

Non-triviality of an Element in the Stable Homotopy Groups of Spheres

Shichirô OKA and Hiroshi TODA

(Received September 9, 1974)

Statement of results

In the stable homotopy groups G_* of spheres, two non-trivial families of p -primary elements, called α - and β -series, are known [6] (cf. [12]). These are constructed from the attaching classes α and β of the spectra $V(1)$ and $V(2)$ [12], whose cohomology groups are certain exterior algebras over the Steenrod algebra mod p [11]. In a similar way, the existence of the spectrum $V\left(2\frac{1}{2}\right)$ assures to define an element called γ_1 [12; § 5], which is the first element of the third family.

The purpose of this paper is to prove the following result.

MAIN THEOREM. *For every prime $p \geq 5$, the element $\gamma_1 \in G_{(p^2-1)q-3}$, $q = 2(p-1)$, is non-trivial.*

The result is an answer to a problem proposed by one of the authors [12; p. 237], and P. E. Thomas and R. Zahler [7] [13] also have obtained the same result in a quite different method. Our result states that γ_1 is a non-zero multiple of the element $\alpha_1\beta_{p-1}$ [12; (5.12)]. Also, one of the authors recently has proved more strict relation $\gamma_1 = \alpha_1\beta_{p-1}$.

Originally, this paper was intended to prove $\gamma_1 = 0$ (cf. [4; II, Remark in p. 147], [7; § 0]), but the publication has been postponed by a contradiction to the result of P. E. Thomas and R. Zahler. We have re-examined our original proof, and after crucial investigations we have concluded the opposite result.

COROLLARY 1 ($p \geq 5$). *The following relations hold in G_* :*

$$\alpha_1\beta_{p-1}\beta_s = 0 \quad \text{for } s \geq 3,$$

and hence

$$\alpha_1\beta_1\beta_k = \alpha_1\beta_2\beta_{k-1} = 0 \quad \text{for } k \not\equiv -2 \pmod{p} \text{ and } k \geq p+1,$$

$$\alpha_1\beta_1^2\beta_k = \alpha_1\beta_1\beta_2\beta_{k-1} = 0 \quad \text{for } k \geq p+1.$$

This is an easy restatement of Proposition 5.9 of [12]. Also, by Corollary 5.7, Theorem 5.1 and (5.4) of [12], we obtain the parallel relations in the algebra

$\mathcal{A}_*(M)$ [5][12] of the Moore space mod p .

COROLLARY 2 ($p \geq 5$). *The following relations hold in $\mathcal{A}_*(M)$:*

$$\alpha \delta \beta_{(p-1)} \delta \beta_{(s)} = 0 \quad \text{for } s \geq 3,$$

and hence

$$\alpha \delta \beta_{(1)} \delta \beta_{(k)} = \alpha \delta \beta_{(2)} \delta \beta_{(k-1)} = 0 \quad \text{for } k \not\equiv -2 \pmod{p} \text{ and } k \geq p+1,$$

$$\alpha (\delta \beta_{(1)})^2 \delta \beta_{(k)} = \alpha \delta \beta_{(1)} \delta \beta_{(2)} \delta \beta_{(k-1)} = 0 \quad \text{for } k \geq p+1.$$

§1. A secondary composition

Throughout this paper, p denotes a prime integer with $p \geq 5$, and set $q = 2(p-1)$. n denotes a sufficiently large integer so that all spaces and maps considered are in the stable range.

For finite CW -complexes (spectra) X and Y , $[X, Y]$ denotes the set of homotopy classes of maps: $X \rightarrow Y$, and $\pi_k^s(X; Y)$ the limit group $\lim_n [\Sigma^{n+k} X, \Sigma^n Y]$ of stable classes of maps, where Σ^t denotes the t -fold suspension. Also denote by $\mathcal{A}_k(X)$ the group $\pi_k^s(X; X)$. The direct sum $\mathcal{A}_*(X) = \sum_k \mathcal{A}_k(X)$ forms naturally a graded ring, and in particular $G_* = \mathcal{A}_*(S^0)$ is the stable homotopy ring of spheres. A map and its stable class are written by the same letter.

