

On the Exchange Formula for Distributions

By

Yukio HIRATA and Hayao OGATA

(Received September 20, 1958)

Let R^n denote the n -dimensional Euclidean space with points $x=(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$. The theory of Fourier transform of temperate distributions (elements of the space (\mathcal{S}')) on R^n has been developed by L. Schwartz [3]. The Fourier transform of any temperate distribution is defined as another temperate distribution. For any distribution $S \in (\mathcal{S}')$ the Fourier and inverse Fourier transforms of S are denoted by $\mathcal{F}(S)$ and $\overline{\mathcal{F}}(S)$ respectively. Let $\{\rho_k\}$ and $\{\rho'_k\}$ be any sequences of regularizations and let $r_k = \overline{\mathcal{F}}(\rho_k)$. Now let S and T be any two distributions of (\mathcal{S}') such that $S * T$ is defined and belongs to (\mathcal{S}') . $r_k T$ is an element of (\mathcal{O}'_s) since r_k belongs to (\mathcal{S}) . Owing to the basic exchange formula due to L. Schwartz [3], we have $\mathcal{F}(S * (r_k T)) = \mathcal{F}(S) \cdot (\mathcal{F}(T) * \rho_k)$. It follows then as noted by R. E. Edwards [2] that the exchange formula

$$(1) \quad \mathcal{F}(S * T) = \mathcal{F}(S) \cdot \mathcal{F}(T)$$

holds under the following conditions:

- (α) $S * (r_k T)$ converges to $S * T$ in (\mathcal{S}') as $k \rightarrow \infty$
- (β) $\mathcal{F}(S) \cdot \mathcal{F}(T)$ is defined and $\mathcal{F}(S) \cdot (\mathcal{F}(T) * \rho_k)$ converges to $\mathcal{F}(S) \cdot \mathcal{F}(T)$

in (\mathcal{D}') as $k \rightarrow \infty$.

Our present purpose of this paper is to eliminate, in a certain sense, the two conditions (α) and (β) in the above statement. To this end we first introduce the concept of (\mathcal{S}') -convolution $S * T$ (§1). We show that if $S * T$ is defined, then it belongs to (\mathcal{S}') and coincides with the ordinary convolution in the sense of C. Chevalley [1]. Secondly we propose to define the multiplicative product $A \cdot B$ of two distributions A, B as the common limit of sequence $(A * \rho_k)B$ and $A(B * \rho'_k)$ in (\mathcal{D}') as $k \rightarrow \infty$ provided these limits exist and coincide. In §2 we show that if, for any two distributions $S, T \in (\mathcal{S}')$, the (\mathcal{S}') -convolution $S \otimes T$ is defined, then the exchange formula (1) holds.

Concerning distributions, we adopt the notations of L. Schwartz [3] unless otherwise specifically mentioned.

1. Let S and T be any two distributions. Following C. Chevalley [1] we say that the convolution $S * T$ is defined if the following condition is satisfied:

- (*) $(S * \varphi) \cdot (\check{T} * \psi)$ belongs to L for any $\varphi, \psi \in (\mathcal{D})$,

where L is the space of summable functions defined on R^n .

Then there exists a unique distribution denoted by $S * T$ such that

$$\langle (S * T) * \varphi, \psi \rangle = \int (S * \varphi)(x) \cdot (\check{T} * \psi)(x) dx \text{ for any } \varphi, \psi \in (\mathcal{D}).$$

Now assume that S and T are temperate. We shall define the (\mathcal{Y}') -convolution of S and T by replacing (\mathcal{D}) by (\mathcal{Y}) in the above condition (*). We say that the (\mathcal{Y}') -convolution $S \circledast T$ is defined if the following condition is satisfied:

$$(*) \quad S * \varphi \cdot \check{T} * \psi \text{ belongs to } L \text{ for any } \varphi, \psi \in (\mathcal{Y}).$$

