

(λ, μ) -Absolutely Summing Operators

Atsuo JÔICHI

(Received April 21, 1975)

Introduction

Pietsch [9] introduced the concept of absolutely p -summing operators in normed spaces. This concept was extended in Ramanujan [10] to absolutely λ -summing operators by the aid of symmetric sequence spaces λ . On the other hand, Mityagin and Pelczyński [6] introduced the concept of (p, r) -absolutely summing operators in Banach spaces and this was recently extended in Miyazaki [7] to $(p, q; r)$ -absolutely summing operators by using the sequence spaces $l_{p,q}$ and l_r . The object of this paper is to extend these two kinds of concepts to (λ, μ) -absolutely summing operators in normed spaces by making use of abstract sequence spaces λ and μ and to develop a theory of such operators.

In Section 1, we define the sequence spaces λ of type A and the sequence spaces μ of type M and define the (λ, μ) -absolutely summing operators. It is shown that $l_{p,q}$ is a space of type A and l_r is a space of type M . In Section 2, we state some basic properties of (λ, μ) -absolutely summing operators. We investigate in Section 3 some inclusion relations between the spaces of (λ_1, μ_1) - and (λ_2, μ_2) -absolutely summing operators. Section 4 is devoted to studying composition of two (λ, μ) -absolutely summing operators. Two spaces of (λ_1, μ_1) - and (λ_2, μ_2) -absolutely summing operators may happen to coincide, when their domain and range are particular normed spaces. These facts will be investigated in Section 5.

The author would like to thank Professor S. Tôgô for his valuable comments in preparing this paper.

§1. Notations and Definitions

For a sequence space λ the α -dual is denoted by λ^\times . If $\lambda^{\times\times} = \lambda$, then λ is said to be a perfect sequence or a Köthe space. We start with the sequence space c_0 of all scalar sequences converging to zero and the sequence space ω of all scalar sequences, which are given respectively an extended quasi-norm p and an extended norm q satisfying the following conditions:

(a) If for any $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n, \dots) \in c_0$ and $y = (y_1, \dots, y_n, \dots) \in \omega$ we set $x^i = (x_1, \dots, x_i, 0, \dots)$ and $y^i = (y_1, \dots, y_i, 0, \dots)$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots$, then $p(x^i) \rightarrow p(x)$ and $q(y^i) \rightarrow q(y)$.

(b) p and q are both absolutely monotone.

We shall then define the sequence space $\lambda \subset c_0$ (resp. $\mu \subset \omega$) to be the space consisting of all $x \in c_0$ (resp. $x \in \omega$) such that $p(x) < \infty$ (resp. $q(x) < \infty$).

Furthermore we assume that λ and μ satisfy the following conditions:

(c) λ and μ are both the K -symmetric spaces. That is, if x_π is the sequence which is obtained as a rearrangement of the sequence x corresponding to a permutation π of the positive integers, then $p(x) = p(x_\pi)$ for each $x \in \lambda$ and each π and $q(y) = q(y_\pi)$ for each $y \in \mu$ and each π .

(d) μ is a Köthe space.

(e) The topology given by the norm q on μ is the Mackey topology of the dual pair (μ, μ^*) so that $\mu^* = (\mu, q)'$.

(f) λ and μ have the norm preservation property. That is, if $x = (x_i)$ is such that $x_i = 0$ for all $i \neq n$, then $p(x) = |x_n|$ and $q(x) = |x_n|$.

We say the above λ and the space l_∞ to be spaces of type A and say the above μ to be a space of type M .

If μ is of type M , then we have $l_1 \subseteq \mu \subseteq l_\infty$ and either $\mu \subseteq c_0$ or $\mu = l_\infty$.

We remark now that ϕ , ω , c and c_0 are not of type M and that any space of type M is also of type A .

In the following, we shall show that the Lorentz space $l_{p,q}$ ($1 \leq p, q \leq \infty$) is of type A .

DEFINITION 1. The Lorentz space $l_{p,q}$ is the collection of all sequences $(a_i) \in c_0$ such that $\|(a_i)\|_{l_{p,q}} < \infty$, where denoting by $(|a_i|^*)$ the non-increasing rearrangement of $(|a_i|)$ we put

$$\|(a_i)\|_{l_{p,q}} = \begin{cases} \left(\sum_i i^{\frac{q}{p}-1} |a_i|^*{}^q \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} & \text{if } 1 \leq p < \infty, \quad 1 \leq q < \infty, \\ \sup i^{\frac{1}{p}} |a_i|^* & \text{if } 1 \leq p \leq \infty, \quad q = \infty. \end{cases}$$

PROPOSITION 1. The Lorentz space $l_{p,q}$ ($1 \leq p, q \leq \infty$) is of type A .

