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## Introduction

The principal oriented bordism module $\Omega_{*}(G)$ of a group $G$ is defined to be the module of all equivariant bordism classes of closed principal oriented (smooth) $G$-manifolds. $\Omega_{*}(G)$ is a module over the oriented bordism ring $\Omega_{*}$, and this module $\Omega_{*}(G)$ and the unoriented one $\mathfrak{N}_{*}(G)$ are studied by several authors.

The purpose of this paper is to determine the $\Omega_{*}$-module structure of $\Omega_{*}\left(H_{m}\right)$, $m \geqq 2$, where $H_{m}$ is the generalized quaternion group generated by two elements $x$ and $y$ with two relations

$$
x^{2^{m-1}}=y^{2} \quad \text { and } \quad x y x=y,
$$

that is, the subgroup of the unit sphere $S^{3}$ in the quaternion field $\boldsymbol{H}$ generated by $x=\exp \left(\pi i / 2^{m-1}\right)$ and $y=j$.

The group $H_{m}$ acts freely on the unit sphere $S^{4 n+3}$ in the quaternion $(n+1)$ space $\boldsymbol{H}^{n+1}$ by the diagonal action $\alpha_{m}\left(q,\left(q_{0}, \ldots, q_{n}\right)\right)=\left(q q_{0}, \ldots, q q_{n}\right)\left(q, q_{i} \in \boldsymbol{H}\right)$, and we obtain the principal oriented $H_{m}$-manifold

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\alpha_{m}, S^{4 n+3}\right) \quad(n \geqq 0) . \tag{0.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Also, the element $x=\exp \left(\pi i / 2^{m-1}\right)$ generates the cyclic subgroup $Z_{2^{m}}$ of order $2^{m}$, and this group acts on the unit sphere $S^{2 n+1}$ in the complex ( $n+1$ )-space $\boldsymbol{C}^{n+1}$ by the diagonal action $x\left(z_{0}, \ldots, z_{n}\right)=\left(x z_{0}, \ldots, x z_{n}\right)\left(z_{i} \in \boldsymbol{C}\right)$. We denote this $Z_{2^{m}}$-manifold by ( $T_{m}, S^{2 n+1}$ ). Hence we obtain the extension

$$
\begin{equation*}
i_{m}\left(T_{m}, S^{4 n+1}\right) \quad(n \geqq 0), \tag{0.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

by the inclusion $i_{m}: Z_{2^{m}} \subset H_{m}$, which is the disjoint union $Z_{2} \times S^{4 n+1}$ with the $H_{m^{-}}$ action given by

$$
x(\varepsilon, z)=\left(\varepsilon, x^{\varepsilon} z\right), \quad y(\varepsilon, z)=(-\varepsilon, \varepsilon z) \quad\left(\varepsilon= \pm 1, z \in S^{4 n+1}\right) .
$$

Let $\pi$ be the set of partitions $\omega=\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{r}\right)$ with unequal parts $a_{j}$, none of which is a power of 2. By the consideration of K. Kawakubo [6], there is a $Z_{2}$-manifold

$$
(T, N(\omega)) \quad \text { for } \quad \omega \in \pi
$$

such that $N(\omega)$ represents Wall's generator $g(\omega)$ of the oriented bordism ring $\Omega_{*}$ and $N(\omega)$ admits an orientation reversing involution $T$ (cf. §5). By using this manifold and $S^{2 n+1}$, we can consider the principal oriented $H_{m}$-manifold

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{\beta}_{m}(n, \omega)=\left(\bar{\beta}_{m}, S^{2 n+1} \times S^{2 n+1} \times N(\omega)\right) \quad(n \geqq 0, \omega \in \pi), \tag{0.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

whose action $\bar{\beta}_{m}$ of $H_{m}$ is given by

$$
\bar{\beta}_{m}\left(x,\left(z, z^{\prime}, u\right)\right)=\left(x z, x^{-1} z^{\prime}, u\right), \quad \bar{\beta}_{m}\left(y,\left(z, z^{\prime}, u\right)\right)=\left(-z^{\prime}, z, T u\right),
$$

for $z, z^{\prime} \in S^{2 n+1}$ and $u \in N(\omega)$. (This definition is suggested by K. Shibata.)
For any $H_{2}$-manifold $M$, we denote by $\gamma M$ the manifold $M$ with the new $H_{2}$-action $x * m=y m, y * m=x m(m \in M)$, and we can consider its extension $k_{m} \gamma M$ by the inclusion $k_{m}: H_{2} \subset H_{m}, k_{m}(x)=x^{2^{m-2}}, k_{m}(y)=y$. Therefore, we obtain the principal oriented $H_{m}$-manifolds

$$
\begin{align*}
& k_{m} \gamma i_{2}\left(T_{2}, S^{4 n+1}\right) \quad(n \geqq 0),  \tag{0.4}\\
& k_{m} \gamma \bar{\beta}_{2}(n, \omega) \quad(n \geqq 0, \omega \in \pi), \tag{0.5}
\end{align*}
$$

from the $\mathrm{H}_{2}$-manifolds of (0.2) and (0.3).
Finally, we consider the extension

$$
\begin{equation*}
i E^{4 n+3} W(\omega) \quad(n \geqq 0, \omega \in \pi) \tag{0.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

of the bordism class $E^{4 n+3} W(\omega) \in \tilde{\Omega}_{*}\left(Z_{2}\right)$, due to K. Shibata [7], by the inclusion $i: Z_{2} \subset H_{m}, i(-1)=x^{2^{m-1}}=y^{2}$.

Then, we have the following
Theorem 7.5. The principal oriented bordism module $\widetilde{\Omega}_{*}\left(H_{m}\right)$ of the generalized quaternion group $H_{m}(m \geqq 2)$ is the direct sum

$$
\mathfrak{L}_{m} \oplus \mathfrak{Q}_{m} \oplus \mathfrak{Q}_{m}^{\prime} \oplus \mathfrak{M}_{m}
$$

where $\mathfrak{L}_{m}, \mathfrak{Q}_{m}, \mathfrak{Q}_{m}^{\prime}$ and $\mathfrak{B}_{m}$ are the $\Omega_{*}$-submodules of $\widetilde{\Omega}_{*}\left(H_{m}\right)$ generated by the bordism classes (represented by the $H_{m}$-manifolds) of (0.1), (0.2-3), (0.4-5) and ( 0.6 ), for $n \geqq 0$ and $\omega \in \pi$, respectively.

