

An Extension of the Parallelism in X_n^{n-m} in X_n .

By

Kakutarô MORINAGA.

(Received April 20, 1934.)

§ 1. The parallelism in subspace has already been studied by many writers⁽¹⁾, but their methods have been based on the assumption that parallel vectors in X_n^{n-m} are obtained by a certain definite projection of the corresponding parallel vectors in X_n , accordingly the covariant derivatives in X_n^{n-m} are obtained by the projection of the corresponding covariant derivatives in X_n i.e. if we denote \bar{v}^λ is the projected vector of v^λ which is parallel (in X_n) to v^λ ,

$$\bar{v}^\lambda = B_\mu^\lambda \bar{v}^\mu \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{\Gamma}'_\omega v^\lambda = B_{\omega\nu}^\mu \Gamma'_\mu v^\nu \dots\dots\dots (1),$$

where

$$B_\mu^\lambda = \delta_\mu^\lambda - \sum_i n^\lambda t_\mu^i \quad (2).$$

The parallelism in X_n^{n-m} so obtained does not become more extended than the original parallelism in X_n i.e. if the original parallelism is linear, affine, or Riemannian, the parallelism in X_n^{n-m} becomes at most the same.

Now the question arises: Is there any method by which the subspace X_n^{n-m} with a wider parallelism induced in X_n as we see in the case where any Riemannian space R_N can be induced in euclidean space $E_M \left(M \geq \frac{N(N+1)}{2} \right)$? — for example, how can non-linear space, non-symmetric space or Weyl's space be induced in a Riemannian space?

- (1) E. Bompiani, C.R. **160** (1915), 760-763.
 H. Weyl, Math. Zeit. **12** (1922), 154-160.
 J.A. Schouten, Math. Zeit. **17** (1923), 161-188.
 Taylor, Ann. of Math. **28** (1927), 620-628.
 J.A. Schouten, Proc. Nat. Acc. Ams. **31** (1928), 208-218.
 E. Bortollotti, Memorie Bologna (8) **7** (1929), 11-20.
 J.A. Schouten und Van Kampen, Math. Ann. **105** (1931), 144-159.
 Dauglas, Math. Ann. **105** (1931) 707-733.
 Walker, Oxford. Quat. Jour. **3** (1932), 291-298.
- (2) In this paper we shall employ certain notations due to J.A. Schouten, *Der Ricci-Kalkül*, (1924).

In this paper, starting from three reasonable assumptions, we will investigate the inducing method and then find the relations between this method and the projection stated in (1).

We suppose that X_n^{n-m} is defined by $n-m$ covariant vectors t_λ^i ($i = n-m+1, \dots, n$), and let $E_{n-m}(x)$ and $E_{n-m}(x+dx)$ be tangential euclidean spaces to X_n^{n-m} at two neighbouring points $P(x)$ and $Q(x+dx)$ respectively; and \bar{v}^λ , a vector at P parallel to v^λ lying in $E_{n-m}(x+dx)$ when the parallelism is regarded as taking place in X_n ; \bar{v}^λ , a vector at P parallel to v^λ lying in $E_{n-m}(x+dx)$ when the parallelism is regarded as taking place in X_n^{n-m} .

Now we put the following three assumptions in three cases separately.

Case I. General assumptions :

- (a) X_n is a space with general linear⁽²⁾ parallel displacement of vector i.e. if \bar{v}^λ at $P(x)$ is parallel to v^λ at $Q(x+dx)$, then $\bar{v}^\lambda = v^\lambda + dv^\lambda + \Gamma_{\mu\nu}^\lambda(x)v^\mu dx^\nu$.

In this case, we say that \bar{v}^λ is parallel to v^λ with respect to X_n .

- (b) the parallelism in X_n^{n-m} is a reversible one — to — one correspondence between the vector manifolds $E_{n-m}(x)$ and $E_{n-m}(x+dx)$: $\bar{v}^\lambda \leftrightarrow v^\lambda$; in this case, we say that \bar{v}^λ is parallel to v^λ with respect to X_n^{n-m} .
- (c) if \bar{v}^λ is contained in $E_{n-m}(x)$ then \bar{v}^λ is also parallel to v^λ with respect to X_n^{n-m} , e.i. if $\bar{v}^\lambda t_\lambda^i = 0$ then $\bar{v}^\lambda = v^\lambda$.

Case II. Restricted assumptions :

- (a) X_n is the same as before,
- (b) $\bar{v}^\lambda - v^\lambda$ is at most the same order with the difference dx^λ (between two coordinates of P and Q) and $k\bar{v}^\lambda$ is parallel to kv^λ with respect to X_n^{n-m} where k is any multiplier,
- (c) if $\bar{v}^\lambda t_\lambda^i = 0$, then $\bar{v}^\lambda = v^\lambda$.

