

Stable extendibility of some complex vector bundles over lens spaces and Schwarzenberger's theorem

Dedicated to the Memory of Professor Yusuke Kawamoto

Yutaka HEMMI and Teiichi KOBAYASHI

(Received February 2, 2016)

(Revised August 30, 2016)

ABSTRACT. We obtain conditions for stable extendibility of some complex vector bundles over the $(2n + 1)$ -dimensional standard lens space $L^n(p)$ mod p , where p is a prime. Furthermore, we study stable extendibility of the bundle $\pi_n^*(\tau(\mathbf{C}P^n))$ induced by the natural projection $\pi_n : L^n(p) \rightarrow \mathbf{C}P^n$ from the complex tangent bundle $\tau(\mathbf{C}P^n)$ of the complex projective n -space $\mathbf{C}P^n$. As an application, we have a result on stable extendibility of $\tau(\mathbf{C}P^n)$ which gives another proof of Schwarzenberger's theorem.

1. Introduction

Let \mathbf{F} denote either the real number field \mathbf{R} or the complex number field \mathbf{C} . Let X be a space and A its subspace. A t -dimensional \mathbf{F} -vector bundle α over A is said to be extendible (respectively stably extendible) to X if and only if there exists a t -dimensional \mathbf{F} -vector bundle over X whose restriction to A is equivalent (respectively stably equivalent) to α (cf. [8, p. 20] and [5, p. 273]). In this paper, we use the same letter for an \mathbf{F} -vector bundle and its equivalence class.

For a prime p , let $L^n(p) = S^{2n+1}/(\mathbf{Z}/p)$ denote the standard lens space mod p of dimension $2n + 1$ and $\mathbf{C}P^n = S^{2n+1}/S^1$ the complex projective space of complex dimension n , where S^m is the standard sphere of dimension m and \mathbf{Z}/q the group of integers mod q . Let μ_n be the canonical \mathbf{C} -line bundle over $\mathbf{C}P^n$. Then we define $\eta_n = \pi_n^*(\mu_n)$, the bundle induced by the natural projection $\pi_n : L^n(p) \rightarrow \mathbf{C}P^n$ from μ_n . We call η_n the canonical \mathbf{C} -line bundle over $L^n(p)$.

Throughout this paper, we denote by $[x]$ the largest integer q with $q \leq x$. In [2], we have obtained the following result for the stable extendibility of \mathbf{R} -vector bundles over $L^n(p)$.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 55R50; Secondary 55N15.

Key words and phrases. complex vector bundle, extendible, stably extendible, K -theory, lens space, complex projective space.

THEOREM 1.1 ([2, Theorem 1]). *Let p be an odd prime and α a t -dimensional \mathbf{R} -vector bundle over $L^n(p)$ which is stably equivalent to $sr(\eta_n)$, where s is an integer with $n/2 \leq s < p^{\lfloor n/(p-1) \rfloor}$, and $r(\eta_n)$ is the real restriction of η_n . Then the following three conditions are equivalent.*

- (i) α is stably extendible to $L^m(p)$ for every $m \geq n$.
- (ii) α is stably extendible to $L^{2s}(p)$.
- (iii) $s \leq \lfloor t/2 \rfloor$.

In this paper, we have

THEOREM 1. *Let p be a prime and α a t -dimensional \mathbf{C} -vector bundle over $L^n(p)$ which is stably equivalent to $s\eta_n$, where s is an integer with $n \leq s < p^{\lfloor n/(p-1) \rfloor}$. Then the following three conditions are equivalent.*

- (i) α is stably extendible to $L^m(p)$ for every $m \geq n$.
- (ii) α is stably extendible to $L^s(p)$.
- (iii) $s \leq t$.

It should be remarked that the implication (iii) \Rightarrow (i) holds even in the cases where $s < n$ (see the proof of Theorem 1).

Let $\tau(\mathbf{C}P^n)$ denote the complex tangent bundle of $\mathbf{C}P^n$ and $\pi_n^*(\tau(\mathbf{C}P^n))$ the bundle induced by the natural projection $\pi_n : L^n(p) \rightarrow \mathbf{C}P^n$ from $\tau(\mathbf{C}P^n)$.