PROOF. It suffices to show that $l_{p,q}$ satisfies the condition (a). Assume first that $1 \leq p < \infty$ and $1 \leq q < \infty$. If $a = (a_i) \in l_{p,q}$, we have $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} i^{\frac{q}{p}-1} |a_i|^*{}^q < \infty$. Here putting $(|a_i|^*) = (b_k)$, for any $\varepsilon > 0$ we have a positive integer M such that $\sum_{i=M+1}^{\infty} i^{\frac{q}{p}-1} |b_i|^q < \varepsilon$. If we denote $b_i = a_{n_i}$ for $i = 1, \dots, M$, there exists a positive integer N such that $\{a_1, \dots, a_N\} \supseteq \{a_{n_1}, \dots, a_{n_M}\}$. Let $\{c_1, \dots, c_N\}$ be the non-increasing rearrangement of $\{a_1, \dots, a_N\}$. Then $c_i = b_i$ for $i = 1, \dots, M$ and we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} i^{\frac{q}{p}-1} |b_i|^q - \sum_{i=1}^N i^{\frac{q}{p}-1} |c_i|^q$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} i^{\frac{q}{p}-1} |b_i|^q - \left(\sum_{i=1}^M i^{\frac{q}{p}-1} |b_i|^q + \sum_{i=M+1}^N i^{\frac{q}{p}-1} |c_i|^q \right) \\
 &= \sum_{i=M+1}^{\infty} i^{\frac{q}{p}-1} |b_i|^q - \sum_{i=M+1}^N i^{\frac{q}{p}-1} |c_i|^q \\
 &= \sum_{i=M+1}^N i^{\frac{q}{p}-1} (|b_i|^q - |c_i|^q) + \sum_{i=N+1}^{\infty} i^{\frac{q}{p}-1} |b_i|^q < \varepsilon.
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $\|a^i\|_{l_{p,q}}$ converges to $\|a\|_{l_{p,q}}$.

Next assume that $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ and $q = \infty$. If $a = (a_i) \in l_{p,q}$, we have $\sup i^{\frac{1}{p}} |a_i|^* = Q < \infty$. Hence if we put $(|a_i|^*) = (b_k)$, there exists a positive integer M such that $M^{\frac{1}{p}} |b_M| > Q - \varepsilon$. Hence taking N by the same way as in the above proof, we have $\sup_{1 \leq i \leq N} i^{\frac{1}{p}} |a_i|^* > Q - \varepsilon$. Hence $\|a^i\|_{l_{p,q}}$ converges to $\|a\|_{l_{p,q}}$.

Finally, in case of $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ and $1 \leq q \leq \infty$, if $\|a\|_{l_{p,q}} = \infty$, it is easy to show that $\|a^i\|_{l_{p,q}}$ tends to $\|a\|_{l_{p,q}}$ and the proof is complete.

Next we start with two normed linear spaces $(E, \|\cdot\|)$ and $(F, \|\cdot\|)$. Let μ be of type M . Then we shall denote by $\mu(E)$ the vector sequences $x = (x_n)$, $x_n \in E$, which are weakly contained in μ in the sense that for each $a \in E'$ the sequence $(\langle x_n, a \rangle)$ of scalars is in μ .

Here suppose that $x = (x_n)$ belongs to $\mu(E)$. Then from a theorem of Pietsch [8] it follows that $\sup_{\|a\| \leq 1} q(\langle x_n, a \rangle) < \infty$. We shall denote by ε_μ the functional defined on $\mu(E)$ by $\varepsilon_\mu(x) = \sup_{\|a\| \leq 1} q(\langle x_n, a \rangle)$ which is also denoted by $\sup_{\|a\| \leq 1} \|(\langle x_n, a \rangle)\|_\mu$. $\varepsilon_\mu(x)$ can easily be verified to be a norm. This gives $\mu(E)$ a natural topology.

Next let λ be of type Λ . Then we define the space $\lambda[F]$ as the space of all vector sequences $y = (y_n)$, $y_n \in F$, such that the sequence $(\|y_n\|) \in \lambda$. We denote by α_λ the functional defined on $\lambda[F]$ by $\alpha_\lambda(y) = p(\|y_n\|)$ which is also denoted by $\|(\|y_n\|)\|_\lambda$ or $\|(y_n)\|_{\lambda[F]}$. Thus $\lambda[F]$ is topologised in a natural way by the quasi-norm $\alpha_\lambda(y)$. We can easily show that $\mu(E) \supset \mu[E]$ for any μ of type M .

DEFINITION 2. Let E and F be normed linear spaces, let T be a linear mapping on E into F and let λ and μ be of type Λ and of type M respectively. Then the mapping T is said to be (λ, μ) -absolutely summing provided for each finite set of elements x_1, \dots, x_n in E the following inequality is satisfied:

$$(1) \quad \|(Tx_i)\|_{\lambda[F]} \leq \rho \sup_{\|a\| \leq 1} \|(\langle x_i, a \rangle)\|_\mu,$$

where ρ is constant.

REMARK. $\|(Tx_i)\|_{\lambda[F]}$ appearing above is to be interpreted as the quasi-

norm of the element $(Tx_1, \dots, Tx_n, 0, \dots)$ in the vector sequence space $\lambda[F]$ with a similar interpretation for $\|(\langle x_i, a \rangle)\|_\mu$.

We denote by $\pi_{\lambda, \mu}(T)$ the least constant ρ satisfying (1) for any finite set $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ in E and by $\pi_{\lambda, \mu}(E, F)$ the set of all (λ, μ) -absolutely summing operators. Then $\pi_{\lambda, \mu}(E, F)$ is a quasi-normed linear space with a quasi-norm $\pi_{\lambda, \mu}(T)$.