(1) We shall treat the general subspace which is holonomic or non-holonomic.

(2) When the connection ($\Gamma^\lambda(x, v, dx)$) of parallelism is linear with respect to v^λ and dx^λ ($i, e, \Gamma_{\mu\nu}^\lambda(x)v^\mu dx^\nu$), we say the parallelism is linear.

We may conclude that in the case I and II subspaces with non-linear connection with respect to v^λ are dx^λ are induced in X_n .⁽¹⁾

Case III.

- (a) X_n is the same as before,
- (b) the parallelism in X_n^{n-m} is linear with respect to v^λ and dx^λ .
- (c) if $\bar{v}^\lambda \overset{i}{t}_\lambda = 0$ then $\bar{v}^\lambda = \bar{v}^\lambda$.

§ 2. The treatment of cases I and II will be found to be nearly the same as that for case III, so we will first consider case III.

Let the coefficients of connection in X_n^{n-m} be $\bar{\Gamma}_{\mu\nu}^\lambda(x)$.

From (b) we can put

$$\bar{\Gamma}_{\mu\nu}^\lambda = \Gamma_{\mu\nu}^\lambda(x) + D_{\mu\nu}^\lambda(x),$$

and if v^λ is contained in $E_{n-m}(x+dx)$; i.e.

$$v^\lambda(x+dx)\overset{i}{t}_\lambda(x+dx) = 0 \dots\dots\dots (2),$$

then we have

$$\bar{v}^\lambda = \bar{v}^\lambda + D_{\mu\nu}^\lambda v^\mu dx^\nu.$$

From the definition⁽²⁾ of parallelism in X_n^{n-m} , we have $\overset{i}{t}_\lambda \bar{v}^\lambda = 0$ or rewriting this,

$$\overset{i}{t}_\lambda(v^\lambda + dv^\lambda + \Gamma_{\mu\nu}^\lambda v^\mu dx^\nu + D_{\mu\nu}^\lambda v^\mu dx^\nu) = 0,$$

and from (2) this becomes

$$v^\mu \{ dt_\mu - (\Gamma_{\mu\nu}^\lambda + D_{\mu\nu}^\lambda) \overset{i}{t}_\lambda dx^\nu \} = 0 \dots\dots\dots (3).$$

Therefore, neglecting the term higher than the 1st order of dx^λ in (3), we have

$$D_{\mu\nu}^\lambda = \sum_i n_i^\lambda \overset{i}{a}_{\mu\nu} + \sum_a T_a^\lambda \overset{a}{D}_{\mu\nu} + \sum_i \left(\overset{i}{V}_\mu^\lambda \overset{i}{t}_\nu + \overset{i}{V}_\nu^\lambda \overset{i}{t}_\mu \right) \dots\dots\dots (4),$$

(1) In cases I and II, $\overset{a}{B}_{\mu\nu}, T_a^\lambda$ in (11) can depend on v^λ and dx^λ .

(2) C. f. (b) in case I on page 178.

where
$$a_{\mu\nu}^i = \frac{\partial t_\mu^i}{\partial x^\nu} - \Gamma_{\mu\nu}^\lambda t_\lambda^i;$$

and $n^{\lambda i}$ and $T^{\lambda a}$ are any independent vectors defined by the respective following equations :

$$n^{\lambda j i} t_\lambda^j = \delta_i^j; \quad T_a^{\lambda i} t_\lambda^i = 0 \quad (a = 1, 2, \dots, n-m),$$

and $\overset{a}{D}_{\mu\nu}$ is a certain tensor. (Here $\overset{a}{D}_{\mu\nu}$ may be arbitrary but later it will be restricted by condition (c)).

Since the last terms in (4) vanish while we are concerning v^λ and dx^λ lying in $E_{n-m}(x+dx)$, we can leave them out of consideration; and therefore we have

$$D_{\mu\nu}^\lambda = \sum_i n^{\lambda i} a_{\mu\nu}^i + \sum_a T_a^{\lambda} \overset{a}{D}_{\mu\nu} \dots \dots \dots (5).$$

Thus we have

$$\bar{v}^\lambda = v^\lambda + \left(\sum_i n^{\lambda i} a_{\mu\nu}^i + \sum_a T_a^{\lambda} \overset{a}{D}_{\mu\nu} \right) v^\mu dx^\nu \quad (6),$$

and since

$$\begin{aligned} t_\lambda^i \bar{v}^\lambda &= (v^\lambda + dv^\lambda + \Gamma_{\mu\nu}^\lambda v^\mu dx^\nu) t_\lambda^i \\ &= (dt_\lambda^i v^\lambda + \Gamma_{\mu\nu}^\lambda v^\mu dx^\nu t_\lambda^i). \quad (\text{by (2)}) \\ &= a_{\mu\nu}^i v^\mu dx^\nu, \end{aligned}$$

equation (6) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{v}^\lambda &= \left(\delta_\mu^\lambda - \sum_i n^{\lambda i} t_\mu^i \right) \bar{v}^\mu + \sum_a T_a^{\lambda} \overset{a}{B}_{\mu\nu} v^\mu dx^\nu \\ &= B_\mu^\lambda \bar{v}^\mu + \sum_a T_a^{\lambda} \overset{a}{B}_{\mu\nu} v^\mu dx^\nu \dots \dots \dots (7). \end{aligned}$$