There exist the following sequences of cofiberings of the spectra $V(0)$, $V(1)$ and $V(2)$ [12; p. 217]:

$$\begin{aligned} S^n &\xrightarrow{p} S^n \xrightarrow{i} M^{n+1} \xrightarrow{\pi} S^{n+1}, \\ M^{n+q} &\xrightarrow{\alpha} M^n \xrightarrow{i_1} V(1)_{n-1} \xrightarrow{\pi_1} M^{n+q+1}, \\ \Sigma^{(p+1)q} V(1)_n &\xrightarrow{\beta} V(1)_n \longrightarrow V(2)_n \longrightarrow \Sigma^{(p+1)q+1} V(1)_n. \end{aligned}$$

Here the Moore space

$$M^n = S^{n-1} \cup_p e^n$$

is the $(n-1)$ -th component of the spectrum $V(0)$, and $V(k)_n$ denotes the n -th component of the spectrum $V(k)$.

In this paper, the notations and the results of the rings G_* , $\mathcal{A}_*(V(0)) (= \mathcal{A}_*(M^n))$ and $\mathcal{A}_*(V(1))$ are referred to [12] (cf. [4], [5], [8]). In particular, the families $\{\alpha_r\}$ and $\{\beta_r\}$ in G_* and $\{\beta_{(r)}\}$ in $\mathcal{A}_*(V(0))$ are defined from the elements α and β by

$$\alpha_r = \pi \alpha^r i, \quad \beta_{(r)} = \pi_1 \beta^r i_1, \quad \beta_r = \pi \beta_{(r)} i.$$

Also our element γ_1 is defined by

$$\gamma_1 = \pi\gamma_{(1)}i, \quad \gamma_{(1)} = \pi_1\gamma_{[1]}i_1,$$

where $\gamma_{[1]} \in \mathcal{A}_{p^2q-1}(V(1))$ is the element defined from the attaching class of $V\left(2\frac{1}{2}\right)$, and the following formula is Theorem 5.5 of [12].

$$(1.1) \quad \gamma_{(1)} = x((\beta_{(1)}\delta)^p + (\delta\beta_{(1)})^p) + y\beta_{(p-1)}\delta\alpha$$

for some integers $x \not\equiv 0 \pmod p$ and y .

Here we put

$$\delta = i\pi \in \mathcal{A}_{-1}(V(0)).$$

Now we consider the secondary composition

$$C = \{\pi\beta_{(1)}, \alpha i, \beta_1^p\} \subset G_{(p^2+p)q-3}.$$

From the results on G_* and $\mathcal{A}_*(V(0))$, we see that C is well defined and consists of a single element. Hence we have

$$C = \{\pi\beta_{(1)}, \alpha, i\beta_1^p\}$$

by the formula in [9; Prop. 1.2].

PROPOSITION 1.2.*) *The element γ_1 is non-trivial if and only if*

$$\{\pi\beta_{(1)}, \alpha i, \beta_1^p\} \neq 0 \pmod{\text{zero}}.$$

PROOF. For x in (1.1), choose an integer x' such that $xx' \equiv 1 \pmod p$, and put

$$\lambda = \pi_0\beta \quad \text{and} \quad \mu = x'(\gamma_{[1]} + y\beta^{p-1}\alpha')i_0,$$

where $\pi_0 = \pi\pi_1$, $i_0 = i_1i$ and $\alpha' = \alpha_1 \wedge 1_{V(1)}$ [12; pp. 218–219]. Then, $\lambda i_1 = \pi\beta_{(1)}$ and $\pi_1\mu = x'(\gamma_{(1)} - y\beta_{(p-1)}\delta\alpha)i = (\delta\beta_{(1)})^pi = i\beta_1^p$, since $\alpha'i_1 = -i_1\delta\alpha$ [12; (3.11)]. Therefore $C = \lambda\mu$ by the definition of C [9; p. 9]. By (5.11) of [12], the element $\gamma_{[1]}$ satisfies $\beta\gamma_{[1]} = 0$, and so

$$C = \lambda\mu = x'y\pi_0\beta^p\alpha'i_0 = x'y\beta_p\alpha_1.$$

The element $\beta_p\alpha_1$ is non-trivial by Theorem A of [4; II] and by the fact $\beta_p \neq 0$ of L. Smith [6]. Hence, $C \neq 0$ if and only if $y \not\equiv 0 \pmod p$, which is equivalent to $\gamma_1 \neq 0$ by (5.12) of [12]. Q. E. D.

