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## 1. Introduction

In this paper we are concerned with the first and the second boundary value problems for the one-dimensional heat equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{t}(x, t)=u_{x x}(x, t) \quad(0 \leqq x \leqq 1,0 \leqq t) \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

with the initial condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(x, 0)=\varphi(x) \quad(0 \leqq x \leqq 1) . \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

For the numerical solution of this problem by the finite-difference methods, there are known the two-level explicit formula with the truncation error of order $h^{2}$, Crank-Nicolson's method [16] ${ }^{1}$, Douglas' high order correct method [4], three-level difference formulas [6], and so on.

The object of this paper is to construct two-level explicit formulas with truncation errors of orders $h^{4}$ and $h^{6}$, to determine their ranges of stability, and to derive the unconditionally stable two-level implicit formulas of higher order accuracy. Although the formulas obtained here are not all new, the stability conditions are considered in a somewhat unified form. These formulas will be useful not only for the direct use but also for the approximation of the truncation errors of the formulas of the lower order accuracy.

## 2. Preliminaries

### 2.1 Difference formulas

Let $h$ and $k$ be the mesh-sizes in the $x$ - and $\iota$-directions respectively and put $r=k / h^{2}$. Then, for the function $u(x, t)$ which is sufficiently smooth and satisfies the equation (1.1), using the relations

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial^{2 n} u}{\partial x^{2 n}}=\frac{\partial^{n} u}{\partial t^{n}} \quad(n=1,2, \ldots), \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

1) Numbers in square brackets refer to the references listed at the end of this paper.
we have the following results:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta_{t} u(x, t)=u(x, t+k)-u(x, t)=r h^{2} u_{t}(x, t)+\frac{1}{2} r^{2} h^{4} u_{t t}(x, t) \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
+-\frac{1}{3!} r^{3} h^{6} u_{t t t}(x, t)+\frac{1}{4!} r^{4} h^{8} u_{t t t t}(x, t)+O\left(h^{10}\right),
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
\delta^{2} u(x, t)= & u(x+h, t)-2 u(x, t)+u(x-h, t)  \tag{2.3}\\
= & h^{2} u_{t}(x, t)+\frac{2}{4!} h^{4} u_{t t}(x, t)+\frac{2}{6!} h^{6} u_{t t t}(x, t) \\
& +\frac{2}{8!} h^{8} u_{t t t t}(x, t)+O\left(h^{10}\right),
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{gather*}
\delta^{2} u(x, t+k)-\delta^{2} u(x, \mathrm{t})-\left(\frac{r}{2}-\frac{1}{12}\right) \delta^{4} u(x, t+k)-\left(\frac{r}{2}+\frac{1}{12}\right) \delta^{4} u(x, t)  \tag{2.8}\\
=\frac{r}{12}\left(\frac{1}{20}-r^{2}\right) h^{8} u_{t t t t}(x, t)+O\left(h^{10}\right),
\end{gather*}
$$

where $\Delta_{t}$ is a forward difference operator and $\delta$ is a central differecne operator.

From these we obtain the following formulas:

$$
\begin{align*}
\Delta_{t} u(x, t) & -r \delta^{2} u(x, t)-r\left(\frac{r}{2}-\frac{1}{12}\right) \delta^{4} u(x, t)  \tag{2.9}\\
& =\frac{r}{6}\left(r^{2}-\frac{r}{2}+\frac{1}{15}\right) h^{6} u_{t t t}(x, t)+O\left(h^{8}\right),
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta_{t} u(x, t)-r \delta^{2} u(x, t)-r\left(\frac{r}{2}-\frac{1}{12}\right) \delta^{4} u(x, t) \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
\Delta_{t} u(x, t) & -r \delta^{2} u(x, t+k)+\frac{r}{2}\left(r+\frac{1}{6}\right) \delta^{4} u(x, t+k)  \tag{2.13}\\
& =\frac{r}{6}\left(r^{2}+\frac{r}{2}+\frac{1}{15}\right) h^{6} u_{t t t}(x, t)+O\left(h^{8}\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

### 2.2 Boundary conditions

In the sequel, we are concerned with the following three cases of boundary conditions:

Case 1. case where $u(0, t)$ and $u(1, t)$ are given;
Case 2. case where $u_{x}(0, t)$ and $u_{x}(1, t)$ are given;
Case 3. case where $u_{x}(0, t)$ and $u(1, t)$ are given.
We assume that the initial and boundary data are sufficiently smooth.
Corresponding to the above three cases, we choose the mesh-size as $h=1 /(N+1), h=1 /(N-1)$ and $h=1 / N$ respectively, and replace $u(-p h, t)$ and $u(1+p h, t)(p=1,2,3)$ by the following formulas:

$$
\begin{align*}
u(-p h, t)= & 2 u(0, t)-u(p h, t)+p^{2} h^{2} u_{t}(0, t)+\frac{1}{12} p^{4} h^{4} u_{t t}(0, t)  \tag{2.14}\\
& +\frac{2}{6!} p^{6} h^{6} u_{t t t}(0, t)+\frac{2}{8!} p^{8} h^{8} u_{t t t t}(0, t)+O\left(h^{10}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
u(1+p h, t)= & 2 u(1, t)-u(1-p h, t)+p^{2} h^{2} u_{t}(1, t)+\frac{1}{12} p^{4} h^{4} u_{t t}(1, t)  \tag{2.15}\\
& +\frac{2}{6!} p^{6} h^{6} u_{t t t}(1, t)+\frac{2}{8!} p^{8} h^{8} u_{t t t t}(1, t)+O\left(h^{10}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
u(-p h, t)= & u(p h, t)-2 p h\left[u_{x}(0, t)+\frac{1}{3!} p^{2} h^{2} u_{x t}(0, t)\right.  \tag{2.16}\\
& \left.+\frac{1}{5!} p^{4} h^{4} u_{x t t}(0, t)+\frac{1}{7!} p^{6} h^{6} u_{x t t t}(0, t)\right]+O\left(h^{9}\right) \\
u(1+p h, t)= & u(1-p h, t)+2 p h\left[u_{x}(1, t)+\frac{1}{3!} p^{2} h^{2} u_{x t}(1, t)\right.  \tag{2.17}\\
& \left.+\frac{1}{5!} p^{4} h^{4} u_{x t t}(1, t)+\frac{1}{7!} p^{6} h^{6} u_{x t t t}(1, \mathrm{t})\right]+O\left(h^{9}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

Then we obtain the systems of linear equations in $N$ unknowns of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\boldsymbol{x}_{n+1}=M_{i} \boldsymbol{x}_{n}+\boldsymbol{f}_{n} \quad(n=0,1, \ldots ; i=1,2,3) \tag{2.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

in the case of explicit formulas, and those of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{i} \boldsymbol{x}_{n+1}=Q_{i} \boldsymbol{x}_{n}+\boldsymbol{f}_{n} \quad(n=0,1, \cdots ; i=1,2,3) \tag{2.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

in the case of implicit formulas, where $M_{i}, P_{i}$ and $Q_{i}$ are $N \times N$ matrices, and $\boldsymbol{x}_{j}$ and $\boldsymbol{f}_{j}(j=0,1, \ldots)$ are $N$-vectors.

### 2.3 Special matrices

Let $L_{i}(i=1,2,3)$ be the $N \times N$ matrices such that

Then, as is easily checked, the following relations are valid:

$$
\left(L_{1}-2 I\right)^{2}=\left(\begin{array}{rrrrr}
5, & -4, & 1 & &  \tag{2.21}\\
-4, & 6, & -4, & 1 & \\
1, & -4, & 6, & -4, & \\
\ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots \\
& 1, & -4, & 6, & -4, \\
& 1, & 1 \\
& & & 6, & -4 \\
& & & 1, & -4, \\
& & 5
\end{array}\right) \text {, }
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(L_{2}-2 I\right)^{2}=\left(\begin{array}{rrrrr}
6, & -8, & 2 & & \\
-4, & 7, & -4, & 1 & \\
1, & -4, & 6, & -4, & 1 \\
\ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots \\
1, & -4, & 6, & -4, & 1 \\
& 1, & -4, & 7, & -4 \\
& & 2, & -8, & 6
\end{array}\right), \\
& \left(L_{3}-2 I\right)^{2}=\left(\begin{array}{rrrrr}
6, & -8, & 2 & & \\
-4, & 7, & -4, & 1 & \\
1, & -4, & 6, & -4, & 1 \\
\ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots \\
1, & -4, & 6, & -4, & 1 \\
& 1, & -4, & 6, & -4 \\
& & 1, & -4, & 5
\end{array}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(L_{2}-2 I\right)^{3}=\left(\begin{array}{rrrrrrr}
-20, & 30, & -12, & 2 & & & \\
15, & -26, & 16, & -6, & 1 & & \\
-6, & 16, & -20, & 15, & -6, & 1 & \\
1, & -6, & 15, & -20, & 15, & -6, & 1 \\
\ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots \\
& 1, & -6, & 15, & -20, & 15, & -6, \\
& & 1, & -6, & 15, & -20, & 16, \\
& & & 1, & -6, & 16, & -26, \\
& & & & 2, & -12, & 30, \\
& & & & & \\
& & & &
\end{array}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\left(L_{3}-2 I\right)^{3}=\left(\begin{array}{rrrrrr}
-20, & 30, & -12, & 2 & &  \tag{2.24}\\
\\
15, & -26, & 16, & -6, & 1 & \\
-6, & 16, & -20, & 15, & -6, & 1 \\
1, & -6, & 15, & -20, & 15, & -6, \\
\ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots \\
& 1, & -6, & 15, & -20, & 15, \\
& & 1, & -6, & 15, & -20, \\
& & & 1, & -6, & 15, \\
& & & & 1, & -20, \\
& & & & 14 & 14 \\
& & 14, & -14
\end{array}\right) .
$$