When $\lambda = l_{p, q}$ and $\mu = l_r$, the mappings T above are called $(p, q; r)$ -absolutely summing operators and discussed extensively in Miyazaki [7].

§2. Elementary properties of (λ, μ) -absolutely summing operators

PROPOSITION 2. Let $B(E, F)$ be the normed space of all bounded linear operators with the norm $\|T\| = \sup_{\|x\| \leq 1} \|Tx\|$, let λ be of type A and let μ be of type M . Then we have $\pi_{\lambda, \mu}(E, F) \subset B(E, F)$ and $\|T\| \leq \pi_{\lambda, \mu}(T)$ for every $T \in \pi_{\lambda, \mu}(E, F)$.

PROOF. By virtue of Definition 2, we have

$$\|(\|Tx\|, 0, \dots)\|_\lambda \leq \pi_{\lambda, \mu}(T) \sup_{\|a\| \leq 1} \|(\langle x, a \rangle, 0, \dots)\|_\mu.$$

Therefore we have $\|Tx\| \leq \pi_{\lambda, \mu}(T)\|x\|$. Consequently we have

$$T \in B(E, F) \quad \text{and} \quad \|T\| \leq \pi_{\lambda, \mu}(T).$$

Thus the proof is complete.

PROPOSITION 3. Let λ be of type A , let μ be of type M and let l be a Banach sequence space satisfying $l \supset \phi$, $\|e_i\| = 1$ and $l' \subset l^\times$. If there exists $\xi = (\xi_n)$ such that $\xi \in c_0$, $\xi \notin \lambda$ and $\xi \cdot l^\times \subset \mu$, then there exists a continuous linear mapping on l which is not (λ, μ) -absolutely summing.

PROOF. The identity mapping T on l is linear and continuous. Define $(x^{(n)})$ in l by $x^{(n)} = \xi_n e_n$. Then if $a \in l' \subset l^\times$, we have $(\langle x^{(n)}, a \rangle) = \xi a \in \xi \cdot l^\times \subset \mu$ and $(x^{(n)}) \in c_0(l)$. However $\|Tx^{(n)}\| = |\xi_n|$. Hence $(\|Tx^{(n)}\|) \notin \lambda$. Thus the proof is complete.

COROLLARY. Assume that λ is of type A , $\lambda \not\subseteq c_0$ and μ is of type M . Then there exists a continuous linear mapping on c_0 which is not (λ, μ) -absolutely summing.

PROOF. Since $l_1 \subseteq \mu \subseteq l_\infty$ and there exists a $\xi \in c_0$ which does not belong to λ , the condition of Proposition 3 is satisfied.

THEOREM 1. Let λ be of type A and μ be of type M . Let us consider the

following properties of $T: E \rightarrow F$.

- (i) T is a (λ, μ) -absolutely summing operator.
- (ii) If $x = (x_i) \in \mu(E) \cap c_0(E)$, then $\hat{T}x = (Tx_i) \in \lambda[F]$.
- (iii) If $x = (x_i) \in \mu(E)$, then $\hat{T}x = (Tx_i) \in \lambda[F]$.

Then

- (1) (i) and (ii) are equivalent.
- (2) If λ is of type M , (i), (ii) and (iii) are equivalent.
- (3) Let λ be of type M . Then even if λ and μ do not satisfy the condition (f), (i) and (iii) are equivalent.

PROOF. (1) (i) \Rightarrow (ii): Let (i) be valid and let $x = (x_i) \in \mu(E) \cap c_0(E)$. For each fixed n , consider $x^n = (x_1, \dots, x_n, 0, \dots)$. Then we obtain

$$\|(\|Tx_1\|, \dots, \|Tx_n\|, 0, \dots)\|_\lambda \leq \rho \sup_{\|a\| \leq 1} \|(|\langle x_1, a \rangle|, \dots, |\langle x_n, a \rangle|, 0, \dots)\|_\mu$$

and since the norm on μ is absolutely monotone, the above expression is $\leq \rho \varepsilon_\mu(x)$. Since λ satisfies the condition (a), it follows that $\|(\|Tx_i\|)\|_\lambda < \infty$. By Proposition 2 $(\|Tx_i\|)$ belongs to c_0 . Consequently $\hat{T}x \in \lambda[F]$. Thus (i) \Rightarrow (ii) is proved.

(ii) \Rightarrow (i): Let (ii) be valid and let (i) be not valid. Then for any positive integer j there exists a finite set $\{x_i^j\}_{1 \leq i \leq n(j)}$ in E satisfying $\sup_{\|a\| \leq 1} \|(|\langle x_i^j, a \rangle|)\|_\mu \leq 1$ and $\|(\|Tx_i^j\|)\|_\lambda > j2^j$. From our assumptions it follows that the sequence x of vectors

$$\frac{x_1^1}{2}, \dots, \frac{x_{n(1)}^1}{2}, \frac{x_1^2}{2^2}, \dots, \frac{x_{n(2)}^2}{2^2}, \dots, \frac{x_1^j}{2^j}, \dots, \frac{x_{n(j)}^j}{2^j}, \dots$$

is in $\mu(E)$, and, since $\{x_i^j\}$ is bounded, x is contained in $c_0(E)$. Also since the quasinorm defining the topology of λ is absolutely monotone, it follows that $\hat{T}x \notin \lambda[F]$. This is a contradiction.

