

Oscillations of Differential Inequalities with Retarded Arguments

Manabu NAITO

(Received January 13, 1975)

In this paper we consider the following differential inequalities with retarded arguments:

$$(A) \quad (-1)^n x^{(n)}(t) \operatorname{sgn} x(t) \geq \sum_{i=1}^N p_i(t) f_i(x(g_i(t))),$$

$$(B) \quad (-1)^n x^{(n)}(t) \operatorname{sgn} x(t) \geq p_0(t) \prod_{i=1}^N \phi_i(x(g_i(t))).$$

For these inequalities the following conditions will be assumed without further mention:

(a) The functions $p_i(t)$ ($i=0, 1, \dots, N$) are continuous and nonnegative on $[0, \infty)$.

(b) The functions $f_i(y)$ and $\phi_i(y)$ ($i=1, \dots, N$) are continuous and positive on $(-\infty, 0) \cup (0, \infty)$ and $f_i(y) \operatorname{sgn} y$ and $\phi_i(y) \operatorname{sgn} y$ are nondecreasing in y .

(c) The functions $g_i(t)$ ($i=1, \dots, N$) are continuous and nondecreasing on $[0, \infty)$ and

$$g_i(t) \leq t \quad \text{for } t \geq 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} g_i(t) = \infty.$$

We shall restrict our attention to solutions $x(t)$ of (A) or (B) which exist on a half-line $[t_x, \infty)$. Such a solution is called oscillatory if it has a sequence of zeros tending to infinity; otherwise a solution is called nonoscillatory.

The object of this paper is to obtain sufficient conditions under which all bounded solutions of the differential inequalities (A) and (B) are oscillatory. Our results generalize the results due to Gustafson [1] and Shreve [8]. For related results we refer the reader to the papers by Koplatadze [2], Kusano and Onose [3], Ladas [4], Ladas, Lakshmikantham and Papadakis [5], and Sficas and Staikos [6, 7].

THEOREM 1. *Assume that*

$$(1) \quad \limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_{g(t)}^t [s - g(t)]^{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^N p_i(s) ds > (n-1)! \limsup_{y \rightarrow 0} \frac{|y|}{f(y)},$$

where $f(y) = \min_{1 \leq i \leq N} f_i(y)$ and $g(t) = \max_{1 \leq i \leq N} g_i(t)$.

Then, if $n \geq 2$ all bounded solutions of (A) are oscillatory, while if $n = 1$ all solutions of (A) are oscillatory.

PROOF. Suppose there exists a bounded nonoscillatory solution $x(t)$ of (A). Without loss of generality we may suppose that $x(t)$ is eventually positive. There is a $t_1 > 0$ such that $x(g_i(t)) > 0$ for $t \geq t_1$, $i = 1, \dots, N$. From (A) it follows that $(-1)^n x^{(n)}(t) \geq 0$ for $t \geq t_1$. Hence in view of the boundedness of $x(t)$ there exists a $t_2 \geq t_1$ such that

$$(2) \quad (-1)^j x^{(j)}(t) \geq 0 \quad \text{for } t \geq t_2, \quad j = 1, \dots, n.$$

Combining Taylor's formula with remainder

$$(3) \quad x(s) = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \frac{x^{(j)}(t)}{j!} (s-t)^j + \frac{1}{(n-1)!} \int_t^s (s-u)^{n-1} x^{(n)}(u) du$$

with the inequality (A), we get for $t \geq s \geq t_2$

$$(4) \quad x(s) \geq \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \frac{(-1)^j x^{(j)}(t)}{j!} (t-s)^j + \frac{1}{(n-1)!} \int_s^t (u-s)^{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^N p_i(u) f_i(x(g_i(u))) du.$$

From (2), (4) and the monotonicity of x , f_i , g_i it follows that

$$x(s) \geq x(t) + \frac{f(x(g(t)))}{(n-1)!} \int_s^t (u-s)^{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^N p_i(u) du.$$

Therefore

$$(5) \quad x(g(t)) \geq x(t) + \frac{f(x(g(t)))}{(n-1)!} \int_{g(t)}^t [u-g(t)]^{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^N p_i(u) du$$

for $t \geq t_3$, where t_3 is chosen so large that $g(t) \geq t_2$ for $t \geq t_3$.

Now, by (2), $x'(t) \leq 0$ for $t \geq t_2$, so that $x(t)$ decreases to a limit $c \geq 0$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$. From (5) we see that $c = 0$. Again from (5) we find

$$(6) \quad (n-1)! \frac{x(g(t))}{f(x(g(t)))} \geq \int_{g(t)}^t [u-g(t)]^{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^N p_i(u) du$$

for $t \geq t_3$. Taking the limit superior as $t \rightarrow \infty$ of both sides of (6) we obtain a contradiction to the hypothesis (1). This contradiction establishes the desired result for the case $n \geq 2$.