Furthermore, we study the relations among the generators in these $\Omega_{*}{ }^{-}$ submodules and obtain the $\Omega_{*}$-module structure of $\widetilde{\Omega}_{*}\left(H_{m}\right)$ in Theorem 8.12.

We prepare in $\S 1$ some results for the homology of $H_{m}$. In $\S 2$, we study the unoriented bordism module $\mathfrak{M}_{*}\left(H_{m}\right)$ by using the isomorphism

$$
\mathfrak{N}_{*}\left(H_{m}\right) \cong \mathfrak{M}_{*} \otimes H_{*}\left(H_{m} ; Z_{2}\right) \quad(\text { cf. }[3,(19.3)])
$$

and determine the free $\mathfrak{M}_{*}$-module structure of $\mathfrak{M}_{*}\left(H_{m}\right)$ (Theorem 2.13). Also, we study the module $\mathfrak{M}_{*}\left(N S^{1}\right)$ of the normalizer $N S^{1}$ of $S^{1}$ in $S^{3}$ (Proposition 2.19).

We recall in $\S 3$ the results of [5] for the oriented bordism module $\Omega_{*}\left(Z_{2^{m}}\right)$. By using these results and the isomorphism

$$
\tilde{\Omega}_{*}\left(H_{m}\right) \cong \sum_{p+q=n} \tilde{H}_{p}\left(H_{m} ; \Omega_{q}\right) \quad \text { (cf. [3, Th. 14.2]) }
$$

we study in $\S 4$ the $\Omega_{*}$-submodules $\mathfrak{L}_{m}$ and $\mathfrak{B}_{m}$ in Theorem 7.5 and the submodules $\mathfrak{I}_{m}$ and $\mathfrak{I}_{m}$ generated by the bordism classes of (0.2) and (0.4), respectively. We define the $Z_{2}$-manifold $(T, N(\omega))$ in $\S 5$ and the $H_{m}$-manifold $\bar{\beta}_{m}(n, \omega)$ of ( 0.3 ) in $\S 6$, and prove our main results in §§ 7-8.
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## § 1. The homology of $\boldsymbol{H}_{\boldsymbol{m}}$

The generalized quaternion group $H_{m}(m \geqq 2)$, generated by

$$
x=\exp \left(\pi i / 2^{m-1}\right) \quad \text { and } \quad y=j
$$

acts freely on the infinite dimensional sphere $S^{\infty}=\cup_{n} S^{4 n+3}$ by the action of (0.1), and an $H_{m}$-equivariant $C W$-decomposition of $S^{\infty}$ is given in [4, §2] as follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
& S^{\infty}=\left\{q e^{4 l+s}, q e_{\varepsilon}^{4 l+t} \mid s=0,3 ; t=1,2 ; \varepsilon=1,2 ; q \in H_{m}\right\}, \\
& \partial e^{4 l}=\sum_{q \in H_{m}} q e^{4 l-1}, \\
& \partial e_{1}^{4 l+1}=(x-1) e^{4 l}, \quad \partial e_{2}^{4 l+1}=(y-1) e^{4 l}, \\
& \partial e_{1}^{4 l+2}=\sum_{i=0}^{2 m-1-1} x^{i} e_{1}^{4 l+1}-(y+1) e_{2}^{4 l+1},  \tag{1.1}\\
& \partial e_{2}^{4 l+2}=(x y+1) e_{1}^{4 l+1}+(x-1) e_{2}^{4 l+1}, \\
& \partial e^{4 l+3}=(x-1) e_{1}^{4 l+2}-(x y-1) e_{2}^{4 l+2} .
\end{align*}
$$

This induces a $C W$-decomposition of the classifying space $S^{\infty} / H_{m}$ of $H_{m}$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
& S^{\infty} / H_{m}=\left\{e_{m}^{4 l+s}, e_{\varepsilon, m}^{4 l+t} \mid s=0,3 ; t=1,2 ; \varepsilon=1,2\right\}, \\
& \partial e_{m}^{4 l}=2^{m+1} e_{m}^{4 l-1}, \quad \partial e_{1, m}^{4 l+1}=\partial e_{2, m}^{4 l+1}=0,  \tag{1.2}\\
& \partial e_{1, m}^{4 l+2}=2^{m-1} e_{1, m}^{4 l+1}-2 e_{2, m}^{l l+1}, \quad \partial e_{2, m}^{l l^{2} 2}=2 e_{1, m}^{4 l+1}, \quad \partial e_{m}^{4 l+3}=0 .
\end{align*}
$$

Therefore, we have

Lemma 1.3. ([2, Ch. XII, §7], [4, §2]) The homology groups of the generalized quaternion group $H_{m}$ are given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \tilde{H}_{k}\left(H_{m} ; Z\right)= \begin{cases}Z_{2}\left[e_{1, m}^{4 l+1}\right] \oplus Z_{2}\left[e_{2, m}^{4 l+1}\right] & \text { for } k=4 l+1, \\
Z_{2^{m+1}}\left[e_{m}^{4 l+3}\right] & \text { for } k=4 l+3, \\
0 & \text { otherwise },\end{cases} \\
& H_{k}\left(H_{m} ; Z_{2}\right)= \begin{cases}Z_{2}\left[e_{m}^{k}\right] & \text { for } k=4 l, 4 l+3, \\
Z_{2}\left[e_{1, m}^{k}\right] \oplus Z_{2}\left[e_{2, m}^{k}\right] & \text { for } k=4 l+1,4 l+2,\end{cases}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $Z_{t}[e]$ means the cyclic group of order $t$ generated by the homology class of the cell $e$.

