

On the Branches of Logarithmic Function of a Matrix Variable

By

Takayuki NÔNO

(Received Jan. 25, 1957)

§ 1. Introduction

Let \mathfrak{A} be the space of all the complex matrices of degree n with the usual topology, \mathfrak{M} the subspace of \mathfrak{A} which is composed of all the regular matrices of degree n , and then we shall consider the exponential mapping: $W \rightarrow Z = \exp W$ from \mathfrak{A} onto \mathfrak{M} , by $\mathfrak{S}(M)$ we shall denote the complete inverse image of M of this mapping, i.e., $\mathfrak{S}(M) = \{A; \exp A = M\}$, and by \mathfrak{A}° we shall denote the maximal subspace of \mathfrak{A} for which the mapping: $W \rightarrow Z = \exp W$ from \mathfrak{A} onto \mathfrak{M} is locally homeomorphic. And moreover we shall set $\mathfrak{A}^s = \mathfrak{A} - \mathfrak{A}^\circ$ (the complement of \mathfrak{A}° with respect to \mathfrak{A}), $\mathfrak{M}^s = \exp \mathfrak{A}^s$ and $\mathfrak{M}^\circ = \mathfrak{M} - \mathfrak{M}^s$.

In the previous paper ([3])¹⁾ we have written the following facts:

(1) \mathfrak{A}° is the subspace of \mathfrak{A} composed of all the matrices whose characteristic roots λ_i do not satisfy the condition: $\lambda_i - \lambda_j = 2l\pi\sqrt{-1}$ (l : non-zero integer) ([3], Theorem 3).

(2) \mathfrak{M}° is the subspace of \mathfrak{M} composed of all the matrices whose minimal polynomials are of degree n , this condition is equivalent to $\dim \mathfrak{C}(M) = n$ where $\mathfrak{C}(M)$ means the set of all the matrices commutative with M .

(3) $A \in \mathfrak{C}(M) \cap \mathfrak{A}^\circ$, if and only if $\mathfrak{C}(A) = \mathfrak{C}(M)$ ([3], Theorem 5).

(4) \mathfrak{A}° is open and dense in \mathfrak{A} , and is arc-wise connected, but is not simply connected.

For a fixed matrix M of \mathfrak{M} , the set $\mathfrak{S}(M)$ has been already considered in the previous papers ([1], [2] and [3]). In this paper we shall consider the set $\mathfrak{S}(Z)$ for a variable matrix Z of \mathfrak{M} , and by making use of the correspondence between the arcs in \mathfrak{A} and the arcs in \mathfrak{M} under the exponential mapping we shall investigate the behavior of the points of $\mathfrak{S}(Z)$ for Z moving along arcs.

1) Numbers in brackets refer to the references at the end of the paper.

§ 2. General form of the elements of $\mathfrak{L}(M)$

The general form of elements of $\mathfrak{L}(M)$ has been completely determined for a fixed matrix M of \mathfrak{M} ([1]); however, for the present purpose, it seems to be better that the general form is slightly modified. Let M_0 be a Jordan's canonical form of M , and let $\mathfrak{R}(M, M_0)$ be the set of all the regular matrices P which transform M into its canonical form M_0 , i.e., $\mathfrak{R}(M; M_0) \equiv \{P; P^{-1}MP = M_0\}$, then it is clear that $\mathfrak{R}(M; M_0) = \mathfrak{L}(M)P$ for any $P \in \mathfrak{R}(M; M_0)$.

We transform M into M_0 by a fixed matrix P_0 of $\mathfrak{R}(M; M_0)$

$$(2.1) \quad \begin{aligned} P_0^{-1}MP &= M_0 = \sum_{i=1}^p \dot{+} M_i, \\ M_i &= \sum_{\alpha=1}^{p_i} \dot{+} M_{i\alpha} = \lambda_i E_i + N_i, \quad (i=1, 2, \dots, p), \\ M_{i\alpha} &= \lambda_i E_{i\alpha} + N_{i\alpha} = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_i & 1 & & 0 \\ & \lambda_i & 1 & \\ & & \ddots & \ddots \\ 0 & & & \lambda_i & 1 \\ & & & & & \ddots \\ & & & & & & \lambda_i \end{pmatrix}, \quad (\alpha=1, 2, \dots, p_i), \end{aligned}$$