(2) (iii) \Rightarrow (ii) is clear. The proof of (ii) \Rightarrow (i) follows in the same way as in the proof of (i) \Rightarrow (ii) of (1) and the proof of (i) \Rightarrow (iii) follows in the same way as in the proof of (i) \Rightarrow (ii) of (1).

The analogous calculation of (1) shows the part (3) of the theorem. Thus our assertions are proved.

THEOREM 2. Let λ and μ be of type M . Then the space $\pi_{\lambda, \mu}(E, F)$ is a normed linear space with the norm $\pi_{\lambda, \mu}(T)$ and if F is a Banach space, $\pi_{\lambda, \mu}(E, F)$ is complete.

PROOF. We omit the proof of $\pi_{\lambda,\mu}(T)$ being a norm and of $\pi_{\lambda,\mu}(E, F)$ being a normed linear space. Assuming that F is a Banach space, we shall prove that $\pi_{\lambda,\mu}(E, F)$ is complete. Let $\{T_n\}$ be a Cauchy sequence in $\pi_{\lambda,\mu}(E, F)$. Then for given $\varepsilon > 0$ the inequality $\|T_n - T_m\| \leq \pi_{\lambda,\mu}(T_n - T_m) < \varepsilon$ holds for $n, m > N$. Thus $\{T_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in the Banach space $B(E, F)$ and therefore there exists a $T \in B(E, F)$ such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|T_n - T\| = 0$. Since $\pi_{\lambda,\mu}(T_n - T_m) < \varepsilon$ for $n, m > N$, we get for $n, m > N$ and for each finite set $\{x_i\}_{1 \leq i \leq n}$ in E

$$\|(\|T_n x_i - T_m x_i\|)\|_{\lambda} \leq \varepsilon \sup_{\|a\| \leq 1} \|(\langle x_i, a \rangle)\|_{\mu}.$$

Letting $m \rightarrow \infty$, we get

$$\|(\|T_n x_i - T x_i\|)\|_{\lambda} \leq \varepsilon \sup_{\|a\| \leq 1} \|(\langle x_i, a \rangle)\|_{\mu}.$$

This implies $\pi_{\lambda,\mu}(T_n - T) \leq \varepsilon$ for any $n > N$. The proof is complete.

PROPOSITION 4. Let λ be of type Λ and μ be of type M .

- (i) If $\mu \cap c_0 \not\subset \lambda$, then $\pi_{\lambda,\mu}(E, F) = \{0\}$;
- (ii) $\pi_{i_\infty, \mu}(E, F) = B(E, F)$.

PROOF. (i) If possible, let $T (\neq 0) \in \pi_{\lambda,\mu}(E, F)$ and let $(a_n) \in \mu \cap c_0 \setminus \lambda$. Here a_i may be assumed to be positive for $i = 1, 2, \dots$. Let x_0 be an element of E such that $\|x_0\| = 1$ and $\|Tx_0\| = V (\neq 0)$. Then we have $\left(\left\|T \frac{a_i}{V} x_0\right\|\right) = (a_i) \in \mu \cap c_0 \setminus \lambda$ but $\left(\left\|\frac{a_i}{V} x_0\right\|\right) = \left(\frac{a_i}{V}\right) \in \mu \cap c_0$. This contradicts $T \in \pi_{\lambda,\mu}(E, F)$, which proves (i).

(ii) Since μ satisfies the conditions (b) and (f), for each finite set of elements x_1, \dots, x_n in E the following inequality holds:

$$\sup_i \|Tx_i\| = \|Tx_{i_0}\| \leq \|T\| \|x_{i_0}\| \leq \|T\| \sup_{\|a\| \leq 1} \|(\langle x_i, a \rangle)\|_{\mu},$$

where x_{i_0} is an element of x_1, \dots, x_n . Thus our assertions are proved.

THEOREM 3. Let E, F and G be normed spaces, let λ be of type Λ and let μ be of type M .

- (i) If $S \in B(E, F)$ and $T \in \pi_{\lambda,\mu}(F, G)$, then $TS \in \pi_{\lambda,\mu}(E, G)$ and $\pi_{\lambda,\mu}(TS) \leq \pi_{\lambda,\mu}(T) \|S\|$.
- (ii) If $S \in \pi_{\lambda,\mu}(E, F)$ and $T \in B(F, G)$, then $TS \in \pi_{\lambda,\mu}(E, G)$ and $\pi_{\lambda,\mu}(TS) \leq \|T\| \pi_{\lambda,\mu}(S)$.

PROOF. (i) For each finite set of elements x_1, \dots, x_n in E , by our assump-

tion the following inequality is valid:

$$\begin{aligned} \|(\|TSx_i\|)\|_\lambda &\leq \pi_{\lambda,\mu}(T) \sup_{\|a\|\leq 1} \|(\langle Sx_i, a \rangle)\|_\mu \\ &\leq \pi_{\lambda,\mu}(T) \|S\| \sup_{\|a\|\leq 1} \left\| \left(\langle x_i, \frac{S'a}{\|S\|} \rangle \right) \right\|_\mu \\ &\leq \pi_{\lambda,\mu}(T) \|S\| \sup_{\|b\|\leq 1} \|(\langle x_i, b \rangle)\|_\mu, \end{aligned}$$

which proves (i).