As an application of Theorem 1, we have

COROLLARY 2. *Let p be a prime and $\pi_n : L^n(p) \rightarrow \mathbf{C}P^n$ the natural projection. Then $\pi_n^*(\tau(\mathbf{C}P^n))$ is not stably extendible to $L^{n+1}(p)$ if $n \geq 2p - 2$.*

Using Corollary 2, we have

THEOREM 3. *If $n \geq 2$, $\tau(\mathbf{C}P^n)$ is not stably extendible to $\mathbf{C}P^{n+1}$.*

In Appendix I of [3], R. L. E. Schwarzenberger proved the following.

THEOREM 1.2 ([3]). *If $n \geq 2$, $\tau(\mathbf{C}P^n)$ is not extendible to $\mathbf{C}P^{n+1}$.*

Clearly, extendibility implies stable extendibility. Hence Theorem 1.2 follows from Theorem 3. Conversely, we see

LEMMA 1.3. *If $\tau(\mathbf{C}P^n)$ is stably extendible to $\mathbf{C}P^{n+1}$, it is extendible to $\mathbf{C}P^{n+1}$.*

This shows that Theorem 3 follows also from Theorem 1.2.

For a sufficient condition of stable extendibility of \mathbf{C} -vector bundles over lens spaces, the following holds.

THEOREM 4. *Let p be a prime and α a t -dimensional \mathbf{C} -vector bundle over $L^n(p)$ which is stably equivalent to $s\eta_n$. Then α is stably extendible to $L^m(p)$*

for every $m \geq n$ if there exists an integer a satisfying the inequalities:

$$s - t \leq ap^{1+\lfloor(n-1)/(p-1)\rfloor} \leq s.$$

The converse does not hold in general. In fact, we have the following.

THEOREM 5. *The converse claim of Theorem 4 does not hold for $n = 1$, $p \geq 3$ and $\alpha = \pi_1^*(\tau(\mathbf{C}P^1))$, where $\pi_1 : L^1(p) \rightarrow \mathbf{C}P^1 (= S^2)$ is the natural projection.*

The following corollary will be used to prove Theorem 9 below.

COROLLARY 6. *Let p be a prime and $\pi_{p-1} : L^{p-1}(p) \rightarrow \mathbf{C}P^{p-1}$ the natural projection. Then $\pi_{p-1}^*(\tau(\mathbf{C}P^{p-1}))$ is stably extendible to $L^m(p)$ for every $m \geq p - 1$.*

It is shown in Theorem 5 that the converse of Theorem 4 does not hold in general, but for $p = 3$ and $n = 2k$ we can show that the converse holds as follows.

THEOREM 7. *Let α be a t -dimensional \mathbf{C} -vector bundle over $L^{2k}(3)$ which is stably equivalent to $s\eta_{2k}$, where η_{2k} is the canonical \mathbf{C} -line bundle over $L^{2k}(3)$. Then α is stably extendible to $L^m(3)$ for every $m \geq 2k$ if and only if there exists an integer a satisfying the inequalities:*

$$s - t \leq a3^k \leq s.$$

For $p = 3$, we have

THEOREM 8. *Let $\pi_n : L^n(3) \rightarrow \mathbf{C}P^n$ be the natural projection. Then $\pi_n^*(\tau(\mathbf{C}P^n))$ is not stably extendible to $L^{n+1}(3)$ if $n \geq 3$.*

THEOREM 9. *$\pi_n^*(\tau(\mathbf{C}P^n))$ is stably extendible to $L^m(3)$ for every $m \geq n$ if and only if $n = 1, 2$.*

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we prove Theorem 1, Corollary 2, Theorem 3 and Lemma 1.3 by using results in [7] on the stable extendibility of some \mathbf{C} -vector bundles over $L^n(p)$. In Section 3, we recall some known results on the structure of the K -ring of $L^n(p)$, and prove Theorems 4, 5 and Corollary 6. Detailed results for the case $p = 3$, that is, Theorems 7, 8 and 9, are proved in Sections 4 and 5.

2. Proofs of Theorem 1, Corollary 2, Theorem 3 and Lemma 1.3

The following result gives information about stable extendibility of some \mathbf{C} -vector bundles over $L^n(p)$, and is useful for the proofs of Theorems 1 and 7.

THEOREM 2.1 ([7, Theorem 4.5]). *Let p be a prime and α a t -dimensional \mathbf{C} -vector bundle over $L^n(p)$. Assume that there exists a positive integer l such that α is stably equivalent to a sum of $t+l$ non-trivial \mathbf{C} -line bundles, where $t+l < p^{\lfloor n/(p-1) \rfloor}$. Then $n < t+l$ and α is not stably extendible to $L^{t+l}(p)$.*

We use the next lemma for the proof of Theorem 1.

