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## §1. Introduction

The purpose of this note is to study the $K O$-ring $K O\left(N^{n}(m)\right)$ of real vector bundles over the $(4 n+3)$-dimensional quotient manifold

$$
N^{n}(m)=S^{4 n+3} / H_{m} \quad(m \geqq 2),
$$

whose $K$-ring $K\left(N^{n}(m)\right)$ of complex vector bundles is studied in the previous note [3]. Here, $H_{m}$ is the generalized quaternion group generated by two elements $x$ and $y$ with the two relations

$$
x^{2 m-1}=y^{2} \quad \text { and } \quad x y x=y,
$$

that is, $H_{m}$ is the subgroup of the unit sphere $S^{3}$ in the quaternion field $\boldsymbol{H}$ generated by the two elements

$$
x=\exp \left(\pi i / 2^{m-1}\right) \quad \text { and } \quad y=j,
$$

and the action of $H_{m}$ on the unit sphere $S^{4 n+3}$ in the quaternion $(n+1)$-space $\boldsymbol{H}^{n+1}$ is given by the diagonal action.

Consider the real line bundles

$$
\alpha_{0}^{\prime}, \quad \beta_{0}^{\prime} \in K O\left(N^{n}(m)\right)
$$

whose first Stiefel-Whitney classes generate the cohomology group $H^{1}\left(N^{n}(m) ; Z_{2}\right)$ $=Z_{2} \oplus Z_{2}$, and the real restriction

$$
\delta_{0}^{\prime}=r \pi^{\prime} \lambda \in K O\left(N^{n}(m)\right)
$$

of the induced bundle $\pi^{\prime} \lambda$, where $\lambda$ is the canonical complex plane bundle over the quaternion projective space $H P^{n}=S^{4 n+3} / S^{3}$ and $\pi: N^{n}(m) \rightarrow H P^{n}$ is the natural projection. Also, it is proved by B. J. Sanderson [7] that the complexification $c: K O\left(H P^{n}\right) \rightarrow K\left(H P^{n}\right)$ is monomorphic and $(\lambda-2)^{2} \in c K O\left(H P^{n}\right)$, and so we can consider the element

$$
x_{0}=\pi^{\prime} c^{-1}\left((\lambda-2)^{2}\right) \in K O\left(N^{n}(m)\right)
$$

Then we have the following

Theorem 1.1. The reduced KO-ring $\widetilde{\operatorname{KO}_{( }}\left(N^{n}(m)\right)(m \geqq 2)$ is generated multiplicatively by the four elements

$$
\alpha_{0}=\alpha_{0}^{\prime}-1, \quad \beta_{0}=\beta_{0}^{\prime}-1, \quad \delta_{0}=\delta_{0}^{\prime}-4 \quad \text { and } \quad x_{0} .
$$

This theorem shows that the natural ring homomorphism

$$
\xi: \widetilde{R O}\left(H_{m}\right) \longrightarrow \widetilde{K O}\left(N^{n}(m)\right)
$$

is an epimorphism, where $\widetilde{R O}\left(H_{m}\right)$ is the reduced orthogonal representation ring of $H_{m}$. Since the kernel of this homomorphism $\xi$ is determined by D. Pitt [6, Th. 2.5], we have the following

Corollary 1.2. The above $\xi$ induces the ring isomorphism

$$
\widetilde{K O}\left(N^{n}(m)\right) \cong \begin{cases}\widetilde{R O}\left(H_{m}\right) / c^{-1}\left(\left(\chi_{4}-2\right)^{n+1}\right) R O\left(H_{m}\right) & \text { if } n \text { is odd } \\ \widetilde{R O}\left(H_{m}\right) / c^{-1}\left(\left(\chi_{4}-2\right)^{n+1} c^{\prime} R S p\left(H_{m}\right)\right) & \text { if } n \text { is even } .\end{cases}
$$

Here, $\chi_{4} \in R\left(H_{m}\right)$ is the complexification of the symplectic representation given by the inclusion $H_{m} \subset S^{3}=S p(1)$, and the monomorphisms $c: R O\left(H_{m}\right)$ $\rightarrow R\left(H_{m}\right), c^{\prime}: R S p\left(H_{m}\right) \rightarrow R\left(H_{m}\right)$ are the complexifications, where $R\left(H_{m}\right)$ is the (unitary) representation ring and $R S p\left(H_{m}\right)$ is the symplectic representation group of $H_{m}$.

For the case $m=2, H_{2}=\{ \pm 1, \pm i, \pm j, \pm k\}$ is the quaternion group and we have

Theorem 1.3. As an abelian group,

$$
\widetilde{K O}\left(N^{n}(2)\right)= \begin{cases}Z_{2^{n+1}} \oplus Z_{2^{n+1}} \oplus Z_{2^{2 n+1}} \oplus Z_{2^{n-1}} & \text { if } n \text { is odd }, \\ Z_{2^{n+2}} \oplus Z_{2^{n+2}} \oplus Z_{2^{2 n}} \oplus Z_{2^{n}} & \text { if } n \text { is even } .\end{cases}
$$

If $n$ is odd, the direct summands are generated by

$$
\alpha_{0}, \quad \beta_{0}, \quad \delta_{0}, \quad \text { and } \quad x_{0}+\left(2+2^{n}\right) \delta_{0}
$$

respectively, and the last summand does not appear in the case $n=1$. If $n$ is even, the direct summands are generated by

$$
\alpha_{0}, \quad \beta_{0}, \quad \delta_{0}, \quad \text { and } x_{0}+2 \delta_{0}
$$

respectively, and the last two summands do not appear in the case $n=0$. The multiplicative structure of $\widetilde{K O}\left(N^{n}(2)\right)$ is given by

$$
\alpha_{0}^{2}=-2 \alpha_{0}, \quad \beta_{0}^{2}=-2 \beta_{0}, \quad \delta_{0}^{2}=4 x_{0}, \quad \alpha_{0} \delta_{0}=-4 \alpha_{0}, \quad \beta_{0} \delta_{0}=-4 \beta_{0}
$$

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
\alpha_{0} \beta_{0}=-2 \alpha_{0}-2 \beta_{0}+x_{0}+2 \delta_{0}, \quad \alpha_{0} x_{0}=4 \alpha_{0}, \quad \beta_{0} x_{0}=4 \beta_{0}, \\
x_{0}^{m+1}=0 \quad \text { if } n=2 m+1, \quad \delta_{0} x_{0}^{m}=x_{0}^{m+1}=0 \quad \text { if } n=2 m .
\end{array}
$$

In $\S 2$, we recall the cell structure and the cohomology groups of $N^{n}(m)$. In §3, we consider the orthogonal representation ring $R O\left(H_{m}\right)$, which is determined by D. Pitt [6], and represent the elements $\alpha_{0}, \beta_{0}, \delta_{0}$ and $x_{0}$ in Theorem 1.1 as the $\xi$-images. Also, we study some relations between these elements and the known elements of $K O\left(L^{2 n+1}\left(Z_{4}\right)\right.$ ) of [5], where $L^{2 n+1}\left(Z_{4}\right)=S^{4 n+3} / Z_{4}$ is the lens space. Using these results we prove Theorem 1.1 in $\S 4$ by the induction on the skeletons on $N^{n}(m)$. Finally, Theorem 1.3 is proved in $\S 5$ by using Corollary 1.2.

