## ITERATIONS ON CONVEX QUADRILATERALS

Tamela Underwood

Southern Illinois University at Carbondale

Mangho Ahuja

Southeast Missouri State University

1. Introduction. The object of this paper is to study the effect of the repeated applications of a particular process  $\mathcal{P}$ , when it is performed on an arbitrary (convex) quadrilateral. The process is described below.

<u>Process</u>  $\mathcal{P}$ . Given a quadrilateral ABCD, we construct squares on the sides AB, BC, CD, and DA [Fig. 1]. All four squares are constructed on the outside of ABCD. Let  $P_1$ ,  $Q_1$ ,  $R_1$ , and  $S_1$  denote the centers of the squares on the sides AB, BC, CD, and DA, respectively. By joining the centers of the squares a new quadrilateral  $P_1Q_1R_1S_1$  is obtained. The process of obtaining quadrilateral  $P_1Q_1R_1S_1$  from quadrilateral ABCD is defined as the process  $\mathcal{P}$ .

We will denote  $P_1Q_1R_1S_1$  by  $\mathcal{P}[ABCD]$  and also by  $\Pi_1$ . In general  $P_nQ_nR_nS_n$  and  $\Pi_n$  will denote the quadrilateral obtained by applying the process *n* times. In Proposition 1 we will prove that the quadrilateral  $P_1Q_1R_1S_1$  has the following properties:

(i)  $P_1R_1 = Q_1S_1$ , i.e. the diagonals are equal, and

(ii)  $P_1R_1$  is perpendicular to  $Q_1S_1$ , i.e. the diagonals are perpendicular.

We note that properties (i) and (ii) are not sufficient to make  $P_1Q_1R_1S_1$  a square. For our purpose we may define a square as follows. A quadrilateral PQRS is a square if it has the following three properties:

(i) PR = QS,

(ii) PR is perpendicular to QS,

(iii) the diagonals PR and QS bisect each other.

We have seen that just one application of process  $\mathcal{P}$  transforms an arbitrary quadrilateral into one which satisfies two of the three properties for a square. One wonders what effect repeated applications of  $\mathcal{P}$  would have on *ABCD*. Since  $\Pi_1$  satisfies (i) and (ii), it is obvious that every quadrilateral  $\Pi_n$  will also satisfy (i) and (ii). Let  $M_n$  and  $N_n$  denote the midpoints of the diagonals  $P_n R_n$  and  $Q_n S_n$  respectively, and let  $s_n$  denote the distance  $M_n N_n$ . Since every  $\Pi_n$  satisfies (i) and (ii),  $\Pi_n$  will be a square if and only if the diagonals bisect each other, i.e. if and only if  $s_n = 0$ .

We also note that with each application of process  $\mathcal{P}$  the quadrilateral increases in size. Let  $d_n$  denote the length of the diagonals (both diagonals have the same length) of  $\Pi_n$ , and let  $s'_n = \frac{s_n}{d_n}$ . To measure the effect of  $\mathcal{P}$  on  $\Pi_n$ , we should compare quadrilaterals of the same size. This means that we should not compare  $s_n$  with  $s_{n+1}$ , but we should compare  $s'_n$  with  $s'_{n+1}$ . We would like to know if the quadrilaterals  $\Pi_n$  obtained by n applications of  $\mathcal{P}$  would 'approach a square' i.e. whether  $s'_n$  goes to 0 as n tends to infinity. We will explore this question and also study other effects of  $\mathcal{P}$ .

**2.** We now give an analytic proof of Proposition 1. For a proof using rotations of triangles, see [1].

<u>Proposition 1</u>. Let *ABCD* be an arbitrary polygon and  $P_1Q_1R_1S_1$  be the polygon obtained by applying process  $\mathcal{P}$ . Then the diagonals  $P_1R_1$  and  $Q_1S_1$  are equal and perpendicular.

