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In my previous paper [3] we examined the relationship between the different approaches of defining multiplication between distributions. We consider only distributions defined on the real line $R$. The definition of multiplicative product due to Y. Hirata and H. Ogata [2] is equivalent to the one given by J. Mikusinski [4]. In the sequel the multiplicative product in this sense of two distributions $S, T$, if it exists, will be denoted by $S T$. We have shown in [6] that $S T$ exists if and only if $(\phi S) * \check{T}, \phi \in \mathscr{D}$, when restricting it to a neighbourhood of 0 , is a bounded function continuous at 0 . Another approach suggested by H. G. Tillmann runs as follows: let $\widehat{S}(z)$ and $\widehat{T}(z)$ be locally analytic functions corresponding to $S$ and $T$ respectively ([7], p. 122). Putting $\widehat{S}_{\varepsilon}(x)=\widehat{S}(x+i \varepsilon)-\widehat{S}(x-i \varepsilon)$ and $\widehat{T}_{\varepsilon}(x)=\widehat{T}(x+i \varepsilon)-\widehat{T}(x-i \varepsilon), \varepsilon>0$, he defined the product $S \cdot T$ to be $\lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \widehat{S}_{\varepsilon} \widehat{T}_{\varepsilon}$ if it exists, or more generally the finite part of $\widehat{S}_{\varepsilon} \widehat{T}_{\varepsilon}$ (in Hadamard's sense) if it exists. As in my previous paper [3], we understand by $S \bigcirc T$ the distributional limit $\lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \widehat{S}_{\varepsilon} \widehat{T}_{\varepsilon}$ if it exists. We have shown in [3] that if $S T$ exists, then $S \bigcirc T$ exists and coincides with $S T$, but not conversely.

The main purpose of this paper is to make a comparison between the various multiplications indicated above when $S$ and $T$ are $x_{+}^{\alpha}$ and $x_{+}^{\beta}$ respectively.

## 1. Preliminaries

It is shown in [6] that if $\frac{d S}{d x} T$ exists, then $S \frac{d T}{d x}, S T$ exist and $\frac{d}{d x}(S T)$ $=\frac{d S}{d x} T+S \frac{d T}{d x}$. Let $\mathscr{D}_{+}^{\prime}$ be the set of all distributions with supports in the positive real axis.

Proposition 1. Let $Y$ be the Heaviside function. Let T be $\begin{array}{r}d S \\ d x\end{array}$. Then YT exists if and only if there exists a neighbourhood $U$ of 0 in $R$ such that $S$ is a bounded function in $U$ and is continuous at 0 . When YT exists, YT= $\frac{d}{d x}(Y S)-S(0) \delta$ and especially $Y T=T$ for $T \in \mathscr{D}^{\prime}$.

Proof. Suppose $Y T$ exists. Then $Y S$ exists. In view of the relation:

$$
(\phi T) * \check{Y}=-\phi S-\left(\frac{d \phi}{d x} S\right) * \mathscr{Y}, \quad \phi \in \mathscr{D}
$$

by taking $\phi=1$ in a neighbourhood of 0 in $R$, we see that $S$ is a bounded function in a neighbourhood of 0 in $R$ and is continuous at 0 since $(\phi T) * Y$ and $\left(\frac{d \phi}{d x} S\right) * \stackrel{V}{Y}$ have these properties.

Conversely if $S$ is a bounded function in a neighbourhood of 0 in $R$ and is continuous at 0 , then $Y S$ exists, therefore we see that $\phi S$ and $\left(\frac{d \phi}{d x} S\right) * Y$ are bounded functions in a neighbourhood of 0 in $R$ and are continuous at 0 . Hence $(\phi T) * Y$ has these properties also. Therefore $Y T$ exists, and

$$
Y T=Y S^{\prime}=(Y S)^{\prime}-S(0) \delta
$$

In addition, if $T \in \mathscr{D}_{+}^{\prime}$, then we can take $S(0)=0$ and so $Y T=T$.
Thus the proof is complete.

Remark. We have in [6] (p. 229) that for $S, T \in \mathscr{D}^{\prime},\left(\tau_{h} S\right) T$ exists for every $h \in R$, if and only if $(\phi S) * \check{T}$ is a continuous function in $R$ for every $\phi \in \mathscr{D}$. Therefore $\left(\tau_{h} Y\right) T$ exists for every $h \in R$ if and only if $T$ is a distributional derivative of a continuous function in $R$. It is also easily shown that $\left(\tau_{h} \delta\right) S$ exists for every $h \in R$ if and only if $S$ is continuous in $R$. From Proposition 1 it is easy to construct an example such that $S \bigcirc T$ exists, but not $S T$. For example, let $S=Y, T=\delta$. Then $T=\frac{d Y}{d x}$, and $Y$ is not continuous at 0 , therefore $S T=Y \delta$ does not exist. On the other hand, $S \bigcirc T=Y \bigcirc \delta=$ $\frac{1}{2} \delta([3]$, p. 69).

Corollary. Let $T=S^{(n+1)}$, $n$ being a non-negative integer. Then $x_{+}^{n} T$ exists if and only if there exists a neighbourhood $U$ of 0 in $R$ such that the restriction of $S$ to $U$ is a bounded function continuous at 0 . When $x_{+}^{n} T$ exists, $x_{+}^{n} T=x^{n}\left(Y S^{\prime}\right)^{(n)}$ and especially $x_{+}^{n} T=x^{n} T$ for $T \in \mathscr{D}_{+}^{\prime}$.

Proof. As an immediate consequence of Proposition 1, the first part of Corollary follows by the mathematical induction. If $x_{+}^{n} T$ exists,

$$
x_{+}^{n} T=\sum_{k=0}^{n}(-1)^{n-k}(n-k)!\binom{n}{k}^{2}\left(x_{+}^{k} S^{\prime}\right)^{(k)},
$$

and

$$
\left(x_{+}^{k} S^{\prime}\right)^{(k)}=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\left(\left(x_{+}^{k} S\right)^{\prime}-k x_{+}^{k-1} S\right)^{(k)}=\left(\left(\left(Y x^{k}\right) S\right)^{\prime}-k\left(Y x^{k-1}\right) S\right)^{(k)} \\
\quad=\left(\left(Y x^{k}\right) S^{\prime}+k\left(Y x^{k-1}\right) S-k\left(Y x^{k-1}\right) S\right)^{(k)}=\left(x^{k}\left(Y S^{\prime}\right)\right)^{(k)} \text { for } k \neq 0, \\
Y S^{\prime} \quad \text { for } \quad k=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

Hence we have $x_{+}^{n} T=x^{n}\left(Y S^{\prime}\right)^{(n)}$. In addition, if $T \epsilon \mathscr{D}_{+}^{\prime}, Y S^{\prime}=S^{\prime}$ by Proposition 1 and so $x_{+}^{n} T=x^{n} T$.

We note that $x_{+}^{\alpha} x^{m}=x_{+}^{\alpha+m}$ for any non-negative integer $m$. In fact, $x_{+}^{\alpha} x^{m}$ exists since $x^{m} \in \mathcal{E}$. We have for any $\phi \in \mathscr{D}$

$$
\left.<x_{+}^{\alpha} x^{m}, \phi>=<x_{+}^{\alpha}, x^{m} \phi>=\operatorname{Pf} \int_{0}^{\infty} x^{\alpha} x^{m} \phi d x=\operatorname{Pf} \int_{0}^{\infty} x^{\alpha+m} \phi d x=<x_{+}^{\alpha+m}, \phi\right\rangle
$$

where Pf denotes the finite part of the integral. Thus $x_{+}^{\alpha} x^{m}=x_{+}^{\alpha+m}$.
Let $Y_{\alpha}=\frac{x_{+}^{\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha)}$ for $\alpha \neq 0,-1,-2, \ldots$ and $=\delta^{(n)}$ for a non-positive integer $-n([1], \mathrm{p} .64)$.

Proposition 2. If $S T$ exists for $S, T \in \mathscr{D}_{+}^{\prime}$, then $\left(Y_{\alpha} * S\right) T$ exists also for $\operatorname{Re}(\alpha)>0$.

Proof. $S *(\phi T)^{\vee}, \phi \in \mathscr{D}$, is a bounded function in a neighbourhood of 0 in $R$ and is continuous at 0 . On the other hand $x_{+}^{\alpha-1}$ is locally summable and is a $C^{\infty}$-function in $R \backslash\{0\}$. Therefore $\left(Y_{\alpha} * S\right) *(\phi T)^{\vee}$ is a continuous function near 0 . This implies that $\left(Y_{\alpha} * S\right) T$ exists.