From these results it can readily be seen that, corresponding to the differences $\delta^{2 \dagger} u(x, t)(p=1,2,3)$ and the boundary conditions of the Case $i(i=1,2,3)$, we obtain the matrices $\left(L_{i}-2 I\right)^{p}(i, p=1,2,3)$.

Put

$$
\begin{gather*}
G_{i}=\operatorname{diag}\left(2 \cos \theta_{i 1}, 2 \cos \theta_{i 2}, \ldots, 2 \cos \theta_{i N}\right) \quad(i=1,2,3),  \tag{2.25}\\
H_{i}=\operatorname{diag}\left(\omega_{i 1}, \omega_{i 2}, \ldots, \omega_{i N}\right) \quad(i=1,2,3),  \tag{2.26}\\
R_{1}=\left(\sin \left(i \theta_{1 j}\right)\right), \quad R_{2}=\left(\cos (i-1) \theta_{2 j}\right), \quad R_{3}=\left(\cos (i-1) \theta_{3 j}\right)  \tag{2.27}\\
\quad(i, j=1,2, \ldots, N),
\end{gather*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta_{1 j}=\frac{j \pi}{N+1}, \quad \theta_{2 j}=\frac{(j-1) \pi}{N-1}, \quad \theta_{3 j}=\frac{(2 j-1) \pi}{2 N}, \quad \omega_{2 j}=\sin ^{2} \frac{\theta_{i j}}{2} \tag{2.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then it is evident that

$$
\begin{equation*}
G_{i}=2 I-4 H_{i} \quad(i=1,2,3) \tag{2.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

In our previous paper [15], we have shown the following
Lemma. There hold the relations

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{i}=R_{i} G_{i} R_{i}^{-1} \quad(i=1,2,3) \tag{2.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $R_{i}^{-1}(i=1,2,3)$ can be represented as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{1}^{-1}=\frac{2}{N+1} R_{1}, \quad R_{2}^{-1}=\frac{2}{N-1} R_{2}^{T} D_{2}, \quad R_{3}^{-1}=\frac{2}{N} R_{3}^{T} D_{3}, \tag{2.31}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{2}=\operatorname{diag}(1 / 2,1, \ldots, 1,1 / 2), \quad D_{3}=\operatorname{diag}(1 / 2,1, \ldots, 1,1) \tag{2.32}
\end{equation*}
$$

By this lemma we can express the matrices $\left(L_{i}-2 I\right)^{p}$ as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(L_{i}-2 I\right)^{p}=R_{i}\left(-4 \mathrm{H}_{i}\right)^{p} R_{i}^{-1} \quad(i, p=1,2,3) . \tag{2.33}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence we can directly find out the eigenvalues and eigenvectors of the matrices $M_{i}, P_{i}$ and $Q_{i}(i=1,2,3)$, so that the stability conditions can be obtained easily.

The elements of the matrices $R_{i}(i=1,2,3)$ need not be stored but can be generated through recurrence formulas [14], so that the systems (2.19) can be solved without the direct inversion of matrices. Needless to say, they can also be solved by the Gaussian elimination method with interchanges or by the $L R$-decomposition method.

