\subseteq (n \cap R)(n^i \cap R) \\ &\subseteq n(n^i \cap R) \cap R(n^i \cap R) \\ &\subseteq nn^i \cap R \\ &= n^{i+1} \cap R. \end{split}$$

Thus,  $m^i \subseteq n^i \cap R$ , for all  $i \ge 1$ .

Corollary 1. If l(mS) = d, then the natural map from  $gr_m(R)$ , the associated graded ring of R, to  $gr_n(S)$ , the associated graded ring of S, is an injection.

<u>Proof.</u> It is clear, since  $n^i \cap R = m^i$ , for all  $i \ge 1$ .

<u>Theorem 3</u>. If l(mS) = d, then R/m = S/n.

<u>Proof.</u> By the Dimension Inequality [3], we have that

$$ht(n) \ge ht(m) + tr. \deg_R S - tr. \deg_{R/m} S/n,$$

where  $tr. \deg_R S$  is the transcendence degree of the field of fractions of S over that of R. Since S birationally dominates R and  $\dim(S) = \dim(R)$ ,  $tr. \deg_{R/m} S/n = 0$ . Let (V, m(V)) be the *m*-adic divisor of R. Then V/m(V) is a pure transcendental extension of R/m of transcendental degree d - 1, i.e.,  $tr. \deg_{R/m} V/m(V) = d - 1$ . By Theorem 1, we have the following injections:

$$\frac{R}{m} \hookrightarrow \frac{S}{n} \hookrightarrow \frac{V}{m(V)}$$

Hence, we have

$$tr.\deg_{R/m}V/m(V) = tr.\deg_{R/m}S/n + tr.\deg_{S/n}V/m(V)$$

Therefore,

$$tr. \deg_{S/n} V/m(V) = d - 1.$$

Hence, we get

$$\frac{R}{m}(Y_1,\ldots,Y_{d-1})\cong\frac{V}{m(V)}\cong\frac{S}{n}(Z_1,\ldots,Z_{d-1}),$$

where  $Y_i, Z_i$  are indeterminates. Since  $R/m \subseteq S/n$ , we have R/m = S/n.

Corollary 2. If l(mS) = d, then  $x_1, \ldots, x_d$  form a subset of a minimal basis for every ideal J of S containing mS.

<u>Proof.</u> By Theorem 2, we have the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{cccc} \frac{m}{m^2} & \hookrightarrow & \frac{n}{n^2} \\ \\ \parallel & & \uparrow \\ \frac{J \cap R}{(J \cap R)m} & \hookrightarrow & \frac{J}{nJ}. \end{array}$$

By Theorem 3,  $x_1, \ldots, x_d$  are linearly independent over S/n (= R/m). Hence,  $x_1, \ldots, x_d$  form a subset of a minimal basis for J.

<u>Lemma 2</u>. [2] Let (A, p) be a 2-dimensional regular local ring with quotient field k and (B, q) be a 2-dimensional normal local domain birationally dominating A. Suppose that A is maximally regular in S. Then

- (1) ht(pB) = 1;
- (2) pB is not a principal ideal;
- (3) l(pB) = 2.

Proof.

(1) We may assume that  $A \neq B$  and that A/p is infinite. By Zariski's Main Theorem [5], ht(pB) = 1.

(2) Suppose that pB is principal. Express

$$pB = \alpha B$$
, for some  $\alpha \in p = (\alpha, \beta)$ .

Then,  $\beta/\alpha \in B$ . Since  $A[\beta/\alpha]$  is a 2-dimension,  $q \cap A[\beta/\alpha]$  is either (i) a  $ht \ 2$  maximal ideal of  $A[\beta/\alpha]$  containing  $pA[\beta/\alpha]$  or (ii) a  $ht \ 1$  prime  $pA[\beta/\alpha]$ .

<u>Case i</u>. If  $q \cap A[\beta/\alpha]$  is a *ht* 2 maximal ideal of  $A[\beta/\alpha]$  containing  $pA[\beta/\alpha]$ , then  $C = A[\beta/\alpha]_{q \cap A[\beta/\alpha]}$  is the quadratic transformation of A, i.e., C is a 2-dimensional regular local ring such that  $A \subset C \subset B$ , which is a contradiction to the maximal regularity of A in B.

<u>Case ii</u>. If  $q \cap A[\beta/\alpha] = pA[\beta/\alpha]$  is a *ht* 1 prime ideal of  $A[\beta/\alpha]$ , then  $D = A[\beta/\alpha]_{pA[\beta/\alpha]}$  is a discrete valuation ring, i.e., D is a 1-dimensional regular local ring such that  $A \subset D \subset B$ , which is a contradiction to the maximal regularity of A in B.

(3) It is true that

$$ht(pB) \le l(pB) \le \dim(B).$$

The first inequality is Lemma 4 in [6] and the second inequality is a result of Burch [1]. Since dim(B) = 2 and ht(pB) = 1, l(pB) = 1 or 2. Suppose that l(pB) = 1. By Lemma 4.5 in [4], pB is principal, which is a contradiction to (2). Hence, l(pB) = 2.

Corollary 3. [2] Let (A, p) be a 2-dimensional regular local ring with quotient field  $\overline{k}$  and (B,q) be a 2-dimensional normal local domain birationally dominating A. Suppose that A is maximally regular in B. Then

(1) B is dominated by the p-adic prime divisor of A;

- (2)  $q^i \cap A = p^i$ , for all  $i \ge 1$ ;
- (3) A/p = B/q.

<u>Proof.</u> By Lemma 2, l(pB) = 2. Hence, (1), (2), and (3) are clear by Theorems 1, 2, and 3.

Acknowledgment. The author was partially supported by BSRI-96-1435.

## References

- L. Burch, "Codimension and Analytic Spread," Proc. Cambridge Phil. Soc., 72 (1972), 369–373.
- C. Huneke and J. Sally, "Birational Extensions in Dimension Two and Integrally Closed Ideals," J. of Algebra, 115 (1988), 418–500.
- H. Matsumura, *Commutative Ring Theory*, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Math. 8, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1986.
- S. McAdam, Asymptotic Prime Divisors, Lecture Notes in Math., Springer-Verlag, 1983.
- 5. M. Nagata, Local Ring, Interscience, New York, 1962.
- D. G. Northcott and D. Rees, "Reduction of Ideals in Local Rings," Proc. Cambridge Phil. Soc., 50 (1954), 145–158.
- O. Zariski and P. Samuel, *Commutative Algebra*, Vol. II, Von Nostrand, Princeton, 1960.

Mee-Kyoung Kim Department of Mathematics Sung Kyun Kwan University Suwan 440-746, Korea email: mkkim@yurim.skku.ac.kr æ