Remark. If $\operatorname{Re}(\alpha)=0$ and $\alpha \neq 0,\left(Y_{\alpha} * S\right) T$ does not exist in general even if $S T$ exists. In fact, let $X$ be the set of all the continuous functions $S$ with support in $[0,1]$ and let $T=\delta$. Then $S T=S(0) \delta$ exists. Suppose $\left(Y_{\alpha} * S\right) \delta$ exists for every $S \in X$. Then there exists a neighbourhood $U=\{t ;|t|<A\}$ such that $Y_{\alpha} * S$ is a bounded function $f_{S}(t)$ in $U$. Since $X$ is a Banach space, we can take the same $U$ for every $S \epsilon X$ and ess. sup $\left|f_{S}(t)\right| \leqq K\|S\|$, where $K$ is a positive constant and $\|S\|$ denotes $\sup |S(t)|$. This may be shown as in the proof of Proposition 2 in [3] (p. 53), so the proof is omitted. Using Banach-Steinhauss theorem we can find a point $x_{0}, 0<x_{0}<A$, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{h} \int_{0}^{h} f_{S}\left(x_{0}+t\right) d t \tag{*}
\end{equation*}
$$

exists for every $S \in X$. (*) is the value of the distribution $f_{S}(t)$ at $x_{0}$ which we shall also denote by $f_{S}\left(x_{0}\right)$. Then

$$
\left|f_{S}\left(x_{0}\right)\right| \leqq K\|S\|
$$

Therefore we can find a function $F(t)$ of bounded variation such that

$$
f_{S}\left(x_{0}\right)=\int_{0}^{1} S(t) d F(t)
$$

Let $S$ be any $\phi \in \mathscr{D}$ with support in $\left(0, x_{0}\right)$. Then

$$
\int_{0}^{x_{0}}-\frac{\left(x_{0}-t\right)^{\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \phi(t) d t=\int_{0}^{x_{0}} \phi(t) d F(t) .
$$

Hence we have

$$
-\frac{\left(x_{0}-t\right)^{\alpha}}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)}=F(t)+\text { const. }
$$

in $\left(0, x_{0}\right)$. However this is a contradiction since $\left(x_{0}-t\right)^{\alpha}$ is not of bounded variation in $\left[0, x_{0}\right]$.

Remark 2. Proposition 2 does not hold in general for $S \bigcirc T$. This will follow from Theorem 2 below.
L. Schwartz has noticed in [5] (p.39) that the value $\operatorname{Pf} \int_{0}^{\infty} x^{\alpha} \phi(x) d x, \phi \in \mathscr{D}$, is invariant by change of the variable, but when $\alpha$ is a negative integer the statement does not hold in general. Here we note that if $h(x)$ is a $C^{\infty}$-function on $[0, a]$ and $n$ is a positive integer, then

$$
\operatorname{Pf} \int_{0}^{a} \frac{h(x)}{x^{n}} d x=\frac{h^{(n-1)}(0)}{(n-1)!} \log t+\operatorname{Pf} \int_{0}^{\frac{a}{t}} \frac{h(t x)}{t^{n-1} x^{n}} d x, \quad t>0
$$

## 2. The product $\boldsymbol{x}_{+}^{\boldsymbol{\alpha}} \boldsymbol{x}_{+}^{\boldsymbol{\beta}}$

It follows from Proposition 5 in [6] (p. 229) that the product $x_{+}^{\alpha} x_{+}^{\beta}$ exists if and only if, for any $\phi \in \mathscr{D}$, there exists a zero neighbourhood in which $\phi x_{+}^{\alpha} *\left(x_{+}^{\beta}\right)^{\vee}$ is a bounded function continuous at 0 . In this case $\left\langle x_{+}^{\alpha} x_{+}^{\beta}, \phi\right\rangle=$ $=\left(\phi x_{+}^{\alpha} *\left(x_{+}^{\beta}\right)^{\vee}\right)(0)$. We note that

$$
\left(\phi x_{+}^{\alpha} *\left(x_{+}^{\beta}\right)^{\vee}\right)(t)=\left\{\begin{array}{lr}
\operatorname{Pf} \int_{t}^{\infty} \phi(x) x^{\alpha}(x-t)^{\beta} d x=\operatorname{Pf} \int_{0}^{\infty} \phi(x+t)(x+t)^{\alpha} x^{\beta} d x \\
\operatorname{Pf} \int_{0}^{\infty} \phi(x) x^{\alpha}(x-t)^{\beta} d x & \text { for } t>0 \\
\text { for } t<0
\end{array}\right.
$$

Proposition 3. If $\operatorname{Re}(\alpha+\beta)>-1$, then $x_{+}^{\alpha} x_{+}^{\beta}$ exists and equals $x_{+}^{\alpha+\beta}$.
Proof. Let $\phi$ be any element of $D$. We may assume that $\operatorname{supp} \phi \subset[a, b]$ with $b>0$.

Consider first the case $\operatorname{Re}(\beta)>0$. If $t>0$, we have $\left(\phi x_{+}^{\alpha} *\left(x_{+}^{\beta}\right)^{\vee}\right)(t)=$
$\int_{t}^{b} \phi(x) x^{\alpha+\beta}\left(1-\frac{t}{x}\right)^{\beta} d x$. Since $\operatorname{Re}(\alpha+\beta)>-1$, we have $\left|x^{\alpha+\beta}\left(1-\frac{t}{x}\right)^{\beta}\right| \leqq$ $x^{\mathrm{Re}(\alpha+\beta)}$ for $x \geqq t$, where $\int_{0}^{b} x^{\mathrm{Re}(\alpha+\beta)}|\phi(x)| d x<\infty$. Therefore $\left(\phi x_{+}^{\alpha} *\left(x_{+}^{\beta}\right)^{\vee}\right)(t)$ tends to $\int_{0}^{b} \phi(x) x^{\alpha+\beta} d x=<x_{+}^{\alpha+\beta}, \phi>$ as $t \rightarrow 0$. If $t<0$, we have $\left(\phi x_{+}^{\alpha} *\left(x_{+}^{\beta}\right)^{\vee}\right)(t)$ $=\operatorname{Pf} \int_{0}^{t^{\prime}} \phi(x) x^{\alpha}\left(t+t^{\prime}\right)^{\beta} d x+\int_{t^{\prime}}^{b} \phi(x) x^{\alpha}\left(x+t^{\prime}\right)^{\beta} d x$ with $t^{\prime}=-t$. Since $\left|\int_{t^{\prime}}^{b} \phi(x) x^{\alpha}\left(x+t^{\prime}\right)^{\beta} d x\right| \leqq 2^{\operatorname{Re}(\beta)} \int_{t^{\prime}}^{b} x^{\mathrm{Re}(\alpha+\beta)}|\phi(x)| d x<\infty$ for sufficiently small $t^{\prime}$, it follows that $\lim _{t^{\prime} \rightarrow 0} \int_{t^{\prime}}^{b} \phi(x) x^{\alpha}\left(x+t^{\prime}\right)^{\beta} d x=\int_{0}^{b} \phi(x) x^{\alpha+\beta} d x=<x_{+}^{\alpha+\beta}, \phi(x)>$. On the other hand, after a change of variable $x \rightarrow x t^{\prime}$, we have for $\alpha \neq$ a negative integer

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lim _{t^{\rightarrow} \rightarrow 0} \operatorname{Pf} \int_{0}^{t^{\prime}} \phi(x) x^{\alpha}\left(x+t^{\prime}\right)^{\beta} d x & =\lim _{t^{\prime} \rightarrow 0} \operatorname{Pf} \int_{0}^{1} \phi\left(x t^{\prime}\right)\left(x t^{\prime}\right)^{\alpha}\left(x t^{\prime}+t^{\prime}\right)^{\beta} t^{\prime} d x \\
& =\lim _{t^{\prime} \rightarrow 0} t^{\prime \alpha+\beta+1} \operatorname{Pf} \int_{0}^{1} \phi\left(x t^{\prime}\right) x^{\alpha}(x+1)^{\beta}=0
\end{aligned}
$$

and for $\alpha=$ a negative integer

$$
\lim _{t^{\prime} \rightarrow 0} \operatorname{Pf} \int_{0}^{t^{\prime}} \phi(x) x^{\alpha}\left(x+t^{\prime}\right)^{\beta} d x=0
$$

Therefore $\left(\phi x_{+}^{\alpha} *\left(x_{+}^{\beta}\right)^{\vee}\right)(t)$ tends to $\left\langle x_{+}^{\alpha+\beta}, \phi\right\rangle$ as $t \rightarrow 0$. Consequently $\left(\phi x_{+}^{\alpha} *\left(x_{+}^{\beta}\right)^{\vee}\right)(t)$ is continuous at 0 and has the limit $\left\langle x_{+}^{\alpha+\beta}, \phi\right\rangle$.