§2. Extended powers of complexes

For a space X and a map f , we denote by $X^{(t)}$ and $f^{(t)}$ the t -times smash

*) The foot-note on p. 147 of [4; II] is incomplete. The tertiary composition $\{\beta_1, p\alpha, \alpha_1, \beta_1^p\}$ has full indeterminacy, so this should be replaced by $\{\pi\beta_{(1)}, \alpha i, \beta_1^p\}$ above.

products $X \wedge \cdots \wedge X$ and $f \wedge \cdots \wedge f$. Let $\varphi_M: M^{m+n} \rightarrow M^m \wedge M^n$ be the map such that $(\pi \wedge 1_M)\varphi_M = (1_M \wedge \pi)\varphi_M = 1_M$ [12; Lemma 1.3]. We define

$$\varphi_M^t: M^{(t+1)n} \longrightarrow (M^n)^{(t+1)}$$

by $\varphi_M^1 = \varphi_M$ and $\varphi_M^t = (\varphi_M^{t-1} \wedge 1_M)\varphi_M$. Consider the operation $\theta: \mathcal{A}_k(M^n) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{k+1}(M^n)$ of [12].

PROPOSITION 2.1. *For any element $\xi \in \mathcal{A}_*(M^n)$ satisfying $\theta(\xi) = 0$, the relations*

$$(\pi\xi)^{(t)}\varphi_M^{t-1} = \pi\xi^t$$

hold.

PROOF. If $\theta(\xi) = 0$, then $(1_M \wedge \pi\xi)\varphi_M = \xi$ by [12; Th. 2.2, Lemma 1.3]. So we have inductively

$$\begin{aligned} (\pi\xi)^{(t+1)}\varphi_M^t &= (\pi\xi)^{(t)}(1_{M^{(t)}} \wedge \pi\xi)(\varphi_M^{t-1} \wedge 1_M)\varphi_M \\ &= (\pi\xi)^{(t)}\varphi_M^{t-1}(1_M \wedge \pi\xi)\varphi_M = \pi\xi^{t+1}. \end{aligned}$$

Q. E. D.

We consider the extended p -th power functor $ep^r(\)$ in [10]. In particular, ep^0 is the p -times smash product. Since the element $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}_q(M^n)$ lies in $\text{Ker } \theta$, we have

$$(2.2) \quad ep^0(\pi\alpha)\varphi_M^{p-1} = \pi\alpha^p.$$

The (mod p) cell decomposition for $ep^r(S^n)$ is studied in [10; Lemmas 1–2]. For $r = q - 1, q + 1$, we have

LEMMA 2.3. *$ep^{q-1}(S^n)$ has a mod p summand $S^{np} \vee S^{np+q-1}$. If $n \equiv 0 \pmod p$, so is $ep^{q+1}(S^n)$.*

Here we say that X has a mod p summand Y if X is p -equivalent to a wedge $Y \vee Z$ for some Z .

Next we consider the complex $ep^r(M^n)$. Let $a \in H_{n-1}(M^n; Z_p)$ and $b \in H_n(M^n; Z_p)$ be the generators corresponding to the cells of M^n . Then a Z_p -basis for $\tilde{H}_*(ep^r(M^n); Z_p)$ is given by the following cycles [2; pp. 45–47] [10]:

$$(2.4) \quad \begin{aligned} \text{(i)} \quad & e_i \otimes_{\pi} a^p, e_i \otimes_{\pi} b^p \quad \text{for } 0 \leq i \leq r, \\ \text{(ii)} \quad & e_0 \otimes_{\pi} (x_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes x_p), \\ \text{(iii)} \quad & \partial(e_{r+1} \otimes_{\pi} (x_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes x_p)), \end{aligned}$$

where $\pi = Z_p$, $x^p = x \otimes \dots \otimes x$ (p -times), $x_j = a$ or b , $x_j \neq x_k$ for some j, k , and in (ii) and (iii) for odd r (resp. (iii) for even r), (x_1, \dots, x_p) runs representatives of the classes obtained by the cyclic permutations; one representative (resp. $p-1$ representatives) being chosen from each class.

