

## A lower bound on WAFOM

Takehito YOSHIKI

(Received December 20, 2013)

(Revised March 10, 2014)

**ABSTRACT.** We give a lower bound on the Walsh figure of merit (WAFOM), which estimates the integration error for quasi-Monte Carlo (QMC) integration by a point set called a digital net. The logarithm of this lower bound is optimal up to a constant multiple, because the existence of point sets attaining the order was proved in [K. Suzuki, An explicit construction of point sets with large minimum Dick weight, to appear in *J. Complexity*].

### 1. Introduction

We explain the relation between quasi-Monte Carlo (QMC) integration and the Walsh figure of merit (WAFOM) (see [3] for details). QMC integration is one of the methods for numerical integration (see [2], [5] and [7] for details). Let  $Q$  be a point set in the  $s$ -dimensional cube  $[0, 1]^s$  with finite cardinality  $\#(Q) = N$ , and  $f : [0, 1]^s \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  be a Riemann integrable function. The QMC integration by  $Q$  is the approximation of  $I(f) := \int_{[0, 1]^s} f(x) dx$  by the average  $I_Q(f) := \frac{1}{\#(Q)} \sum_{x \in Q} f(x)$ .

WAFOM bounds the error of QMC integration for a certain class of functions by a point set  $P$  called a digital net, which is defined by the following identification (see [3] and [5] for details): Let  $\mathcal{P}$  be a subspace of  $s \times n$  matrices over the finite field  $\mathbf{F}_2$  of order two. We define the function  $\varphi : \mathcal{P} \ni X = (x_{i,j}) \mapsto x = (\sum_{j=1}^n x_{i,j} \cdot 2^{-j})_{i=1}^s \in \mathbf{R}^s$ , where  $x_{i,j}$  is considered to be 0 or 1 in  $\mathbf{Z}$  and the sum is taken in  $\mathbf{R}$ . The digital net  $P$  in  $[0, 1]^s$  is defined by  $\varphi(\mathcal{P})$ . We identify the digital net  $P$  with a linear space  $\mathcal{P}$ . If  $\mathcal{P}$  is an  $m$ -dimensional space, the cardinality of  $P$  is  $2^m$ .

Let  $f$  be a function whose mixed partial derivatives up to order  $\alpha \geq 1$  in each variable are square integrable (see [1] and [3] for details). We say that such a function  $f$  is an  $\alpha$ -smooth function or the smoothness of a function  $f$  is  $\alpha$  here. By using ' $n$ -digit discretization  $f_n$ ' (see [3] for details), we approximate  $I(f)$  by  $I_P(f_n) := \frac{1}{\#(P)} \sum_{x \in P} f_n(x)$  for an  $n$ -smooth function  $f$ , that is, we can

---

This work was supported by the Program for Leading Graduate Schools, MEXT, Japan.  
2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 65C05.

*Key words and phrases.* Quasi-Monte Carlo (QMC) integration, Walsh figure of merit, digital net.

evaluate the integration error by the following Koksma-Hlawka type inequality of WAFOM:

$$|I(f) - I_P(f_n)| \leq C_{s,n} \|f\|_n \times \text{WAFOM}(P),$$

where  $\|f\|_n$  is the norm of  $f$  defined in [1] and  $C_{s,n}$  is a constant independent of  $f$  and  $P$ . If the difference between  $I_P(f_n)$  and  $I_P(f)$  is negligibly small, we see that  $|I(f) - I_P(f)| \leq C_{s,n} \|f\|_n \times \text{WAFOM}(P)$  approximately holds (see [3] for details). In [4], we proved that there is a digital net  $P$  of size  $2^m$  with  $\text{WAFOM}(P) < 2^{-Cm^2/s}$  for sufficiently large  $m$  by a probabilistic argument. (Suzuki [8] gave a constructive proof.) In this paper, we prove that  $\text{WAFOM}(P) > 2^{-C'm^2/s}$  holds for large  $m$  and any digital net  $P$  with  $\#(P) = 2^m$  (see Theorem 3.1 for a precise statement, which is formulated for a linear subspace  $\mathcal{P}$ , instead of a digital net  $P$ ). Thus, the order  $m^2/s$  of the logarithm of this lower bound is optimal.