Similarly in the case $\operatorname{Re}(\alpha)>0$.
Next consider the case $-1<\operatorname{Re}(\alpha), \operatorname{Re}(\beta) \leqq 0$. If $t>0,\left(\phi x_{+}^{\alpha} *\left(x_{+}^{\beta}\right)^{\vee}\right)(t)=$ $\int_{t}^{b} \phi(x) x^{\alpha}(x-t)^{\beta} d x=\int_{0}^{b-t} \phi(x+t)(x+t)^{\alpha} x^{\beta} d x$ and $\left|(x+t)^{\alpha} x^{\beta}\right| \leqq x^{\mathrm{Re}(\alpha+\beta)}$ for $x \geqq 0$. Since $\operatorname{Re}(\alpha+\beta)>-1,\left(\phi x_{+}^{\alpha} *\left(x_{+}^{\beta}\right)^{\vee}\right)(t)$ tends to $\int_{0}^{b} \phi(x) x^{\alpha+\beta} d x=$ $<x_{+}^{\alpha+\beta}, \phi>$ as $t \rightarrow 0$. If $t<0$, then $\left(\phi x_{+}^{\alpha} *\left(x_{+}^{\beta}\right)^{\vee}\right)(t)=\int_{0}^{b} \phi(x) x^{\alpha}(x-t)^{\beta} d x$ and $\left|x^{\alpha}(x-t)^{\beta}\right| \leqq x^{\operatorname{Re}(\alpha+\beta)}$. Since $\operatorname{Re}(\alpha+\beta)>-1, \quad\left(\phi x_{+}^{\alpha} *\left(x_{+}^{\beta}\right)^{\vee}\right)(t)$ tends to $\int_{0}^{b} \phi(x) x^{\alpha+\beta} d x=<x_{+}^{\alpha+\beta}, \phi>$ as $t \rightarrow 0$. Consequently $\left(\phi x_{+}^{\alpha} *\left(x_{+}^{\beta}\right)^{\vee}\right)(t)$ is continuous at 0 and has the limit $\left\langle x_{+}^{\alpha+\beta}, \phi\right\rangle$. Thus, $x_{+}^{\alpha} x_{+}^{\beta}$ exists and equals $x_{+}^{\alpha+\beta}$, which was to be proved.

Proposition 4. If $\operatorname{Re}(\alpha+\beta) \leqq-1$, then $x_{+}^{\alpha} x_{+}^{\beta}$ does not exist.
Proof. We put

$$
g(x)=\left\{\begin{array}{lll}
x^{\alpha} & \text { for } & x \geqq 1 \\
0 & \text { for } & x<1
\end{array}\right.
$$

and $h(x)=x_{+}^{\alpha}-g(x)$, where $g(x) x_{+}^{\beta}$ always existsts.
(a) Consider the case where $\operatorname{Re}(\alpha+\beta)=-1$ and $\operatorname{Re}(\alpha), \operatorname{Re}(\beta) \geqq-1$ but $\alpha, \beta \neq-1$. In the case $t>0$, by the substitution $x s=t$, we have

$$
\left(h(x) *\left(x_{+}^{\beta}\right)^{\vee}\right)(t)=t^{\alpha+\beta+1} \operatorname{Pf} \int_{t}^{1} s^{-(\alpha+\beta+2)}(1-s)^{\beta} d s
$$

Here if $\operatorname{Im}(\alpha+\beta)=0$,

$$
\left|\left(h(x) *\left(x_{+}^{\beta}\right)^{\vee}\right)(t)\right|=\left|\int_{t}^{1} \frac{(1-s)^{\beta+1}}{s} d s+\frac{(1-t)^{\beta+1}}{\beta+1}\right| \rightarrow \infty
$$

as $t \rightarrow 0$. Thus $x_{+}^{\alpha} x_{+}^{\beta}$ does not exist. If $\operatorname{Im}(\alpha+\beta) \neq 0$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(h(x) *\left(x_{+}^{\beta}\right)^{\vee}\right)(t) & =t^{\alpha+\beta+1}\left[\int_{t}^{\frac{1}{2}} s^{-(\alpha+\beta+2)}\left((1-s)^{\beta}-1\right) d s-\frac{2^{\alpha+\beta+1}}{\alpha+\beta+1}\right. \\
& \left.+\operatorname{Pf} \int_{\frac{1}{2}}^{1} s^{-(\alpha+\beta+2)}(1-s)^{\beta} d s\right]+\frac{1}{\alpha+\beta+1}
\end{aligned}
$$

where for $\alpha \neq 0$ the expression in the brackets tends to $B(-\alpha-\beta-1, \beta+1)$ as $t \rightarrow 0$, hence $\left(h(x) *\left(x_{+}^{\beta}\right)^{\vee}\right)(t)$ is not continuous at 0 . Thus $x_{+}^{\alpha} x_{+}^{\beta}$ does not exist. For $\alpha=0, x_{+}^{0} x_{+}^{\beta}=\frac{1}{\beta+1} Y\left(x_{+}^{\beta+1}\right)^{\prime}$, where $x_{+}^{\beta+1}$ is not continuous at 0 . By Proposition 1, $x_{+}^{\alpha} x_{+}^{\beta}$ does not exist.
(b) Consider the case where $\operatorname{Re}(\alpha+\beta)=-1$ and $\alpha, \beta$ are not negative integers. Since $\operatorname{Re}(\alpha+\beta+1)=0$, we may assume $\operatorname{Re}(\alpha)<0$. Hence there is a positive integer $n$ such that $-n \leqq \operatorname{Re}(\alpha)<-n+1$, that is, $-1 \leqq$ $\operatorname{Re}(\alpha+n-1)<0$ and $-1<\operatorname{Re}(\beta-n+1) \leqq 0$. Suppose $x_{+}^{\alpha} x_{+}^{\beta}$ exists, then $x_{+}^{\alpha+n-1} x_{+}^{\beta-n+1}$ also exists by Remark 1 to Proposition 5 in [6] (p. 229), which contradicts the consequence of (a). Therefore $x_{+}^{\alpha} x_{+}^{\beta}$ does not exist in this case.
(c) Consider the case where $\operatorname{Re}(\alpha+\beta)=-1$ and $\alpha$ is a negative integer $-n$. We put $\beta=n-1+\tau i$. Let $\tau=0$. Suppose $x_{+}^{-n} x_{+}^{n-1}$ exists, then $x_{+}^{-n}\left(x^{n-1} Y\right)$ $=\left(x_{+}^{-n} x^{n-1}\right) Y=x_{+}^{-1} Y$ also exists, contradicting Proposition 1. If $\tau \neq 0$, by the substitution $x s=t$, we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left(h(x) *\left(x_{+}^{n-1+\tau i}\right)^{v}\right)(t)=t^{\tau i} \int_{t}^{1} s^{-1-\tau i}(1-s)^{n-1+\tau i} d s \\
=t^{\tau i}\left[\int_{t}^{\frac{1}{2}} s^{-1-\tau i}\left((1-s)^{n-1+\tau i}-1\right) d s-\frac{2^{\tau i}}{\tau i}+\int_{\frac{1}{2}}^{1} s^{-1-\tau i}(1-s)^{n-1+\tau i} d s\right]+\frac{1}{\tau i} .
\end{gathered}
$$

Since the expression in the brackets tends to $B(-\tau i, n+\tau i)$ as $t \rightarrow 0$, $\left(h(x) *\left(x_{+}^{n-1+\tau i}\right)^{\vee}\right)(t)$ is not continuous at 0 . Thus $x_{+}^{\alpha} x_{+}^{\beta}$ does not exist.
(d) Finally, consider the case $\operatorname{Re}(\alpha+\beta)<-1$. Let $\alpha$ be not a negative
integer. There exists a complex number $\gamma$ such that $\operatorname{Re}(\alpha+\beta+\gamma)=-1$ and $\operatorname{Im}(\alpha+\gamma) \neq 0$. According to Proposition 2, if $x_{+}^{\alpha} x_{+}^{\beta}$ exists, then $x_{+}^{\alpha+\gamma} x_{+}^{\beta}$ exists from the equation:

$$
\frac{x_{-}^{\alpha}}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)} * \frac{x_{-}^{\gamma-1}}{\Gamma(\gamma)}=-\frac{x_{+}^{\alpha+\gamma}}{\Gamma(\alpha+\gamma+1)} .
$$

Similarly for the case where $\beta$ is not a negative integer. If $\alpha, \beta$ are negative integers $-m,-n$ respectively, we have the equations $x_{+}^{-m} x^{m-1}=x_{+}^{-1}, x_{+}^{-n} x^{n}$ $=Y$. Assuming $x_{+}^{-m} x_{+}^{-n}$ exists, then $x_{+}^{-1} Y$ would exist, which contradicts Proposition 1.