We consider the operation $P_*^1: H_i \rightarrow H_{i-q}$, the dual to the reduced power P^1 , on $H_*(ep^r(M^n); Z_p)$. By using [10; Th. 1] (cf. [3]), we can calculate P_*^1 on (2.4)(i). For example, we have

$$P_*^1\{e_i \otimes_\pi a^p\} = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{for } i < q, \\ -(n-1)/2\{e_0 \otimes_\pi a^p\} & \text{for } i = q, \end{cases}$$

$$P_*^1\{e_i \otimes_\pi b^p\} = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{for even } i < q, \\ \mu\{e_{i-p+2} \otimes_\pi a^p\}, \mu \not\equiv 0 \pmod p, & \text{for odd } i < q, \\ -n/2\{e_0 \otimes_\pi b^p\} & \text{for } i = q. \end{cases}$$

By dimensional reason, P_*^1 on (2.4)(ii) is trivial. Since the elements (2.4)(iii) vanish in $H_*(ep^{r+1}(M^n); Z_p)$, it follows from the naturality of P_*^1 that P_*^1 on (2.4)(iii) is also trivial.

For the homology Bockstein operation Δ , the following relations are verified, up to sign ([10], [1; § 5]):

$$\Delta\{e_i \otimes_\pi a^p\} = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{for odd } i \text{ and for } i = 0, \\ \{e_{i-1} \otimes_\pi a^p\} & \text{for even } i > 0, \end{cases}$$

$$\Delta\{e_i \otimes_\pi b^p\} = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{for odd } i < r \text{ and for } i = 0, \\ \{e_{i-1} \otimes_\pi b^p\} & \text{for even positive } i < r, \end{cases}$$

$$\Delta\{e_r \otimes_\pi b^p\} = \{\partial(e_{r+1} \otimes_\pi (ab^{p-1}))\} \quad \text{for odd } r,$$

$$\Delta_2\{e_0 \otimes_\pi b^p\} = \{e_0 \otimes_\pi (ab^{p-1})\},$$

where $\Delta_2: \text{Ker } \Delta \rightarrow \text{Coker } \Delta$ is the secondary Bockstein operation.

We use the following notations of complexes:

$$(2.5) \quad N^n = S^{n-1} \cup_{p_2} e^n,$$

$$L'_n = M^{np-1} \cup_{\alpha\delta} CM^{np+p-2}, \quad L_n = M^{np-1} \cup_{\alpha i} e^{np+q-1},$$

$$P_n = (N^{np} \vee M^{np-1}) \cup_{(n\lambda\alpha\delta, \alpha)} CM^{np+q-1}$$

$$= (N^{np} \vee L_n) \cup_{(n\lambda\alpha i, \tilde{p})} e^{np+q},$$

where $\lambda: M^n \rightarrow N^n$ is the map of degree 1 on the top cells [5; §§ 2-3], and \tilde{p} :

$S^{np+q-1} \rightarrow L_n$ is the coextension of p .

From the above discussion of the operations Δ, Δ_2 and $P_{\#}^1$ on $ep^r(M^n)$, we obtain the following three lemmas.

LEMMA 2.6. $ep^{q-1}(M^n)$ has a mod p summand $N^{np} \vee L'_n$.

In fact, the summands N^{np} and L'_n are obtained from the elements $e_0 \otimes_{\pi} ab^{p-1}, e_0 \otimes_{\pi} b^p$ and $e_{p-2} \otimes_{\pi} a^p, e_{p-1} \otimes_{\pi} a^p, \partial(e_q \otimes_{\pi} ab^{p-1}), e_{q-1} \otimes_{\pi} b^p$, respectively.

LEMMA 2.7. $ep^{q-1}(M^n)$ is p -equivalent to a wedge

$$L'_n \vee X_n \vee Y_n,$$

where $X_n = M^{np-3} \cup_{\alpha} CM^{np+q-3}$, and Y_n is $(np-p-1)$ -connected and of dimension $np+q-3$.