$$\lambda_i \neq \lambda_j \text{ for } i \neq j \text{ and } \lambda_i \neq 0, \quad (i, j=1, 2, \dots, p),$$

where M_i and $M_{i\alpha}$ are the matrices of degree n_i and $n_{i\alpha}$ respectively, E_i and $E_{i\alpha}$ are the unit matrices of degree n_i and $n_{i\alpha}$ respectively. And it is clear that $N_i^{n_i} = O_{n_i}$ and $N_{i\alpha}^{n_{i\alpha}} = O_{n_{i\alpha}}$, where O_m means the zero matrix of degree m .

Let $A \in \mathfrak{L}(M)$, then A is expressed as:

$$(2.2) \quad A = k(M) + PBP^{-1}, \quad P \in \mathfrak{R}(M; M_0),$$

$$\text{where } k(M) = P_0 \left(\sum_{i=1}^p \dot{+} \sum_{\alpha=1}^{p_i} \dot{+} k(M_{i\alpha}) \right) P_0^{-1},$$

$$k(M_{i\alpha}) = \sum_{r=1}^{n_{i\alpha}-1} (-1)^r N_{i\alpha}^r (r\lambda_i^r),$$

$$B = \sum_{i=1}^p \dot{+} \sum_{\alpha=1}^{p_i} \dot{+} \mu_{i\alpha} E_{i\alpha}, \quad e^{\mu_{i\alpha}} = \lambda_i \quad (\alpha=1, 2, \dots, p_i).$$

From the definition of $k(M)$ and the result in [1] it is easily seen that $k(M)$ is independent from the choice of M_0 and P_0 , and is a one-valued continuous function of M .

It is well known ([1]) that $A \in \mathfrak{L}(M) \cap \mathfrak{U}^\circ$ if and only if $\mu_{i\alpha} = \mu_{i\beta}$ ($i=1, 2, \dots, p; \alpha, \beta=1, 2, \dots, p_i$). Such an element A of $\mathfrak{L}(M)$ is denoted by $\text{Log } M$; in particular, if $A \in \mathfrak{L}(M) \cap \mathfrak{U}_{(-\pi, \pi]}$, such an element A is denoted by $L(M)$, where $\mathfrak{U}_{(-\pi, \pi]}$ means the set of all the matrices whose characteristic roots have their imaginary parts in the half closed interval $(-\pi, \pi]$. As regard $W = L(Z)$ as a function of a matrix variable, the function is continuous along arcs $Z = Z(t)$ (t : real) such that the characteristic roots $\zeta_i(t)$ of $Z(t)$ satisfy the condition: $-\pi < \arg \zeta_i(t) \leq \pi$ for all t ; and moreover, $W = \text{Log } (Z)$ is continuous along arcs $Z = Z(t)$ (t : real), if and only if, for the characteristic roots $\zeta_i(t)$ of $Z(t)$ and the characteristic roots $\log \zeta_i(t)$ of $\text{Log } (Z(t))$, $\zeta_i(t) = \zeta_j(t)$ implies $\log \zeta_i(t) = \log \zeta_j(t)$.

§ 3. Continuation along arcs

As mentioned above, \mathfrak{U}° is the maximal set for which the exponential mapping from \mathfrak{U} onto \mathfrak{M} is locally homeomorphic, and \mathfrak{U}° is open and dense in \mathfrak{U} , and arc-wise connected. By making use of these properties of \mathfrak{U}° , we shall introduce the concept of a continuation along arc. By an arc we shall mean a set of points $W(t)$ ($0 \leq t \leq 1$), where $W(t)$ is a continuous function of t in the interval $0 \leq t \leq 1$.

If $w: W=W(t)$ ($0 \leq t \leq 1$) is an arc in \mathfrak{U} such that $\exp W(t)=Z(t)$ ($0 \leq t \leq 1$) for a given arc $\mathfrak{z}: Z=Z(t)$ ($0 \leq t \leq 1$) in \mathfrak{M} , then we may say that the arc w is a branch of logarithmic function $\log Z^{1)}$ along the arc \mathfrak{z} .