Thus the proof is complete.
As a consequence of Propositions 3 and 4, we have
Theorem 1. If and only if $\operatorname{Re}(\alpha+\beta)>-1, x_{+}^{\alpha} x_{+}^{\beta}$ exists and equals $x_{+}^{\alpha+\beta}$.

## 3. Conditions for the existence of $\boldsymbol{x}_{+}^{\boldsymbol{\alpha}} \bigcirc \boldsymbol{x}_{+}^{\boldsymbol{\beta}}$

From Theorem 1 in [3] and Theorem 1, $x_{+}^{\alpha} \bigcirc x_{+}^{\beta}$ exists in the case $\operatorname{Re}(\alpha+\beta)>-1$ and $x_{+}^{\alpha} \bigcirc x_{+}^{\beta}=x_{+}^{\alpha+\beta}$. In the sequel we consider the multiplicative product $x_{+}^{\alpha} \mathrm{O} x_{+}^{\beta}$ in the case $\operatorname{Re}(\alpha+\beta) \leqq-1$. There exists a positive integer $p$ such that $-p-1<\operatorname{Re}(\alpha+\beta) \leqq-p$. Let $S=x_{+}^{\alpha}$ and $T=x_{+}^{\beta}$. Then we have for any $\phi \in \mathscr{D}$

$$
\begin{align*}
<\widehat{S}_{\varepsilon} \widehat{T}_{\varepsilon}, \phi> & =\left(\int_{-\infty}^{-1}+\int_{1}^{\infty}\right) \widehat{S}_{\varepsilon} \widehat{T}_{\varepsilon} \phi(x) d x+\int_{-1}^{1} \widehat{S}_{\varepsilon} \widehat{T}_{\varepsilon}\left(\phi(x)-\sum_{k=0}^{p-1} \frac{\phi^{(k)}(0)}{k!} x^{k}\right) d x  \tag{1}\\
& +\sum_{k=0}^{p-1} \frac{\phi^{(k)}(0)}{k!} \int_{-1}^{1} x^{k} \widehat{S}_{\varepsilon} \widehat{T}_{\varepsilon} d x
\end{align*}
$$

Case A. $\alpha, \beta$ are not integers.
As $\overparen{x_{+}^{\alpha}}(z)$ we can take

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\frac{1}{2 i} \frac{1}{\sin \alpha \pi}(-z)^{\alpha} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $(-z)^{\alpha}=e^{\alpha(\log |z|+i(\arg z-\pi))}, \quad 0<\arg z<2 \pi$. Then we have

$$
\widehat{S}_{\varepsilon} \widehat{T}_{\varepsilon}=\frac{\left(x^{2}+\varepsilon^{2}\right)^{\frac{\alpha+\beta}{2}}}{\sin \alpha \pi \sin \beta \pi} \sin \alpha(\pi-\theta) \sin \beta(\pi-\theta), \quad \theta=\tan ^{-1} \frac{\varepsilon}{x}
$$

It is easily verified that for any integer $k, 0 \leqq k \leqq p-1$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{-1}^{1} x^{k} \widehat{S}_{\varepsilon} \widehat{T}_{\varepsilon} d x & =\frac{1}{\sin \alpha \pi \sin \beta \pi} \int_{0}^{1} x^{k}\left(x^{2}+\varepsilon^{2}\right)^{\frac{\alpha+\beta}{2}} f_{k}(\theta) d x  \tag{3}\\
& =\frac{\varepsilon^{\alpha+\beta+k+1}}{\sin \alpha \pi \sin \beta \pi} \int_{\tan ^{-1} \varepsilon}^{\frac{\pi}{2}} \sin ^{-\alpha-\beta-k-2} \theta \cos ^{k} \theta f_{k}(\theta) d \theta
\end{align*}
$$

where $f_{k}(\theta)=\sin \alpha(\pi-\theta) \sin \beta(\pi-\theta)+(-1)^{k} \sin \alpha \theta \sin \beta \theta$. We also note that if $k$ is any integer such that $0 \leqq k \leqq p-1$ when $\alpha+\beta \neq-p$, or $0 \leqq k \leqq p-\mathbf{2}$ when $\alpha+\beta=-p$, then
(4) (the finite part of $\int_{-1}^{1} x^{k} \widehat{S}_{\varepsilon} \widehat{T}_{\varepsilon} d x \quad$ as $\left.\quad \varepsilon \rightarrow 0\right)=\frac{1}{\alpha+\beta+k+1}$.

Proposition 5. When $-2<\operatorname{Re}(\alpha+\beta) \leqq-1$ and $\alpha$, $\beta$ are not integers, then $x_{+}^{\alpha} \bigcirc x_{+}^{\beta}$ exists if and only if $\alpha-\beta$ is an odd integer, and $x_{+}^{\alpha} \bigcirc x_{+}^{\beta}=x_{+}^{\alpha+\beta}$.

Proof. Let $\alpha+\beta \neq-1$. Let us consider the relation (1). Evidently we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\int_{-\infty}^{-1}+\int_{1}^{\infty}\right) \widehat{S}_{\varepsilon} \widehat{T}_{\varepsilon} \phi(x) d x=\int_{1}^{\infty} x^{\alpha+\beta} \phi(x) d x+o(1), \quad \text { as } \quad \varepsilon \rightarrow 0 . \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

We may put $\phi(x)-\phi(0)=x g(x), g(x) \epsilon \mathcal{E}$. Since $x \widehat{S}_{\varepsilon} \widehat{T}_{\varepsilon}$ is bounded on $|x| \leqq 1$, we have
(6) $\quad \int_{-1}^{1} \widehat{S}_{\varepsilon} \hat{T}_{\varepsilon}(\phi(x)-\phi(0)) d x$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\frac{1}{\sin \alpha \pi \sin \beta \pi} \int_{-1}^{1} x\left(x^{2}+\varepsilon^{2}\right)^{\frac{\alpha+\beta}{2}} \sin \alpha(\pi-\theta) \sin \beta(\pi-\theta) g(x) d x \\
& =\int_{0}^{1} x^{\alpha+\beta+1} g(x) d x+o(1) \\
& =\int_{0}^{1} x^{\alpha+\beta}(\phi(x)-\phi(0)) d x+o(1), \quad \text { as } \quad \varepsilon \rightarrow 0
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{-1}^{1} \widehat{S}_{\varepsilon} \widehat{T}_{\varepsilon} d x=\frac{\varepsilon^{\alpha+\beta+1}}{\sin \alpha \pi \sin \beta \pi} \int_{\tan ^{-1} \varepsilon}^{\frac{\pi}{2}} \sin ^{-\alpha-\beta-2} \theta f_{0}(\theta) d \theta  \tag{7}\\
& =\frac{\varepsilon^{\alpha+\beta+1}}{\sin \alpha \pi \sin \beta \pi}\left(\int_{\tan ^{-1} \varepsilon}^{\frac{\pi}{2}} \sin ^{-\alpha-\beta} \theta f_{0}(\theta) d \theta+\int_{\tan ^{-1} \varepsilon}^{\frac{\pi}{2}} \sin ^{-\alpha-\beta-2} \theta \cos ^{2} \theta f_{0}(\theta) d \theta\right) \\
& =\frac{\varepsilon^{\alpha+\beta+1}}{\sin \alpha \pi \sin \beta \pi}\left(\int_{\tan ^{-1} \varepsilon}^{\frac{\pi}{2}} \sin ^{-\alpha-\beta} \theta f_{0}(\theta) d \theta+\left[\frac{\sin ^{-\alpha-\beta-1} \theta}{-\alpha-\beta-1} \cos \theta f_{0}(\theta)\right]_{\tan ^{-1} \varepsilon}^{\frac{\pi}{2}}\right.
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left.+\frac{1}{\alpha+\beta+1} \int_{\tan ^{-1} \varepsilon}^{\frac{\pi}{2}} \sin ^{-\alpha-\beta-1} \theta\left(\cos \theta f_{0}(\theta)\right)^{\prime} d \theta\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{\alpha+\beta+1}+\frac{\varepsilon^{\alpha+\beta+1}}{\sin \alpha \pi \sin \beta \pi} \operatorname{Pf} \int_{0}^{\frac{\pi}{2}} \sin ^{-\alpha-\beta-2} \theta f_{0}(\theta) d \theta+o(1), \quad \text { as } \quad \varepsilon \rightarrow 0
\end{aligned}
$$