Now, consider the cyclic subgroup $Z_{2^{m}}$ generated by the element $x$. Then, (1.1) induces the $C W$-decomposition

$$
S^{\infty} / Z_{2^{m}}=\left\{e^{4 l+s}, y e^{4 l+s}, e_{\varepsilon}^{4 l+t} \mid s=0,3 ; t=1,2 ; \varepsilon=1,2\right\}
$$

with the boundary formulae obtained by setting $x=1$ in those of (1.1). Therefore, we see easily that the generators of the homology groups

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{2 l+1}\left(Z_{2^{m}} ; Z\right)=Z_{2^{m}}\left[z_{2 l+1}\right], \quad H_{k}\left(Z_{2^{m}} ; Z_{2}\right)=Z_{2}\left[z_{k}\right] \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

are given by

$$
z_{4 l}=e^{4 l}, \quad z_{4 l+1}=e_{1}^{4 l+1}, \quad z_{4 l+2}=(1+y) e_{1}^{4 l+2}, \quad z_{4 l+3}=(1+y) e^{4 l+3}
$$

and that the extension and the transfer homomorphisms

$$
\begin{equation*}
i_{m *}: H_{k}\left(Z_{2^{m}} ; \Lambda\right) \longrightarrow H_{k}\left(H_{m} ; \Lambda\right), \quad t_{i_{m} *}: H_{k}\left(H_{m} ; \Lambda\right) \longrightarrow H_{k}\left(Z_{2^{m}} ; \Lambda\right) \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

( $\Lambda=Z$ or $Z_{2}$ ), induced by the inclusion

$$
\begin{equation*}
i_{m}: Z_{2^{m}} \longrightarrow H_{m}, \quad \operatorname{Im} i_{m}=Z_{2^{m}}[x], \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

have the following properties for the generators in Lemma 1.3 and (1.4).
Lemma 1.7. (i) For $k=4 l$ and $\Lambda=Z_{2}, i_{m *}$ is isomorphic and $t_{i_{m} *}$ is trivial.
(ii) $i_{m *}\left(z_{4 l+1}\right)=e_{1, m}^{4 l+1} ; \quad t_{i_{m} *}\left(e_{1, m}^{4+1}\right)=0, \quad t_{i_{m} *}\left(e_{2, m}^{4 l+1}\right)=2^{m-1} z_{4 l+1}$.
(iii) For $k=4 l+2$ and $\Lambda=Z_{2}, i_{m *}$ is trivial and

$$
t_{i_{m} *}\left(e_{1, m}^{4 l+2}\right)=z_{4 l+2}, \quad t_{i_{m} *}\left(e_{2, m}^{4 l+2}\right)=0 .
$$

(iv) For $k=4 l+3, i_{m *}\left(z_{4 l+3}\right)=2 e_{m}^{4 l+3}$ and $t_{i_{m} *}$ is epimorphic.

Also, we consider the cyclic subgroup $Z_{4}$ of $H_{2}$ generated by the element $y$. Then, (1.1) for $m=2$ induces the $C W$-decomposition of $S^{\infty} / Z_{4}$ by setting $y=1$, and we see easily that the generators of (1.4) for $m=2$ are given by

$$
z_{4 l}=e^{4 l}, \quad z_{4 l+1}=e_{2}^{4 l+1}, \quad z_{4 l+2}=(1+x) e_{\varepsilon}^{4 l+2}, \quad z_{4 l+3}=(1+x) e^{4 l+3},
$$

and that the extension and the transfer homomorphisms

$$
\begin{equation*}
j_{2 *}: H_{k}\left(Z_{4} ; \Lambda\right) \longrightarrow H_{k}\left(H_{2} ; \Lambda\right), \quad t_{j_{2} *}: H_{k}\left(H_{2} ; \Lambda\right) \longrightarrow H_{k}\left(Z_{4} ; \Lambda\right) \tag{1.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

( $\Lambda=Z$ or $Z_{2}$ ), induced by the inclusion

$$
\begin{equation*}
j_{2}: Z_{4} \longrightarrow H_{2}, \quad \operatorname{Im} j_{2}=Z_{4}[y] \tag{1.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

have the following properties.
Lemma 1.10. (i) For $k=4 l$ and $\Lambda=Z_{2}, j_{2 *}$ is isomorphic and $t_{j_{2} *}$ is trivial.
(ii) $j_{2 *}\left(z_{4 l+1}\right)=e_{2,2}^{4 l+1} ; \quad t_{j_{2} *}\left(e_{1,2}^{4 l+1}\right)=2 z_{4 l+1}, \quad t_{j_{2} *}\left(e_{2}^{4 l+1}{ }_{2}^{l}\right)=0$.
(iii) For $k=4 l+2$ and $\Lambda=Z_{2}, j_{2 *}$ is trivial and

$$
t_{j_{2} *}\left(e_{1,2}^{4 l+2}\right)=z_{4 l+2}=t_{j_{2} *}\left(e_{2,2}^{4 l+2}\right) .
$$

(iv) For $k=4 l+3, j_{2 *}\left(z_{4 l+3}\right)=2 e_{2}^{4 l+3}$ and $t_{j_{2} *}$ is epimorphic.

Now, consider the automorphism

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma: H_{2} \longrightarrow H_{2}, \quad \gamma(x)=y, \quad \gamma(y)=x . \tag{1.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 1.12. For the isomorphism

$$
\gamma_{*}: H_{4 l+2}\left(H_{2} ; Z_{2}\right) \longrightarrow H_{4 l+2}\left(H_{2} ; Z_{2}\right)
$$

induced by $\gamma$, we have

$$
\gamma_{*}\left(e_{1,2}^{4 l+{ }^{2}}\right)=e_{1,2}^{4 l+{ }^{2}}, \quad \gamma_{*}\left(e_{2,2}^{4 l+{ }^{2}}\right)=e_{1,2}^{4+{ }^{2}}+e_{2,2}^{4 l+{ }^{2}} .
$$

Proof. Set $\gamma_{*}\left(e_{\varepsilon, 2}^{4 l+2}\right)=a_{\varepsilon} e_{1,2}^{4 l+2}+b_{\varepsilon} e_{2,2}^{4 l+2}$. Since $\gamma \circ i_{2}=j_{2}$, we have the commutative diagram


Therefore we see that $a_{2}+b_{2}=0$ by Lemmas 1.7 (iii) and 1.10 (iii), and so that $a_{2}=b_{2}=1$ since $\gamma_{*}$ is isomorphic. This result and the equality $\gamma_{*} \gamma_{*}\left(e_{2,2}^{4 l+2}\right)=e_{2,2}^{4+2}$ show that $a_{1}=1, b_{1}=0$, as desired.
q.e.d.

Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
k_{l, m}: H_{l} \longrightarrow H_{m}, \quad k_{m}=k_{2, m}: H_{2} \longrightarrow H_{m}, \quad(2 \leqq l \leqq m), \tag{1.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

be the inclusions such that $k_{l, m}(x)=x^{2^{m-l}}, k_{l, m}(y)=y$.
Lemma 1.14. For the extension homomorphism

$$
k_{m *}: H_{k}\left(H_{2} ; \Lambda\right) \longrightarrow H_{k}\left(H_{m} ; \Lambda\right) \quad\left(\Lambda=Z \text { or } Z_{2}, m>2\right),
$$

induced by $k_{m}$ and the generators of Lemma 1.3, we have the following equalities:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& k_{m *}\left(e_{2}^{4 l}\right)=e_{m}^{4 l}, \quad k_{m *}\left(e_{1,2}^{4 l+1}\right)=0, \quad k_{m *}\left(e_{2,2}^{4 l+1}\right)=e_{2, m}^{4 l+1}, \\
& k_{m *}\left(e_{1,2}^{4 l+2}\right)=e_{1, m}^{4 l+2}, \quad k_{m *}\left(e_{2,2}^{4 l+2}\right)=0, \quad k_{m *}\left(e_{2}^{4 l+3}\right)=2^{m-2} e_{m}^{4 l+3} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. Consider the $H_{m}$-equivariant $C W$-decomposition (1.1) and the $H_{m-1}$-equivariant $C W$-decomposition

$$
S^{\infty}=\left\{q e^{\prime 4 l+s}, q e_{\varepsilon}^{\prime 4 l+t} \mid s=0,3 ; t=1,2 ; \varepsilon=1,2 ; q \in H_{m-1}\right\}
$$

of $[4, \S 2]$. Then, we see easily by the definition in [4, § 2] that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& e^{\prime 4 l}=e^{4 l}, \quad e_{1}^{\prime 4 l+1}=(1+x) e_{1}^{4 l+1}, \quad e_{2}^{\prime 4 l+1}=e_{2}^{4 l+1}, \\
& e_{1}^{\prime 4 l+2}=e_{1}^{4 l+2}, \quad e_{2}^{\prime 4 l+2}=(1+x) e_{2}^{4 l+2}, \quad e^{\prime 4 l+3}=(1+x) e^{4 l+3} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore the extension homomorphisms $k_{*}=k_{m-1, m *}: H_{k}\left(H_{m-1} ; \Lambda\right) \rightarrow H_{k}\left(H_{m} ; \Lambda\right)$ are given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& k_{*}\left(e_{m-1}^{4 l}\right)=e_{m}^{4 l}, \quad k_{*}\left(e_{1, m-1}^{4 l+1}\right)=0, \quad k_{*}\left(e_{2, m-1}^{4 l+1}\right)=e_{2, m}^{4 l+1} \\
& k_{*}\left(e_{1, m-1}^{4 l+2}\right)=e_{1, m}^{4 l+2}, \quad k_{*}\left(e_{2, m-1}^{4 l+2}\right)=0, \quad k_{*}\left(e_{m-1}^{4 l+3}\right)=2 e_{m}^{4 l+3}
\end{aligned}
$$

These show the desired results since $k_{m}=k_{m-1, m} \cdots \circ k_{2,3}$. q.e.d.

Finally consider the inclusion

$$
\begin{equation*}
j_{m}=k_{m} \circ j_{2}=k_{m} \circ \circ \circ i_{2}: Z_{4} \longrightarrow H_{m}, \quad \operatorname{Im} j_{m}=Z_{4}[y] . \tag{1.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, by Lemmas 1.10 and 1.14, we see that the extension homomorphism

$$
j_{m *}: H_{k}\left(Z_{4} ; \Lambda\right) \longrightarrow H_{k}\left(H_{m} ; \Lambda\right) \quad\left(\Lambda=Z \text { or } Z_{2}\right)
$$

satisfies the following
Lemma 1.16. (i) $j_{m *}$ is isomorphic for $k=4 l$ and $\Lambda=Z_{2}$, and is trivial for $k=4 l+2$ and $\Lambda=Z_{2}$.

$$
\begin{equation*}
j_{m *}\left(z_{4 l+1}\right)=e_{2, m}^{4 l+1}, \quad j_{m *}\left(z_{4 l+3}\right)=2^{m-1} e_{m}^{4 l+3} \tag{ii}
\end{equation*}
$$

## § 2. The unoriented bordism modules $\mathfrak{M}_{*}\left(\boldsymbol{H}_{\boldsymbol{m}}\right)$ and $\mathfrak{N}_{\boldsymbol{*}}\left(\boldsymbol{N S}{ }^{\mathbf{1}}\right)$

For a given compact Lie group $G$, an $n$-dimensional principal $G$-manifold ( $G$, $\left.B^{n}\right)=\left(\alpha, B^{n}\right)$ is a pair of a compact (smooth) $n$-manifold $B^{n}$ and a free (smooth) action $\alpha: G \times B^{n} \rightarrow B^{n}$, and two closed principal $G$-manifolds ( $G, M^{n}$ ) and ( $G, N^{n}$ ) are $G$-equivariantly bordant, if there is a principal $G$-manifold ( $G, B^{n+1}$ ) with $\left(G, \dot{B}^{n+1}\right)=\left(G, M^{n} \cup N^{n}\right)$. Denote by the $G$-bordism class of $\left(G, M^{n}\right)$ by [ $G$, $\left.M^{n}\right]$, and the collection of all such classes by $\mathfrak{M}_{n}(G) . \mathfrak{N}_{n}(G)$ is a module with respect to the disjoint union, and the direct sum