<u>Proof.</u> Choosing the axes as shown in Fig. 2, let the coordinates of the vertices be given by A = (0,0), B = (a,b), C = (c,d), and D = (e,0). Without loss of generality assume b > d. Also c > a (convexity). We construct square BCB'C' on the outside of BC and denote its center by  $Q_1$ . Let CN and C'N' be perpendiculars on the vertical line through B(a,b). The right triangles BCN and C'BN' are congruent (BC = BC', also angles CBN and C'BN' are complementary, making angles BCN and C'BN' equal). Then CN = BN' = c - a, and BN = C'N' = b - d. This gives C' = (a + b - d, -a + b + c), and the midpoint of CC',

$$Q_1 = \left(\frac{a+b+c-d}{2}, \frac{-a+b+c+d}{2}\right)$$

Using the same method we find the centers of the other squares,

$$P_1 = \left(\frac{a-b}{2}, \frac{a+b}{2}\right),$$
$$R_1 = \left(\frac{c+d+e}{2}, \frac{-c+d+e}{2}\right)$$
$$S_1 = \left(\frac{e}{2}, \frac{-e}{2}\right).$$

The lengths and the slopes of the diagonals are as follows:

$$P_1 R_1 = \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{(a-b-c-d-e)^2 + (a+b+c-d-e)^2} = Q_1 S_1.$$

The slope of  $P_1R_1$  is

$$\frac{a+b+c-d-e}{a-b-c-d-e}$$

and the slope of  $Q_1S_1$  is

$$\frac{-a+b+c+d+e}{a+b+c-d-e}.$$

This shows that the diagonals  $P_1R_1$  and  $Q_1S_1$  are equal and perpendicular, which completes the proof.

From Proposition 1, we note that  $M_1$ ,  $N_1$ , the midpoints of  $P_1R_1$  and  $Q_1S_1$  are given by

$$M_1 = \frac{1}{4}(a - b + c + d + e, a + b - c + d + e)$$

and

$$N_1 = \frac{1}{4}(a+b+c-d+e, -a+b+c+d-e),$$

and the distance  $s_1 = M_1 N_1$  is given by

$$s_1^2 = \left(\frac{-b+d}{2}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{a-c+e}{2}\right)^2.$$

If the original quadrilateral ABCD was a parallelogram, then b = d, and c - e = a. This would make  $s_1 = 0$ , and  $P_1Q_1R_1S_1$  would be a square.

We now set the stage to study the process  $\mathcal{P}$  and the properties of the quadrilateral  $\Pi_n$ . Since the diagonals of  $\Pi_1$  are perpendicular, they will be chosen as the coordinate axes [Fig. 3]. As mentioned earlier,  $P_2Q_2R_2S_2$  will denote the quadrilateral obtained by applying  $\mathcal{P}$  to  $P_1Q_1R_1S_1$ . Let  $M_2$ ,  $N_2$  denote the midpoints of the diagonals  $P_2R_2$  and  $Q_2S_2$  respectively, and  $d_n$  denote the length (both diagonals have the same length) of the diagonals of the quadrilateral  $\Pi_n$ .

Proposition 2.

- (i) The diagonals of  $\Pi_2$  make a 45° angle with the diagonals of  $\Pi_1$ , and both sets of diagonals intersect at the same point,
- (ii) The line segments  $M_1N_1$ ,  $M_2N_2$  are equal and bisect each other,
- (iii)  $d_2 = \sqrt{2}d_1$ .

<u>Proof.</u> Let the coordinates of  $P_1$ ,  $Q_1$ ,  $R_1$ , and  $S_1$  be given by  $P_1 = (0, y_1)$ ,  $Q_1 = (x_1, 0)$ ,  $R_1 = (0, y_1 - d_1)$ ,  $S_1 = (x_1 - d_1, 0)$  (see Fig. 3). Then  $M_1 = (0, y_1 - \frac{1}{2}d_1)$ ,  $N_1 = (x_1 - \frac{1}{2}d_1, 0)$ . Using the same method as in Proposition 1, the vertices of  $\Pi_2$  are obtained as:

$$P_{2} = \left(\frac{x_{1} + y_{1}}{2}, \frac{x_{1} + y_{1}}{2}\right), \quad Q_{2} = \left(\frac{x_{1} - y_{1} + d_{1}}{2}, \frac{-x_{1} + y_{1} - d_{1}}{2}\right),$$
$$R_{2} = \left(\frac{x_{1} + y_{1}}{2} - d_{1}, \frac{x_{1} + y_{1}}{2} - d_{1}\right), \quad S_{2} = \left(\frac{x_{1} - y_{1} - d_{1}}{2}, \frac{-x_{1} + y_{1} + d_{1}}{2}\right).$$

Then

$$M_2 = \left(\frac{x_1 + y_1 - d_1}{2}, \frac{x_1 + y_1 - d_1}{2}\right), \quad N_2 = \left(\frac{x_1 - y_1}{2}, \frac{-x_1 + y_1}{2}\right).$$