The analogous calculation shows (ii) of the theorem. In fact, the following inequality holds:

$$\|(\|TSx_i\|)\|_\lambda \leq \|T\| \|(\|Sx_i\|)\|_\lambda \leq \|T\| \pi_{\lambda,\mu}(S) \sup_{\|a\|\leq 1} \|(\langle x_i, a \rangle)\|_\mu.$$

Thus our assertions are proved.

COROLLARY. *Let λ be of type Λ and let μ be of type M. Then π_{λ,μ}(E, E) is a two sided ideal in B(E, E) and for S ∈ π_{λ,μ}(E, E) and T ∈ B(E, E), the following inequalities hold: π_{λ,μ}(ST) ≤ π_{λ,μ}(S) \|T\| and π_{λ,μ}(TS) ≤ \|T\| π_{λ,μ}(S).*

LEMMA 1. *Let λ be a space of type Λ. Then we have λ ⊗ E ⊂ λ[E].*

PROOF. Let $\hat{\phi}$ be the mapping on $\lambda \otimes E$ into $S(E)$, the linear space of all sequences with values in E , defined by $\hat{\phi}((c_i), x) = (c_i x) \in \lambda[E]$. Consequently by using the definition of tensor product, the linear mapping $\phi: \sum_{i=1}^n (c_{ij}) \otimes x_i \rightarrow (\sum_{i=1}^n c_{ij} x_i)$ maps $\lambda \otimes E$ into $\lambda[E]$ and ϕ is an algebraic isomorphism. Thus the proof is complete.

Now we denote by $\lambda \otimes_{\alpha_\lambda} F$ the quasi-normed space $\lambda \otimes F$ with the topology induced by the quasi-norm α_λ and also by $\mu \otimes_{\varepsilon_\mu} E$ the normed space $\mu \otimes E$ with the topology induced by the norm ε_μ .

PROPOSITION 5. *Let λ be of type Λ, let μ (≠ l_∞) be of type M and let π_{λ,μ}(E, F) ≠ 0. Then the mapping T: E → F belongs to π_{λ,μ}(E, F) if and only if I ⊗ T: μ ⊗_{ε_μ} E → λ ⊗_{α_λ} F is continuous.*

PROOF. Assume that $I \otimes T: \mu \otimes_{\varepsilon_\mu} E \rightarrow \lambda \otimes_{\alpha_\lambda} F$ is continuous and T does not belong to $\pi_{\lambda,\mu}(E, F)$. Then for any positive integer j there exists a finite set $\{x_i^j\}_{1 \leq i \leq n(j)}$ in E satisfying $\alpha_\lambda((Tx_i^j)) > j\varepsilon_\mu((x_i^j))$. Since $\sum_{i=1}^n e_i \otimes x_i = \sum_{i=1}^n (0, \dots, 0, x_i, 0, \dots) = (x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_n}, 0, \dots)$, we have

$$\alpha_\lambda(I \otimes T(\sum_{i=1}^n e_i \otimes x_i^j))$$

$$= \alpha_\lambda(\sum_{i=1}^n e_i \otimes Tx_i^j) = \alpha_\lambda((Tx_i^j)) > j\varepsilon_\mu((x_i^j)) = j\varepsilon_\mu(\sum_{i=1}^n e_i \otimes x_i^j).$$

Consequently $I \otimes T$ is not continuous. This is a contradiction. Thus the sufficiency is proved. Conversely, assume that $T \in \pi_{\lambda, \mu}(E, F)$. Then $\hat{T}: \mu(E) \cap c_0(E) \rightarrow \lambda[F]$ is continuous. Therefore $I \otimes T: \mu \otimes_{\varepsilon_\mu} E \rightarrow \lambda \otimes_{\alpha_\lambda} F$ is continuous, for $\mu \otimes_{\varepsilon_\mu} E \subset \mu(E) \cap c_0(E)$ and \hat{T} and $I \otimes T$ have the same values on $\mu \otimes E$. This completes the proof.

§3. Some inclusion relations between the spaces of (λ_1, μ_1) - and (λ_2, μ_2) -absolutely summing operators

Suppose that α and β are sequence spaces. We define $\alpha \cdot \beta = \{(x_n, y_n) : (x_n) \in \alpha, (y_n) \in \beta\}$. Here we denote by $D(\beta, \alpha)$ the set of diagonal matrices carrying β into α . We use the following results of Crofts [1].

LEMMA 2. $D(\beta, \alpha) \subset (\beta \cdot \alpha^\times)^\times$ and, if α is a Köthe space, $D(\beta, \alpha) = (\beta \cdot \alpha^\times)^\times$.

PROPOSITION 6. Let λ_1 and λ_2 be of type A and let μ_1 and μ_2 be of type M . If $\mu_1 \supset \mu_2$ and $\lambda_2 \supset \lambda_1$, then $\pi_{\lambda_1, \mu_1}(E, F) \subset \pi_{\lambda_2, \mu_2}(E, F)$.