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathfrak{N}_{*}(G)=\sum_{n=l}^{\infty} \mathfrak{N}_{n}(G) \quad(l=\operatorname{dim} G) \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

is the principal unoriented $G$-bordism module. For the unit group $e$,

$$
\mathfrak{N}_{*}=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathfrak{N}_{n}, \quad \mathfrak{N}_{n}=\mathfrak{N}_{n}(e)
$$

is the Thom bordism ring with respect to the multiplication induced by the cartesian product $M \times N$, and $\mathfrak{N}_{*}(G)$ of (2.1) can be given a structure of (left) $\mathfrak{M}_{*}$-module by

$$
[N][G, M]=[G, N \times M],
$$

where $G$ acts on $N \times M$ by $g(n, m)=(n, g m)(c f .[3, \S \S 2,19])$.
For an element $[G, M] \in \mathfrak{N}_{n}(G)$, let $f: M / G \rightarrow B G$ be the classifying map of the principal $G$-bundle $M \rightarrow M / G$. Put $l=\operatorname{dim} G$. Then we see easily the following result in a way similar to the case of a finite group $G([3, \S 19])$ :
(2.2) There is an isomorphism

$$
\varphi: \mathfrak{N}_{*}(G) \longrightarrow \mathfrak{N}_{*}(B G)
$$

of $\mathfrak{N}_{*}$-modules of degree $-l$, defined by $\varphi[G, M]=[M / G, f]$, where $\mathfrak{N}_{*}(B G)$ is the $\mathfrak{N}_{*}$-module in $[3, \S 8]$.

The element $\mu[G, M]=f_{*}(M / G) \in H_{n-l}\left(B G ; Z_{2}\right)$ is defined, where $M / G \in$ $H_{n-l}\left(M / G ; Z_{2}\right)$ means the fundamental class. Using [3, (8.1)] and (2.2), we have
(2.3) $\quad \mu: \mathfrak{N}_{n}(G) \longrightarrow H_{n-l}\left(B G ; Z_{2}\right)$ is epimorphic.

For a base $\left\{c_{n, i}\right\}$ of $H_{n}\left(B G ; Z_{2}\right)$, we can take $C_{n+l, i} \in \mathfrak{N}_{n+l}(G)$ with $\mu C_{n+l, i}$ $=c_{n, i}$ by (2.3). Then a homomorphism of $\mathfrak{N}_{*}$-modules

$$
h: \mathfrak{M}_{*} \otimes H_{*}\left(B G ; Z_{2}\right) \longrightarrow \mathfrak{M}_{*}(G)
$$

is obtained by $h\left(1 \otimes c_{n, i}\right)=C_{n+l, l}$, and
(2.4) (cf. [3, (19.3)]) $h$ is an isomorphism of $\mathfrak{\Re}_{*}$-modules.

Let $H$ be a subgroup of $G$. For the inclusion $i: H \subset G$, consider the extension homomorphism

$$
\begin{equation*}
i: \mathfrak{N}_{n}(H) \longrightarrow \mathfrak{N}_{n}(G) \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

defined by $i[H, M]=[i(H, M)]$, where $i(H, M)$ is the principal $G$-manifold consisting of the quotient manifold $(G \times M) / H$ of $G \times M$ by $(g, m) \equiv\left(g h^{-1}, h m\right)$ and the $G$-action on $(G \times M) / H$ given by $g^{\prime}[g, m]=\left[g^{\prime} g, m\right]$. Consider also the transfer homomorphism

$$
\begin{equation*}
t_{i}: \mathfrak{N}_{n}(G) \longrightarrow \mathfrak{N}_{n}(H) \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

defined by restricting the $G$-action on $H$ by the inclusion $i: H \subset G$.
Now, we study the $\mathfrak{\Re}_{*}$-module structure of $\mathfrak{M}_{*}\left(H_{m}\right)(m \geqq 2)$.
We consider the principal $Z_{2}$-manifolds

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(a, S^{n}\right) \quad(a \text { is the antipodal action }) \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the principal $Z_{2^{m}}$-manifolds

$$
l\left(a, S^{n}\right) \quad\left(l: Z_{2} \subset Z_{2^{m}}\right),
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(T_{m}, S^{2 n+1}\right), \quad T_{m}\left(x,\left(z_{0}, z_{1}, \ldots, z_{n}\right)\right)=\left(x z_{0}, x z_{1}, \ldots, x z_{n}\right) \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

For any principal $\mathrm{H}_{2}$-manifold $\left(\mathrm{H}_{2}, M\right)$, denote by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma\left(H_{2}, M\right) \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

the manifold $M$ with the new action $g * m=\gamma(g) m\left(g \in H_{2}, m \in M\right)$, where $\gamma: H_{2} \rightarrow$ $H_{2}$ is the automorphism (1.11).

Now we consider the principal $H_{m}$-manifolds

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\left(\alpha_{m}, S^{4 n+3}\right) & ((0.1)), \\
i_{m}\left(T_{m}, S^{2 n+1}\right) & \left(i_{m}: Z_{2^{m}} \subset H_{m} \text { of }(1.6)\right), \\
j_{m}\left(T_{2}, S^{2 n+1}\right) & \left(j_{m}: Z_{4} \subset H_{m} \text { of }(1.15)\right), \\
i\left(a, S^{n}\right) & \left(i=i_{m} \circ, Z_{2} \subset Z_{2^{m}} \subset H_{m}\right),  \tag{2.10}\\
\left(\beta_{m}, S^{2 n+1} \times S^{2 n+1}\right),\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\beta_{m}\left(x,\left(z, z^{\prime}\right)\right)=\left(x z, x^{-1} z^{\prime}\right) \\
\beta_{m}\left(y,\left(z, z^{\prime}\right)\right)=\left(-z^{\prime}, z\right),
\end{array}\right. \\
k_{m} \gamma\left(\beta_{2}, S^{2 n+1} \times S^{2 n+1}\right) \quad\left(k_{m}: H_{2} \subset H_{m} \text { of }(1.13)\right)
\end{array}
$$

Lemma 2.11. Consider the $Z_{4}$-manifold $\left(Z_{4}, S^{2 n+1} \times S^{2 n+1}\right)$ with $y\left(z, z^{\prime}\right)$ $=\left(-z^{\prime}, z\right)$ for the generator $y \in Z_{4}$. Then $\mu\left[Z_{4}, S^{2 n+1} \times S^{2 n+1}\right] \in$ $H_{4 n+2}\left(Z_{4} ; Z_{2}\right)$ is non-zero, where $\mu: \mathfrak{N}_{*}\left(Z_{4}\right) \rightarrow H_{*}\left(Z_{4} ; Z_{2}\right)$ is the homomorphism of (2.3).