LEMMA 2.2 ([1, Lemma 2.1]). *Let A be a subspace of a space X , and α and β be \mathbf{F} -vector bundles over A of respective dimensions a and b , where $b \leq a$. Suppose that α is stably equivalent to β . Then, if β is stably extendible to X , so is α .*

PROOF OF THEOREM 1. (i) \Rightarrow (ii) is clear.

We prove (ii) \Rightarrow (iii) by contraposition. Suppose $t < s$ and define $s - t = l$. Then $l > 0$ and $t + l = s < p^{\lfloor n/(p-1) \rfloor}$. Using Theorem 2.1, we have $n < s$ and α is not stably extendible to $L^s(p)$.

To prove (iii) \Rightarrow (i), suppose $s \leq t$. Then, setting $A = L^n(p)$, $X = L^m(p)$ ($m \geq n$), $\beta = s\eta_n$, $a = t$, $b = s$ in Lemma 2.2, we see that α is stably extendible to $L^m(p)$, since $i^*(s\eta_m) = si^*(\eta_m) = s\eta_n = \beta$, where $i^* : K(L^m(p)) \rightarrow K(L^n(p))$ is the homomorphism induced by the standard inclusion $i : L^n(p) \rightarrow L^m(p)$. \square

PROOF OF COROLLARY 2. Recall that $\tau(\mathbf{C}P^n) \oplus 1 = (n+1)\mu_n$ (cf. [6, p. 145]), where \oplus denotes the Whitney sum. Then $\pi_n^*(\tau(\mathbf{C}P^n)) \oplus 1 = (n+1)\eta_n$, where $\pi_n : L^n(p) \rightarrow \mathbf{C}P^n$ is the natural projection. Note that $n+1 < p^{\lfloor n/(p-1) \rfloor}$ if $n \geq 2p-2$. Thus the proof is completed by the implication (ii) \Rightarrow (iii) of Theorem 1 by setting $\alpha = \pi_n^*(\tau(\mathbf{C}P^n))$, $t = n$ and $s = n+1$. \square

PROOF OF THEOREM 3. Suppose that $\tau(\mathbf{C}P^n)$ is stably extendible to $\mathbf{C}P^{n+1}$. Then there exists an n -dimensional vector bundle β over $\mathbf{C}P^{n+1}$ such that $\tau(\mathbf{C}P^n)$ is stably equivalent to $j^*(\beta)$, where $j : \mathbf{C}P^n \rightarrow \mathbf{C}P^{n+1}$ is the standard inclusion. Consider the natural projection $\pi_m : L^m(2) \rightarrow \mathbf{C}P^m$, where $m = n$ and $n+1$. Then $\pi_n^*(\tau(\mathbf{C}P^n))$ is stably equivalent to $\pi_n^*(j^*(\beta))$ which is equal to $i^*(\pi_{n+1}^*(\beta))$ by naturality, where $i : L^n(2) \rightarrow L^{n+1}(2)$ is the standard inclusion. Hence $\pi_n^*(\tau(\mathbf{C}P^n))$ is stably extendible to $L^{n+1}(2)$. If $n \geq 2$, this contradicts to Corollary 2. \square

To prove Lemma 1.3, we use the following result.

THEOREM 2.3 ([4, Theorem 1.5, p. 100]). *If α and β are two t -dimensional \mathbf{F} -vector bundles over an m -dimensional CW-complex X such that $\langle (m+2)/d-1 \rangle \leq t$ and $\alpha \oplus k = \beta \oplus k$ for some k -dimensional trivial \mathbf{F} -vector*

bundle k over X , then $\alpha = \beta$, where $d = 1$ or 2 according as $\mathbf{F} = \mathbf{R}$ or \mathbf{C} and $\langle x \rangle$ denotes the smallest integer q with $x \leq q$.