THEOREM 4. Let λ_1 and λ_2 be of type A and let μ_1 and μ_2 be of type M . If there exists a sequence space $v \subset l_\infty$ satisfying the conditions $v \cdot \mu_2 \subset \mu_1$ and $(v \cdot \lambda_1^\times)^\times \subset \lambda_2$, then we have $\pi_{\lambda_1, \mu_1}(E, F) \subset \pi_{\lambda_2, \mu_2}(E, F)$.

PROOF. Let T be (λ_1, μ_1) -absolutely summing on E into F and let $(x_n) \in \mu_2(E) \cap c_0(E)$. Then for each $\alpha = (\alpha_n) \in v$ and $a \in E'$ we have

$$(\langle \alpha_n x_n, a \rangle) = \alpha(\langle x_n, a \rangle) \in v \cdot \mu_2 \subset \mu_1.$$

Since T is (λ_1, μ_1) -absolutely summing it follows that $|\alpha|(\|Tx_n\|) = (\|T(\alpha_n x_n)\|) \in \lambda_1$ and since λ_1 is solid, $\alpha(\|Tx_n\|) \in \lambda_1$ and therefore we have $(\|Tx_n\|) \in D(v \cdot \lambda_1)$. Hence by Lemma 2 $(\|Tx_n\|) \in (v \cdot \lambda_1^\times)^\times \subset \lambda_2$. Thus T is (λ_2, μ_2) -absolutely summing. This completes the proof.

EXAMPLE. Let $\lambda_1 = l_1, \mu_1 = l_1, \lambda_2$ be of type A and μ_2 be of type M such that $\mu_2 \subset \lambda_2$. Then if we set $v = (\mu_1^\times \cdot \mu_2)^\times = \mu_2^\times$, we have $v \cdot \mu_2 \subset l_1$ and $(v \cdot \lambda_1^\times)^\times = \mu_2 \subset \lambda_2$ so that, by the above theorem, every absolutely summing mapping is (λ_2, μ_2) -absolutely summing.

§4. The composition of (λ, μ) -absolutely summing operators

THEOREM 5. Let E, F and G be normed spaces, let $1 \leq p, r_i \leq \infty (i=1, 2)$

be real numbers such that $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{r_1} \leq \frac{1}{r_2}$ and let λ_1 and λ_2 be sequence spaces of type Λ satisfying $\lambda_2 \supset \lambda_1 \cdot l_p$. Then for any $T \in \pi_{l_p, l_p}(E, F)$ and $S \in \pi_{\lambda_1, l_{r_1}}(F, G)$ the composition ST belongs to $\pi_{\lambda_2, l_{r_2}}(E, G)$ and satisfies $\pi_{\lambda_2, l_{r_2}}(ST) \leq C\pi_{\lambda_1, l_{r_1}}(S)\pi_{l_p, l_p}(T)$ where C is a constant.

PROOF. By virtue of Proposition 6, it suffices to prove the assertion under the assumption $\frac{1}{r_2} = \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{r_1}$. Since T is absolutely p -summing operator, by Pietsch [9] there is a probability measure μ , that is, a regular positive Borel measure μ with total mass 1 on the weakly compact unit ball K' of E' such that $\|Tx\| \leq \pi_{l_p, l_p}(T) \left(\int_{K'} |\langle x, a \rangle|^p d\mu(a) \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}$ for every $x \in E$. Let $\{x_i\}_{1 \leq i \leq n}$ be an arbitrary finite set of elements in E . Put $x_i = x_i^0 \xi_i$ where $\xi_i = \left(\int_{K'} |\langle x_i, a \rangle|^{r_2} \right)^{\frac{1}{r_2}}$. Then, by our assumption, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \|(\|STx_i\|)\|_{\lambda_2} &\leq C \|(\|STx_i^0\|)\|_{\lambda_1} \cdot \|(\|\xi_i\|)\|_p \\ &\leq C\pi_{\lambda_1, l_{r_1}}(S) \sup_{\|b\| \leq 1} \|(\langle Tx_i^0, b \rangle)\|_{l_{r_1}} \cdot \left(\sum_i \int_{K'} |\langle x_i, a \rangle|^{r_2} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}, \end{aligned}$$

where C is a constant. The terms of the form $\langle Tx, b \rangle$ can be written as

$$\langle Tx, b \rangle = \int_{K'} \langle x, a \rangle f(a) d\mu(a) \quad \text{for each } x \in E$$

with an $f \in L_p(K', \mu)$ satisfying the inequality

$$(2) \quad \left(\int_{K'} |f(a)|^p d\mu(a) \right)^{\frac{1}{p'}} \leq \pi_{l_p, l_p}(T) \|b\|, \quad \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{p'} = 1.$$

In fact, let $E_p(K', \mu)$ be the subspace of $L_p(K', \mu)$ which is constituted by the rest classes $\hat{\phi}_x$ for $\phi_x(a) = \langle x, a \rangle \in C(K')$ with $x \in E$. Then for each $b \in F'$ there exists a linear form β_b on $E_p(K', \mu)$ defined by $\langle \hat{\phi}_x, \beta_b \rangle = \langle Tx, b \rangle$ and it satisfies

$$|\langle \hat{\phi}_x, \beta_b \rangle| \leq \|Tx\| \|b\| \leq \pi_{l_p, l_p}(T) \left(\int_{K'} |\langle x, a \rangle|^p d\mu(a) \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \|b\|.$$