In fact, X_n is obtained from the elements $e_{p-4} \otimes_{\pi} a^p, e_{p-3} \otimes_{\pi} a^p, e_{q-3} \otimes_{\pi} b^p$ and $e_{q-2} \otimes_{\pi} b^p$, and the complementary summand Y_n has the bottom cell corresponding to $e_0 \otimes a^p$ and the top cells corresponding to the elements (2.4) (iii) with $x_i = x_j = a, x_k = b$ ($k \neq i, j$) for some $i \neq j$.

LEMMA 2.8. $ep^{q+1}(M^n)$ has a mod p summand P_n . The inclusion $ep^{q-1}(M^n) \subset ep^{q+1}(M^n)$ is identical on N^{np} and is the following composition on L'_n :

$$L'_n \xrightarrow{h} L_n \subset P_n,$$

where h is the map smashing the subcomplex S^{np+q-2} of L'_n to the base point (vertex).

In fact P_n is obtained from $N^{np} \vee M^{np-1}$ by removing $\partial(e_q \otimes_{\pi} ab^{p-1})$ and adding $e_q \otimes_{\pi} b^p$.

Now we notice that the complex $ep^0(M^n) = (M^n)^{(p)}$ has a mod p summand M^{np} and the map φ_M^{p-1} in (2.2) is the inclusion to this summand. Furthermore, by considering the induced homomorphism of the inclusion $ep^0(M^n) \subset ep^r(M^n)$, we see that the following diagram is commutative for $r = q-1, q+1$:

$$(2.9) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} M^{np} & \xrightarrow{\lambda} & N^{np} \\ \downarrow \varphi_M^{p-1} & & \downarrow j \\ ep^0(M^n) & \xrightarrow{k} & ep^r(M^n), \end{array}$$

where j and k are the inclusions.

For the complexes L'_m and L_m of (2.5), we have the following commutative diagram of the cofiberings:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 & & S^{mp+q-2} = S^{mp+q-2} & & \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow i \\
 M^{mp-1} & \longrightarrow & L'_m & \longrightarrow & M^{mp+q-1} \\
 \parallel & & \downarrow h & & \downarrow \pi \\
 M^{mp-1} & \xrightarrow{i_L} & L_m & \xrightarrow{q_L} & S^{mp+q-1}
 \end{array}$$

Applying $[\quad, S^{np}]$ to this diagram, we obtain the following lemma, from the known results on G_* and $\mathcal{A}_*(M^n)$ ([4], [5], [8], [12]).

LEMMA 2.10. *Let $m = n + q$. Then*

$$h^* : [L_m, S^{np}] \longrightarrow [L'_m, S^{np}],$$

$$i_L^* : [L_m, S^{np}] \longrightarrow [M^{mp-1}, S^{np}]$$

are isomorphisms of the p -components, and the p -component of the group $[L_m, S^{np}]$ is isomorphic to $Z_p + Z_p$, generated by ζ and η satisfying $i_L^* \zeta = \pi \beta_{(1)}$ and $i_L^* \eta = \pi \alpha^{p-1} \delta \alpha$.

Also we obtain

LEMMA 2.11. *Let $l = m + pq - 2$. Then the p -primary part of $\pi_{lp+q-1}(L_m)$ is isomorphic to Z_p generated by ζ satisfying $q_{L*} \zeta = \beta_1^p$.*

Now we consider the map $ep^{q-1}(\pi\alpha)$. Set $m = n + q$ and

$$\phi' = r \circ ep^{q-1}(\pi\alpha) \circ j' : L'_m \longrightarrow ep^{q-1}(M^m) \longrightarrow ep^{q-1}(S^n) \longrightarrow S^{np},$$

where r and j' are the retraction and the inclusion obtained from Lemma 2.3 and Lemma 2.6 respectively. By Lemma 2.10, there exists

$$(2.12) \quad \phi : L_m \longrightarrow S^{np}$$

such that $\phi = \phi' h$ and we can put

$$\phi = a\zeta + b\eta, \quad a, b \in Z_p.$$

LEMMA 2.13. *The coefficient a is ± 1 .*

PROOF. The lemma means that the restriction $\phi|_{S^{mp-2}} = \phi'|_{S^{mp-2}}$ represents $\pm \beta_1$. This is proved quite similarly as [10; Lemma 4] by calculating the functional P^p -operation for $ep^{q-1}(\pi\alpha)$. Q. E. D.