Let $A \in \mathfrak{S}(M)$ and $A' \in \mathfrak{S}(M')$, if A and A' are joined by an arc $w: W=W(t)$ ($0 \leq t \leq 1$) such that $W(t) \in \mathfrak{U}^\circ$ ($0 < t < 1$), $W(0)=A$ and $W(1)=A'$, then we shall say that the point A' of $\mathfrak{S}(M')$ is obtained from the point A of $\mathfrak{S}(M)$ by a continuation along the arc $\mathfrak{z}: Z=Z(t)=\exp W(t)$ ($0 \leq t \leq 1$) which joins M and M' ; also then, we may say that the point A and A' of $\mathfrak{S}(M)$ and $\mathfrak{S}(M')$ respectively are joined by a regular branch $\text{Log } Z$ of $\log Z$ along the arc \mathfrak{z} which joins M and M' .

PROPOSITION 1. *Any points A and A' of $\mathfrak{S}(M)$ and $\mathfrak{S}(M')$ respectively are joined by a regular branch $\log Z$ of $\text{Log } Z$ along an arc which joins M and M' .*

PROOF. To prove this we have only to show that any point A of $\mathfrak{S}(M)$ is obtained from the point O of $\mathfrak{S}(E)$ by a continuation along an arc. If $A \in \mathfrak{U}^\circ$, then A and O is joined by an arc in \mathfrak{U}° , since \mathfrak{U}° is arc-wise connected. If $A \in \mathfrak{U}^s$, then there exists a point A_1 in a neighborhood of A such that A and A_1 are joined by an arc $w_1: W=W_1(s)$, ($0 \leq s \leq 1$), where $W_1(0)=A$, $W_1(1)=A_1$ and $W_1(s) \in \mathfrak{U}^\circ$ ($0 < s < 1$); and A_1 and O are joined by an arc in \mathfrak{U}° . Therefore, our assertion is proved.

PROPOSITION 2. *Any points A_1 and A_2 of $\mathfrak{S}(M)$ are joined by a regular branch of $\log Z$ along a closed arc through M .*

PROOF. Since $A_1, A_2 \in \mathfrak{S}(M)$, for the arc $w: W=W(t)$ ($0 \leq t \leq 1$) such that $W(0)=A_1$ and $W(1)=A_2$, the corresponding arc $\mathfrak{z}: Z=\exp W(t)$ is a closed arc through M . From this and Proposition 1, the proposition is obtained.

§ 4. Local continuation

To investigate, in more detail, the behavior of the points of $\mathfrak{S}(Z)$ for Z moving along arcs, we shall introduce the concept of a local continuation around M .

Let A_1 and A_2 be the points of $\mathfrak{S}(M)$, if A_2 is obtained from A_1 by a continuation along a closed arc through M which is in a small neighbor-

1) By $\log Z$ we shall mean the multi-valued inverse function of $\exp Z$.

hood of M , we shall say that A_2 is obtained from A_1 by a local continuation around M .

We shall consider a decomposition of $\mathfrak{L}(M)$ as follows:

$$(4.1) \quad \mathfrak{L}(M) = \bigcup_B \mathfrak{L}(M; B),$$

where $\mathfrak{L}(M; B) \equiv \{A; A = k(M) + PBP^{-1}, P \in \mathfrak{R}(M; M_0)\}$ in the notation of (2.2). As for this decomposition, it is clear that $\mathfrak{L}(M; B_1) = \mathfrak{L}(M; B_2)$, if and only if $B_2 = QB_1Q^{-1}$ for some $Q \in \mathfrak{G}(M)$, which is equivalent to the fact that B_2 is obtained from B_1 by the arrangement of the blocks $\mu_{i\alpha}^{(i)} E_{i\alpha}$ of B_1 such that the degree $n_{i\alpha}$ are equal to each other; and that either $\mathfrak{L}(M; B_1) = \mathfrak{L}(M; B_2)$ or $\mathfrak{L}(M; B_1) \cap \mathfrak{L}(M; B_2) = \phi$. And moreover the number of $\mathfrak{L}(M; B)$ is countable; and either $\mathfrak{L}(M, B) \subset \mathfrak{A}^\circ$ or $\mathfrak{L}(M, B) \cap \mathfrak{A}^\circ = \phi$.