## 3. Explicit formulas

### 3.1 Formula with truncation error of order $\boldsymbol{h}^{4}$

From (2.9) we have the following formula $[13]$ and matrices:

$$
\begin{align*}
& u(x, t+k)= a[u(x+2 h, t)+u(x-2 h, t)]  \tag{3.1}\\
&+b[u(x+h, t)+u(x-h, t)]+c u(x, t)+T(x, t), \\
& M_{i}=  \tag{3.2}\\
&=a\left(L_{i}-2 I\right)^{2}+r\left(L_{i}-2 I\right)+I,
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{gather*}
a=\frac{r}{2}\left(r-\frac{1}{6}\right), \quad b=2 r\left(\frac{2}{3}-r\right), \quad c=1-\frac{5}{2} r+3 r^{2},  \tag{3.3}\\
T(x, t)=\frac{r}{6}\left(r^{2}-\frac{r}{2}+\frac{1}{15}\right) h^{6} u_{t t t}(x, t)+O\left(h^{8}\right) . \tag{3.4}
\end{gather*}
$$

Let $\lambda_{i j}(j=1,2, \ldots, N)$ be the eigenvalues of $M_{i}(i=1,2,3)$. Then, since by the lemma

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{i}=R_{i}\left[16 a H_{i}^{2}-4 r H_{i}+I\right] R_{i}^{-1}, \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{i j}=1-4 r \omega_{i j}+8 r\left(r-\frac{1}{6}\right) \omega_{i j}^{2} \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

From this we find that $\lambda_{i j}>-1(j=1,2, \ldots, N ; i=1,2,3)$, because $0 \leqq \omega_{i j} \leqq 1$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\lambda_{i j}+1\right) / 2=\left(2 r \omega_{i j}-\sigma_{i j}\right)^{2}+1-\sigma_{i j}^{2}>0, \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\sigma_{i j}=\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{6} \omega_{i j} \leqq \frac{2}{3} .
$$

On the other hand, the inequalities $\lambda_{i j} \leqq 1(j=1,2, \ldots, N ; i=1,2,3)$ are valid, if $r \leqq \frac{2}{3}$. The sign of equality holds when $i=2, j=1$ and when $i=2$, $j=N$ and $r=\frac{2}{3}$, but then $\lambda_{2,1}$ and $\lambda_{2, N}$ are eigenvalues corresponding to linear elementary divisors because the matrix $M_{2}$ is similar to a diagonal matrix. Thus the difference scheme connected with (3.1) is stable if $r \leqq \frac{2}{3}$.

### 3.2 Formula with truncation error of order $h^{6}$

From (2.10) we have the following results:

$$
\begin{align*}
u(x, t+k)= & a[u(x+3 h, t)+u(x-3 h, t)]+b[u(x+2 h, t)  \tag{3.8}\\
& +u(x-2 h, \mathrm{t})]+c[u(x+h, t)+u(x-h, t)] \\
& +d u(x, t)+T(x, t), \\
M_{i}=a\left(L_{i}-\right. & 2 I)^{3}+(b+6 a)\left(L_{i}-2 I\right)^{2}+r\left(L_{i}-2 I\right)+I, \tag{3.9}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
a=\frac{r}{6}\left(r^{2}-\frac{r}{2}+\frac{1}{15}\right), & b=-r\left(r^{2}-r+\frac{3}{20}\right)  \tag{3.10}\\
c=\frac{r}{2}\left(5 r^{2}-\frac{13 r}{2}+3\right), & d=1-\frac{r}{3}\left(10 r^{2}-14 r+\frac{49}{6}\right),
\end{array}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
T(x, t)=\frac{r}{24}\left(r^{3}-r^{2}+\frac{7}{20} r-\frac{3}{70}\right) h^{8} u_{t t t t}(x, t)+O\left(h^{10}\right) \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{i}=R_{i}\left[-8 \alpha H_{i}^{3}+2 \beta H_{i}^{2}-4 r H_{i}+I\right] R_{i}^{-1}, \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{i j}=1-4 r \omega_{i j}-2 \beta \omega_{i j}^{2}-8 \alpha \omega_{i j}^{3} \quad(j=1,2, \ldots, N ; i=1,2,3) \tag{3.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta=2 r\left(\frac{1}{3}-2 r\right), \quad \alpha=8 a=\frac{4 r}{3}\left(r-\frac{1}{4}\right)^{2}+\frac{r}{180}>0 . \tag{3.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is easily seen that $\lambda_{i j} \leqq 1(j=1,2, \ldots, N ; i=1,2,3)$, because $0 \leqq \omega_{i j} \leqq 1$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
1-\lambda_{i j}=4 r \omega_{i j}\left[\left(1+\left(\frac{1}{6}-r\right) \omega_{i j}\right)^{2}+\frac{5}{3}\left(r-\frac{3}{10}\right)^{2} \omega_{i j}^{2}\right] \geqq 0 . \tag{3.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

The equal sign is valid only when $i=2$ and $j=1$.