Then the bilinear form $B(\varphi, \psi) = \int (S * \varphi)(x) \cdot (\check{T} * \psi)(x) dx$ defined on $(\mathcal{Y}) \times (\mathcal{Y})$ is separately continuous, and therefore continuous on $(\mathcal{Y}) \times (\mathcal{Y})$ since (\mathcal{Y}) is a space of *type* (F) . Let \mathcal{L} be the continuous linear application of (\mathcal{Y}) into (\mathcal{Y}') determined by $B(\varphi, \psi) = \langle \mathcal{L}(\varphi), \psi \rangle$. We show that \mathcal{L} is a composition operator [4], that is, $\tau_h \mathcal{L}(\varphi) = \mathcal{L}(\tau_h \varphi)$ for any translation τ_h and $\varphi \in (\mathcal{D})$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \tau_h \mathcal{L}(\varphi), \psi \rangle &= B(\varphi, \tau_{-h} \psi) \\ &= \int (S * \varphi)(x) \cdot (\check{T} * \tau_{-h} \psi)(x) dx \\ &= \int (S * (\tau_h \varphi))(x) \cdot (\check{T} * \psi)(x) dx \\ &= B(\tau_h \varphi, \psi) \\ &= \langle \mathcal{L}(\tau_h \varphi), \psi \rangle, \text{ for any } \varphi, \psi \in (\mathcal{D}). \end{aligned}$$

Accordingly, $\tau_h \mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L} \tau_h$, as desired. It follows then by Theorem 4 [4] that there exists a unique distribution U such that $\mathcal{L}(\varphi) = U * \varphi$, and U must belong to (\mathcal{Y}') . We say that this distribution U is (\mathcal{Y}') -convolution of S and T , and denote by $S \circledast T$. From this definition it is clear that $S * T$ is also defined and coincide with $S \circledast T$.

Examples. (a) Let $S \in (\mathcal{O}'_M)$ and $T \in (\mathcal{Y}')$. Then $S * \varphi \in (\mathcal{Y})$, $T * \psi \in (\mathcal{O}_M)$ for any $\varphi, \psi \in (\mathcal{Y})$, therefore the condition (*) is satisfied, so that $S \circledast T$ is defined.

(b) Let $S \in (\mathcal{D}'_{L^p})$ and $T \in (\mathcal{D}'_{L^q})$ with $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} \geq 1$. Then $S * \varphi \in L^p$ and $T * \psi \in L^q$ for any $\varphi, \psi \in (\mathcal{Y})$. It follows then that $(S * \varphi)(\check{T} * \psi) \in L$, and therefore $S \circledast T$ is defined.

(c) Let $S = \text{Pf. } r^p$ and $T = \text{Pf. } r^q$ with $\mathcal{R}(p+q) < -n$. We show that $S \circledast T$ is defined. To this end it suffices to show that, for any $\varphi \in (\mathcal{Y})$, $S * \varphi = 0(|x|^{\mathcal{R}(p)})$ for large $|x|$. The proof is divided into the two cases:

(i) $\mathcal{R}(p) \geq 0$ and (ii) $\mathcal{R}(p) < 0$. We use M , with or without subscripts, to denote constants depending on φ .

ad (i): For any $\varphi \in (\mathcal{Y})$,

$$\begin{aligned} |S * \varphi(x)| &\leq \int |x-y|^{\mathcal{R}(p)} |\varphi(y)| dy \\ &\leq M \int (|x| + |y|)^{\mathcal{R}(p)} \frac{1}{1 + |y|^{\mathcal{R}(p)+n+1}} dy \end{aligned}$$

$$\leq 2^{\mathcal{R}(p)} M \int \frac{|x|^{\mathcal{R}(p)} + |y|^{\mathcal{R}(p)}}{1 + |y|^{\mathcal{R}(p)+n+1}} dy = O(|x|^{\mathcal{R}(p)}).$$

ad (ii): $S_i (i=1, 2)$ be defined by $S_1 = r^p$ for $r \geq 1$ and $= 0$ for $r < 1$, and $S_2 = \text{Pf. } r^p - S_1$. As the support of S_2 is compact, so $S_2 * \varphi \in (\mathcal{S})$ for any $\varphi \in (\mathcal{S})$. This implies that $S_2 * \varphi = O(r^{\mathcal{R}(p)})$. As to S_1 , we have