Concerning this decomposition we shall prove

THEOREM 1. *The decomposition $\mathfrak{L}(M) = \bigcup_B \mathfrak{L}(M; B)$ of $\mathfrak{L}(M)$ is invariant by a local continuation around M . For any points A_1 and A_2 of $\mathfrak{L}(M; B)$, A_2 is obtained from A_1 by a local continuation around M .*

PROOF. It is easily seen that this decomposition is invariant by any local continuation around M . We shall prove that for any points A_1 and A_2 of $\mathfrak{L}(M; B)$, A_2 is obtained from A_1 by a local continuation around M . For this purpose, without loss of generality we may assume $M = M_0$, consequently, $P_0 = E$ and $\mathfrak{R}(M; M_0) = \mathfrak{G}(M)$. Then we have

$$(4.2) \quad A_1 = k(M) + P_1 B P_1^{-1} \quad \text{and} \quad A_2 = k(M) + P_2 B P_2^{-1}$$

where $P_1, P_2 \in \mathfrak{G}(M)$ and $B = \sum_{i=1}^p \dot{+} \sum_{\alpha=1}^{p_i} \dot{+} \mu_{i\alpha} E_{i\alpha}$. Here if we set

$$(4.3) \quad P(t) = \exp((1-t) \text{Log } P_1 + t \text{Log } P_2), \quad (0 \leq t \leq 1),$$

since $\text{Log } P_1, \text{Log } P_2 \in \mathfrak{G}(M)$, then we have

$$(4.4) \quad P(t) \in \mathfrak{G}(M) \cap \mathfrak{M}, \quad (0 \leq t \leq 1), \quad P(0) = P_1 \quad \text{and} \quad P(1) = P_2.$$

So if we consider an arc $w_1: W = W_1(t) = P(t)(k(M) + B)P(t)^{-1}$, then $W_1(0) = A$, $W_1(1) = A_2$ and $W_1(t) \in \mathfrak{L}(M; B)$, $(0 \leq t \leq 1)$, and hence we have the corresponding arc $z_1: Z = Z_1(t) = \exp W_1(t) = M$ $(0 \leq t \leq 1)$.

Next, for any neighborhood $\mathfrak{B}(M; \varepsilon) \equiv \{X; \|X - M\| < \varepsilon\}$ of M , where $\|X\| \equiv (\text{Tr}(\bar{X}X))^{1/2}$, we can take an arc $w: W = W(t) = P(t)U(t)P(t)^{-1}$ $(0 \leq t \leq 1)$, where $U(0) = U(1) = k(M) + B$, $U(t) \in \mathfrak{A}^\circ$ $(0 < t < 1)$, $\|U(t) - (k(M) + B)\| < \eta/\Gamma^2$, Γ is a positive number such that $\|P(t)\|, \|P(t)^{-1}\| < \Gamma$ for $0 \leq t \leq 1$, and η is a positive number such that $\|W(t) - W_1(t)\| < \eta$ implies $\|\exp W(t) - \exp W_1(t)\| < \varepsilon$. Since $\|P(t)\|$ and $\|P(t)^{-1}\|$ are continuous in a closed interval $0 \leq t \leq 1$, it is clear that such a positive number Γ exists; and the existence of η , clearly, follows from the continuity of the exponential mapping. Then we have $W(0) = A_1$, $W(1) = A_2$ and $W(t) \in \mathfrak{A}^\circ$ $(0 < t < 1)$. For this arc w , we make correspond an arc $z: Z = \exp W(t)$, then we have

$$(4.5) \quad \|W(t) - W_1(t)\| \leq \|P(t)\| \|U(t) - (k(M) + B)\| \|P(t)^{-1}\| < \eta,$$

and therefore we have

$$\|Z(t) - M\| = \|\exp W(t) - \exp W_1(t)\| < \varepsilon.$$

That is, $Z(t) \in \mathfrak{B}(M; \varepsilon)$ for $0 \leq t \leq 1$. Thus, our assertion is proved.

If $M \in \mathfrak{M}^\circ$, then $\mathfrak{Q}(M; B)$ is always composed of only one point, and the points of $\mathfrak{Q}(M)$ are always invariant by any local continuation around M . On the other hand, if $M \in \mathfrak{M}^s$, for some B , the set $\mathfrak{Q}(M; B)$ contains an uncountable number of points; and by Theorem 1, these points are always obtained from any point of $\mathfrak{Q}(M; B)$ by a local continuation around M .