The author wishes to express his gratitude to Professors M. Sugawara and T. Kobayashi for their valuable suggestions and reading this manuscript carefully.

## §2. Cohomology grougs of $N^{n}(m)$

The generalized quaternion group $H_{m}(m \geqq 2)$ is the subgroup of the unit sphere $S^{3}$ in the quaternion field $\boldsymbol{H}$, generated by the two elements

$$
x=\exp \left(\pi i / 2^{m-1}\right) \quad \text { and } \quad y=j
$$

In this note, we consider the diagonal action of $H_{m}$ on the unit sphere $S^{4 n+3}$ in the quaternion $(n+1)$-space $\boldsymbol{H}^{n+1}$, given by

$$
q\left(q_{1}, \ldots, q_{n+1}\right)=\left(q q_{1}, \ldots, q q_{n+1}\right)
$$

for $q \in H_{m}$ and $\left(q_{1}, \ldots, q_{n+1}\right) \in S^{4 n+3}$, and the quotient $(4 n+3)$-manifold

$$
N^{n}(m)=S^{4 n+3} / H_{m}
$$

This manifold has the $C W$-decomposition $\left\{e^{4 k+s}, e_{1}^{4 k+t}, e_{2}^{4 k+t} ; 0 \leqq k \leqq n, s=0\right.$, $3, t=1,2\}$ with the boundary formulas:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \partial e^{4 k}=2^{m+1} e_{1}^{4 k-1}, \quad \partial e_{1}^{4 k+1}=\partial e_{2}^{4 k+1}=0 \\
& \partial e_{1}^{4 k+2}=2^{m-1} e_{1}^{4 k+1}-2 e_{2}^{4+1}, \quad \partial e_{2}^{4 k+2}=2 e_{1}^{4 k+1}, \quad \partial e^{4 k+3}=0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

(cf. [3, Lemma 2.1]). Also, the cohomology groups of $N^{n}(m)$ are given by

$$
H^{k}\left(N^{n}(m) ; Z\right)= \begin{cases}Z & \text { for } k=0,4 n+3 \\ Z_{2^{m+1}} & \text { for } k \equiv 0(4), 0<k<4 n+3 \\ Z_{2} \oplus Z_{2} & \text { for } k \equiv 2(4), 0<k<4 n+4, \\ 0 & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

$$
H^{k}\left(N^{n}(m) ; Z_{2}\right)= \begin{cases}Z_{2} \oplus Z_{2} & \text { for } k \leqq 1,2(4), 0<k<4 n+3 \\ Z_{2} & \text { for } k \leqq 0,3(4), 0 \leqq k \leqq 4 n+3, \\ 0 & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

(cf. [3, Prop. 2.2]).
Let $0 \longrightarrow Z \xrightarrow{\times 2} Z \xrightarrow{j} Z_{2} \longrightarrow 0$ be the exact coefficient sequence, and $H^{1}\left(N^{n}(m) ; Z_{2}\right) \xrightarrow{\Delta} H^{2}\left(N^{n}(m) ; Z\right) \xrightarrow{\times 2} H^{2}\left(N^{n}(m) ; Z\right) \xrightarrow{j_{*}} H^{2}\left(N^{n}(m) ; Z_{2}\right)$ be the associated exact sequence. Then we have easily the following

Lemma 2.1. $\Delta$ and $j_{*}$ are isomorphic.
Now, let $a$ and $b$ be generators of

$$
H^{1}\left(N^{n}(m) ; Z_{2}\right)=Z_{2} \oplus Z_{2},
$$

and let $\alpha_{0}^{\prime}$ and $\beta_{0}^{\prime}$ (resp. $\alpha^{\prime}$ and $\beta^{\prime}$ ) be the real (resp. complex) line bundles over $N^{n}(m)$, whose first Stiefel-Whitney (resp. Chern) classes are given by

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
w_{1}\left(\alpha_{0}^{\prime}\right)=a, & w_{1}\left(\beta_{0}^{\prime}\right)=b, \\
c_{1}\left(\alpha^{\prime}\right)=\Delta a, & c_{1}\left(\beta^{\prime}\right)=\Delta b . \tag{2.2}
\end{array}
$$

Denote their stable classes by

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\alpha_{0}=\alpha_{0}^{\prime}-1, & \beta_{0}=\beta_{0}^{\prime}-1 \in \widetilde{K O}\left(N^{n}(m)\right), \\
\alpha=\alpha^{\prime}-1, & \beta=\beta^{\prime}-1 \in \widetilde{K}\left(N^{n}(m)\right) . \tag{2.3}
\end{array}
$$

The $K$ - and $K O$-rings of the quaternion projective space $H P^{n}$ are known as follows.
(B. J. Sanderson [7, Th. 3.11, 3.12])

$$
\begin{equation*}
K\left(H P^{n}\right)=Z[z] /\left\langle z^{n+1}\right\rangle, \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $z=\lambda-2$ is the stable class of the canonical complex plane bundle $\lambda$ over $H P^{n}$. Also, the complexification

$$
c: K O\left(H P^{n}\right) \longrightarrow K\left(H P^{n}\right)
$$

is monomorphic, and the ring $K O\left(H P^{n}\right)$ is generated by the two elements

$$
z_{0}=r z=c^{-1}(2 z) \text { and } x=c^{-1}\left(z^{2}\right),
$$

where $r$ is the real restriction.
Using these results and the induced homomorphisms of the natural projection

$$
\begin{equation*}
\pi: N^{n}(m)=S^{4 n+3} / H_{m} \longrightarrow S^{4 n+3} / S^{3}=H P^{n} \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

we consider the following elements:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \delta=\pi^{\prime} z \in \widetilde{K}\left(N^{n}(m)\right), \\
& \delta_{0}=r \delta=\pi^{\prime} z_{0}, \quad x_{0}=\pi^{\prime} x \in \widetilde{K O}\left(N^{n}(m)\right) . \tag{2.6}
\end{align*}
$$

Lemma 2.7. For the complexification $c: \widetilde{K_{O}}\left(N^{n}(m)\right) \rightarrow \widetilde{K}\left(N^{n}(m)\right)$,

$$
c\left(\alpha_{0}\right)=\alpha, c\left(\beta_{0}\right)=\beta, c\left(\delta_{0}\right)=2 \delta, c\left(x_{0}\right)=\delta^{2} .
$$

Proof. The total Stiefel-Whitney class of $\alpha_{0}^{\prime}$ is $w\left(\alpha_{0}^{\prime}\right)=1+a$, by definition. Therefore,

$$
w\left(r c \alpha_{0}^{\prime}\right)=w\left(2 \alpha_{0}^{\prime}\right)=\left(w\left(\alpha_{0}^{\prime}\right)\right)^{2}=1+a^{2}=1+S q^{1} a=1+j_{*} \Delta a=1+j_{*} c_{1}\left(\alpha^{\prime}\right)
$$

On the other hand, it is well known that $w_{2}\left(r c \alpha_{0}^{\prime}\right)=j_{*} c_{1}\left(c \alpha_{0}^{\prime}\right)$, and we have $c_{1}\left(\alpha^{\prime}\right)$ $=c_{1}\left(c \alpha_{0}^{\prime}\right)$ by Lemma 2.1, and so $\alpha^{\prime}=c \alpha_{0}^{\prime}$. In the same way, we have the second equality. The last two equalities follow immediately by definition. q.e.d.