This paper is organized as follows: We introduce some definitions in Section 2. We prove a lower bound on WAFOM in Section 3.

## 2. Definition and notation

In this section, we introduce WAFOM and the minimum weight which will be needed later on.

Let  $s$  and  $n$  be positive integers.  $M_{s,n}(\mathbf{F}_2)$  denotes the set of  $s \times n$  matrices over the finite field  $\mathbf{F}_2$  of order 2. We regard  $M_{s,n}(\mathbf{F}_2)$  as an  $sn$ -dimensional inner product space under the inner product  $A \cdot B = (a_{i,j}) \cdot (b_{i,j}) = \sum_{i,j} a_{i,j} b_{i,j} \in \mathbf{F}_2$ .

WAFOM is defined using a Dick weight in [3].

**DEFINITION 2.1.** Let  $X = (x_{i,j})$  be an element of  $M_{s,n}(\mathbf{F}_2)$ . The Dick weight of  $X$  is defined by

$$\mu(X) := \sum_{1 \leq i \leq s, 1 \leq j \leq n} j \cdot x_{i,j},$$

where we regard  $x_{i,j} \in \{0, 1\}$  as the element of  $\mathbf{Z}$  and take the sum in  $\mathbf{Z}$ , not in  $\mathbf{F}_2$ .

**DEFINITION 2.2.** Let  $\mathcal{P}$  be a subspace of  $M_{s,n}(\mathbf{F}_2)$ . WAFOM of  $\mathcal{P}$  is defined by

$$\text{WAFOM}(\mathcal{P}) := \sum_{X \in \mathcal{P}^\perp \setminus \{O\}} 2^{-\mu(X)}, \quad (1)$$

where  $\mathcal{P}^\perp$  denotes the orthogonal space to  $\mathcal{P}$  in  $M_{s,n}(\mathbf{F}_2)$  and  $O$  denotes the zero matrix.

In order to estimate a lower bound on WAFOM, we use the minimum weight introduced in [4].

DEFINITION 2.3. Let  $\mathcal{P}$  be a proper subspace of  $\mathbf{M}_{s,n}(\mathbf{F}_2)$ . The minimum weight of  $\mathcal{P}^\perp$  is defined by

$$\delta_{\mathcal{P}^\perp} := \min_{X \in \mathcal{P}^\perp \setminus \{0\}} \mu(X). \tag{2}$$

### 3. A lower bound on WAFOM

Now we state a lower bound on WAFOM. The theorem is mentioned for a linear subspace identified with a digital net (see Section 1).

THEOREM 3.1. *Let  $n, s$  and  $m$  be positive integers such that  $m < ns$ , and let  $C'$  be an arbitrary real number greater than  $1/2$ . If  $m/s \geq (\sqrt{C' + 1/16} + 3/4)/(C' - 1/2)$ , then for any  $m$ -dimensional subspace  $\mathcal{P}$  of  $\mathbf{M}_{s,n}(\mathbf{F}_2)$  we have*

$$\text{WAFOM}(\mathcal{P}) \geq 2^{-C'm^2/s}.$$

PROOF. Let  $n, s, m$  and  $C'$  be defined as above. The following inequality immediately results from (1), (2) in Section 2:

$$\text{WAFOM}(\mathcal{P}) = \sum_{X \in \mathcal{P}^\perp \setminus \{0\}} 2^{-\mu(X)} \geq 2^{-\delta_{\mathcal{P}^\perp}}. \tag{3}$$

By an upper bound on  $\delta_{\mathcal{P}^\perp}$  in Lemma 3.1 (b) below and the inequality (3), for any  $m$ -dimensional subspace  $\mathcal{P}$  of  $\mathbf{M}_{s,n}(\mathbf{F}_2)$ , we have

$$\text{WAFOM}(\mathcal{P}) = \sum_{X \in \mathcal{P}^\perp \setminus \{0\}} 2^{-\mu(X)} \geq 2^{-\delta_{\mathcal{P}^\perp}} \geq 2^{-C'm^2/s}.$$

Thus Theorem 3.1 follows.