Now we seek for the condition under which $\lambda_{i j} \geqq-1$. From (3.13) it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\lambda_{i j}+1\right) / 2=1-2 r \omega_{i j}-\beta \omega_{i j}^{2}-4 \alpha \omega_{i j}^{3} . \tag{3.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Corresponding to (3.16) we put

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(x)=1-2 r x-\beta x^{2}-4 \alpha x^{3} \quad(0 \leqq x \leqq 1) \tag{3.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

and transform (3.17) as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
g(y)=y^{3} f\left(\frac{1}{y}\right)=y^{3}-2 r y^{2}-\beta y-4 \alpha \tag{3.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
h(z)=g(z+1)=z^{3}+c_{1} z^{2}+c_{2} z+c_{3}, \tag{3.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{1}=3-2 r, \quad c_{2}=4 r^{2}-\frac{14}{3} r+3, \quad c_{3}=1-\frac{136}{45} r+\frac{20}{3} r^{2}-\frac{16}{3} r^{3} . \tag{3.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

It can be shown by means of the discriminant that the cubic equation $c_{3}=0$ has one and only one real root $r_{0}$, which is given numerically as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
r_{0}=0.8413602280 \ldots \tag{3.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, since $c_{1}>0$ for $r \leqq r_{0}, c_{2}>0$ and $c_{3}>0$ for $r<r_{0}$, it follows that $f(x) \geqq 0$ for $r \leqq r_{0}$, and the sign of equality holds only when $x=1$ and $r=r_{0}$. Hence $\lambda_{i j} \geqq-1$ for $r \leqq r_{0}$ and the equal sign is valid only when $i=2, j=N$ and $r=r_{0}$.

Thus the difference scheme corresponding to (3.8) is stable if $r \leqq r_{0}$.

## 4. Implicit formulas

### 4.1 Formula with truncation error of order $\boldsymbol{h}^{4}$

From (2.11) we have the following formula [4] and matrices:

$$
\begin{align*}
& a[u(x+h, t+k)+u(x-h, t+k)]+b u(x, t+k)  \tag{4.1}\\
& \quad=\alpha[u(x+h, t)+u(x-h, t)]+\beta u(x, t)+T(x, t),
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{i}=a\left(L_{i}-2 I\right)+12 I, \quad Q_{i}=\alpha\left(L_{i}-2 I\right)+12 I \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{gather*}
a=1-6 r, \quad b=10+12 r, \quad \alpha=1+6 r, \quad \beta=10-12 r,  \tag{4.3}\\
T(x, t)=r\left(\frac{1}{20}-r^{2}\right) h^{6} u_{t t t}(x, t)+O\left(h^{8}\right) . \tag{4.4}
\end{gather*}
$$

Let $\mu_{i j}, \rho_{i j}$ and $\lambda_{i j}(j=1,2, \ldots, N)$ be the eigenvalues of $P_{i}, Q_{i}$ and $P_{i}^{-1} Q_{i}$ ( $i=1,2,3$ ) respectively. Then, since

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{i}=R_{i}\left[4(6 r-1) H_{i}+12 I\right] R_{i}^{-1}, \quad Q_{i}=R_{i}\left[-4(6 r+1) H_{i}+12 I\right] R_{i}^{-1}, \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu_{i j}=12+4(6 r-1) \omega_{i j}, \quad \rho_{i j}=12-4(6 r+1) \omega_{i j}, \quad \lambda_{i j}=\rho_{i j} / \mu_{i j} \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

it is easily seen that $1 \geqq \lambda_{i j}>-1$ and that the sign of equality holds only when $i=2$ and $j=1$.

Thus the difference scheme corresponding to (4.1) is unconditionally stable.