By calculation we shall obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Pf} \int_{0}^{\frac{\pi}{2}} \sin ^{-\alpha-\beta-2} \theta f_{0}(\theta) d \theta=\frac{4 \alpha \beta \cos (\alpha-\beta) \frac{\pi}{2}}{(\alpha+\beta+1)(\alpha+\beta)} \int_{0}^{\frac{\pi}{2}} \sin ^{-\alpha-\beta} \theta g(\theta) d \theta, \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $g(\theta)=\cos (\alpha-\beta)\left(\frac{\pi}{2}-\theta\right)$.
Now we show that $\int_{0}^{\frac{\pi}{2}} \sin ^{-\alpha-\beta} \theta g(\theta) d \theta \neq 0$. To this end we assume $\int_{0}^{\frac{\pi}{2}} \sin ^{-\alpha-\beta} \theta g(\theta) d \theta=0$ and we shall deduce a contradiction. By calculation in the same way as before

$$
\int_{0}^{\frac{\pi}{2}} \sin ^{-\alpha-\beta} \theta g(\theta) d \theta=\frac{4(\alpha-1)(\beta-1)}{(\alpha+\beta-1)(\alpha+\beta-2)} \int_{0}^{\frac{\pi}{2}} \sin ^{-\alpha-\beta+2} \theta g(\theta) d \theta
$$

If $\int_{0}^{\frac{\pi}{2}} \sin ^{-\alpha-\beta} \theta g(\theta) d \theta=0$, then $\int_{0}^{\frac{\pi}{2}} \sin ^{-\alpha-\beta+2 n} \theta g(\theta) d \theta=0$ for every non-negative integer $n$, hence $\int_{0}^{\frac{\pi}{2}} P\left(\sin ^{2} \theta\right) \sin ^{-\alpha-\beta} \theta g(\theta) d \theta=0$ for any polynomial $P(x)$. Then, by the approximation theorem of Stone-Weierstrass we conclude that $\int_{0}^{\frac{\pi}{2}} \psi(\theta) \sin ^{-\alpha-\beta} \theta g(\theta) d \theta=0$ for any $\psi(\theta) \in C_{\left[0, \frac{\pi}{2}\right]}$. Therefore $\sin ^{-\alpha-\beta} \theta g(\theta) \equiv 0$, which is a contradiction.

Consequently, from the relations (1), (5), (6), (7) and (8) we obtain

$$
\begin{array}{r}
<\widehat{S}_{\varepsilon} \widehat{T}_{\varepsilon}, \phi>=<x_{+}^{\alpha+\beta}, \phi>+\frac{\varepsilon^{\alpha+\beta+1}}{\sin \alpha \pi \sin \beta \pi} \frac{4 \alpha \beta \cos (\alpha-\beta) \frac{\pi}{2}}{(\alpha+\beta+1)(\alpha+\beta)} \int_{0}^{\frac{\pi}{2}} \sin ^{-\alpha-\beta} \theta g(\theta) d \theta \\
+o(1) \quad \text { as } \varepsilon \rightarrow \theta .
\end{array}
$$

Thus $x_{+}^{\alpha} \bigcirc x_{+}^{\beta}$ exists only in the case where $\alpha-\beta$ is an odd integer.
Next, let $\alpha+\beta=-1$. As before, we have for any $\phi \in \mathscr{D}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
<\widehat{S}_{\varepsilon} \widehat{T}_{\varepsilon}, \phi> & =\int_{0}^{1} x^{-1}(\phi(x)-\phi(0)) d x+\int_{1}^{\infty} x^{-1} \phi(x) d x+\phi(0) \int_{-1}^{1} \widehat{S}_{\varepsilon}(x) \widehat{T}_{\varepsilon}(x) d x+o(1) \\
& =<x_{+}^{-1}, \phi>+\phi(0) \int_{-1}^{1} \widehat{S}_{\varepsilon}(x) \widehat{T}_{\varepsilon}(x) d x+o(1), \quad \text { as } \quad \varepsilon \rightarrow 0
\end{aligned}
$$

By (3)
(9)

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{-1}^{1} \hat{S}_{\varepsilon} \widehat{T}_{\varepsilon} d x= & \frac{1}{\sin \alpha \pi \sin \beta \pi} \int_{\tan ^{-1} \varepsilon}^{\frac{\pi}{2}} \frac{1}{\sin \theta} f_{0}(\theta) d \theta \\
= & \frac{\cos (\alpha-\beta) \frac{\pi}{2}}{\sin \alpha \pi \sin \beta \pi} \int_{\tan ^{-1} \varepsilon}^{\frac{\pi}{2}} \frac{\cos (\alpha-\beta)\left(\frac{\pi}{2}-\theta\right)}{\sin \theta} d \theta \\
= & \left(\log 2+\frac{2}{\cos (\alpha-\beta)-\frac{\pi}{2}} \int_{0}^{\frac{\pi}{2}} \frac{\sin (\alpha-\beta) \frac{\theta}{2} \sin (\alpha-\beta)\left(\frac{\pi}{2}-\frac{\theta}{2}\right)}{\sin \theta} d \theta\right) \\
& \quad-\log \varepsilon+o(1), \quad \text { as } \varepsilon \rightarrow 0
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence it follows that $x_{+}^{\alpha} \bigcirc x_{+}^{\beta}$ does not exist.
Consequently, when $-2<\operatorname{Re}(\alpha+\beta) \leqq-1$ and $\alpha, \beta$ are not integers, then $x_{+}^{\alpha} \bigcirc x_{+}^{\beta}$ exists if and only if $\alpha-\beta$ is an odd integer. From the foregoing proof we see that $x_{+}^{\alpha} \bigcirc x_{+}^{\beta}=x_{+}^{\alpha+\beta}$ if the left hand side exists. Thus the proof is complete.

Proposition 6. If $\operatorname{Re}(\alpha+\beta) \leqq-2$ and $\alpha, \beta$ are not integers, $x_{+}^{\alpha} \bigcirc x_{+}^{\beta}$ does not exist.

Proof. When $\alpha+\beta$ is not a negative integer, we can take a positive integer $p \geqq \mathbf{2}$ such that $-p-1<\operatorname{Re}(\alpha+\beta) \leqq-p$. Then we have for any $\phi \in \mathscr{D}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
<\widehat{S}_{\varepsilon} \widehat{T}_{\varepsilon}, \phi> & =\int_{1}^{\infty} x^{\alpha+\beta} \phi(x) d x+\int_{0}^{1} x^{\alpha+\beta}\left(\phi(x)-\sum_{k=0}^{p-1} \frac{\phi^{(k)}(0)}{k!} x^{k}\right) d x \\
& +\sum_{k=0}^{p-1} \frac{\phi^{(k)}(0)}{k!} \int_{-1}^{1} x^{k} \widehat{S}_{\varepsilon} \widehat{T}_{\varepsilon} d x+o(1), \quad \text { as } \quad \varepsilon \rightarrow 0,
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{-1}^{1} \widehat{S}_{\varepsilon} \widehat{T}_{\varepsilon} d x= & \frac{1}{\alpha+\beta+1}+\frac{\varepsilon^{\alpha+\beta+1}}{\sin \alpha \pi \sin \beta \pi} \frac{4 \alpha \beta \cos (\alpha-\beta) \frac{\pi}{2}}{(\alpha+\beta+1)(\alpha+\beta)}  \tag{10}\\
& \times \int_{0}^{\frac{\pi}{2}} \sin ^{-\alpha-\beta} \theta \cos (\alpha-\beta)\left(\frac{\pi}{2}-\theta\right) d \theta+o(1), \quad \text { as } \varepsilon \rightarrow 0
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{-1}^{1} x \widehat{S}_{\varepsilon} \widehat{T}_{\varepsilon} d x=\frac{\varepsilon^{\alpha+\beta+2}}{\sin \alpha \pi \sin \beta \pi} \int_{\tan ^{-1} \varepsilon}^{\frac{\pi}{2}} \sin ^{-\alpha-\beta-3} \theta \cos \theta f_{1}(\theta) d \theta  \tag{11}\\
& =\frac{1}{\alpha+\beta+2}+\frac{\varepsilon^{\alpha+\beta+2}}{\sin \alpha \pi \sin \beta \pi} \operatorname{Pf} \int_{0}^{\frac{\pi}{2}} \sin ^{-\alpha-\beta-3} \theta \cos \theta f_{1}(\theta) d \theta+o(1) \\
& =\frac{1}{\alpha+\beta+2}+\frac{\varepsilon^{\alpha+\beta+2}}{\sin \alpha \pi \sin \beta \pi} \frac{4 \alpha \beta(\alpha-\beta) \sin (\alpha-\beta) \frac{\pi}{2}}{(\alpha+\beta+2)(\alpha+\beta+1)(\alpha+\beta)} \\
& \times \int_{0}^{\frac{\pi}{2}} \sin ^{-\alpha-\beta} \theta \cos (\alpha-\beta)\left(\frac{\pi}{2}-\theta\right) d \theta+o(1), \quad \text { as } \quad \varepsilon \rightarrow 0 .
\end{align*}
$$