Proof. By using the $Z_{4}$-equivariant $C W$-decomposition $S^{2 n+1} \times S^{2 n+1}$ $=\left\{e_{ \pm}^{k} \times e_{ \pm}^{l}\right\}$, we see easily that $\mu\left[Z_{4}, S^{2 n+1} \times S^{2 n+1}\right]$ is non-zero in $H_{4 n+2}\left(\cup_{n}\left(S^{2 n+1} \times S^{2 n+1}\right) / Z_{4} ; Z_{2}\right)=H_{4 n+2}\left(Z_{4} ; Z_{2}\right) . \quad$ q.e.d.

For the homomorphism

$$
\mu: \mathfrak{N}_{n}\left(H_{m}\right) \longrightarrow H_{n}\left(H_{m} ; Z_{2}\right) \quad(m \geqq 2)
$$

of (2.3) and the bordism classes of the manifolds in (2.10), we have the following lemma, where $e$ 's are the generators in Lemma 1.3.

Lemma 2.12. (i) $\mu\left[\alpha_{m}, S^{4 n+3}\right]=e_{m}^{4 n+3}$,
(ii) $\mu i_{m}\left[T_{m}, S^{4 n+1}\right]=e_{1, m}^{4+1}$,
(iii) $\mu j_{m}\left[T_{2}, S^{4 n+1}\right]=e_{2, m}^{4,+1}$,
(iv) $\mu i\left[a, S^{4 n}\right]=e_{m}^{4 n}$,
(v) $\mu\left[\beta_{m}, S^{2 n+1} \times S^{2 n+1}\right]=e_{2, m}^{4+2}$,
(vi) $\mu k_{m} \gamma\left[\beta_{2}, S^{2 n+1} \times S^{2 n+1}\right]=\left\{\begin{array}{lll}e_{1,2}^{4 n+2}+e_{2,2}^{4 n+2} & \text { for } m=2, \\ e_{1, m}^{4+2} & \text { for } m>2 .\end{array}\right.$

Proof. We have (i) from (1.2). Since $\mu$ is natural for maps, (ii), (iii) and (iv) follow from Lemmas 1.7, 1.16 and [5, Prop. 1.7 (i)]. (vi) follows from (v), Lemmas 1.12 and 1.14. Therefore, it is sufficient to prove (v).
(v) Consider the commutative diagram (cf. [3, §20])


Then we see that

$$
\beta=\mu\left[\beta_{m}, S^{2 n+1} \times S^{2 n+1}\right] \neq 0 \quad \text { in } \quad H_{4 n+2}\left(H_{m} ; Z_{2}\right),
$$

since $t_{j_{m} *}(\beta)=\mu\left[Z_{4}, S^{2 n+1} \times S^{2 n+1}\right] \neq 0$ by the above lemma. On the other hand, we see that $t_{i_{m} *}(\beta)=0$ since $t_{i_{m}}\left(\beta_{m}, S^{2 n+1} \times S^{2 n+1}\right)$ is the boundary of ( $Z_{2^{m}}$, $D^{2 n+2} \times S^{2 n+1}$ ), where $D^{2 n+2}$ is the disk bounded by $S^{2 n+1}$ and $Z_{2^{m}}$ acts on
$D^{2 n+2} \times S^{2 n+1}$ by $x\left(z, z^{\prime}\right)=\left(x z, x^{-1} z^{\prime}\right)$. Therefore, we see $\beta=e_{2, m}^{4,{ }_{2}^{2}}$ by Lemma 1.7 (iii). q.e.d.

By (2.4) and Lemmas 1.3 and 2.12, we have immediately
Theorem 2.13. $\mathfrak{N}_{*}\left(H_{m}\right)(m \geqq 2)$ is a free $\mathfrak{N}_{*}$-module with basis $\left\{\left[\alpha_{m}, S^{4 n+3}\right], \quad i_{m}\left[T_{m}, S^{4 n+1}\right], \quad j_{m}\left[T_{2}, S^{4 n+1}\right], \quad i\left[a, S^{4 n}\right], \quad\left[\beta_{m}, S^{2 n+1} \times S^{2 n+1}\right]\right.$, $\left.k_{m} \gamma\left[\beta_{2}, S^{2 n+1} \times S^{2 n+1}\right] \mid n \geqq 0\right\}$.

Lemma 2.14. (i) By the extension homomorphism $k_{l, m}: \mathfrak{N}_{*}\left(H_{l}\right) \rightarrow \mathfrak{N}_{*}\left(H_{m}\right)$ ( $2 \leqq l \leqq m$ ) induced by $k_{l, m}: H_{l} \rightarrow H_{m}$ of (1.13), the free $\mathfrak{N}_{*}$-submodule

$$
\mathfrak{N}_{*}\left\{\left\{k_{l} \gamma\left[\beta_{2}, S^{2 n+1} \times S^{2 n+1}\right], j_{l}\left[T_{2}, S^{4 n+1}\right] \mid n \geqq 0\right\}\right\} \subset \mathfrak{M}_{*}\left(H_{l}\right)
$$

is mapped isomorphically onto the free $\mathfrak{M}_{*}$-submodule

$$
\mathfrak{N}_{*}\left\{\left\{k_{m} \gamma\left[\beta_{2}, S^{2 n+1} \times S^{2 n+1}\right], j_{m}\left[T_{2}, S^{4 n+1}\right] \mid n \geqq 0\right\}\right\} \subset \mathfrak{N}_{*}\left(H_{m}\right) .
$$

(ii) By the transfer homomorphism $t_{k l, m}: \mathfrak{N}_{*}\left(H_{m}\right) \rightarrow \mathfrak{N}_{*}\left(H_{l}\right)$, the free $\mathfrak{N}_{*}{ }^{-}$ submodule

$$
\mathfrak{N}_{*}\left\{\left\{\left[\beta_{m}, S^{2 n+1} \times S^{2 n+1}\right], i_{m}\left[T_{m}, S^{4 n+1}\right] \mid n \geqq 0\right\}\right\} \subset \mathfrak{N}_{*}\left(H_{m}\right)
$$

is mapped isomorphically onto the free $\mathfrak{N}_{*}$-submodule

$$
\mathfrak{N}_{*}\left\{\left\{\left[\beta_{l}, S^{2 n+1} \times S^{2 n+1}\right], i_{l}\left[T_{l}, S^{4 n+1}\right] \mid n \geqq 0\right\}\right\} \subset \mathfrak{M}_{*}\left(H_{l}\right) .
$$

Proof. By Theorem 2.13, (i) is clear and (ii) follows immediately from the facts that $k_{l, m}{ }^{\circ} k_{l}=k_{m}, k_{l, m}{ }^{\circ} j_{l}=j_{m}$ and $t_{k_{l, m}}\left[\beta_{m}, S^{2 n+1} \times S^{2 n+1}\right]=\left[\beta_{l}, S^{2 n+1} \times\right.$ $\left.S^{2 n+1}\right]$ and $t_{k_{l}} i_{m}\left[T_{m}, S^{4 n+1}\right]=i_{l}\left[T_{l}, S^{4 n+1}\right]$.
q.e.d.