We prove an upper bound on the minimum weight  $\delta_{\mathcal{P}^\perp}$  to complete the proof of Theorem 3.1.

LEMMA 3.1. *Let  $n, s$  and  $m$  be positive integers such that  $m < ns$ . Then we have the following statements:*

- (a) *Let  $q$  and  $r$  be non-negative integers satisfying  $q = (m - r)/s$  and  $r < s$ . Then we obtain*

$$\delta_{\mathcal{P}^\perp} \leq \frac{sq(q + 1)}{2} + (q + 1)(r + 1)$$

*for any  $m$ -dimensional subspace  $\mathcal{P}$  of  $\mathbf{M}_{s,n}(\mathbf{F}_2)$ .*

- (b) Let  $C'$  be an arbitrary positive real number greater than  $1/2$ . If  $m/s \geq (\sqrt{C' + 1/16} + 3/4)/(C' - 1/2)$ , then we have

$$\delta_{\mathcal{P}^\perp} \leq C'm^2/s$$

for any  $m$ -dimensional subspace  $\mathcal{P}$  of  $\mathbf{M}_{s,n}(\mathbf{F}_2)$ .

PROOF. (a) If there exists a subspace  $W$  of  $\mathbf{M}_{s,n}(\mathbf{F}_2)$  such that for any  $m$ -dimensional subspace  $\mathcal{P}$  of  $\mathbf{M}_{s,n}(\mathbf{F}_2)$  we have  $\mathcal{P}^\perp \cap W \neq \{O\}$ , then  $\delta_{\mathcal{P}^\perp} \leq \max_{X \in W} \mu(X)$  holds. Therefore in order to obtain a sharp upper bound on  $\delta_{\mathcal{P}^\perp}$ , we need a subspace  $W$  with  $\max_{X \in W} \mu(X)$  small. We can construct  $W$  as follows:

$$W := \left\{ X = (x_{i,j}) \in \mathbf{M}_{s,n}(\mathbf{F}_2) \mid \begin{array}{l} x_{i,j} = 0 \quad (i \leq r+1 \text{ and } q+2 \leq j) \\ \text{or} \\ x_{i,j} = 0 \quad (r+2 \leq i \text{ and } q+1 \leq j) \end{array} \right\},$$

that is,  $W$  consists of the following type of matrices:

$$X = \begin{pmatrix} x_{1,1} & \cdots & x_{1,q} & x_{1,q+1} & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ x_{r+1,1} & \cdots & x_{r+1,q} & x_{r+1,q+1} & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ x_{r+2,1} & \cdots & x_{r+2,q} & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ x_{s,1} & \cdots & x_{s,q} & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad (x_{i,j} \in \mathbf{F}_2). \quad (4)$$

The subspace  $W$  satisfies  $\mathcal{P}^\perp \cap W \neq \{O\}$  for any  $m$ -dimensional subspace  $\mathcal{P}$  of  $\mathbf{M}_{s,n}(\mathbf{F}_2)$ . Indeed we can see that

$$\begin{aligned} \dim(\mathcal{P}^\perp \cap W) &\geq \dim \mathcal{P}^\perp + \dim W - \dim \mathbf{M}_{s,n}(\mathbf{F}_2) \\ &= (sn - m) + (sq + r + 1) - sn = 1. \end{aligned}$$

Hence there exists a non-zero matrix  $X_{\mathcal{P}} \in W \cap \mathcal{P}^\perp$ . This yields

$$\delta_{\mathcal{P}^\perp} = \min_{X \in \mathcal{P}^\perp \setminus \{O\}} \mu(X) \leq \mu(X_{\mathcal{P}}) \leq \max_{X \in W} \mu(X).$$

Let us estimate  $\max_{X \in W} \mu(X)$  of  $W$ . Let  $X_{\max}$  of  $W$  be a matrix whose entries  $x_{i,j}$  in (4) are all 1. The function  $\mu$  attains its maximum at  $X_{\max}$  in  $W$ . Thus it follows that

$$\max_{X \in W} \mu(X) = \mu(X_{\max}) = \frac{sq(q+1)}{2} + (q+1)(r+1).$$

We obtain that

$$\delta_{\mathcal{P}^\perp} = \min_{X \in \mathcal{P}^\perp \setminus \{O\}} \mu(X) \leq \mu(X_{\mathcal{P}}) \leq \max_{X \in W} \mu(X) = \frac{sq(q+1)}{2} + (q+1)(r+1),$$

where  $\mathcal{P}$  is an arbitrary  $m$ -dimensional subspace of  $M_{s,n}(\mathbf{F}_2)$ .