## §3. Representation rings

We denote the unitary (resp. orthogonal) representation ring of the group $G$ by $R(G)$ (resp. $R O(G)$ ), and the symplectic representation group by $R S p(G)$. By the natural inclusions $O(n) \subset U(n), U(n) \subset O(2 n), S p(n) \subset U(2 n)$ and $U(n)$ $\subset S p(n)$, the following group homomorphisms are defined:

$$
R O(G) \underset{c}{\stackrel{r}{\leftrightarrows}} R(G) \underset{n}{\stackrel{c^{\prime}}{\leftrightarrows}} R S p(G)
$$

The following facts (3.1) and (3.2) are well known (cf., e.g. [2]).
(3.1) These representation groups are free, and $c$ is a ring homomorphism. Also

$$
r c=2, \quad h c^{\prime}=2, \quad c r=1+t=c^{\prime} h,
$$

( $t$ denotes the conjugation), and $c$ and $c^{\prime}$ are monomorphic.
(3.2) We have the commutative diagrams

where the horizontal pairings are defined by tensoring over $\boldsymbol{R}$ or $\boldsymbol{H}$.

For the later purposes, we use the following facts for the representation rings or groups of $H_{m}, S^{3}$ and $Z_{4}$.

The generalized quaternion group $H_{m}$ has three non-trivial representations of degree 1 :

$$
\left\{\begin{array} { l } 
{ \chi _ { 1 } ( x ) = 1 } \\
{ \chi _ { 1 } ( y ) = - 1 , }
\end{array} \left\{\begin{array} { l } 
{ \chi _ { 2 } ( x ) = - 1 } \\
{ \chi _ { 2 } ( y ) = 1 , }
\end{array} \left\{\begin{array}{l}
\chi_{3}(x)=-1 \\
\chi_{3}(y)=-1,
\end{array}\right.\right.\right.
$$

and $2^{m-1}-1$ representations of degree 2 :

$$
\chi_{i+3}(x)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
x^{i} & 0 \\
0 & x^{-i}
\end{array}\right), \quad \chi_{i+3}(y)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & (-1)^{i} \\
1 & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

for $i=1,2, \ldots, 2^{m-1}-1$.
Lemma 3.3. (cf. [3, Prop. 3.1, 3.3]) $R\left(H_{m}\right)$ is generated by $\chi_{j}(j=0,1, \ldots$, $\left.2^{m-1}+2\right)\left(\chi_{0}=1\right)$ as a free Z-module, and by $1, \chi_{1}, \chi_{2}$ and $\chi_{4}$ as a ring. The multiplicative structure is given by

$$
\left.\left.\begin{array}{c}
\chi_{i} \chi_{j}=\chi_{j} \chi_{i}, \quad \chi_{1}^{2}=\chi_{2}^{2}=1, \\
\chi_{3}=\chi_{1} \chi_{2}, \quad \chi_{1} \chi_{4}=\chi_{4}, \quad \chi_{2} \chi_{4}=\chi_{2^{m-1+2}}
\end{array}\right\} \begin{array}{lll}
1+\chi_{1}+\chi_{2}+\chi_{3} & \text { for } & m=2, \\
1+\chi_{1}+\chi_{5} & \text { for } & m \geqq 3,
\end{array}\right\}
$$

Lemma 3.4. (cf. [6, Prop. 1.5]) By the monomorphism

$$
c: R O\left(H_{m}\right) \longrightarrow R\left(H_{m}\right),
$$

$R O\left(H_{m}\right)$ may be considered as the subring of $R\left(H_{m}\right)$, generated by $1, \chi_{1}, \chi_{2}$, $\chi_{3}, 2 \chi_{2 i+2}$ and $\chi_{2 i+3}(i \geqq 1)$.

Lemma 3.5. (cf. [6, Prop. 1.6]) By the monomorphism

$$
c^{\prime}: R S p\left(H_{m}\right) \longrightarrow R\left(H_{m}\right),
$$

$R S p\left(H_{m}\right)$ may be considered as the free abelian subgroup of $R\left(H_{m}\right)$, generated by $2,2 \chi_{1}, 2 \chi_{2}, 2 \chi_{3}, 2 \chi_{2 i+3}$ and $\chi_{2 i+2}(i \geqq 1)$.

Lemma 3.6. (cf. [4, Ch. 13, Th. 3.1])

$$
R\left(S^{3}\right)=Z[\chi],
$$

where $\chi$ is the $c^{\prime}$-image $c^{\prime} \chi$ of the identity symplectic representation $\chi: S^{3}=S p(1)$.
Lemma 3.7. For the monomorphism $c: R O\left(S^{3}\right) \rightarrow R\left(S^{3}\right)$, we have

$$
2 \chi^{i}, \quad \chi^{2 i} \in \operatorname{Im} c, \quad \text { for any } i \geqq 1 .
$$

Proof. Since $\chi \in R\left(S^{3}\right)$ is self-conjugate, we have $2 \chi^{i}=\operatorname{cr}\left(\chi^{i}\right) \in \operatorname{Im} c$. By the commutative diagram

of (3.2), we have $\chi^{2}=c\left(\chi^{2}\right)$, where $\chi^{2} \in R O\left(S^{3}\right)$ is the image of $\chi \otimes \chi \in R S p\left(S^{3}\right)$ $\otimes_{z} R S p\left(S^{3}\right)$.
q.e.d.