Next, the assumption (c) is written down as follows :

if $a_{\mu\nu}^i v^\mu dx^\nu = 0$ then $D_{\mu\nu}^\lambda v^\mu dx^\nu = 0 \dots \dots \dots (8).$

If u^λ and w^λ are any vectors in X_n , and we substitute (5) in (8), the above equation becomes as follows :

if $u^\mu w^\nu B_{\mu\nu}^{\epsilon\eta} a_{\epsilon\eta}^i = 0$ then $u^\mu w^\nu B_{\mu\nu}^{\epsilon\eta} \overset{a}{D}_{\epsilon\eta} = 0 \dots \dots \dots (9).$

So, putting

$$B_{\mu\nu}^{\varepsilon\eta} \overset{i}{a}_{\varepsilon\eta} = \overset{i}{A}_{\mu\nu} \quad \text{and} \quad B_{\mu\nu}^{\varepsilon\eta} \overset{a}{D}_{\varepsilon\eta} = \overset{a}{B}_{\mu\nu},$$

and eliminating w^λ from (9), the condition (c) can be fasten in the following equation :

$$u^\mu \overset{a}{B}_{\mu\nu} = \sum_i u^\mu \overset{i}{A}_{\mu\nu} \overset{a}{\rho}(u^\varepsilon) \dots\dots\dots (10),$$

where $\overset{a}{\rho}_i$ may be any function of u^1, u^2, \dots, u^n .

Since u^λ is any vector in X_n and $\overset{a}{B}_{\mu\nu}$ and $\overset{i}{A}_{\mu\nu}$ are independent of u^λ , $\overset{a}{\rho}_i$ is homogeneous zero th order with respect to u^ε .

Consequently, in the case of III the most general parallelism induced in X_n^{n-m} is given by the following equation :

$$\bar{v}^\lambda = B_\mu^\lambda \bar{v}^\mu + \sum_a T^\lambda_a \overset{a}{B}_{\mu\nu} v^\mu dx^\nu \dots\dots\dots (11),$$

where $\overset{a}{B}_{\mu\nu}$ is given by equation (9).

The process of inducing \bar{v}^λ from v^λ may be called a "pseudo-projection".

In cases I and II, T^λ and $\overset{a}{B}_{\mu\nu}$ can depend on v^λ and dx^λ , but by a little modification, the cases are treated in the same way as the case III.

§ 3. In the general case (10), I have not succeeded in finding the general form of $\overset{a}{B}_{\mu\nu}$. So we shall treat a somewhat restricted case.

We assume that $\overset{a}{\rho}_i$ has the form :

$$\overset{a}{\rho}_i(u) = \frac{\overset{a}{p}(x) u^{\varepsilon_1} \dots u^{\varepsilon_l}}{\overset{a}{q}(x) u^{\varepsilon'_1} \dots u^{\varepsilon'_l}} \quad (l \text{ is any positive integer}).$$

Substituting this into (10) and comparing the power of u^λ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \overset{a}{q}(x) \dots \overset{a}{q}(x) \overset{a}{B} \\ & \substack{(\varepsilon_1, 1 \dots \varepsilon_l, \\ n-m+1} \quad \substack{\varepsilon_{m, 1} \dots \varepsilon_{m, l} \\ n} \quad \substack{(\mu)^\nu \\ n} \\ & = \sum_{r=1}^m \overset{a}{q}(x) \dots \overset{a}{p}(x) \dots \overset{a}{q}(x) \overset{n-m+r}{A} \dots \quad (12). \\ & \substack{(\varepsilon_1, 1 \dots \varepsilon_l, \\ n-m+1} \quad \substack{\varepsilon_{r, 1} \dots \varepsilon_{r, l} \\ n-m+r} \quad \substack{\varepsilon_{m, 1} \dots \varepsilon_{m, l} \\ n} \quad \substack{(\mu)^\nu \\ n} \end{aligned}$$

So, if we can find $\overset{a}{p}_{\varepsilon_1 \dots \varepsilon_l}$, $\overset{a}{q}_{\varepsilon_1 \dots \varepsilon_l}$ and $\overset{a}{B}_{\mu\nu}$ from the above, $\overset{a}{B}_{\mu\nu}$ is a solution of (10).