Since $\int_{0}^{\frac{\pi}{2}} \sin ^{-\alpha-\beta} \theta \cos (\alpha-\beta)\left(\frac{\pi}{2}-\theta\right) d \theta \neq 0$ (see the proof of Proposition 5), and $\cos (\alpha-\beta) \frac{\pi}{2},(\alpha-\beta) \sin (\alpha-\beta) \frac{\pi}{2}$ do not vanish simultaneously, it follows from the equations (10), (11) that $x_{+}^{\alpha} \bigcirc x_{+}^{\beta}$ does not exist.

Next we suppose that $\alpha+\beta=-p, p$ being a positive integer. Owing to the equation (3) we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{-1}^{1} x^{p-1} \hat{S}_{\varepsilon} \widehat{T}_{\varepsilon} d x=\frac{1}{\sin \alpha \pi \sin \beta \pi} \int_{\tan ^{-1} \varepsilon}^{\frac{\pi}{2}} \frac{\cos ^{p-1} \theta}{\sin \theta} f_{p-1}(\theta) d \theta  \tag{12}\\
& =\frac{1}{\sin \alpha \pi \sin \beta \pi} \int_{\tan ^{-1} \varepsilon}^{\frac{\pi}{2}} \frac{\cos ^{p-1} \theta}{\sin \theta}\left(f_{p-1}(\theta)-f_{p-1}(0)\right) d \theta \\
& +\int_{\tan ^{-1} \varepsilon}^{\frac{\pi}{2}} \frac{\cos ^{p-1} \theta}{\sin \theta} d \theta
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{\tan ^{-1} \varepsilon}^{\frac{\pi}{2}} \frac{\cos ^{p-1} \theta}{\sin \theta} d \theta=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
-\log \varepsilon+o(1) \quad \text { for } \quad p=2, \\
-\log \varepsilon+(p-2) \int_{0}^{\frac{\pi}{2}} \cos ^{p-3} \theta \sin \theta \log \sin \theta d \theta+o(1)
\end{array}\right.  \tag{13}\\
\quad \text { for } p \geqq 3, \quad \text { as } \varepsilon \rightarrow 0 .
\end{align*}
$$

Consequently, since $\phi$ is arbitrary, it follows that $x_{+}^{\alpha} \mathrm{O}_{+}^{\beta}$ does not exist.
Thus the proof is complete.
Case B. $\alpha, \beta$ are integers.
When $n$ is an integer, we can take as $\overparen{x_{+}^{n}}(z)$

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\frac{1}{2 \pi i} z^{n} \log (-z)=-\frac{1}{2 \pi i}(\log |z|+i(\arg z-\pi)) \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $0<\arg z<2 \pi$.
Let $S=x_{+}^{-n}$ and $T=x_{+}^{n-p}$, where $n, p$ are integers such that $n \geqq 0, p \geqq 1$. Then we can write

$$
\begin{aligned}
\widehat{S}_{\varepsilon} \widehat{T}_{\varepsilon}= & \frac{1}{\pi^{2}}\left|z_{\varepsilon}\right|^{-p}\left((\theta-\pi) \cos n \theta-\sin n \theta \log \left|z_{\varepsilon}\right|\right) \\
& \times\left((\theta-\pi) \cos (n-p) \theta+\sin (n-p) \theta \log \left|z_{\varepsilon}\right|\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

where $z_{\varepsilon}=x+i \varepsilon$ and $\theta=\tan ^{-1} \frac{\varepsilon}{x}$.
We also note that for any integer $k, 0 \leqq k \leqq p-2$, we have

$$
\begin{gather*}
\int_{-1}^{1} x^{k} \widehat{S}_{\varepsilon} \widehat{T}_{\varepsilon} d x=\frac{\varepsilon^{k-p+1}}{\pi^{2}} \int_{\tan ^{-1} \varepsilon}^{\pi-\tan ^{-1} \varepsilon} \sin ^{p-k-2} \theta \cos ^{k} \theta\left((\theta-\pi)^{2} \cos n \theta \cos (n-p) \theta\right.  \tag{15}\\
\left.-\sin n \theta \sin (n-p) \theta\left(\log \left|z_{\varepsilon}\right|\right)^{2}-(\theta-\pi) \sin p \theta \log \left|z_{\varepsilon}\right|\right) d \theta
\end{gather*}
$$

And it is easy to see that
(16) (the finite part of $\int_{-1}^{1} x^{k} \widehat{S}_{\varepsilon} \widehat{T}_{\varepsilon} d x \quad$ as $\left.\quad \varepsilon \rightarrow 0\right)=\frac{1}{-p+k+1}$.

With the aid of these relations we can show the following
Proposition 7. In the case $\operatorname{Re}(\alpha+\beta) \leqq-1$, where $\alpha=-n$ and $\beta=n-p$ are integers such that $n \geqq 0, p \geqq 1, x_{+}^{\alpha} \mathrm{O}_{+}^{\beta}$ does not exist.

Proof. For any $\phi \in \mathscr{D}$, we can write

$$
\begin{aligned}
<\widehat{S}_{\varepsilon} \widehat{T}_{\varepsilon}, \phi>= & \int_{1}^{\infty} x^{-p} \phi(x) d x+\int_{0}^{1} x^{-p}\left(\phi(x)-\sum_{k=0}^{p-1} \frac{\phi^{(k)}(0)}{k!} x^{k}\right) d x \\
& +\sum_{k=0}^{p-1} \frac{\phi^{(k)}(0)}{k!} \int_{-1}^{1} x^{k} \widehat{S}_{\varepsilon} \widehat{T}_{\varepsilon} d x+o(1), \quad \text { as } \quad \varepsilon \rightarrow 0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Here from (15) we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{-1}^{1} x^{p-1} \widehat{S}_{\varepsilon} \widehat{T}_{\varepsilon} d x=\frac{1}{\pi^{2}} \int_{\tan ^{-1} \varepsilon}^{\pi-\tan ^{-1} \varepsilon} \frac{\cos ^{p-1} \theta}{\sin \theta}\left((\theta-\pi)^{2} \cos n \theta \cos (n-p) \theta\right.  \tag{17}\\
& \left.\quad-(\theta-\pi) \sin p \theta \log \left|z_{\varepsilon}\right|\right) d \theta \\
& =\frac{2}{\pi} \int_{\tan ^{-1} \varepsilon}^{\frac{\pi}{2}} \frac{\cos ^{p-1} \theta}{\sin \theta}\left(\left(\frac{\pi}{2}-\theta\right) \cos n \theta \cos (n-p) \theta+\frac{1}{2} \sin p \theta \log \left|z_{\varepsilon}\right|\right) d \theta \\
& =\left(\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{0}^{\frac{\pi}{2}} \frac{\cos ^{p-1} \theta}{\sin \theta} \sin p \theta d \theta-1\right) \log \varepsilon+\frac{2}{\pi} \operatorname{Pf} \int_{0}^{\frac{\pi}{2}} \frac{\cos ^{p-1} \theta}{\sin \theta}\left(\frac{\pi}{2}-\theta\right) \cos n \theta
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\times \cos (n-p) \theta d \theta-\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{0}^{\frac{\pi}{2}} \frac{\cos ^{p-1} \theta}{\sin \theta} \sin p \theta \log \sin \theta d \theta+o(1), \quad \text { as } \quad \varepsilon \rightarrow 0
$$

Since the coefficient of $\log \varepsilon$ is $-\frac{1}{2}$ and $\phi$ is arbitrary, $x_{+}^{\alpha} \bigcirc x_{+}^{\beta}$ does not exist, which completes the proof.