By Proposition 2, any point of $\mathfrak{Q}(M)$ are obtained from any point of $\mathfrak{Q}(M)$ by a continuation along a closed arc through M . Especially, $k(M) + B$ is obtained from $L(M)$ by a continuation along a closed arc through M . And moreover, by Proposition 1 the point $L(M')$ of $\mathfrak{Q}(M')$ is obtained from the point $L(M)$ of $\mathfrak{Q}(M)$ by a continuation along an arc from M to M' .

Summarizing these results we have

THEOREM 2. *Any points of $\mathfrak{Q}(M'; B')$ are obtained from any points of $\mathfrak{Q}(M; B)$ by composing the following continuations along arcs:*

(1) *a continuation from $L(M)$ to $L(M')$ along a fixed arc from M to M' ,*

(2) *a continuation along a fixed closed arc through M ,*

(2') *a continuation along a fixed closed arc through M' ,*

(3) *local continuations around M ,*

(3') *local continuations around M' .*

Finally we shall prove

THEOREM 3. *Let $S \in \mathfrak{U} - \mathfrak{M}$, i.e., if $\det S = 0$, then there exists a closed arc: $Z = Z(t)$ ($0 \leq t \leq 1$) of \mathfrak{M} , in any small neighborhood of S , such that $Z(0) = Z(1) = M$, a point of $\mathfrak{Q}(M)$ is transferred to another point of $\mathfrak{Q}(M)$ by a continuation along this closed arc.*

PROOF. To prove this, without loss of generality, we assume that

$$(4.6) \quad S = S_1 + S_2, \quad \det S_2 \neq 0 \quad \text{and} \quad S_1 = \begin{pmatrix} \overbrace{0 \quad \eta_1 \quad \dots \quad 0}^m \\ 0 \quad \eta_2 \quad \dots \quad 0 \\ \vdots \quad \vdots \quad \ddots \quad \vdots \\ 0 \quad \vdots \quad \dots \quad \eta_{m-1} \quad 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

where $\eta_k = 0$ or 1 , ($k = 1, 2, \dots, m-1$). For any neighborhood $\mathfrak{B}(S; \varepsilon) \equiv \{X; \|X - S\| < \varepsilon\}$ of S , if we take

$$(4.7) \quad M = M_1 + S_2, \quad M_1 = \lambda E_1 + S_1, \quad 0 < \lambda < \frac{1}{\sqrt{m}} \varepsilon,$$

and

$$(4.8) \quad \begin{aligned} Z(t) &= (\lambda e^{2t\pi\sqrt{-1}} E_1 + S_1) + S_2, \quad (0 \leq t \leq 1), \\ W(t) &= (k(Z_1(t)) + (\log \lambda + 2(t+l)\pi\sqrt{-1}) E_1) + L(S_2), \\ Z_1(t) &= \lambda e^{2t\pi\sqrt{-1}} E_1 + S_1, \quad (l: \text{integer}), \end{aligned}$$

then we have

$$\exp W(t) = Z(t) \quad \text{and} \quad W(t) \in \mathfrak{A}^\circ \quad (0 \leq t \leq 1).$$

Therefore, a point $W(0)$ of $\mathfrak{Q}(M)$ is transferred to another point $W(1)$ of $\mathfrak{Q}(M)$ by a continuation along a closed arc $Z=Z(t)$. Thus, the theorem is proved.

In conclusion, I wish to express my hearty thanks to Prof. K. Morinaga for suggesting me this investigation as well as for giving me constant guidance in the course of the work.

References

- [1] K. Morinaga and T. Nôno, *On the logarithmic functions of matrices I*, J. Sci. Hiroshima Univ., (A), Vol. 14, No. 2 (1950), pp. 107-114.
- [2] K. Morinaga and T. Nôno, *On the matrix space*, J. Sci. Hiroshima Univ., (A), Vol. 19, No. 1 (1955), pp. 171-179.
- [3] T. Nôno, *On the singularity of general linear groups*, J. Sci. Hiroshima Univ., Vol. 20, No. 3 (1957), pp. 115-123.

Mathematical Institute,
Hiroshima University