(b) Let  $C'$  be a real number greater than  $1/2$  and assume  $m/s \geq (\sqrt{C'+1/16} + 3/4)/(C'-1/2)$ . By combining  $r+1 \leq s$ ,  $q \leq m/s$  and the assertion (a), we have

$$\delta_{\mathcal{P}^\perp} \leq \frac{m}{2} \left( \frac{m}{s} + 1 \right) + \left( \frac{m}{s} + 1 \right) \cdot s = \frac{m^2}{s} \left( \frac{1}{2} + \frac{3s}{2m} + \frac{s^2}{m^2} \right) \leq C' \frac{m^2}{s},$$

where the last inequality follows from the assumption by completing the square with respect to  $s/m$ .

**REMARK 3.1.** This remark is to clarify relations between the above result and existing results. Fix  $\alpha$ , and consider the space of  $\alpha$ -smooth functions. For this (and even a larger) function class, Dick [1, Corollary 5.5 and the comment after its proof] gave digital nets for which the QMC integration error is bounded from above by the order of  $2^{-2m} m^{2s+1}$ . This is optimal, since for any point set of size  $2^m$ , Sharygin [6] constructed an  $\alpha$ -smooth function whose QMC integration error is at least of this order.

Since WAFOM gives only an upper bound of the QMC integration error, our lower bound  $2^{-C'm^2/s}$  on WAFOM in Theorem 3.1 implies nothing on the lower bound of the integration error.

A merit of WAFOM is that the value depends only on the point set, not on the smoothness  $\alpha$  such as [1]. On the other hand, WAFOM depends on the degree  $n$  of discretization. Thus, it seems not easy to compare directly the upper bound on the integration error given in [1] and that by WAFOM. However, we might consider that our lower bound  $2^{-C'm^2/s}$ , which is independent of  $n$  and  $\alpha$ , shows a kind of limitation of the method in bounding the integration error in [1] in the limit  $\alpha \rightarrow \infty$ .

## References

- [1] J. Dick, Walsh spaces containing smooth functions and Quasi-Monte Carlo rules of arbitrary high order. *SIAM J. Numer. Anal.*, 46 (2008), 1519–1553.
- [2] J. Dick and F. Pillichshammer, *Digital Nets and Sequences. Discrepancy Theory and Quasi-Monte Carlo Integration.* Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2010.
- [3] M. Matsumoto, M. Saito, and K. Matoba, A computable figure of merit for Quasi-Monte Carlo point sets. *Math. Comp.*, 83 (2014), 1233–1250.
- [4] M. Matsumoto and T. Yoshiki, Existence of Higher Order Convergent Quasi-Monte Carlo Rules via Walsh Figure of Merit. *Monte Carlo and Quasi-Monte Carlo Methods 2012*, Springer, Berlin, (2013), 569–579.

- [5] H. Niederreiter, Random Number Generation and Quasi-Monte Carlo Methods. CBMS-NSF, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, 1992.
- [6] I. F. Sharygin, A lower estimate for the error of quadrature formulas for certain classes of functions. Zh. Vychisl. Mat. i Mat. Fiz., 3 (1963), 370–376.
- [7] I. H. Sloan and S. Joe, Lattice Methods for Multiple Integration. Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1994.
- [8] K. Suzuki, An explicit construction of point sets with large minimum Dick weight, to appear in J. Complexity.

*Takehito Yoshiki*  
*Graduate School of Mathematical Sciences*  
*University of Tokyo*  
*Tokyo 153-8914, Japan*  
*E-mail: yosiki@ms.u-tokyo.ac.jp*