It is clear that $\chi_{4} \in R\left(H_{m}\right)$ is the $c^{\prime}$-image of the symplectic representation of $H_{m}$ given by the inclusion $H_{m} \subset S^{3}=S p(1)$, and we have

Lemma 3.8.

$$
i(\chi)=\chi_{4},
$$

where $i: H_{m} \subset S^{3}$ is the inclusion.
For an $n$-dimensional representation $\omega$ of $H_{m}$, the $n$-plane bundle $\xi(\omega)$ is induced from the principal $H_{m}$-bundle $\xi: S^{4 n+3} \rightarrow N^{n}(m)$ by the group homomorphism $\omega: H_{m} \rightarrow G L(n, \boldsymbol{R})$, and we have a ring homomorphism

$$
\begin{equation*}
\xi: R O\left(H_{m}\right) \longrightarrow K O\left(N^{n}(m)\right) \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 3.10. The elements $\alpha_{0}$ and $\beta_{0}$ of (2.3) may be so taken

$$
\xi c^{-1}\left(\chi_{1}-1\right)=\alpha_{0}, \quad \xi c^{-1}\left(\chi_{2}-1\right)=\beta_{0} .
$$

Also, for the elements $\delta_{0}$ and $x_{0}$ of (2.6), we have

$$
\xi c^{-1}\left(2 \chi_{4}-4\right)=\delta_{0}, \quad \xi c^{-1}\left(\left(\chi_{4}-2\right)^{2}\right)=x_{0} .
$$

Proof. The ring homomorphism $\xi: R\left(H_{m}\right) \rightarrow K\left(N^{n}(m)\right)$ is defined in the same way as (3.9), and we have the commutative diagram


Since $c_{1} \xi\left(\chi_{1}\right)$ and $c_{1} \xi\left(\chi_{2}\right)$ generate $H^{2}\left(N^{n}(m) ; Z\right)=Z_{2} \oplus Z_{2}$, (cf. [3, p. 259]), we can take $a, b \in H^{1}\left(N^{n}(m) ; Z_{2}\right)$ in (2.2) so that

$$
\Delta a=c_{1} \xi\left(\chi_{1}\right), \quad \Delta b=c_{1} \xi\left(\chi_{2}\right),
$$

by Lemma 2.1. Then,

$$
\begin{aligned}
j_{*} \Delta a & =j_{*} c_{1} \xi\left(\chi_{1}\right)=j_{*} c_{1}\left(c \xi c^{-1}\left(\chi_{1}\right)\right)=w_{2}\left(r c \xi c^{-1}\left(\chi_{1}\right)\right) \\
& =w_{2}\left(2 \xi c^{-1}\left(\chi_{1}\right)\right)=w_{1}\left(\xi c^{-1}\left(\chi_{1}\right)\right)^{2}=j_{*} \Delta w_{1}\left(\xi c^{-1}\left(\chi_{1}\right)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore $w_{1}\left(\xi c^{-1}\left(\chi_{1}\right)\right)=a$ by Lemma 2.1, and we have $\xi c^{-1}\left(\chi_{1}\right)=\alpha_{0}^{\prime}$ by (2.2). In the same way as above, we have $\xi c^{-1}\left(\chi_{2}\right)=\beta_{0}^{\prime}$.

Consider the commutative diagram

where $\xi^{\prime}$ is the ring homomorphism defined in the same way as $\xi$ of (3.9), using $\xi^{\prime}: S^{4 n+3} \rightarrow H P^{n}$. Then,

$$
\xi^{\prime}(\chi)=\lambda, \quad \xi^{\prime}(\chi-2)=x
$$

directly by definition. Therefore, by Lemma 3.8, (2.4) and (2.6), we have

$$
\xi^{-1}\left(2 \chi_{4}-4\right)=\xi r\left(\chi_{4}-2\right)=\xi r i(\chi-2)=r \pi^{\prime} \xi^{\prime}(\chi-2)=r \pi^{\prime} z=\delta_{0} .
$$

Finally, consider the commutatived iagram


Then, by Lemma 3.8, (2.4) and (2.6), we have

$$
\xi c^{-1}\left(\left(\chi_{4}-2\right)^{2}\right)=\xi i c^{-1}\left((\chi-2)^{2}\right)=\pi^{1} c^{-1} \xi^{\prime}\left((\chi-2)^{2}\right)=\pi^{\prime} c^{-1}\left(z^{2}\right)=x_{0} .
$$

q.e.d.

Finally, we consider the representation ring of the cyclic group $Z_{4}$ of order 4. It is well known that

Lemma 3.11.

$$
R\left(Z_{4}\right)=Z[\mu] /<\mu^{4}-1>
$$

where $\mu$ is the unitary representation such that $\mu(g)=\exp (\pi i / 2)$ for the generator $g$ of $Z_{4}$.

Let $L^{2 n+1}(4)=S^{4 n+3} / Z_{4}$ be the standard lens space $\bmod 4$, and $\zeta: S^{4 n+3} \rightarrow$ $L^{2 n+1}(4)$ be the natural projection. Then, we have the commutative diagram

where $\zeta$ 's are the natural ring homomorphisms defined in the same way as $\xi$ of (3.9).

Lemma 3.12. For the element $\mu$ of Lemma 3.11,

$$
\sigma+1=\zeta(\mu) \in K\left(L^{2 n+1}(4)\right)
$$

is the complex line bundle whose first Chern class generates $H^{2}\left(L^{2 n+1}(4) ; Z\right)$ $=Z_{4}$. Also $\mu^{2}$ belongs to $\operatorname{cRO}\left(\mathrm{Z}_{4}\right)$, and

$$
\kappa+1=\zeta c^{-1}\left(\mu^{2}\right) \in K O\left(L^{2 n+1}(4)\right)
$$

is the real line bundle whose first Stiefel-Whitney class generates $H^{1}\left(L^{2 n+1}(4)\right.$; $\left.Z_{2}\right)=Z_{2}$.

Proof. The first half of the lemma is proved by Lemma 3.11 and [1, Appendix, (3)].

Since $\mu^{2}(g)=-1$ by Lemma 3.11, we have $\mu^{2} \in c R O\left(Z_{4}\right)$, and $\kappa+1$ is the real line bundle over $L^{2 n+1}(4)$. Also, the first Chern class of $c(\kappa+1)=\zeta\left(\mu^{2}\right)=$ $(\sigma+1)^{2}$ is equal to $2 c_{1}(\sigma+1)$, which is not zero. Therefore, $\kappa+1$ is non-trivial.
q.e.d.

Let $i: Z_{4} \subset H_{m}$ and $i^{\prime}: Z_{4} \subset H_{m}$ be the inclusions defined by $i(g)=x^{2 m-2}$ and $i^{\prime}(g)=y$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho: L^{2 n+1}(4) \longrightarrow N^{n}(m), \quad \rho^{\prime}: L^{2 n+1}(4) \longrightarrow N^{n}(m) \tag{3.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

by the natural projections induced from $i, i^{\prime}$.
Lemma 3.14. For the induced homomorphisms $\rho^{\prime}$ and $\rho^{\prime \prime}$ of (3.13), and the elements $\alpha_{0}, \beta_{0}, \delta_{0}, x_{0}$ of (2.3) and (2.6), we have

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\rho^{\prime} \alpha_{0}=0=\rho^{\prime \prime} \beta_{0}, & \rho^{\prime} \beta_{0}=\kappa=\rho^{\prime \prime} \alpha_{0} \\
\rho^{\prime} \delta_{0}=2 r \sigma=\rho^{\prime \prime} \delta_{0}, & \rho^{\prime} x_{0}=(r \sigma)^{2}=\rho^{\prime \prime} x_{0}
\end{array}
$$