PROOF OF LEMMA 1.3. Suppose that $\tau(\mathbf{C}P^n)$ is stably extendible to $\mathbf{C}P^{n+1}$. Then there exists an n -dimensional \mathbf{C} -vector bundle γ such that $i^*(\gamma) \oplus k = \tau(\mathbf{C}P^n) \oplus k$ for a k -dimensional trivial bundle k , where $i: \mathbf{C}P^n \rightarrow \mathbf{C}P^{n+1}$ is the standard inclusion. Putting $\mathbf{F} = \mathbf{C}$ (and thus $d = 2$), $\alpha = i^*(\gamma)$, $\beta = \tau(\mathbf{C}P^n)$, $X = \mathbf{C}P^n$, $m = 2n$ and $t = n$ in Theorem 2.3, we obtain $\alpha = \beta$, that is, $i^*(\gamma) = \tau(\mathbf{C}P^n)$. Hence $\tau(\mathbf{C}P^n)$ is extendible to $\mathbf{C}P^{n+1}$. \square

3. Proofs of Theorems 4, 5 and Corollary 6

For the canonical \mathbf{C} -line bundle η_n over $L^n(p)$, set $\sigma_n = \eta_n - 1 (\in \tilde{K}(L^n(p)))$. The structure of the ring $\tilde{K}(L^n(p))$ is determined in [6] as follows.

THEOREM 3.1 ([6, Theorem 1]). *Let p be a prime and $n = s(p - 1) + r$, where s and r are integers with $s \geq 0$ and $0 \leq r < p - 1$. Then*

$$\tilde{K}(L^n(p)) \cong (\mathbf{Z}/p^{s+1})^r + (\mathbf{Z}/p^s)^{p-r-1}.$$

(Here, $(\mathbf{Z}/q)^k$ denotes the direct sum of k -copies of the additive group of integers mod q .) The first r summands are generated by $\sigma_n^1, \sigma_n^2, \dots, \sigma_n^r$, and the last $(p - r - 1)$ summands by $\sigma_n^{r+1}, \sigma_n^{r+2}, \dots, \sigma_n^{p-1}$. Moreover, the ring structure is determined by the relations:

$$(\sigma_n + 1)^p (= \eta_n^p) = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma_n^{n+1} = 0.$$

FACT 3.2 ([6, (2.10)]). *Let p be a prime. Then, for $1 \leq i \leq p - 1$, σ_n^i is of order $p^{1+\lfloor (n-i)/(p-1) \rfloor}$.*

The cohomology groups of $L^n(p)$ are known as follows.

FACT 3.3 ([6, (2.1)]).

$$H^i(L^n(p); \mathbf{Z}) \cong \begin{cases} \mathbf{Z}/p & \text{if } i = 2k \text{ for some } 1 \leq k \leq n, \\ \mathbf{Z} & \text{if } i = 0 \text{ or } 2n + 1, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

PROOF OF THEOREM 4. In the setting of Theorem 4, let us assume that we can take an integer a satisfying the inequality below

$$s - t \leq ap^{1+\lfloor (n-1)/(p-1) \rfloor} \leq s.$$

Since α is stably equivalent to $s\eta_n$, we have $\alpha = s\eta_n + t - s$ in $K(L^n(p))$. By Fact 3.2, the equality $ap^{1+\lfloor (n-1)/(p-1) \rfloor}(\eta_n - 1) = 0$ holds in $\tilde{K}(L^n(p))$ for our

integer a . Hence we obtain the equality

$$\alpha = (s - ap^{1+[(n-1)/(p-1)]})\eta_n + t - s + ap^{1+[(n-1)/(p-1)]}$$

in $K(L^n(p))$. Set $U = s - ap^{1+[(n-1)/(p-1)]}$ and $V = t - s + ap^{1+[(n-1)/(p-1)]}$. Then we have $\alpha = U\eta_n + V$, and $U \geq 0$ and $V \geq 0$ by the assumption for a . Since the Whitney sum $U\eta_n \oplus V$ is extendible to $L^m(p)$ for every $m \geq n$, α is stably extendible to $L^m(p)$ for every $m \geq n$. \square

PROOF OF THEOREM 5. We show that the bundle $\alpha = \pi_1^*(\tau(\mathbf{C}P^1))$ is extendible to $L^m(p)$ for every $m \geq 1$, but there does not exist an integer a satisfying the inequalities of Theorem 4 for α and $p \geq 3$. Let $BU(1)$ be the classifying space for $U(1) = S^1$, and let $\zeta : L^1(p) \rightarrow BU(1)$ be the classifying map of the bundle α . The obstructions for extending ζ to $L^m(p)$ ($m \geq 1$) consist in the groups

$$H^{r+1}(L^m(p), L^1(p); \pi_r(BU(1))) \cong H^{r+1}(L^m(p), L^1(p); \pi_{r-1}(S^1))$$

which are easily seen to be 0 for each r (cf. Fact 3.3). So α is extendible to $L^m(p)$ for every $m \geq 1$. Now, $t = \dim \alpha = 1$, and $s = 2$ since $\alpha \oplus 1 = 2\eta_1$. Then there does not exist an integer a satisfying the inequalities: $1 \leq ap \leq 2$ if $p \geq 3$. \square