Therefore there exists an $f \in L_p(K', \mu)$, $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{p'} = 1$, such that

$$\langle Tx, b \rangle = \int_{K'} \langle x, a \rangle f(a) d\mu(a) \quad \text{for each } x \in E$$

and it satisfies (2). Hence by Hölder's inequality, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
|\langle Tx, b \rangle| &\leq \int_{K'} |\langle x, a \rangle| |f(a)| d\mu(a) \\
&= \int_{K'} |\langle x, a \rangle| |\langle x, a \rangle|^{\frac{r_2}{p}} (|\langle x, a \rangle|^{r_2} |f(a)|^{p'})^{\frac{1}{r_1}} |f(a)|^{\frac{p'}{r_2}} d\mu(a) \\
&\leq \left(\int_{K'} |\langle x, a \rangle|^{r_2} d\mu(a) \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left(\int_{K'} |\langle x, a \rangle|^{r_2} |f(a)|^{p'} d\mu(a) \right)^{\frac{1}{r_1}} \\
&\quad \times \left(\int_{K'} |f(a)|^{p'} d\mu(a) \right)^{\frac{1}{r_2'}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Replacing x by x_i^0 in the above inequality, we obtain

$$|\langle Tx_i^0, b \rangle|^{r_1} \leq \left(\int_{K'} |\langle x_i, a \rangle|^{r_2} |f(a)|^{p'} d\mu(a) \right) \left(\int_{K'} |f(a)|^{p'} d\mu(a) \right)^{\frac{r_1}{r_2}}.$$

Finally, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left(\sum_i |\langle Tx_i^0, b \rangle|^{r_1} \right)^{\frac{1}{r_1}} \\
&\leq \sup_{\|a\| \leq 1} \left(\sum_i |\langle x_i, a \rangle|^{r_2} \right)^{\frac{1}{r_1}} \left(\int_{K'} |f(a)|^{p'} d\mu(a) \right)^{\frac{1}{p'}}
\end{aligned}$$

Consequently

$$\|(\|STx_i\|)\|_{\lambda_2} \leq C\pi_{\lambda_1, l_{r_1}}(S)\pi_{l_p, l_p}(T) \sup_{\|a\| \leq 1} \left(\sum_i |\langle x_i, a \rangle|^{r_2} \right)^{\frac{1}{r_2}}$$

which completes the proof.

THEOREM 6. *Let E, F and G be normed spaces, $1 \leq p, r \leq \infty$, $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{r} \leq 1$, and λ be of type Λ satisfying $l_p \cdot \lambda \subset l_1$. Then for any $T \in \pi_{l_p, l_p}(E, F)$ and any $S \in \pi_{\lambda, l_r}(F, G)$ the composition ST belongs to $\pi_{l_1, l_1}(E, G)$.*

PROOF. In case of $p=1$, this is clear by Theorem 3. We shall show this in case of $p>1$. Put $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{p'} = 1$. Then it satisfies $\lambda \subset l_{p'}$, and $l_r \supset l_{p'}$. By Proposition 6, $S \in \pi_{\lambda, l_r}(F, G) \subset \pi_{l_{p'}, l_{p'}}(F, G)$. Hence applying Theorem 5 to S and T , we obtain $ST \in \pi_{l_1, l_1}(E, G)$. Thus the proof is complete.

§5. (λ, μ) -absolutely summing operators on special spaces E and F

LEMMA 3. *Let E be isomorphic to a subspace of $L_1(\mu)$ for a measure space (K, Σ, μ) , let F be any normed space and let λ be of type Λ . Then $T \in B(E, F)$ belongs to $\pi_{\lambda, l_1}(E, F)$ if and only if for any $S \in B(l_\infty, E)$ the composition TS belongs to $\pi_{\lambda, l_1}(l_\infty, F)$.*

PROOF. By virtue of Theorem 3 it is clear that if $T \in \pi_{\lambda, l_1}(E, F)$ and $S \in B(l_\infty, E)$, then $TS \in \pi_{\lambda, l_1}(l_\infty, F)$. Conversely, we assume that $T \in B(E, F)$ satisfies the condition $TS \in \pi_{\lambda, l_1}(l_\infty, F)$ for any $S \in B(l_\infty, E)$ but $T \notin \pi_{\lambda, l_1}(E, F)$. Then there exists a sequence $\{x_i\} \subset E$ such that $\sum_i x_i$ converges unconditionally and

$$(3) \quad \|(\|Tx_i\|)\|_\lambda = \infty.$$

Here we define $S \in B(l_\infty, F)$ by $S((a_i)) = \sum_i a_i x_i$ for each $(a_i) \in l_\infty$. On the other hand, from (3), there is a sequence $\{\eta_i\} \in c_0$ such that $\|(\eta_i \|Tx_i\|)\|_\lambda = \infty$, that is, $\|(\|TS(\eta_i e_i)\|)\|_\lambda = \infty$. Since $\sum_i |\langle \eta_i e_i, a \rangle| < \infty$ for each $a \in l'_\infty$, that is, $(\eta_i e_i) \in l_1(l_\infty) \cap c_0(l_\infty)$, we have $TS \notin \pi_{\lambda, l_1}(l_\infty, F)$. This contradicts our assumption and the proof is complete.