For the transfer homomorphism $\boldsymbol{t}_{j_{m}}: \mathfrak{N}_{*}\left(H_{m}\right) \rightarrow \mathfrak{N}_{*}\left(Z_{4}\right)$ induced by the inclusion $j_{m}: Z_{4} \subset H_{m}$ of (1.15), we have

Lemma 2.15. $\quad t_{j_{m}}\left[\beta_{m}, S^{2 n+1} \times S^{2 n+1}\right]=l\left[a, S^{4 n+2}\right]+\sum_{q}^{2 n}{ }_{0} b_{q} l\left[a, S^{2 q}\right]$, for some $b_{q} \in \mathfrak{N}_{*}$, where $l: Z_{2} \subset Z_{4}$.

Proof. Since $\mu t_{j_{m}}\left[\beta_{m}, S^{2 n+1} \times S^{2 n+1}\right] \neq 0$ by Lemma 2.11, we can write

$$
\begin{aligned}
& t_{j_{m}}\left[\beta_{m}, S^{2 n+1} \times S^{2 n+1}\right] \\
& \quad=l\left[a, S^{4 n+2}\right]+\sum_{q=0}^{2 n} b_{q} l\left[a, S^{2 q}\right]+\sum_{q=0}^{2 n} y_{q}\left[T_{2}, S^{2 q+1}\right],
\end{aligned}
$$

by [5, Prop. 1.7, Th. 1.22]. Consider the transfer homomorphism $t_{l}: \mathfrak{M}_{*}\left(Z_{4}\right)$ $\rightarrow \mathfrak{N}_{*}\left(Z_{2}\right)$. Then, $t_{l} t_{j_{m}}\left[\beta_{m}, S^{2 n+1} \times S^{2 n+1}\right]=0$ and $t_{l}\left[\left[a, S^{2 q}\right]=2\left[a, S^{2 q}\right]=0\right.$ $(q \geqq 0)$. Therefore, $\sum_{q=0}^{2 n} y_{q}\left[a, S^{2 q+1}\right]=0$ in $\mathfrak{N}_{*}\left(Z_{2}\right)$. By [3, Th. 23.2], $y_{q}=0$ $(q \geqq 0)$ in $\mathfrak{N}_{*}$. Hence, we have the desired result.
q.e.d.

Let $N S^{1}$ be the normalizer of $S^{1}$ in $S^{3}$. For the rest of this section, we study the free $\mathfrak{M}_{*}$-module structure of $\mathfrak{N}_{*}\left(N S^{1}\right)$. Consider the fiber bundle

$$
R P(2) \longrightarrow B N S^{1} \longrightarrow B S^{3},
$$

where $R P(2)=S^{3} / N S^{1}$ is the real projective plane. Consider the homology spectral sequence $\left\{E_{p, q}^{*}\right\}$ for this bundle. Then

$$
E_{q, q}^{2}=H_{p}\left(B S^{3} ; \Lambda\right) \otimes H_{q}(R P(2) ; \Lambda) \quad\left(\Lambda=Z \text { or } Z_{2}\right),
$$

and so this spectral sequence is trivial. Hence, we have immediately
Proposition 2.16. The homology groups of the classifying space BNS ${ }^{1}$ of $N S^{1}$ are given by

$$
H_{k}\left(B N S^{1} ; Z_{2}\right)= \begin{cases}Z_{2} & \text { for } k \neq 3  \tag{4}\\ 0 & \text { for } k \equiv 3\end{cases}
$$

Now, we consider the principal $S^{1}$-manifolds

$$
\left(S^{1}, S^{2 n+1}\right), \quad c\left(z_{0}, \ldots, z_{n}\right)=\left(c z_{0}, \ldots, c z_{n}\right) \quad\left(c \in S^{1}\right),
$$

and the principal $N S^{1}$-manifolds

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(\alpha, S^{4 n+3}\right), \quad \alpha\left(q,\left(q_{0}, \ldots, q_{n}\right)\right)=\left(q q_{0}, \ldots, q q_{n}\right) \quad\left(q \in N S^{1}\right), \\
& \left(\beta, S^{2 n+1} \times S^{2 n+1}\right),\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\beta\left(c,\left(z, z^{\prime}\right)\right)=\left(c z, c^{-1} z^{\prime}\right) \quad\left(c \in S^{1}\right), \\
\beta\left(j,\left(z, z^{\prime}\right)\right)=\left(-z^{\prime}, z\right),
\end{array}\right.  \tag{2.17}\\
& i\left(S^{1}, S^{2 n+1}\right) \quad\left(i: S^{1} \subset N S^{1}\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

Then we have easily by definition the following
Lemma 2.18. For the transfer homomorphism

$$
t: \mathfrak{N}_{*}\left(N S^{1}\right) \longrightarrow \mathfrak{M}_{*}\left(H_{m}\right)
$$

induced by the inclusion $H_{m} \subset N S^{1}$, we have
(i) $t\left[\alpha, S^{4 n+3}\right]=\left[\alpha_{m}, S^{4 n+3}\right], t\left[\beta, S^{2 n+1} \times S^{2 n+1}\right]=\left[\beta_{m}, S^{2 n+1} \times S^{2 n+1}\right]$,
(ii) $t i\left[S^{1}, S^{4 n+1}\right]=i_{m}\left[T_{m}, S^{4 n+1}\right]$.