Proof. We prove the equalities for $\rho^{\prime \prime}$. Consider the commutative diagram


We notice that the following equalities hold by [3, Prop. 3.9, Lemma 4.8]:

$$
\begin{equation*}
i^{\prime} \chi_{1}=\mu^{2}, \quad i^{\prime} \chi_{2}=1, \quad \rho^{\prime!} \delta=\sigma^{2} /(1+\sigma), \quad i^{\prime} \chi_{4}=\mu+t \mu, \tag{*}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $t$ is the conjugation. Then, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \rho^{\prime \prime} \alpha_{0}=\rho^{\prime}!\xi c^{-1}\left(\chi_{1}-1\right)=\zeta c^{-1} i^{\prime}\left(\chi_{1}-1\right)=\zeta c^{-1}\left(\mu^{2}-1\right)=\kappa, \\
& \rho^{\prime \prime} \beta_{0}=\zeta c^{-1} i^{\prime}\left(\chi_{2}-1\right)=0,
\end{aligned}
$$

by Lemmas 3.10 and 3.12. Also,

$$
\rho^{\prime} \delta_{0}=\rho^{\prime} r \delta=r \rho^{\prime} \delta=r\left(\sigma^{2} /(1+\sigma)\right)=r(\sigma+t \sigma)=r c r \sigma=2 r \sigma,
$$

by (2.6), the third equality of (*) and the fact that $t \sigma=-\sigma /(1+\sigma)$. Finally, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\rho^{\prime \prime} x_{0} & =\rho^{\prime} \xi c^{-1}\left(\left(\chi_{4}-2\right)^{2}\right)=\zeta c^{-1} i^{\prime}\left(\left(\chi_{4}-2\right)^{2}\right)=\zeta c^{-1}\left((\mu+t \mu-2)^{2}\right) \\
& =\zeta c^{-1}\left(\left(c r(\mu-1)^{2}\right)=\zeta\left((r(\mu-1))^{2}\right)=(r \zeta(\mu-1))^{2}=(r \sigma)^{2},\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

by Lemmas 3.10, 3.12 and the last euqality of (*).
We notice that the equalities

$$
i \chi_{1}=1, \quad i \chi_{2}=\mu^{2}, \quad \rho^{\prime} \delta=\sigma^{2} /(1+\sigma), \quad i \chi_{4}=\mu+t \mu
$$

which are similar to (*), can be proved in the same way as [3, Prop. 3.9, Lemma 4.7], using the inclusions

$$
Z_{4} \subset H_{2} \subset H_{m} .
$$

Therefore, the desired equalities for $\rho^{1}$ can be proved in the same way as above.
q.e.d.

## §4. Proof of Theorem 1.1

Let $N^{k}$ be the $k$-skeleton of the $C W$-complex $N^{n}(m)$ in $\S 2$, and $i: N^{k} \rightarrow N^{n}(m)$ be the inclusion. For an element $a \in \widetilde{K O}\left(N^{n}(m)\right)$, we denote its image $i^{\prime} a \in$ $\widetilde{K O}\left(N^{k}\right)$ by the same letter $a$. Therefore, we have the elements

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha_{0}, \beta_{0}, \delta_{0}, x_{0} \in \widetilde{K O}\left(N^{k}\right) \quad \text { for any } \quad k \geqq 0, \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

from those of (2.3) and (2.6).
Lemma 4.2. $\quad \alpha_{0}^{i} \beta_{0}^{j} \delta_{0}^{k} x_{0}^{l}=0$ in $\widetilde{K O}\left(N^{i+j+4 k+8 l-1}\right)$.
Proof. $\alpha_{0}$ and $\beta_{0}$ are zero in $\widetilde{K O}\left(N^{0}\right)=0$, and $\delta_{0}$ and $x_{0}$ are zero in $\widetilde{K O}\left(N^{3}\right)$
$=\widetilde{K O}\left(N^{0}(m)\right)$ and $\widetilde{K O}\left(N^{7}\right)=\widetilde{K O}\left(N^{1}(m)\right)$ respectively, by (2.4). Therefore, the desired results follow from the obvious fact that $a b$ is zero in $\widetilde{K O}\left(N^{p+q-1}\right)$ if $a$ is zero in $\widetilde{K O}\left(N^{p-1}\right)$ and $b$ is zero in $\widetilde{K O}\left(N^{q-1}\right)$.
q.e.d.

Lemma 4.3. If the ring $\widetilde{K O}\left(N^{4 n+2}\right)$ is generated by $\alpha_{0}, \beta_{0}, \delta_{0}$ and $x_{0}$, then $i^{\prime}: \widetilde{K O}\left(N^{4 n+3}\right) \rightarrow \widetilde{K O}\left(N^{4 n+2}\right)$ is an isomorphism.

Proof. Consider the Puppe sequence

$$
0 \longrightarrow \widetilde{K O}\left(N^{4 n+3}\right) \xrightarrow{i!} \widetilde{K O}\left(N^{4 n+2}\right)
$$

Since the elements $\alpha_{0}, \beta_{0}, \delta_{0}$ and $x_{0}$ in $\widetilde{K O}\left(N^{4 n+2}\right)$ are the $i^{1}$-images of those in $\widetilde{K O}\left(N^{4 n+3}\right)$, we have the lemma.
q.e.d.

Lemma 4.4. $i^{\prime}: \widetilde{K O}\left(N^{8 n+6}\right) \rightarrow \widetilde{K O}\left(N^{8 n+5}\right)$ is an isomorphism.
Proof. By the Puppe seuqence, the lemma follows immediately. q.e.d.
Lemma 4.5. If the ring $\widetilde{K O}\left(N^{8 n+1}\right)$ is generated by $\alpha_{0}, \beta_{0}, \delta_{0}$ and $x_{0}$, then the ring $\widetilde{K O}\left(N^{8 n+2}\right)$ is so.

Proof. Consider the commutative diagram


In the lower sequence, $\operatorname{ker} i^{\prime}=\operatorname{Im} p^{\prime}=Z_{2} \oplus Z_{2}$ is generated by $\alpha \delta^{2 n}$ and $\beta \delta^{2 n}$ (cf. [3, p 263]). Since $r$ in the left is an epimorphism, Ker $i^{1}=\operatorname{Im} p^{1}$ is generated by $r\left(\alpha \delta^{2 n}\right)$ and $r\left(\beta \delta^{2 n}\right)$ in the upper exact sequence. Since $c\left(\alpha_{0} x_{0}^{n}\right)=\alpha \delta^{2 n}$ by Lemma 2.7, we have $r\left(\alpha \delta^{2 n}\right)=r c\left(\alpha_{0} x_{0}^{n}\right)=2 \alpha_{0} x_{0}^{n}$, and also $r\left(\beta \delta^{2 n}\right)=2 \beta_{0} x_{0}^{n}$. These imply the desired result.
q.e.d.