$\overset{a}{B}_{\mu\nu}$ so obtained is not in general linear in $\overset{i}{A}_{\mu\nu}$ (i.e. $\sum_{\substack{i \\ a}} \overset{i}{h} \overset{i}{A}_{\mu\nu}$). We will illustrate this by an example. Namely, in the case when

$$\overset{n}{A}_{12}(x) = \overset{n}{A}_{21} = \overset{n-1}{A}_{11} = \overset{n-1}{A}_{22} \quad \text{and other } \overset{n}{A}_{\mu\nu} = 0,$$

if we take $\overset{a}{B}_{\mu\nu}$ so that

$$\overset{a}{B}_{21} - \overset{a}{B}_{12} = -(\overset{a}{B}_{11} - \overset{a}{B}_{22}) \quad \text{and } \overset{a}{B}_{\mu\nu} = 0 \quad (\text{for } \mu, \nu \neq 1, 2),$$

and take p_λ, q_λ such that

$$q_2 + q_1 = 1, \quad p_2 - p_1 = B_{22} - B_{21} \quad \text{and } p_\lambda, q_\lambda = 0 \quad \text{for } \lambda \neq 1, 2,$$

then $\overset{a}{B}_{\mu\nu}, p_\lambda, q_\lambda$ satisfy (12). Accordingly $\overset{a}{B}_{\mu\nu}$ is a solution of (10). Hence $\overset{a}{B}_{\mu\nu}$ is not in general expressed linearly by $\overset{i}{A}_{\mu\nu}$.

But when and only when $\overset{a}{B}_{\mu\nu}$ are linear forms of $\overset{i}{A}_{\mu\nu}$, we can identify \bar{v}^λ with \bar{v}^λ by suitably choosing the normal vectors of $X_n^{n-m(1)}$.

So we have the result: *Since $\overset{a}{B}_{\mu\nu}$ is not, in general, linear in $\overset{i}{A}_{\mu\nu}$ we know that, in fact, $\sum_{\substack{i \\ a}} n^\lambda \overset{i}{a}_{\mu\nu} + \sum_{\substack{a \\ a}} T^\lambda \overset{a}{B}_{\mu\nu}$ in (6) cannot be expressed in the form $\sum_{\substack{i \\ a}} n^\lambda \overset{i}{a}_{\mu\nu}$ but gives more complicated connection of sub-space, i.e. our "pseudo-projection" is a generalization of projection.*

(1) If $\overset{a}{B}_{\mu\nu} = \sum_{\substack{i \\ a}} \overset{i}{h} \overset{i}{A}_{\mu\nu}$, then from (6) and (7) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{v}^\lambda &= \bar{v}^\lambda + \sum_{\substack{i \\ a}} (n^\lambda + \sum_{\substack{a \\ a}} T^\lambda \overset{i}{h}) \overset{i}{a}_{\mu\nu} v^\mu dx^\nu. \quad (\text{within the } X_n^{n-m}) \\ &= (\delta_\mu^\lambda - \sum_{\substack{i \\ a}} n^\lambda \overset{i}{t}_\mu) \bar{v}^\mu \end{aligned}$$

$$\therefore \bar{v}^\lambda = {}'B_\mu^\lambda \bar{v}^\mu = {}'(\bar{v}^\lambda), \quad \text{where } {}'n^\lambda = n^\lambda + \sum_{\substack{a \\ a}} T^\lambda \overset{i}{h}.$$

Therefore by means of the "pseudo-projection", non-symmetric subspace can be induced in symmetric space, or Weyl's subspace can be induced in euclidean space.

§ 4. In the case of X_n^{n-1} the most general tensor $\overset{\alpha}{B}_{\mu\nu}$ satisfying (10) is obtained as $\rho \overset{n}{A}_{\mu\nu}$, as can be easily seen by normalizing the bilinear form $\overset{\alpha}{A}_{\mu\nu} u^\mu w^\nu$ by a coordinate transformation at a point.

Hence in X_n^{n-1} the pseudo-projection becomes a projection.

Now, a question arises :

(d). In X_n when X_n^l is contained in X_n^m , in what case does it occur that the parallelism in X_n^l induced from X_n^m in which the parallelism first induced from X_n , and the parallelism in X_n^l induced directly from X_n , are always equivalent for each value of m less than n .

In this case $\overset{\alpha}{B}_{\mu\nu}$ becomes a linear form of $\overset{i}{A}_{\mu\nu}$ by means of the iteration of hypermanifolds, X_n^{p-1} therefore our pseudo-projection becomes projection. Conversely, in the case of projection (a), (b), (c) and (d) are clearly satisfied. So we have the result :

The conditions (a), (b), (c) and (d) characterize the projection.

In conclusion the author wishes to express his hearty thanks to Prof. T. Iwatsuki who has given his kind guidance.