Case C. Either $\alpha$ or $\beta$ is an integer.
Let $\beta$ be an integer $n$ but $\alpha$ be not an integer. Let $S=x_{+}^{\alpha}$ and $T=x_{+}^{n}$, where $-p-1<\operatorname{Re}(\alpha+n) \leqq-p$ for some integer $p \geqq 1$. From (2) and (14) we have, for any integer $k$ such that $0 \leqq k \leqq p-1$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{-1}^{1} x^{k} \widehat{S}_{\varepsilon} \widehat{T}_{\varepsilon} d x=\frac{\varepsilon^{\alpha+n+k+1}}{\pi \sin \alpha \pi} \int_{\tan ^{-1} \varepsilon}^{\pi-\tan ^{-1} \varepsilon} \sin ^{-\alpha-n-k-2} \theta \cos ^{k} \theta \sin \alpha(\theta-\pi)  \tag{18}\\
& \times((\theta-\pi) \cos n \theta-\sin n \theta \log \sin \theta) d \theta \\
&+\frac{\varepsilon^{\alpha+n+k+1} \log \varepsilon}{\pi \sin \alpha \pi} \int_{\tan ^{-1} \varepsilon}^{\pi-\tan ^{-1} \varepsilon} \sin ^{-\alpha-n-k-2} \theta \cos ^{k} \theta \sin \alpha(\theta-\pi) \sin n \theta d \theta
\end{align*}
$$

and
(19) (the finite part of $\int_{-1}^{1} x^{k} \widehat{S}_{\varepsilon} \widehat{T}_{\varepsilon} d x \quad$ as $\left.\quad \varepsilon \rightarrow 0\right)=\frac{1}{\alpha+n+k+1}$.

Proposition 8. If $\operatorname{Re}(\alpha+\beta) \leqq-1$, where $\beta$ is an integer $n$ but $\alpha$ is not an integer, then $x_{+}^{\alpha} \mathrm{O}_{+}^{\beta}$ does not exist.

Proof. In the same way as in the proof of Proposition 5, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
<\widehat{S}_{\varepsilon} \widehat{T}_{\varepsilon}, \phi>= & \int_{1}^{\infty} x^{\alpha+n} \phi(x) d x+\int_{0}^{1} x^{\alpha+n}\left(\phi(x)-\sum_{k=0}^{p-1} \frac{\phi^{(k)}(0)}{k!} x^{k}\right) d x \\
& +\sum_{k=0}^{p-1} \frac{\phi^{(k)}(0)}{k!} \int_{-1}^{1} x^{k} \widehat{S}_{\varepsilon} \widehat{T}_{\varepsilon} d x+o(1), \quad \text { as } \quad \varepsilon \rightarrow 0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Here we have by (18)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{-1}^{1} \widehat{S}_{\varepsilon} \widehat{T}_{\varepsilon} d x=\frac{1}{\alpha+n+1}+\varepsilon^{\alpha+n+1}\left(\frac{\alpha+n}{\alpha+n+1} \int_{0}^{\frac{\pi}{2}} \sin ^{-\alpha-n} \theta d \theta\right. \\
& \left.+\frac{1}{\pi \sin \alpha \pi} \int_{0}^{\frac{\pi}{2}} \sin ^{-\alpha-n-2} \theta h(\theta) d \theta\right)+\frac{\varepsilon^{\alpha+n+1} \log \varepsilon}{\pi \sin \alpha \pi} \int_{0}^{\frac{\pi}{2}} \sin ^{-\alpha-n-2} \theta g(\theta) d \theta+o(1), \\
& \text { as } \varepsilon \rightarrow 0,
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
g(\theta)= & \sin \alpha(\theta-\pi) \sin n \theta-\sin \alpha \theta \sin n(\pi-\theta) \\
h(\theta)= & \theta(\sin \alpha(\theta-\pi) \cos n \theta+\sin \alpha \theta \cos n(\pi-\theta)) \\
& -\pi(\sin \alpha(\theta-\pi) \cos n \theta+\sin \alpha \pi)-g(\theta) \log \sin \theta
\end{aligned}
$$

Furthermore we have for any non-negative integer $k$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\varepsilon^{\alpha+n+1} \log \varepsilon}{\pi \sin \alpha \pi} \int_{0}^{\frac{\pi}{2}} \sin ^{-\alpha-n-2} \theta g(\theta) d \theta=\frac{\varepsilon^{\alpha+n+1} \log \varepsilon}{\pi \sin \alpha \pi} \frac{4 \alpha n}{(\alpha+n+1)(\alpha+n)} \cos \frac{\alpha+n}{2} \pi \\
& \\
& \quad \times \int_{0}^{\frac{\pi}{2}} \sin ^{-\alpha-n} \theta \cos (\alpha-n)\left(\theta-\frac{\pi}{2}\right) d \theta \\
& =\frac{\varepsilon^{\alpha+n+1} \log \varepsilon}{\pi \sin \alpha \pi} \frac{4 \alpha n}{(\alpha+n+1)(\alpha+n)} \frac{4(\alpha-1)(n-1)}{(\alpha+n-1)(\alpha+n-2)} \cdots \frac{4(\alpha-k)(n-k)}{(\alpha+n-2 k+1)(\alpha+n-2 k)} \\
& \quad \times \cos \frac{\alpha+n}{2} \pi \int_{0}^{\frac{\pi}{2}} \sin ^{-\alpha-n+2 k} \theta \cos (\alpha-n)\left(\theta--\frac{\pi}{2}\right) d \theta,
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\int_{0}^{\frac{\pi}{2}} \sin ^{-\alpha-n-2} \theta g(\theta) d \theta \neq 0$ for $n<0$. Therefore $x_{+}^{\alpha} \bigcirc x_{+}^{n}$ does not exist for any negative integer $n$. Consequently,

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\int_{0}^{\frac{\pi}{2}} \sin ^{-\alpha-n-2} \theta g(\theta) d \theta=0 \quad \text { for } \quad n \geqq 0  \tag{20}\\
\int_{0}^{\frac{\pi}{2}} \sin ^{-\alpha-n} \theta \cos (\alpha-n)\left(\theta-\frac{\pi}{2}\right) d \theta=0 \quad \text { for } \quad n \geqq 1
\end{array}\right.
$$

Next we shall show that $x_{+}^{\alpha} \mathrm{O} x_{+}^{n}$ does not exist for $n \geqq 0$. In this case, with the aid of (20), we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{-1}^{1} \widehat{S}_{\varepsilon} \widehat{T}_{\varepsilon} d x-\frac{1}{\alpha+n+1}=\varepsilon^{\alpha+n+1}\left(\frac{\alpha+n}{\alpha+n+1} \int_{0}^{\frac{\pi}{2}} \sin ^{-\alpha-n} \theta d \theta\right. \\
& \left.\quad+\frac{1}{\pi \sin \alpha \pi} \int_{0}^{\frac{\pi}{2}} \sin ^{-\alpha-n-2} \theta h(\theta) d \theta\right)+o(1) \\
& =\varepsilon^{\alpha+n+1}\left(\frac{\alpha+n}{\alpha+n+1} \int_{0}^{\frac{\pi}{2}} \sin ^{-\alpha-n} \theta d \theta\right. \\
& \left.\quad+\frac{1}{\pi \sin \alpha \pi} \int_{0}^{\frac{\pi}{2}} \sin ^{-\alpha-n} \theta\left(\frac{\alpha+n}{\alpha+n+1} h(\theta)+\frac{1}{(\alpha+n+1)(\alpha+n)} h^{\prime \prime}(\theta)\right) d \theta\right)+o(1) \\
& =\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\frac{\varepsilon^{\alpha+1}}{\pi \sin \alpha \pi} \frac{2}{\alpha+1} \cos \frac{\alpha}{2} \pi \int_{0}^{\frac{\pi}{2}} \sin ^{-\alpha} \theta \cos \left(\theta-\frac{\pi}{2}\right) \alpha d \theta+o(1) \quad \text { for } n=0, \\
\frac{\varepsilon^{\alpha+n+1}}{\pi \sin \alpha \pi} \frac{4 \alpha n}{(\alpha+n+1)(\alpha+n)} \int_{0}^{\frac{\pi}{2}} \sin ^{-\alpha-n} \theta k(\theta) d \theta+o(1) \quad \text { for } n \geqq 1, \text { as } \varepsilon \rightarrow 0,
\end{array}\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\begin{gathered}
k(\theta)=\cos \frac{\alpha+n}{2} \pi \cdot \theta \sin (\alpha-n)\left(\theta-\frac{\pi}{2}\right)-\frac{\pi}{2} \sin ((\alpha-n) \theta-\pi \alpha) \\
-\cos \frac{\alpha+n}{2} \pi \cos (\alpha-n)\left(\theta-\frac{\pi}{2}\right) \log \sin \theta
\end{gathered}
$$