Lemma 4.6. If the ring $\widetilde{K O}\left(N^{8 n+4}\right)$ is generated by $\alpha_{0}, \beta_{0}, \delta_{0}$ and $x_{0}$, then $i^{1}: \widetilde{\mathrm{KO}_{\mathrm{O}}}\left(N^{8 n+5}\right) \rightarrow \widetilde{\mathrm{KO}}\left(N^{8 n+4}\right)$ is an isomorphism.

Proof. We have the desired result in the same way as Lemma 4.3. q.e.d.
Lemma 4.7. If the ring $\widetilde{K O}\left(N^{8 n}\right)$ is generated by $\alpha_{0}, \beta_{0}, \delta_{0}$ and $x_{0}$, then the ring $\widetilde{K O}\left(N^{8 n+1}\right)$ is also so. In particular, $\widetilde{K O}\left(N^{1}\right)=\widetilde{K O}\left(S^{1} \vee S^{1}\right)=Z_{2} \oplus$ $Z_{2}$ is generated by $\alpha_{0}$ and $\beta_{0}$.

Proof. Consider the commutative diagram


Since $i^{1}\left(\alpha_{0} x_{0}^{n}\right)=i^{1}\left(\beta_{0} x_{0}^{n}\right)=0$ by Lemma 4.2, we have $\alpha_{0} x_{0}^{n}, \beta_{0} x_{0}^{n} \in$ Ker $i^{\prime}=\operatorname{Im} p^{i}$. On the other hand,

$$
\rho^{\prime}\left(\alpha_{0} x_{0}^{n}\right)=0, \quad \rho^{\prime}\left(\beta_{0} x_{0}^{n}\right)=\rho^{\prime!}\left(\alpha_{0} x_{0}^{n}\right)=2^{2 n} \kappa
$$

by Lemma 3.14. Also, $2^{2 n} \kappa$ is not zero in $\widetilde{K O}\left(L^{4 n}(4)\right)$ by [5, Th. B]. Therefore, we have $\alpha_{0} x_{0}^{n} \neq 0, \beta_{0} x_{0}^{n} \neq 0$ and $\alpha_{0} x_{0}^{n} \neq \beta_{0} x_{0}^{n}$. Since $\widetilde{K O}\left(S^{8 n+1} \vee S^{8 n+1}\right)=$ $Z_{2} \oplus Z_{2}$, these imply the desired result.
q.e.d.

Lemma 4.8. If the ring $\widetilde{K O}\left(N^{4 n-1}\right)$ is generated by $\alpha_{0}, \beta_{0}, \delta_{0}$ and $x_{0}$, then the ring $\widetilde{K O}\left(N^{4 n}\right)$ is so.

Proof. We consider the commutative diagram

induced by $\pi=\pi \mid N^{4 n}:\left(N^{4 n}, N^{4 n-1}\right) \rightarrow\left(H P^{n}, H P^{n-1}\right)$, which is a relative homeomorphism. In the lower sequence, Ker $i^{1}=\operatorname{Im} p^{\prime}=Z$ is generated by

$$
x^{k} \quad(\text { if } n=2 k), \quad z_{0} x^{k} \quad(\text { if } n=2 k+1)
$$

by [7, p.145]. Therefore, Ker $i^{\prime}=\operatorname{Im} p^{1}$ in the upper sequence is generated by

$$
\pi^{1}\left(x^{k}\right)=x_{0}^{k} \quad(\text { if } n=2 k), \quad \pi^{1}\left(z_{0} x^{k}\right)=\delta_{0} x_{0}^{k} \quad(\text { if } n=2 k+1) .
$$

These complete the proof.
q.e.d.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Starting from the latter half of Lemma 4.7, we have Theorem 1.1 for $\widetilde{K O}\left(N^{k}\right)$ by the induction on $k$, using Lemmas 4.3-4.8.
q.e.d.

By Theorem 1.1 and Lemma 3.10, we see that the ring homomorphism

$$
\xi: R O\left(H_{m}\right) \longrightarrow K O\left(N^{n}(m)\right)
$$

of (3.9) is an epimorphism.
On the other hand the following theorem is proved by D. Pitt :
Theorem 4.9. [6, Th. 2.5]

$$
\operatorname{Im} \xi \cong \begin{cases}R O\left(H_{m}\right) / c^{-1}\left(\left(\chi_{4}-2\right)^{n+1}\right) R O\left(H_{m}\right) & \text { if } n \text { is odd }, \\ R O\left(H_{m}\right) / c^{-1}\left(\left(\chi_{4}-2\right)^{n+1} c^{\prime} R S p\left(H_{m}\right)\right) & \text { if } n \text { is even },\end{cases}
$$

where $\left(\chi_{4}-2\right)^{n+1} \in c R O\left(H_{m}\right)$ if $n$ is odd, by Lemma 2.4.
Therefore, we have Corollary 1.2 in $\S 1$.

## §5. Proof of Theorem 1.3

In this section, we deal with the special case

$$
N^{n}(2)=S^{4 n+3} / H_{2}
$$

where $H_{2}=\{ \pm 1, \pm i, \pm j, \pm k\}$ is the quaternion group.
Consider the ring homomorphism

$$
\xi: R O\left(H_{2}\right) \longrightarrow K O\left(N^{n}(2)\right)
$$

of (3.9), and set also

$$
\begin{array}{rlrl}
\alpha_{0} & =c^{-1}\left(\chi_{1}-1\right), & \beta_{0}=c^{-1}\left(\chi_{2}-1\right), \\
\delta_{0} & =c^{-1}\left(2 \chi_{4}-4\right), & & x_{0}=c^{-1}\left(\left(\chi_{4}-2\right)^{2}\right) \tag{5.1}
\end{array}
$$

in $\mathrm{RO}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2}\right)$. Then

$$
\xi \alpha_{0}=\alpha_{0}, \quad \xi \beta_{0}=\beta_{0}, \quad \xi \delta_{0}=\delta_{0}, \quad \xi x_{0}=x_{0},
$$

by Lemma 3.10. Furthermore, by Lemmas 3.3 and 3.4, we see easily that
(5.2) $\widetilde{R O}\left(H_{2}\right)$ is the free $Z$-module with bases

$$
1, \alpha_{0}, \quad \beta_{0}, \quad \delta_{0}, \quad x_{0}
$$

and the multiplicative structure is given by

$$
\begin{align*}
& \alpha_{0}^{2}=-2 \alpha_{0}, \quad \beta_{0}^{2}=-2 \beta_{0}, \quad \delta_{0}^{2}=4 x_{0}, \\
& \alpha_{0} \beta_{0}=-2 \alpha_{0}-2 \beta_{0}+x_{0}+2 \delta_{0}, \quad \alpha_{0} \delta_{0}=-4 \alpha_{0},  \tag{5.3}\\
& \beta_{0} \delta_{0}=-4 \beta_{0}, \quad \alpha_{0} x_{0}=4 \alpha_{0}, \quad \beta_{0} x_{0}=4 \beta_{0} .
\end{align*}
$$

By these relations, we have easily

$$
\begin{align*}
& \delta_{0} x_{0}+12 x_{0}+8 \delta_{0}=0  \tag{5.4}\\
& x_{0}^{2}+3 \delta_{0} x_{0}+8 x_{0}=0 \tag{5.5}
\end{align*}
$$

Lemma 5.6. $\alpha_{0} \delta_{0}^{i} x_{0}^{j}=(-1)^{i 2^{2(i+j)}} \alpha_{0}, \quad \beta_{0} \delta_{0}^{i} x_{0}^{j}=(-1)^{i} 2^{2(i+j)} \beta_{0}$.