For the complex N^n of (2.5), let

$$S^{n-1} \xrightarrow{i'} N^n \xrightarrow{\pi'} S^n$$

be the cofiber. N^n is a Moore space mod p^2 , and hence by [5; §4, §7], the group $\mathcal{A}_{pq}(N^n)$ is generated by an element α' of order p^2 satisfying $\alpha'\lambda = \lambda\alpha^p$ and $\rho\alpha' = \alpha^p\rho$, where $\lambda: M^n \rightarrow N^n$ and $\rho: N^n \rightarrow M^n$ satisfy $\pi'\lambda = \pi$, $\lambda i = pi'$, $\rho i' = i$ and $\pi\rho = p\pi'$. The element $\alpha'_p = \pi'\alpha' i'$ generates the p -component of G_{pq-1} and satisfies $p\alpha'_p = \alpha_p$, and α' is determined up to $p\alpha'$.

LEMMA 2.14. *Let $m = n + q$. For $t = q - 1, q + 1$, the composition*

$$N^{mp} \xrightarrow{j} ep^t(M^m) \xrightarrow{ep^t(\pi\alpha)} ep^t(S^n) \xrightarrow{r} S^{np}$$

(j is the inclusion and r is the retraction) represents $\pi'\alpha'$ for some choice of α' .

PROOF. Let $k: ep^0(M^m) \rightarrow ep^t(M^m)$ be the inclusion. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} r \circ ep^t(\pi\alpha) \circ j \circ \lambda &= r \circ ep^t(\pi\alpha) \circ k \circ \phi_M^{p-1} && \text{by (2.9)} \\ &= ep^0(\pi\alpha) \circ \phi_M^{p-1} \\ &= \pi\alpha^p && \text{by (2.2)} \\ &= \pi'\alpha'\lambda. \end{aligned}$$

The sequence

$$[M^{mp}, S^{np}] \xrightarrow{\rho^*} [N^{mp}, S^{np}] \xrightarrow{\lambda^*} [M^{mp}, S^{np}]$$

is exact since $M^{mp} \rightarrow N^{mp} \rightarrow M^{mp}$ is the cofiber. The groups $[M^{mp}, S^{np}]$ and $[N^{mp}, S^{np}]$ are generated by $\pi\alpha^p$ and $\pi'\alpha'$, and $\rho^*(\pi\alpha^p) = p\pi'\alpha'$. So, replacing α' we obtain the lemma. Q. E. D.

PROPOSITION 2.15. *Let $m = n + q$ and assume that $n \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$. Then we have $a = \pm 1$ and $b = -2$ in the equality $\phi = a\xi + b\eta$.*

PROOF. By Lemma 2.13, we only prove $b = -2$. By Lemma 2.10, $\phi|M^{mp-1} = \phi'|M^{mp-1}$ represents $\phi'' = a\pi\beta_{(1)} + b\pi\alpha^{p-1}\delta\alpha$, and the composition

$$N^{mp} \vee M^{mp-1} \longrightarrow ep^{q-1}(M^m) \xrightarrow{ep^{q-1}(\pi\alpha)} ep^{q-1}(S^n) \longrightarrow S^{np}$$

represents $\pi'\alpha' \vee \phi''$ by Lemma 2.14. $N^{mp} \vee M^{mp-1}$ is the subcomplex of P_m in (2.5), which is the mapping cone of $(m\lambda\alpha\delta, \alpha)$. By Lemma 2.8, $ep^{q+1}(M^m)$ has a summand P_m , and by Lemma 2.3, $ep^{q+1}(S^n)$ has a summand S^{np} if $n \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$. Let $\bar{\phi}: P_m \rightarrow S^{np}$ be the component of $ep^{q+1}(\pi\alpha)$ with respect to these summands. By Lemma 2.14, the element $\pi'\alpha' \vee \phi'$ is the component of $ep^{q-1}(\pi\alpha)$, and so we have the commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 N^{mp} \vee L'_m & \xrightarrow{1 \vee h} & N^{mp} \vee L_m \\
 \downarrow \pi' \alpha' \vee \phi' & & \downarrow \\
 S^{np} & \xleftarrow{\bar{\phi}} & P_m
 \end{array}$$