Furthermore we have for $n \geqq 1$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{0}^{\frac{\pi}{2}} \sin ^{-\alpha-n} \theta k(\theta) d \theta=\int_{0}^{\frac{\pi}{2}} \sin ^{-\alpha-n+2} \theta\left(\frac{\alpha+n-2}{\alpha+n-1} k(\theta)+\frac{1}{(\alpha+n-1)(\alpha+n-2)^{-}} k^{\prime \prime}(\theta)\right) d \theta \\
& \quad=\frac{4(\alpha-1)(n-1)}{(\alpha+n-1)(\alpha+n-2)} \int_{0}^{\frac{\pi}{2}} \sin ^{-\alpha-n+2} \theta k(\theta) d \theta \\
& \quad+\frac{2(\alpha-n)}{(\alpha+n-1)(\alpha+n-2)} \cos \frac{\alpha+n}{2} \pi \int_{0}^{\frac{\pi}{2}} \sin ^{-\alpha-n+2} \theta \cos (\alpha-n)\left(\theta-\frac{\pi}{2}\right) d \theta .
\end{aligned}
$$

Consequently we obtain for $n \geqq 0$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{-1}^{1} \widehat{S}_{\varepsilon} \widehat{T}_{\varepsilon} d x-\frac{1}{\alpha+n+1}=\frac{\varepsilon^{\alpha+n+1}}{\pi \sin \alpha \pi} \frac{2 \cdot 4^{n} n!}{(\alpha+n+1)(\alpha+n) \cdots(\alpha+1)} \cos -\frac{\alpha+n}{2} \pi \\
& \times \int_{0}^{\frac{\pi}{2}} \sin ^{-\alpha+n} \theta \cos (\alpha-n)\left(\theta-\frac{\pi}{2}\right) d \theta+o(1), \quad \text { as } \quad \varepsilon \rightarrow 0
\end{aligned}
$$

Suppose $\int_{0}^{\frac{\pi}{2}} \sin ^{-\alpha+n} \theta \cos (\alpha-n)\left(\theta-\frac{\pi}{2}\right) d \theta=0$, then we have $\int_{0}^{\frac{\pi}{2}} \sin ^{-\alpha+n+2 k} \theta \cos (\alpha-n)\left(\theta-\frac{\pi}{2}\right) d \theta=0$ for any non-negative integer $k$, which is a contradiction as shown in the same way as in the proof of Proposition 5. Therefore $x_{+}^{\alpha} \bigcirc x_{+}^{n}$ does not exist for any non-negative integer $n$.

Thus the proof is complete.
As a consequence of Propositions 5, 6, 7 and 8, we obtain
Theorem 2. $x_{+}^{\alpha} \bigcirc x^{\beta}$ exists if and only if $-1<\operatorname{Re}(\alpha+\beta)$, or $-2<$ $\operatorname{Re}(\alpha+\beta) \leqq-1$ and $\alpha-\beta$ is an odd integer and $\alpha, \beta \neq \pm 1, \pm 2, \pm 3, \cdots$. In these cases, $x_{+}^{\alpha} \mathrm{O} x_{+}^{\beta}=x_{+}^{\alpha+\beta}$ holds true.

## 4. The product $\boldsymbol{x}_{+}^{\boldsymbol{\alpha}} \cdot \boldsymbol{x}_{+}^{\boldsymbol{\beta}}$

As noticed at the outset of Section 3, $x_{+}^{\alpha} \cdot x_{+}^{\beta}$ exists in the case where $\operatorname{Re}(\alpha+\beta)>-1$ and $x_{+}^{\alpha} \cdot x_{+}^{\beta}=x_{+}^{\alpha} \mathrm{O} x_{+}^{\beta}=x_{+}^{\alpha} x_{+}^{\beta}=x_{+}^{\alpha+\beta}$.

Theorem 3. $x_{+}^{\alpha} \cdot x_{+}^{\beta}$ exists for any $\alpha$ and $\beta$. $x_{+}^{\alpha} \cdot x_{+}^{\beta}=x_{+}^{\alpha+\beta}$ holds if $\alpha+\beta$ is not a negative integer, but it does not hold in general if $\alpha+\beta$ is a negative integer.

Proof. We can immediately see that $x_{+}^{\alpha} \cdot x_{+}^{\beta}$ exists always for any $\alpha, \beta$ from our discussions given in Section 3. Let $\operatorname{Re}(\alpha+\beta) \leqq-1$ and $\alpha+\beta$ be not a negative integer. We take an integer $p \geqq 1$ such that $-p-1<\operatorname{Re}(\alpha+\beta) \leqq$ $-p$. From the relations (4), (16), we have for any integer $k$ such that $0 \leqq k$ $\leqq p-1$,
(the finite part of $\int_{-1}^{1} x^{k} \widehat{S}_{\varepsilon} \widehat{T}_{\varepsilon} d x \quad$ as $\left.\quad \varepsilon \rightarrow 0\right)=\frac{1}{\alpha+\beta+k+1}$.
Consequently if $\alpha+\beta$ is not a negative integer, $x_{+}^{\alpha} \cdot x_{+}^{\beta}=x_{+}^{\alpha+\beta}$ holds true.
It remains to show the last part of the theorem. Let $\alpha+\beta$ be a negative integer $-p$. In view of (4)
the finite part of $\quad \int_{-1}^{1} x^{k} \widehat{S}_{\varepsilon} \widehat{T}_{\varepsilon} d x \quad$ as $\quad \varepsilon \rightarrow 0$

$$
=-\frac{1}{\alpha+\beta+k+1} \quad \text { for } \quad 0 \leqq k \leq p-2 .
$$

If $\alpha, \beta$ are not integers, then by (3)
the finite part of $\int_{-1}^{1} x^{p-1} \widehat{S}_{\varepsilon} \widehat{T}_{\varepsilon} d x \quad$ as $\quad \varepsilon \rightarrow 0$

$$
\begin{aligned}
= & \frac{1}{\sin \alpha \pi \sin \beta \pi} \int_{0}^{\frac{\pi}{2}} \frac{\cos ^{p-1} \theta}{\sin \theta}\left(f_{p-1}(\theta)-f_{p-1}(0)\right) d \theta \\
& +\int_{0}^{\frac{\pi}{2}} \frac{1}{\sin \theta}-\left(\cos ^{p-1} \theta-1\right) d \theta+\log 2
\end{aligned}
$$

where $f_{p-1}(\theta)=\sin \alpha(\pi-\theta) \sin \beta(\pi-\theta)+(-1)^{p-1} \sin \alpha \theta \sin \beta \theta$. If $\alpha=-n$, $\beta=n-p$, then we have by (17)
the finite part of $\quad \int_{-1}^{1} x^{p-1} \widehat{S}_{\varepsilon} \widehat{\tau}_{\varepsilon} d x \quad$ as $\quad \varepsilon \rightarrow 0$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&=-\frac{2}{\pi} \int_{0}^{\frac{\pi}{2}} \frac{1}{\sin \theta}\left(\left(\frac{\pi}{2}-\theta\right) \cos ^{p-1} \theta \cos n \theta \cos (n-p) \theta-\frac{\pi}{2}\right) d \theta \\
&-\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{0}^{\frac{\pi}{2}} \frac{\cos ^{p-1} \theta}{\sin \theta} \sin p \theta \log \sin \theta d \theta+\log 2
\end{aligned}
$$

Consequently we have

$$
x_{+}^{\alpha} \cdot x_{+}^{\beta}=x_{+}^{\alpha+\beta}+(-1)^{p-1} \frac{\delta^{(n-1)}}{(n-1)!} \times\left(\text { the finite part of } \int_{-1}^{1} \hat{S}_{\varepsilon} \hat{T}_{\varepsilon} d x\right)
$$

where the last term does not vanish in general. Thus the proof is complete.
Examples. By actual calculation we can show the following formulas:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \quad x_{+}^{-(n+1)} \cdot x_{+}^{n}=x_{+}^{-1}-\frac{1}{2}\left(\log 2+1+\frac{1}{2}+\cdots+\frac{1}{n}\right) \delta, \\
& \\
& x_{+}^{-(n+2)} \cdot x_{+}^{n}=x_{+}^{-2}+\frac{1}{4}\left(2 \log 2+2+\frac{2}{2}+\frac{2}{3}+\cdots+\frac{2}{n}+\frac{1}{n+1}\right) \delta^{\prime}, \\
& \text { for } n=0,1,2, \cdots
\end{aligned}
$$
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