$$\begin{aligned} |S_1 * \varphi(x)| &\leq \int_{|x-y| \geq 1} |x-y|^{\mathcal{R}(p)} |\varphi(y)| dy \\ &= \int_{|y| \geq 1} |y|^{\mathcal{R}(p)} |\varphi(x-y)| dy \\ &= \int_{1 \leq |y| \leq \frac{|x|}{2}} |y|^{\mathcal{R}(p)} |\varphi(x-y)| dy + \int_{|y| \geq \frac{|x|}{2}} |y|^{\mathcal{R}(p)} |\varphi(x-y)| dy. \end{aligned}$$

If $1 \leq |y| \leq \frac{|x|}{2}$, then $|x-y| \geq |x| - |y| \geq 2|y| - |y| = |y| \geq 1$ and $|x-y| \geq |x| - \frac{|x|}{2} = \frac{|x|}{2}$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} |y|^{\mathcal{R}(p)} |\varphi(x-y)| &\leq \frac{M_1}{|y|^\sigma |x-y|^{\sigma+n+1}} \\ &= \frac{M_1}{|x|^\sigma |x-y|^{n+1} |y|^\sigma} \\ &\leq \frac{M_1}{\left(\frac{|x|}{2}\right)^\sigma |y|^{\sigma+n+1}}, \end{aligned}$$

where $\sigma = -\mathcal{R}(p)$.

If $|y| \geq \frac{|x|}{2}$, then

$$\begin{aligned} |y|^{\mathcal{R}(p)} |\varphi(x-y)| &\leq \frac{M_2}{|y|^{\mathcal{R}(p)} (1 + |x-y|^{\sigma+n+1})} \\ &\leq \frac{M_1}{\left(\frac{|x|}{2}\right)^\sigma (1 + |x-y|^{\sigma+n+1})}. \end{aligned}$$

It results from these inequalities that

$$\begin{aligned} |S_1 * \varphi(x)| &\leq \left(\frac{|x|}{2}\right)^{\mathcal{R}(p)} \left\{ M_1 \int \frac{1}{|y|^{\sigma+n+1}} dy + M_2 \int \frac{1}{1 + |x-y|^{\sigma+n+1}} dy \right\} \\ &= O(|x|^{\mathcal{R}(p)}). \end{aligned}$$

It is not difficult to see that if $S * T$ is defined, then for any distribution $W \in (\mathcal{E})$, the associative law for convolutions is valid, that is, $W * (S * T) = (W * S) * T$. Similarly we have

PROPOSITION 1. *If $S \otimes T$ is defined and $W \in (\mathcal{C}'_0)$, then*

$$W * (S * T) = (W * S) * T,$$

PROOF. $W \in (\mathcal{O}'_c)$ implies that $W * \varphi \in (\mathcal{S})$ for any $\varphi \in (\mathcal{S})$, and therefore $(W * S) * \varphi = S * (W * \varphi) \in S * (\mathcal{S})$. Now, by assumption, $S \circledast T$ is defined, so that

$$((W * S) * \varphi) \cdot (\check{T} * \phi) \in L \text{ for any } \varphi, \phi \in (\mathcal{S})$$

that is, $(W * S) \circledast T$ is defined. Consider the linear form on (\mathcal{O}'_c) defined by

$$(1) \quad \begin{aligned} \chi(W) &= \langle (W * S) * T * \varphi, \phi \rangle \\ &= \int ((W * S) * \varphi)(x) \cdot (\check{T} * \phi)(x) dx. \end{aligned}$$