PROOF OF COROLLARY 6. Note that $\dim \pi_{p-1}^*(\tau(\mathbf{C}P^{p-1})) = p - 1$ and that $\pi_{p-1}^*(\tau(\mathbf{C}P^{p-1})) \oplus 1 = p\eta_{p-1}$. Then, for $n = p - 1$, $\alpha = \pi_{p-1}^*(\tau(\mathbf{C}P^{p-1}))$, $t = p - 1$ and $s = p$ in Theorem 4, we have the result, because $a = 1$ satisfies the inequalities: $1 \leq ap \leq p$. \square

4. Proof of Theorem 7

PROOF OF THEOREM 7. The “if” part of the theorem follows immediately from Theorem 4 since $p^{1+[(n-1)/(p-1)]} = 3^k$ for $p = 3$ and $n = 2k$.

We prove the “only if” part of the theorem by contraposition. Assume that every integer a satisfies

$$a3^k < s - t \quad \text{or} \quad s < a3^k.$$

Let M be the minimum integer such that $s < M3^k$. Then, since $s \geq (M - 1)3^k$, we have $(M - 1)3^k < s - t$ by the above assumption. Put $l = s - t - (M - 1)3^k$. Then $l > 0$,

$$t + l = s - (M - 1)3^k < M3^k - (M - 1)3^k = 3^k \quad \text{and}$$

$$(t + l)\eta_{2k} = \{s - (M - 1)3^k\}\eta_{2k} = s\eta_{2k} - (M - 1)3^k$$

since $\{(M - 1)3^k\}(\eta_{2k} - 1) = 0$ by Fact 3.2. Hence, by Theorem 2.1, $2k < s - (M - 1)3^k$ and α is not stably extendible to $L^m(3)$ for $m = s - (M - 1)3^k$. \square

5. Proofs of Theorems 8 and 9

We recall some known facts for the proof of Theorem 8.

FACT 5.1. *The total Chern class $C(\eta_n^i)$ of η_n^i is given by $C(\eta_n^i) = 1 + iz_n$, where $z_n = C_1(\eta_n)$ is the generator of $H^2(L^n(p); \mathbf{Z})(\cong \mathbf{Z}/p)$.*

FACT 5.2. *Let p be a prime and let $a = \sum_{0 \leq i \leq m} a(i)p^i$ and $b = \sum_{0 \leq i \leq m} b(i)p^i$, ($0 \leq a(i) < p, 0 \leq b(i) < p$). Then*

$$\binom{b}{a} \equiv \prod_{0 \leq i \leq m} \binom{b(i)}{a(i)} \pmod{p}.$$

PROOF OF THEOREM 8. If $n \geq 4$, $\pi_n^*(\tau(\mathbf{C}P^n))$ is not stably extendible to $L^{n+1}(3)$ by Corollary 2.

We prove that $\pi_n^*(\tau(\mathbf{C}P^n))$ is not stably extendible to $L^{n+1}(p)$ for $p = 3$ and $n = 3$. Suppose that there exists a 3-dimensional \mathbf{C} -vector bundle β over $L^4(3)$ satisfying $i^*(\beta) = \pi_3^*(\tau(\mathbf{C}P^3))$, where $i : L^3(3) \rightarrow L^4(3)$ is the standard inclusion and $\pi_3 : L^3(3) \rightarrow \mathbf{C}P^3$ is the natural projection. According to Theorem 3.1, there exist integers a and b such that

$$\beta - 3 = a\sigma_4 + b\sigma_4^2 \in \tilde{K}(L^4(3))(\cong \mathbf{Z}/3^2 + \mathbf{Z}/3^2).$$

Applying the induced homomorphism $i^* : \tilde{K}(L^4(3)) \rightarrow \tilde{K}(L^3(3))$ to the both sides of the above equality, we obtain

$$i^*(\beta - 3) = a\sigma_3 + b\sigma_3^2 \in \tilde{K}(L^3(3))(\cong \mathbf{Z}/3^2 + \mathbf{Z}/3).$$