We note that the points  $P_2$  and  $R_2$  satisfy the equation y = x, whereas the points  $Q_2$  and  $S_2$  satisfy the equation y = -x. In other words, the diagonals of  $\Pi_2$  lie along the lines  $y = \pm x$ . Thus they intersect at (0,0) and make a 45° angle with the previous set of diagonals. Using distance formula,

$$M_1 N_1^2 = \left(x_1 - \frac{1}{2}d_1\right)^2 + \left(y_1 - \frac{1}{2}d_1\right)^2,$$

and

$$M_2 N_2^2 = \left(y_1 - \frac{1}{2}d_1\right)^2 + \left(x_1 - \frac{1}{2}d_1\right)^2.$$

Thus,  $M_1N_1 = M_2N_2$ . Also,  $M_1N_1$  and  $M_2N_2$  have the same midpoint

$$G = \left(\frac{2x_1 - d_1}{4}, \frac{2y_1 - d_1}{4}\right).$$

Lastly,

$$d_2^2 = P_2 R_2^2$$
  
=  $\frac{1}{4} \left( \left( x_1 + y_1 - (x_1 + y_1 - 2d_1) \right)^2 + \left( (x_1 + y_1) - (x_1 + y_1 - 2d_1) \right)^2 \right)$   
=  $\frac{1}{4} \left( 4d_1^2 + 4d_1^2 \right) = 2d_1^2.$ 

Hence,  $d_2 = \sqrt{2}d_1$ . This completes the proof.

**3.** We come back to the question of whether the quadrilaterals  $\Pi_n$  'approach a square.' Here is our main theorem.

<u>Theorem</u>. With repeated applications of the process  $\mathcal{P}$  the quadrilaterals  $\Pi_n$  'approach a square,' i.e.  $s'_n$  approaches 0, as n goes to infinity.

<u>Proof.</u> From Proposition 2 we have  $M_1N_1 = M_2N_2$ , i.e.  $s_1 = s_2$ . This means that  $s_n = s_1$  for all n. Also,  $d_2 = \sqrt{2}d_1$  implies  $d_n = (\sqrt{2})^{n-1}d_1$ . Hence,

$$s'_n = \frac{s_n}{d_n} = \frac{s_1}{(\sqrt{2})^{n-1}d_1}$$

The quantity  $s'_n$  goes to 0 as *n* tends to infinity. In other words, the quadrilaterals  $\Pi_n$  approach a square with repeated applications of the process  $\mathcal{P}$ .

4. We now turn our attention to other effects of the process  $\mathcal{P}$ . The following observations are based on the information provided by Propositions 1 and 2.

- 1. The diagonals of every quadrilateral  $\Pi_n$  intersect at the same point.
- 2. The diagonals of every quadrilateral  $\Pi_n$  make a 45° angle with the diagonals of the previous one.
- 3. The centroid of every quadrilateral  $\Pi_n$  is the same point. We know that if the vertices of a quadrilateral are given by  $(x_i, y_i)$  for i = 1 to 4, then its centroid G is given by,

$$G = \left(\frac{x_1 + x_2 + x_3 + x_4}{4}, \frac{y_1 + y_2 + y_3 + y_4}{4}\right).$$

The centroid of a quadrilateral is also the midpoint of the line segment joining the midpoints of the diagonals, i.e. G is the midpoint of  $M_1N_1$ . But from Proposition 2, we learn that  $M_1N_1$  and  $M_2N_2$  have the same midpoint, hence, the quadrilaterals  $\Pi_1$  and  $\Pi_2$  have the same centroid. It follows that every quadrilateral  $\Pi_n$  has the same centroid.

5. To summarize: With each application of the process  $\mathcal{P}$ , the diagonals rotate by  $45^{\circ}$ , and their lengths decrease by a factor of  $\sqrt{2}$ . But the diagonals of every quadrilateral intersect at the same point. Moreover while the quadrilaterals increase in size, their growth in each direction is such that the centroid remains the same. Thus, each application of process  $\mathcal{P}$  leaves two points fixed, the point where the diagonals intersect and the centroid G. Lastly, the quadrilateral  $\Pi_n$  never becomes a square, only its "non-squareness" as measured by  $s'_n$  tends to 0.

## References

- 1. I. M. Yaglom, Geometric Transformations, Random House Inc., New York, NY, 1962.
- 2. T. Underwood, Masters Degree Thesis, Southeast Missouri State University, Cape Girardeau, MO, May 1992.
- 3. J. R. Smart, Modern Geometries, Brooks Cole Publishing Co., Monterey, CA, 1973.