It follows by Theorem 2 [4] that χ is continuous on (\mathcal{O}'_c) . We may regard χ as an element of (\mathcal{O}_c) , the dual of (\mathcal{O}'_c) and we can write $\chi(W) = \langle W, \chi \rangle$. For any $\varphi_1 \in (\mathcal{D})$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \varphi_1, \chi \rangle &= \int ((S * \varphi_1) * \varphi)(x) \cdot (\check{T} * \phi)(x) dx \\ &= \langle \varphi_1, (S * T)^\vee * \check{\phi} * \phi \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $\chi = (S * T)^\vee * \check{\phi} * \phi$. From this

$$(2) \quad \begin{aligned} \langle W, \chi \rangle &= \langle W, (S * T)^\vee * \check{\phi} * \phi \rangle \\ &= \langle W * (S * T) * \varphi, \phi \rangle \text{ for any } \varphi, \phi \in (\mathcal{S}). \end{aligned}$$

From (1) and (2) it results that $W * (S * T) = (W * S) * T$, as desired.

Most of the results contained in C. Chevalley [1] (P. 117-123) concerning the convolutions are also valid with obvious modifications for our (\mathcal{S}) -convolutions. For example, if $S \circledast (T * \xi)$ is defined for any $\xi \in (\mathcal{D})$, then $S \circledast T$ is defined. To see this, it is enough to prove that $S * \varphi \cdot \check{T} * \phi$ belongs to L for any $\varphi, \phi \in (\mathcal{S})$. Consider the bilinear form

$$\lambda(\phi, \xi) = \int (S * \varphi)(x) \cdot (\check{T} * \phi * \xi)(x) dx.$$

For any relatively compact open subset Ω in R^n , $\lambda(\phi, \xi)$ is continuous on $(\mathcal{S}) \times (\mathcal{D}_{\bar{\Omega}})$. Therefore there exists an integer $m > 0$ such that

$$\int (S * \varphi)(x) \cdot (\check{T} * \phi * u)(x) dx < +\infty$$

for any $\phi \in (\mathcal{S})$ and $u \in (\mathcal{D}_{\bar{\Omega}}^m)$.

By a suitable choice of a parametrix $u \in (\mathcal{D}_{\bar{\Omega}}^m)$ such that $\delta = \Delta^n u + \rho$ where $\rho \in (\mathcal{D}_{\bar{\Omega}})$, we have

$$\phi = \Delta^n \phi * u + \phi * \rho.$$

Hence it follows immediately that

$$S * \varphi \cdot \check{T} * \phi \text{ belongs to } L,$$

as desired.

2. According to L. Schwartz [3], the multiplicative product αA of distributions $\alpha \in (\mathcal{E})$ and $A \in (\mathcal{D}')$ is the distribution determined by $\langle \alpha A, \varphi \rangle = \langle A, \alpha \varphi \rangle$ for any $\varphi \in (\mathcal{D})$. We shall extend this definition for a larger class of distributions as follows: Let $\{\rho_k\}$ and $\{\rho'_k\}$ be any sequences of regularizations. If, for any distributions A and B , the sequences of the multiplica-

tive products $(A * \rho_k) \cdot B$ and $A(B * \rho'_k)$ converge to the same distribution in the sense of (\mathcal{D}') as $k \rightarrow \infty$, then this common limit distribution is termed to be the *multiplicative* product of A and B , and denoted by $A \cdot B$.

THEOREM. *If $S \circledast T$ is defined, then*

$$\mathcal{F}(S * T) = \mathcal{F}(S) \cdot \mathcal{F}(T).$$

PROOF. It is sufficient to show that $\mathcal{F}(S)(\mathcal{F}(T) * \rho_k) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(S * T)$ in (\mathcal{D}') as $k \rightarrow \infty$, for any sequence of regularizations $\{\rho_k\}$. This is equivalent to show that

$$(1) \quad \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \langle \mathcal{F}(S) \cdot (\mathcal{F}(T) * \rho_k), \varphi \rangle = \langle \mathcal{F}(S * T), \varphi \rangle \text{ for any } \varphi \in (\mathcal{D}).$$