On the other hand, we have

$$i^*(\beta - 3) = \pi_3^*(\tau(\mathbf{C}P^3)) - 3 = 4\eta_3 - 4 = 4\sigma_3.$$

Hence $a = 9x + 4$ and $b = 3y$ for some integers x and y . So

$$\begin{aligned} \beta - 3 &= (9x + 4)\sigma_4 + 3y\sigma_4^2 = (9x + 4)(\eta_4 - 1) + 3y(\eta_4 - 1)^2 \\ &= \{9(x - y) + 3(y + 1) + 1\}\eta_4 + 3y\eta_4^2 - 9x + 3y - 4. \end{aligned}$$

Define $A = 9(x - y) + 3(y + 1) + 1$ and $B = 3y$. Since we may take a and b with $a \geq 2b \geq 0$, we consider that x and y satisfy inequalities: $A \geq 0$ and $B \geq 0$.

Now, by Fact 5.1, the total Chern class of β is given by

$$C(\beta) = C(\eta_4)^A C(\eta_4^2)^B = (1 + z_4)^A (1 + 2z_4)^B = (1 + z_4)^A (1 - z_4)^B,$$

where z_4 is the generator of $H^2(L^4(3); \mathbf{Z})(\cong \mathbf{Z}/3)$. Thus the 4-th Chern class of β is given by

$$C_4(\beta) = \sum_{i+j=4} \binom{A}{i} \binom{B}{j} (-1)^j z_4^4.$$

Here, by Fact 5.2, $\binom{A}{2} \equiv \binom{B}{j} \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$ for $j = 1, 2, 4$, $\binom{A}{i} \equiv \binom{B}{0} \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$ for $i = 0, 1$, $\binom{A}{i} \equiv y + 1 \pmod{3}$ for $i = 3, 4$, and $\binom{B}{3} \equiv y \pmod{3}$. Hence we have $C_4(\beta) = (-y + y + 1)z_4^4 = z_4^4 \neq 0$.

On the other hand, $C_4(\beta) = 0$ since β is 3-dimensional. This is a contradiction. \square

PROOF OF THEOREM 9. In the proof of Theorem 5, it is proved that $\pi_1^*(\tau(\mathbf{C}P^1))$ is extendible to $L^m(3)$ for every $m \geq 1$. Putting $p = 3$ in Corollary 6, we see that $\pi_2^*(\tau(\mathbf{C}P^2))$ is stably extendible to $L^m(3)$ for every $m \geq 2$.

The “only if” part follows immediately from Theorem 7. \square

Acknowledgement

The authors would like to thank the referee and the editor for their careful review of our manuscript and for useful suggestions.

References

- [1] Y. Hemmi and T. Kobayashi, Stable extendibility of vector bundles over real projective spaces, *Topology Appl.* **160** (2013), 2170–2174.
- [2] Y. Hemmi and T. Kobayashi, Stably extendible vector bundles over lens spaces and applications to normal bundles, *Kochi J. Math.* **11** (2016), 71–77.
- [3] F. Hirzebruch, *Topological Methods in Algebraic Geometry*, Springer-Verlag, New York, Berlin, Heidelberg, 1978.
- [4] D. Husemoller, *Fibre Bundles*, Second Edition, Graduate Texts in Math. **20**, Springer-Verlag, New York, Berlin, Heidelberg, 1975.
- [5] M. Imaoka and K. Kuwana, Stably extendible vector bundles over the quaternionic projective spaces, *Hiroshima Math. J.* **29** (1999), 273–279.
- [6] T. Kambe, The structure of K_i -rings of the lens space and their applications, *J. Math. Soc. Japan* **18** (1966), 135–146.
- [7] T. Kobayashi, H. Maki and T. Yoshida, Stable extendibility of normal bundles associated to immersions of real projective spaces and lens spaces, *Mem. Fac. Sci. Kochi Univ. Ser. A (Math.)* **21** (2000), 31–38.

- [8] R. L. E. Schwarzenberger, Extendible vector bundles over real projective space, *Quart. J. Math. Oxford* (2) **17** (1966), 19–21.

Yutaka Hemmi
Department of Mathematics
Faculty of Science
Kochi University
2-5-1 *Akebono-cho, Kochi 780-8520, Japan*
E-mail: hemmi@kochi-u.ac.jp

Teiichi Kobayashi
292-21 *Asakura-ki*
Kochi 780-8066, Japan
E-mail: teikoba@blue.plala.or.jp