### 4.2 Formula with truncation error of order $h^{6}$

From (2.12) we have the following results:

$$
\begin{align*}
& a[u(x+2 h, t+k)+u(x-2 h, t+k)]+b[u(x+h, t+k)  \tag{4.7}\\
& \quad+u(x-h, t+k)]+c u(x, t+k)=\alpha[u(x+2 h, t) \\
& \quad+u(x-2 h, t)]+\beta[u(x+h, t)+u(x-h, t)]+\gamma u(x, t)+T(x, t)
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{i}=a\left(L_{i}-2 I\right)^{2}+(b+4 a)\left(L_{i}-2 I\right)+90 I, \quad Q_{i}=\alpha\left(L_{i}-2 I\right)^{2}+90 I, \tag{4.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{array}{cll}
a=30 r^{2}-1, & b=-120 r^{2}-90 r+4, & c=180 r^{2}+180 r+84, \\
\alpha=-\beta / 4, & \beta=60 r^{2}+30 r+4, & r=-90 r^{2}-45 r+84, \\
T(x, t)=\frac{r}{4}\left(15 r^{3}+5 r^{2}-\frac{3}{4} r-\frac{13}{42}\right) h^{8} u_{t t t t}(x, t)+O\left(h^{10}\right) . \tag{4.10}
\end{array}
$$

Since

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{i}=R_{i}\left[16 a H_{i}^{2}+360 r H_{i}+90 I \rrbracket R_{i}^{-1}, \quad Q_{i}=R_{i}\left[16 \alpha H_{i}^{2}+90 I\right] R_{i}^{-1},\right. \tag{4.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu_{i j}=90+360 r \omega_{i j}+16\left(30 r^{2}-1\right) \omega_{i j}^{2}>0 \tag{4.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho_{i j}=90-\left(240 r^{2}+120 r+16\right) \omega_{i j}^{2} . \tag{4.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

It can be seen that $1 \geqq \lambda_{i j}>-1$ and that the equal sign is valid only when $i=2$ and $j=1$, because

$$
\rho_{i j}+\mu_{i j}=148+240 r \omega_{i j}\left(1+r \omega_{i j}\right)+\left(1-\omega_{i j}\right)\left(32+32 \omega_{i j}+120 r \omega_{i j}\right)>0
$$

and

$$
\mu_{i j}-\rho_{i j}=120 r \omega_{i j}\left[3+(1+6 r) \omega_{2 j}\right] \geqq 0
$$

Thus the difference scheme connected with (4.7) is unconditionally stable.
Remark. For the boundary value problem of the Case 2 , the matrices $M_{2}$ and $P_{2}^{-1} Q_{2}$ have eigenvalues equal to one in modulus, so that the persisting errors [11, 7] will be observed.

## 5. Numerical example

We consider the problem (1.1) with the following conditions:

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(x, 0)=\sin \pi x, \quad u(0, t)=u(1, t)=0 . \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Its exact solution is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(x, t)=\exp \left(-\pi^{2} t\right) \sin \pi x \tag{5.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

This problem is solved numerically first by the well-known formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
U(x, t+k)=r[U(x+h, t)+U(x-h, t)]+(1-2 r) U(x, t), \tag{5.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and then by the formulas corresponding to (3.1), (3.8), (4.1) and (4.7), with the uniform mesh-sizes $h=\frac{1}{8}$ and $r=-\frac{1}{4}$. The approximate values of $u\left(\frac{1}{2}, t\right)$ are given in Table 1.

Table 1.

| $t$ | 0.25 | 0.5 | 0.75 | 1.0 |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| formula |  |  |  |  |
| $(5.3)$ | $0.83457281847-01$ | $0.69651178933-02$ | $0.58128980711-03$ | $0.48512867266-04$ |
| $(3.1)$ | $0.84808500771-01$ | $0.71924818031-02$ | $0.60998359853-03$ | $0.51731794488-04$ |
| $(3.8)$ | $0.84805045131-01$ | $0.71918956799-02$ | $0.60990903771-03$ | $0.51723363470-04$ |
| $(4.1)$ | $0.84799916378-01$ | $0.71910258176-02$ | $0.60979838803-03$ | $0.51710852311-04$ |
| $(4.7)$ | $0.84805117504-01$ | $0.71919060356-02$ | $0.60991027361-03$ | $0.51723498613-04$ |
| $(5.2)$ | $0.84804972470-01$ | $0.71918833555-02$ | $0.60990746996-03$ | $0.51723186198-04$ |
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