Let Ω be a relatively compact open subset of R^n containing the support of φ . We choose a function $\psi \in (\mathcal{D})$ such that $\check{\psi}(x) \equiv 1$ on Ω . Let $\Phi = \overline{\mathcal{F}}(\varphi)$, $\Psi = \overline{\mathcal{F}}(\psi)$, and $r_k = \overline{\mathcal{F}}(\rho_k)$. Then Φ and Ψ belong to (\mathcal{Y}) , $r_k \rightarrow 1$ in (\mathcal{E}) and $|r_k| \leq 1$. As $S \circledast T$ is defined, so $S * \Phi \cdot T * \Psi \in L$. Then

$$(2) \quad \int (S * \Phi)(x) \cdot (\check{T} * \Psi)(x) dx = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int (S * \Phi)(x) \cdot (\check{T} * \Psi)(x) r_k(x) dx.$$

Let $\{\alpha_k\}$ be any sequence of multipliers, that is, $\alpha_k \in (\mathcal{D})$ and $\{\alpha_k\}$ is bounded in (\mathcal{B}) and converges in (\mathcal{E}) to 1 as $k \rightarrow \infty$. Now by the aid of Parseval's formula, we have

$$(3) \quad \begin{aligned} \langle \alpha_m \cdot (S * \Phi), (T * \Psi) \cdot r_k \rangle \\ &= \langle \mathcal{F}(\alpha_m \cdot (S * \Phi)), \overline{\mathcal{F}}((T * \Psi) \cdot r_k) \rangle \\ &= \langle \mathcal{F}(\alpha_m) * (\mathcal{F}(S) \cdot \varphi), (\mathcal{F}(\check{T}) \cdot \psi)^\vee * \rho_k \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

It follows since $(\mathcal{F}(T) \cdot \psi)^\vee * \rho_k \in (\mathcal{Y})$ and $\mathcal{F}(\alpha_m) \cdot (\mathcal{F}(S) \cdot \varphi)$ converges to $\mathcal{F}(S) \cdot \varphi$ in (\mathcal{Y}') as $k \rightarrow \infty$, that (3) yields

$$(4) \quad \langle S * \Phi, (T * \Psi) \cdot r_k \rangle = \langle \mathcal{F}(S) \cdot \varphi, (\mathcal{F}(T) \cdot \check{\psi}) * \rho_k \rangle.$$

As $\check{\psi}$ is taken to be 1 on a neighbourhood of the support of φ , so the second member of the equation (4) becomes $\langle \mathcal{F}(S) \cdot \varphi, \mathcal{F}(T) * \rho_k \rangle$ for all sufficiently large k . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \mathcal{F}(S * T), \varphi \rangle &= \langle S * T, \mathcal{F}(\varphi) \rangle \\ &= \langle S * T, \mathcal{F}(\varphi \cdot \check{\psi}) \rangle \\ &= \langle S * T, \mathcal{F}(\varphi) * \mathcal{F}(\psi)^\vee \rangle \\ &= \langle S * T * \mathcal{F}(\varphi)^\vee, \mathcal{F}(\psi)^\vee \rangle \\ &= \langle S * T * \Phi, \Psi \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Now using (2) and (4), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle S * T * \Phi, \Psi \rangle \\ &= \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \langle S * \Phi, (\check{T} * \Psi) \cdot r_k \rangle \\ &= \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \langle \mathcal{F}(S)(\mathcal{F}(T) * \rho_k), \varphi \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

From this we have (1), as desired.

References

- [1] C. Chevalley, *Theory of distributions*, Lecture at Columbia Univ. in 1950-51.
- [2] R. E. Edwards, *The exchange formula for distributions and spans of translates*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. Vol. 4 (1953) pp. 888-894.
- [3] L. Schwartz, *Théorie des distributions*, I, II. Actualités Sci. Ind, no. 1091 (1950), no. 1122 (1951).
- [4] K. Yoshinaga and H. Ogata, *On convolutions*, Journal Sci. Hiroshima Univ. Vol. 22, (1958) pp. 15-24.

*Faculty of Education,
Hiroshima University, Fukuyama
and
Department of Mathematics,
Faculty of Science,
Hiroshima University*