by Lemma 2.8, where the right vertical arrow is the inclusion. Since $\pi' \alpha' \vee \phi' = (1 \vee h)^*(\pi' \alpha' \vee \phi)$ and $(1 \vee h)^*$ is isomorphic by Lemma 2.10, we see that the element $\pi' \alpha' \vee \phi$ has an extension $\bar{\phi}$. Therefore $\pi' \alpha' \vee \phi'$ is extensible to P_m if $n \equiv 0 \pmod p$, and so

$$\begin{aligned}
 0 &= (\pi' \alpha' \vee \phi'')(-2\lambda\alpha\delta, \alpha) = -2\pi' \alpha' \lambda\alpha\delta + (a\pi\beta_{(1)} + b\pi\alpha^{p-1}\delta\alpha)\alpha \\
 &= -(2+b)\pi\alpha^{p+1}\delta.
 \end{aligned}$$

Since $\pi\alpha^{p+1}\delta \neq 0$, we obtain $b = -2$ as desired. Q. E. D.

Finally we consider $ep^{q-1}(\beta_{(1)}i)$. Set $l = m + pq - 2$ (m : large), and put

$$\begin{aligned}
 \psi' &= r' \circ ep^{q-1}(\beta_{(1)}i) \circ j: S^{l+p+q-1} \rightarrow ep^{q-1}(S^l) \rightarrow ep^{q-1}(M^m) \rightarrow L'_m, \\
 (2.16) \quad \psi &= h\psi': S^{l+p+q-1} \longrightarrow L'_m \longrightarrow L_m.
 \end{aligned}$$

PROPOSITION 2.17. *The element ψ represents $\pm\zeta$.*

PROOF. By Lemma 2.11, ψ is a multiple of ζ . We see easily that $q_L\psi$ is the component of $ep^{q-1}(\pi)ep^{q-1}(\beta_{(1)}i) = ep^{q-1}(\beta_1)$ between the top cells. Hence $q_L\psi$ is a suspension of $ep^0(\beta_1) = (\beta_1)^{(p)}$, which is equal to β_1^p up to sign (cf. [9: Prop. 3.1]). Thus, $\psi = \pm\zeta$ by Lemma 2.11. Q. E. D.

§3. Proof of the main theorem

Henceforward, we put

$$m = n + q, \quad l = m + pq - 2.$$

These integers are large so that one can work in the stable range. Since $(\pi\alpha)(\beta_{(1)}i) = 0: S^l \rightarrow M^m \rightarrow S^n$, we have

$$FG = 0: S^{l+p+q-1} \longrightarrow ep^{q-1}(M^m) \longrightarrow S^{np},$$

where

$$F = r \circ ep^{q-1}(\pi\alpha), \quad G = ep^{q-1}(\beta_{(1)}i) \circ j,$$

for the retraction $r: ep^{q-1}(S^n) \rightarrow S^{np}$ and the inclusion $j: S^{l+p+q-1} \rightarrow ep^{q-1}(S^l)$.

LEMMA 3.1. *For the elements ϕ of (2.12) and ψ of (2.16), their composition*

$$\phi\psi: S^{lp+q-1} \longrightarrow L_m \longrightarrow S^{np}$$

is trivial.

PROOF. By using the decomposition in Lemma 2.7, we can write $F = \phi' + F_1 + F_2$ and $G = \psi' + G_1 + G_2$, where $F_1 \in [X_m, S^{np}]$, $F_2 \in [Y_m, S^{np}]$, $G_1 \in \pi_{lp+q-1}(X_m)$ and $G_2 \in \pi_{lp+q-1}(Y_m)$, and we have

$$\phi'\psi' + F_1G_1 + F_2G_2 = FG = 0.$$

From the results on $\pi_*(M^n)$, we have $\pi_{lp+q-1}(X_m) = 0$ and so $G_1 = 0$. Since the p -component of G_k is trivial for $pq - p \leq k \leq pq - 3$ and for $(p^2 - 1)q \leq k \leq p^2q - 2$, F_2G_2 is homotopic to a composition $S^{lp+q-1} \rightarrow Y_m^{mp-2}/Y_m^{mp-3} \rightarrow S^{np}$, where Y_m^k denotes the k -skeleton of Y_m and so Y_m^{mp-2}/Y_m^{mp-3} is a wedge of copies of S^{mp-2} . The p -components of G_{pq-2} and G_{p^2q-1} are generated by β_1 and the element α''_{p^2} , which lies in the image of the J -homomorphism. Hence $\beta_1\alpha''_{p^2} = 0$ and so $F_2G_2 = 0$. Therefore we have $\phi\psi = \phi'\psi' = 0$. Q. E. D.