To complete the proof it is sufficient to observe that when $n = 1$ every non-oscillatory solution of (A) is necessarily bounded.

In exactly the same way we can prove the following theorem.

THEOREM 2. Assume that

$$(7) \quad \limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_{g(t)}^t [s - g(t)]^{n-1} p_0(s) ds > (n-1)! \limsup_{y \rightarrow 0} \frac{|y|}{\phi(y)}$$

where $\phi(y) = \prod_{i=1}^N \phi_i(y)$ and $g(t) = \max_{1 \leq i \leq N} g_i(t)$.

Then, if $n \geq 2$ all bounded solutions of (B) are oscillatory, and if $n = 1$ all solutions of (B) are oscillatory.

REMARK 1. Theorems 1 and 2 generalize the results of Gustafson [1, Theorems 3.1, 4.1] and Shreve [8, Theorem 1]. An important special case of (A) and (B) to which the above theorems apply is the retarded differential equation

$$x^{(n)}(t) + (-1)^{n+1} p(t) |x(g(t))|^\alpha \operatorname{sgn} x(g(t)) = 0, \quad 0 < \alpha \leq 1.$$

REMARK 2. Oscillation criteria of similar nature have been obtained by Koplatadze [2], Ladas, Lakshmikatham and Papadakis [5] and Sficas and Stakios [6, 7]. For example, according to Theorem 3 of [7], all bounded solutions of (A) are oscillatory if

$$(8) \quad \limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_{g(t)}^t [g(t) - g(s)]^{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^N p_i(s) ds > (n-1)! \limsup_{y \rightarrow 0} \frac{|y|}{f(y)},$$

where $g(t)$ and $f(y)$ are the same as in Theorem 1.

The following example shows that in some cases (1) is better than (8).

EXAMPLE 1. The retarded differential equation

$$(9) \quad x^{(4)}(t) - \frac{a}{t^4} x(\sqrt{t}) = 0,$$

a being a positive constant, has no bounded nonoscillatory solutions. This follows from Theorem 1 (or 2) since

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\sqrt{t}}^t \frac{a}{s^4} [s - \sqrt{t}]^3 ds = \infty.$$

On the other hand,

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\sqrt{t}}^t \frac{a}{s^4} [\sqrt{t} - \sqrt{s}]^3 ds = \frac{a}{3},$$

so that the criterion (8) is applicable to (9) only when $a > 18$.

We note that the ordinary differential equation

$$x^{(4)}(t) - \frac{a}{t^4} x(t) = 0$$

associated with (9) has a bounded nonoscillatory solution of the form $x(t) = t^{-\lambda}$ ($\lambda > 0$). This shows that the oscillation of bounded solutions of (9) is caused by the presence of the delay.

We shall state oscillation criteria of slightly different kind for the differential inequalities (A) and (B).

THEOREM 3. *Let*

$$f(y) = \min_{1 \leq i \leq N} f_i(y) \quad \text{and} \quad g(t) = \max_{1 \leq i \leq N} g_i(t)$$

and suppose that $g'(t) \geq 0$,

$$(10) \quad \int_{+0}^{+a} \frac{dy}{f(y)} < \infty, \quad \int_{-a}^{-0} \frac{dy}{f(y)} < \infty \quad \text{for some } a > 0,$$

and

$$(11) \quad \int_{g(t)}^{\infty} g'(t) \left(\int_{g(t)}^t [s - g(t)]^{n-2} \sum_{i=1}^N p_i(s) ds \right) dt = \infty.$$

Then, all bounded solutions of (A) are oscillatory.

PROOF. Let $x(t)$ be a bounded nonoscillatory solution of (A) which is eventually positive. It follows from (A) that $(-1)^n x^{(n)}(t) \geq 0$ for $t \geq t_1$, t_1 being sufficiently large, and hence that there is a $t_2 \geq t_1$ such that (2) holds for $t \geq t_2$.