Proof. These equalities follow from the last four equalities of (5.3).
Lemma 5.7. $\delta_{0}(1) \delta_{0}^{i}=(-1)^{i} \delta_{0}(1) x_{0}^{i}=(-1)^{i} 2^{2 i} \delta_{0}(1)$, where $\delta_{0}(1)=x_{0}+2 \delta_{0}$.
Proof. We see $\delta_{0}(1) \delta_{0}=-\delta_{0}(1) x_{0}=-2^{2} \delta_{0}(1)$ by (5.3), (5.4) and (5.5). These imply the desired results by the induction on $i$.
q.e.d.
(I) The case $n=2 m+1$

By Corollary 1.2 and (5.1), we have

$$
\widetilde{K O}\left(N^{n}(2)\right) \cong \widetilde{\mathrm{RO}}\left(H_{2}\right) / x_{0}^{m+1} R O\left(H_{2}\right)
$$

By (5.2), $\widetilde{\mathrm{RO}}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2}\right)$ is the free $Z$-module with bases

$$
\alpha_{0}, \quad \beta_{0}, \quad \delta_{0}, \quad \delta_{0}(1)+2^{n} \delta_{0}=x_{0}+\left(2+2^{n}\right) \delta_{0}
$$

and the ideal $x_{0}^{m+1} R O\left(H_{2}\right)$ is generated by

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{0}^{m+1}, \quad \alpha_{0} x_{0}^{m+1}, \quad \beta_{0} x_{0}^{m+1}, \quad \delta_{0} x_{0}^{m+1}, x_{0}^{m+2} . \tag{5.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, Theorem 1.3 for $n=2 m+1$ follows immediately from
Lemma 5.9. The elements of (5.8) are linear combinations of

$$
\begin{equation*}
2^{n+1} \alpha_{0}, \quad 2^{n+1} \beta_{0}, \quad 2^{2 n+1} \delta_{0}, \quad 2^{n-1}\left(\delta_{0}(1)+2^{n} \delta_{0}\right) \tag{5.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the elements of (5.10) are also so of (5.8).
We prove this lemma by the following routine calculations.
Lemma 5.11. (i) $\quad 2^{4 i+3} \delta_{0} x_{0}^{m-i} \equiv 0 \quad(0 \leqq i \leqq m)$,
(ii) $\quad 2^{4 i+6} x_{0}^{m-i} \equiv 0$ ( $0 \leqq i \leqq m-1$ ) ,
(iii) $2 \delta_{0} x_{0}^{m} \equiv 2^{4} x_{0}^{m}$,
(iv) $\quad 2^{4 i+4} x_{0}^{m-i}+2^{4 i+5} \delta_{0} x_{0}^{m-i-1} \equiv 0 \quad(0 \leqq i \leqq m-1)$,
(v) $\quad 2^{4 i+5} \delta_{0} x_{0}^{m-i-1}+2^{4 i+8} x_{0}^{m-i-1} \equiv 0 \quad(0 \leqq i \leqq m-2)$,
(vi) $\quad 2^{n-1}\left(\delta_{0}(1)+2^{n} \delta_{0}\right) \equiv 0$,
where $\equiv$ means modulo the ideal generated by $\left\{x_{0}^{m+1}, \delta_{0} x_{0}^{m-1}, x_{0}^{m+2}\right\}$.
Proof. (i), (ii) We have the desired equalities by the induction on $i$, using the equalities (5.4) $\times 2^{4 i} x_{0}^{m-i}$ and (5.4) $\times 2^{4 i+1} \delta_{0} x_{0}^{m-i-1}$.
(iii) The equality follows from (5.5) $\times x_{0}^{m-1}$ and (i).
(iv) By (5.4) and (5.5), we have easily

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{0}^{2}=28 x_{0}+24 \delta_{0}=2^{4} x_{0}+3 \cdot 2^{2} \delta_{0}(1), \tag{5.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

and (iv) is obtained from (5.12) $\times 2^{4 i+2} x_{0}^{m-i-1}$, using (i) and (ii).
(v) The equality follows from (5.12) $\times 2^{4 i+3} \delta_{0} x_{0}^{m-i-2}$, using (i) and (ii).
(vi) By Lemma 5.7 and (iii)-(v), we have
$2^{n-1} \delta_{0}(1)=\delta_{0}(1) x_{0}^{m} \equiv 2 \delta_{0} x_{0}^{m} \equiv 2^{4} x_{0}^{m} \equiv-2^{5} \delta_{0} x_{0}^{m-1} \equiv 2^{8} x_{0}^{m-1} \equiv \cdots \equiv-2^{4 m+1} \delta_{0}$.
q.e.d.

Lemma 5.13. (i) $x_{0}^{m+1}=2^{n-1}\left(2^{n}-1\right)\left(\delta_{0}(1)+2^{n} \delta_{0}\right)-2^{3 n-1} \delta_{0}$,
(ii) $\delta_{0} x_{0}^{m+1}=2^{2 n+1}\left(2^{n+1}+1\right) \delta_{0}-2^{n+1}\left(2^{n+1}-1\right)\left(\delta_{0}(1)+2^{n} \delta_{0}\right)$,
(iii) $x_{0}^{m+2}=2^{n+1}\left(2^{n+2}-1\right)\left(\delta_{0}(1)+2^{n} \delta_{0}\right)-2^{2 n+1}\left(2^{n+2}+3\right) \delta_{0}$.

Proof. (i) From (5.12) $\times 2^{4 i} x_{0}^{m-i-1}$, we have easily

$$
2^{4 i} x_{0}^{m+i-1}=2^{4(i+1)} x_{0}^{m-i}+3 \cdot 2^{n-1+2 i} \delta_{0}(1),
$$

using Lemma 5.7. Therefore, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
x_{0}^{m+1} & =2^{4 m} x_{0}+3 \cdot 2^{n-1}\left(1+2^{2}+2^{4}+\cdots+2^{2(m-1)}\right) \delta_{0}(1), \\
& =2^{2(n-1)} x_{0}+2^{n-1}\left(2^{n-1}-1\right) \delta_{0}(1) \\
& =2^{n-1}\left(2^{n}-1\right)\left(\delta_{0}(1)+2^{n} \delta_{0}\right)-2^{3 n-1} \delta_{0} .
\end{aligned}
$$

(ii), (iii) These are obtained easily from (i) $\times \delta_{0}$ and (i) $\times x_{0}$, using Lemma 5.7, (5.12) and (5.4).
q.e.d.