THEOREM 7. Let λ_1 and λ_2 be of type A .

(i) If $l_2 \cdot \lambda_1^\times \supset \lambda_2^\times$ and λ_2 is a Köthe space, then we have $\pi_{\lambda_1, l_1}(E, F) \subset \pi_{\lambda_2, l_2}(E, F)$.

(ii) Let E and F be the same spaces as in Lemma 3. Then if $l_2 \cdot \lambda_1^\times \subset \lambda_2^\times$ and λ_1 and λ_2 are Köthe spaces, we have $\pi_{\lambda_1, l_1}(E, F) \supset \pi_{\lambda_2, l_2}(E, F)$.

PROOF. (i) Putting $v = (l_1^\times \cdot l_2)^\times = l_2$, we have $(l_2 \cdot \lambda_1^\times)^\times \subset \lambda_2^{\times \times} = \lambda_2$ and $l_2 \cdot l_2 \subset l_1$. Therefore by Theorem 4 $\pi_{\lambda_1, l_1}(E, F) \subset \pi_{\lambda_2, l_2}(E, F)$.

(ii) Let $T \in \pi_{\lambda_2, l_2}(E, F)$. $S \in B(l_\infty, E)$ is always 2-absolutely summing. Since $(l_2 \cdot \lambda_1^\times) \subset \lambda_2^\times$, it follows that $l_2 \cdot \lambda_2 \subset \lambda_1$. Therefore on account of Theorem 5, we have $TS \in \pi_{\lambda_1, l_1}(l_\infty, F)$. Hence by Lemma 3, we have $T \in \pi_{\lambda_1, l_1}(E, F)$, which completes the proof.

COROLLARY. Assume that λ_1 and λ_2 is of type A , $l_2 \cdot \lambda_1^\times = \lambda_2^\times$ and λ_1 and λ_2 are Köthe spaces. Let $1 \leq r \leq 2$ and F be any normed space. Then we have $\pi_{\lambda_1, l_1}(l_r, F) = \pi_{\lambda_2, l_2}(l_r, F)$ and $\pi_{\lambda_1, l_1}(L_r(0, 1), F) = \pi_{\lambda_2, l_2}(L_r(0, 1), F)$.

PROOF. This follows from Theorem 7 and the result [5] asserting that for $1 \leq r \leq 2$ the spaces l_r and $L_r(0, 1)$ are isomorphic to subspaces of $L_1(\mu)$.

THEOREM 8. Let λ be of type A and let μ be of type M . If $l_2 \cdot \mu^\times \supset \lambda^\times$, λ is a Köthe space and H is a Hilbert space, then we have $\pi_{\lambda, \mu}(H, H) = B(H, H)$.

PROOF. From [4] it is known that $\pi_{2,1}(H, H) = B(H, H)$. Therefore we may show that $\pi_{\lambda, \mu}(H, H) \cap \pi_{2,1}(H, H)$. But this follows from Theorem 4, for putting $v = (l_1^\times \cdot \mu)^\times = \mu^\times$ we have $v \cdot \mu \subset l_1$ and $(\mu^\times \cdot l_2)^\times \subset \lambda^{\times \times} = \lambda$. Hence we obtain $\pi_{\lambda, \mu}(H, H) \supset B(H, H)$. Thus the proof is complete.

References

- [1] G. Crofts, Concerning perfect Fréchet spaces and diagonal transformations, *Math. Ann.*, **182** (1969), 67–76.
- [2] ED Dubinsky and M. S. Ramanujan, Inclusion theorems for absolutely λ -summing maps, *Math. Ann.*, **192** (1971), 177–190.
- [3] D. J. H. Garling, On symmetric sequence spaces, *Proc. London Math. Soc. (3)*, **16** (1966), 85–105.
- [4] S. Kwapien, Some remarks on (p, q) -absolutely summing operators in l_p -spaces, *Studia Math.*, **29** (1968), 327–337.
- [5] J. Lindenstrauss and A. Pelczyński, Absolutely summing operators in L_p -spaces and their applications, *Studia Math.*, **29** (1968), 275–326.
- [6] B. Mitjagin and A. Pelczyński, Nuclear operators and approximative dimension, *Proc. I. C. M. Moscow*, 1966, 366–372.
- [7] K. Miyazaki, $(p, q; r)$ -absolutely summing operators, *J. Math. Soc. Japan*, **24** (1972), 341–354.
- [8] A. Pietsch, *Verallgemeinerte vollkommene Folgenräume*, Berlin, 1962.
- [9] A. Pietsch, Absolut p -summierende Abbildungen in normierten Räumen, *Studia Math.*, **28** (1967), 333–353.
- [10] M. S. Ramanujan, Absolutely λ -summing operators, λ a symmetric sequence space, *Math. Z.*, **114** (1970), 187–193.

*Department of Mathematics,
Faculty of Literature and Science,
Shimane University,
Matsue*