Applying Taylor's formula to $x'(s)$ and using (A) and (2), we have

$$(12) \quad \begin{aligned} x'(s) &= \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \frac{x^{(j)}(t)}{(j-1)!} (s-t)^{j-1} + \frac{1}{(n-2)!} \int_t^s (s-u)^{n-2} x^{(n)}(u) du \\ &\geq \frac{-1}{(n-2)!} \int_s^t (u-s)^{n-2} \sum_{i=1}^N p_i(u) f_i(x(g_i(u))) du \end{aligned}$$

for $t \geq s \geq t_2$. Putting $s = g(t)$ in (12) and taking the monotonicity of f_i , g_i , x into account, we obtain

$$(13) \quad -x'(g(t)) \geq \frac{f(x(g(t)))}{(n-2)!} \int_{g(t)}^t [u - g(t)]^{n-2} \sum_{i=1}^N p_i(u) du$$

for $t \geq t_3$, where t_3 is taken so that $g(t) \geq t_2$ for $t \geq t_3$. Multiplying both sides of (13) by $g'(t)/f(x(g(t)))$ and then integrating over $[t_3, t]$, we obtain

$$(14) \quad \begin{aligned} &\int_{x(g(t))}^{x(g(t_3))} \frac{dy}{f(y)} \\ &\geq \frac{1}{(n-2)!} \int_{t_3}^t g'(s) \left(\int_{g(s)}^s [u - g(s)]^{n-2} \sum_{i=1}^N p_i(u) du \right) ds. \end{aligned}$$

In view of (2) $x(t)$ tends to a finite limit $c \geq 0$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$. Therefore, by (10), the left hand side of (14) remains bounded, while on account of (11) the right hand side becomes unbounded as $t \rightarrow \infty$. This contradiction proves our theorem.

Similarly we can prove the following

THEOREM 4. *Let*

$$\phi(y) = \prod_{i=1}^N \phi_i(y) \quad \text{and} \quad g(t) = \max_{1 \leq i \leq N} g_i(t)$$

and suppose that $g'(t) \geq 0$,

$$\int_{+0}^{+a} \frac{dy}{\phi(y)} < \infty, \quad \int_{-a}^{-0} \frac{dy}{\phi(y)} < \infty \quad \text{for some } a > 0,$$

and

$$\int_0^\infty g'(t) \left(\int_{g(t)}^t [s - g(t)]^{n-2} p_0(s) ds \right) dt = \infty.$$

Then, all bounded solutions of (B) are oscillatory.

REMARK 3. An important special case to which Theorems 3 and 4 are applicable is the equation

$$x^{(n)}(t) + (-1)^{n+1} p(t) |x(g(t))|^\alpha \operatorname{sgn} x(g(t)) = 0, \quad 0 < \alpha < 1.$$

EXAMPLE 2. Consider the retarded differential equation

$$(15) \quad x''(t) - \left| x\left(t - \frac{1}{t}\right) \right|^\alpha \operatorname{sgn} x\left(t - \frac{1}{t}\right) = 0, \quad 0 < \alpha < 1.$$

From Theorem 3 (or 4) it follows that all bounded solutions of (15) are oscillatory, since

$$\int_0^\infty \left(1 + \frac{1}{t^2}\right) \left(\int_{t-t^{-1}}^t ds \right) dt = \int_0^\infty \left(1 + \frac{1}{t^2}\right) \frac{1}{t} dt = \infty.$$

However, neither (1) nor (8) is applicable to (15). In fact, as is easily verified,

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_{t-t^{-1}}^t \left[s - t + \frac{1}{t} \right] ds = 0,$$

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_{t-t^{-1}}^t \left[t - \frac{1}{t} - s + \frac{1}{s} \right] ds = 0.$$

ACKNOWLEDGMENT. The author would like to thank Professor T. Kusano for his helpful suggestions concerning this work.

References

- [1] G. B. Gustafson, Bounded oscillations of linear and nonlinear delay-differential equations of even order, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **46** (1974), 175–189.
- [2] R. G. Koplatadze, Remarks on the oscillation of solutions of higher order differential inequalities and equations with retarded argument, *Differencial'nye Uravnenija* **10** (1974), 1400–1405. (Russian)
- [3] T. Kusano and H. Onose, Oscillatory and asymptotic behavior of sublinear retarded differential equations, *Hiroshima Math. J.* **4** (1974), 343–355.
- [4] G. Ladas, Oscillatory effects of retarded actions, to appear.
- [5] G. Ladas, V. Lakshmikatham and J. S. Papadakis, Oscillations of higher-order retarded differential equations generated by the retarded argument, *Delay and Functional Differential Equations and Their Applications* (K. Schmitt, Ed.), pp. 219–231, Academic Press, New York, 1972.
- [6] Y. G. Sficas and V. A. Staikos, The effect of retarded actions on nonlinear oscillations, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, to appear.
- [7] Y. G. Sficas and V. A. Staikos, Oscillations of differential equations with deviating arguments, *Funkcial. Ekvac.*, to appear.
- [8] W. E. Shreve, Oscillation in first order nonlinear retarded argument differential equations, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **41** (1973), 565–568.

*Department of Mathematics,
Faculty of Science,
Hiroshima University*