Now we shall prove our main theorem.

PROOF OF MAIN THEOREM. By the definition of the secondary composition, we have

$$\xi\zeta = \{\pi\beta_{(1)}, \alpha i, \beta_1^p\} \quad \text{mod zero,}$$

$$\eta\zeta = \{\pi\alpha^{p-1}\delta\alpha, \alpha i, \beta_1^p\} \quad \text{mod zero,}$$

for the elements ξ , η and ζ in Lemmas 2.10–2.11. The second composition is equal to $\{\alpha'_p, \alpha_1, \beta_1^p\} = \{\beta_1^p, \alpha_1, \alpha'_p\}$ up to sign by the relation $\pi\alpha^{p-1}\delta\alpha = \pm\alpha'_p\pi$ and the formula [9; (3.9), i]. By [5; Prop. 8.1], we have $\eta\zeta = \pm\alpha_1\varepsilon_{p-1} \neq 0$, where ε_{p-1} is a non zero multiple of β_p and generates the p -component of $G_{(p^2+p-1)q-2}$.

By Propositions 2.15, 2.17 and Lemma 3.1, there is a relation $(\pm\xi - 2\eta)\zeta = 0$. Hence,

$$\{\pi\beta_{(1)}, \alpha i, \beta_1^p\} = \xi\zeta = \pm 2\eta\zeta = \pm 2\alpha_1\varepsilon_{p-1} \neq 0.$$

Thus, $\gamma_1 \neq 0$ follows from Proposition 1.2.

Q. E. D.

References

- [1] W. Browder, *Homotopy commutative H-spaces*, Ann. of Math. **75** (1962), 283–311.
- [2] E. Dyer and R. K. Lashof, *Homology of iterated loop spaces*, Amer. J. Math. **84** (1962), 35–88.
- [3] G. Nishida, *Cohomology operations in iterated loop spaces*, Proc. Japan Acad. **44** (1968), 104–109.
- [4] S. Oka, *The stable homotopy groups of spheres I, II*, Hiroshima Math. J. **1** (1971), 305–

- 337; **2** (1972), 99–161.
- [5] S. Oka, *On the stable homotopy ring of Moore spaces*, Hiroshima Math. J. **4** (1974), 629–678.
 - [6] L. Smith, *On realizing complex bordism modules. Applications to the homotopy of spheres*, Amer. J. Math. **92** (1970), 793–856.
 - [7] E. Thomas and R. Zahler, *Nontriviality of the stable homotopy element γ_1* , J. Pure App. Alg. **4** (1974), 189–204.
 - [8] H. Toda, *p -Primary components of homotopy groups IV. Compositions and toric constructions*, Mem. Coll. Sci. Univ. Kyoto, Ser. A. **32** (1959), 288–332.
 - [9] H. Toda, *Composition methods in homotopy groups of spheres*, Ann. of Math. Studied, No. 49, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, 1962.
 - [10] H. Toda, *Extended p -th powers of complexes and applications to homotopy theory*, Proc. Japan Acad. **44** (1968), 198–203.
 - [11] H. Toda, *On spectra realizing exterior parts of Steenrod algebra*, Topology **10** (1971), 53–65.
 - [12] H. Toda, *Algebra of stable homotopy of Z_p -spaces and applications*, J. Math. Kyoto Univ. **11** (1971), 197–251.
 - [13] R. Zahler, *Existence of the stable homotopy family $\{\gamma_i\}$* , Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **79** (1973), 787–789.

*Department of Mathematics,
Faculty of Science,
Hiroshima University
and
Department of Mathematics,
Faculty of Science,
Kyoto University*