Proof of Lemma 5.9. By Lemma 5.6,

$$
\alpha_{0} x_{0}^{m+1}=2^{n+1} \alpha_{0}, \quad \beta_{0} x_{0}^{m+1}=2^{n+1} \beta_{0} .
$$

The other elements of (5.8) are linear combinations of those of (5.10) by Lemma 5.13. Conversely, by Lemma 5.11 (i) and (vi), we have

$$
2^{2 n+1} \delta_{0} \equiv 0, \quad 2^{n-1}\left(\delta_{0}(1)+2^{n} \delta_{0}\right) \equiv 0,
$$

modulo the ideal $x_{0}^{m+1} \mathrm{RO}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2}\right)$, as desired.
q.e.d.
(II) The case $n=2 m$

By Corollary 1.2, we have

$$
\widetilde{K O}\left(N^{n}(2)\right) \cong \widetilde{R O}\left(H_{2}\right) / c^{-1}\left(\left(\chi_{4}-2\right)^{2 m+1} c^{\prime} R S p\left(H_{2}\right)\right)
$$

By Lemma 3.5, the ideal $\left(\chi_{4}-2\right)^{2 m+1} c^{\prime} R S p\left(H_{2}\right)$ of $R\left(H_{2}\right)$ is generated by

$$
2\left(\chi_{4}-2\right)^{2 m+1}, \quad 2\left(\chi_{i}-1\right)\left(\chi_{4}-2\right)^{2 m+1}(i=1,2,3), \quad\left(\chi_{4}-2\right)^{2 m+2} .
$$

On the other hand, by Lemma 3.3, we have

$$
2\left(\chi_{3}-1\right)\left(\chi_{4}-2\right)^{2 m+1}=2\left(\left(\chi_{4}-2\right)^{2}+4\left(\chi_{4}-2\right)-\left(\chi_{1}-1\right)-\left(\chi_{2}-1\right)\right)\left(\chi_{4}-2\right)^{2 m+1}
$$

whose $c^{-1}$-image is equal to

$$
\delta_{0} x_{0}^{m+1}+8 x_{0}^{m+1}-\alpha_{0} \delta_{0} x_{0}^{m}-\beta_{0} \delta_{0} x_{0}^{m}=-4 x_{0}^{m+1}-8 \delta_{0} x_{0}^{m}-\alpha_{0} \delta_{0} x_{0}^{m}-\beta_{0} \delta_{0} x_{0}^{m},
$$

by (5.1) and (5.4). Therefore, we see that the ideal $c^{-1}\left(\left(\chi_{4}-2\right)^{2 m+1} c^{\prime} \operatorname{RSp}\left(H_{2}\right)\right)$ of $R O\left(H_{2}\right)$ is generated by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta_{0} x_{0}^{m}, \quad \alpha_{0} \delta_{0} x_{0}^{m}, \quad \beta_{0} \delta_{0} x_{0}^{m}, \quad x_{0}^{m+1} \tag{5.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

by the above facts and (5.1).
Also, $\widetilde{R O}\left(H_{2}\right)$ is the free $Z$-module with bases

$$
\alpha_{0}, \quad \beta_{0}, \quad \delta_{0}, \quad \delta_{0}(1)=x_{0}+2 \delta_{0}
$$

by (5.2). Therefore, Theorem 1.3 for $n=2 m$ follows immediately from
Lemma 5.15. The elements of (5.14) are linear combinations of

$$
\begin{equation*}
2^{n+2} \alpha_{0}, \quad 2^{n+2} \beta_{0}, \quad 2^{2 n} \delta_{0}, \quad 2^{n} \delta_{0}(1) \tag{5.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the elements of (5.16) are also so of (5.14).
By Lemma 5.6, we have

$$
\alpha_{0} \delta_{0} x_{0}^{m}=-2^{n+2} \alpha_{0}, \quad \beta_{0} \delta_{0} x_{0}^{m}=-2^{n+2} \beta_{0}
$$

Therefore, Lemma 5.15 follows immediately from the following
Lemma 5.17. (i) $\delta_{0} x_{0}^{m}=2^{2 n} \delta_{0}-2^{n}\left(2^{n}-1\right) \delta_{0}(1)$,
(ii) $x_{0}^{m+1}=2^{n}\left(2^{n+1}-1\right) \delta_{0}(1)-2^{2 n+1} \delta_{0}$,
(iii) $2^{n} \delta_{0}(1)=x_{0}^{m+1}+2 \delta_{0} x_{0}^{m}$,
(iv) $2^{2 n} \delta_{0}=\left(2^{n}-1\right) x_{0}^{m+1}+\left(2^{n+1}-1\right) \delta_{0} x_{0}^{m}$.

Proof. (i) By (5.4) $\times x_{0}^{m-1}$, we have

$$
-\delta_{0} x_{0}^{m}=12 x_{0}^{m}+8 \delta_{0} x_{0}^{m-1}=8 x_{0}^{m}+4 x_{0}^{m-1} \delta_{0}(1)=8 x_{0}^{m}+2^{n} \delta_{0}(1),
$$

using Lemma 5.7. While, by (5.12) $\times 2^{4 i+3} x_{0}^{m-i-2}$, we have

$$
2^{4 i+3} x_{0}^{m-i}=2^{4(i+1)+3} x_{0}^{m-i-1}+3 \cdot 2^{n+1+2 i} \delta_{0}(1)
$$

Therefore, we have (i), since

$$
\begin{aligned}
8 x_{0}^{m} & =2^{4 m-1} x_{0}+3 \cdot 2^{n+1}\left(1+2^{2}+2^{4}+\cdots+2^{2(m-2)}\right) \delta_{0}(1) \\
& =2^{2 n-1} x_{0}+\left(2^{2 n-1}-2^{n+1}\right) \delta_{0}(1) \\
& =\left(2^{2 n}-2^{n+1}\right) \delta_{0}(1)-2^{2 n} \delta_{0} .
\end{aligned}
$$

(ii) $\mathrm{By}(5.12) \times 2^{4 i} x_{0}^{m-i-1}$, we have

$$
2^{4 i} x_{0}^{m+1-i}=2^{4(i+1)} x_{0}^{m-i}+3 \cdot 2^{n+2 i} \delta_{0}(1)
$$

Therefore, we have (ii), since

$$
\begin{aligned}
x_{0}^{m+1} & =2^{2 n} x_{0}+3 \cdot 2^{n}\left(1+2^{2}+2^{4}+\cdots+2^{2(m-1)}\right) \delta_{0}(1) \\
& =2^{n}\left(2^{n+1}-1\right) \delta_{0}(1)-2^{2 n+1} \delta_{0}
\end{aligned}
$$

(iii) follows immediately by Lemma 5.7, and (iv) follows from (i) and (ii). q.e.d.

These complete the proof of Theorem 1.3.
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