Proposition 2.19. $\mathfrak{N}_{*}\left(N S^{1}\right)$ is a free $\mathfrak{N}_{*}$-module with basis $\left\{\left[\alpha, S^{4 n+3}\right]\right.$, $\left.i\left[S^{1}, S^{4 n+1}\right],\left[\beta, S^{2 n+1} \times S^{2 n+1}\right] \mid n \geqq 0\right\}$.

Proof. We have the desired result from (2.4), Theorem 2.13, Proposition
2.16 and Lemma 2.18.
q.e.d.

Also, by Lemma 2.18, Theorem 2.13 and Proposition 2.19, we have
Lemma 2.20. The transfer homomorphism $t$ in Lemma 2.18 is monomorphic.

## §3. Preliminaries to the oriented bordism module $\boldsymbol{\Omega}_{*}(G)$

The principal oriented $G$-bordism module and the oriented bordism ring

$$
\Omega_{*}(G)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \Omega_{n}(G) \quad \text { and } \quad \Omega_{*}=\Omega_{*}(e)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \Omega_{n}
$$

are defined in the same way as $\mathfrak{\Re}_{*}(G)$ and $\mathfrak{R}_{*}$ in $\S 2$, provided that manifolds are oriented and $G$-actions preserve the orientations (cf. [3, §§2,19]). $\Omega_{*}(G)$ is a module over $\Omega_{*}$, and there are homomorphisms

$$
\begin{equation*}
r: \Omega_{*}(G) \longrightarrow \mathfrak{N}_{*}(G), \quad r: \Omega_{*} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{N}_{*}, \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

obtained by ignoring the orientations. Also, the augmentation homomorphism

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varepsilon_{*}: \Omega_{*}(G) \longrightarrow \Omega_{*}, \quad \varepsilon_{*}[G, M]=[M / G], \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

defines the direct sum decomposition of $\Omega_{*}$-modules:

$$
\Omega_{*}(G)=\widetilde{\Omega}_{*}(G) \oplus \Omega_{*}, \quad \widetilde{\Omega}_{*}(G)=\operatorname{Ker} \varepsilon_{*}
$$

It is known that
(3.3) (Rohlin's Theorem, cf. [3, Th. 16.2]) There are exact sequences

$$
\Omega_{n}(G) \xrightarrow{\times 2} \Omega_{n}(G) \xrightarrow{r} \mathfrak{N}_{n}(G), \quad \Omega_{n} \xrightarrow{\times 2} \Omega_{n} \xrightarrow{r} \mathfrak{N}_{n} .
$$

Let $H$ be a subgroup of $G$. For the inclusion $i: H \subset G$, the extension homomorphism

$$
\begin{equation*}
i: \Omega_{n}(H) \longrightarrow \Omega_{n}(G) \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the transfer homomorphism

$$
\begin{equation*}
t_{i}: \Omega_{n}(G) \longrightarrow \Omega_{n}(H) \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

are defined in the same way as (2.5) and (2.6) (cf. [3, §20]).
Wall's results on $\Omega_{*}$ can be stated as follows: Let $\pi$ denote the set of partitions $\omega=\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{r}\right)$ with unequal parts $a_{j}$, none of which is a power of 2 , and set $|\omega|=r$. Let $\omega \cap \omega^{\prime}, \omega \ominus \omega^{\prime}$ and $\omega_{j} \in \pi$ for $\omega, \omega^{\prime} \in \pi$ be the intersection, the sym-
metric difference and the partition obtained from $\omega=\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{r}\right)$ by omitting $a_{j}$, respectively. Then

Theorem 3.6. (С. T. C. Wall [9]) The oriented bordism ring $\Omega_{*}$ is the quotient ring of the integral polynomial ring

$$
Z\left[h_{4 k}, g(\omega) \mid k \geqq 0, \omega \in \pi\right]
$$

by the ideal generated by the elements

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 2 g(\omega), \quad \sum_{j} g\left(a_{j}\right) g\left(\omega_{j}\right) \quad(|\omega| \geqq 3), \\
& g(\omega) g\left(\omega^{\prime}\right)-\sum_{j} h\left(\omega_{j} \cap \omega^{\prime}\right) g\left(a_{j}\right) g\left(\omega_{j} \ominus \omega^{\prime}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

where $h(\omega)=h_{4 a_{1}} \cdots h_{4 a_{r}}$ for $\omega=\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{r}\right)$.
We study in [5] the principal oriented $Z_{2^{k}}$-bordism module $\Omega_{*}\left(Z_{2^{k}}\right)(k>1)$. Consider the following elements in $\Omega_{*}\left(Z_{2^{k}}\right)$ :

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
{\left[T_{k}, S^{2 n+1}\right]} & (\text { in }(2.8)), \\
l E^{2 n+1} W(\omega) & \left(l: Z_{2} \subset Z_{2^{k}}\right), \tag{3.7}
\end{array}
$$

the second of which is the extension of $E^{2 n+1} W(\omega) \in \widetilde{\Omega}_{*}\left(Z_{2}\right)$ defined by K. Shibata $[6, \S \S 3,4]$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& A_{n, k}(\omega)=\sum_{j} g\left(a_{j}\right) l E^{2 n+1} W\left(\omega_{j}\right) \quad(|\omega| \geqq 2), \\
& B_{n, k}\left(\omega, \omega^{\prime}\right)= \sum_{j} h\left(\omega_{j} \cap \omega^{\prime}\right) g\left(a_{j}\right) l E^{2 n+1} W\left(\omega_{j} \ominus \omega^{\prime}\right)  \tag{3.8}\\
&-g(\omega) l E^{2 n+1} W\left(\omega^{\prime}\right),
\end{align*}
$$

for $\omega, \omega^{\prime} \in \pi$, where $g(\omega) l E^{2 n+1} W(\phi)=0$.
Theorem 3.9. ([5, Th. 2.18]) The principal oriented $Z_{2^{k}}$-bordism module $\widetilde{\Omega}_{*}\left(Z_{2^{k}}\right)(k>1)$ is the direct sum

$$
\tilde{\Omega}_{*}\left(Z_{2^{k}}\right)=\mathfrak{S}_{k} \oplus \tilde{\mathfrak{F}}_{k},
$$

where the submodule $\mathfrak{S}_{k}$ is the quotient module of the free $\Omega_{*}$-module

$$
\Omega_{*}\left\{\left\{\left[T_{k}, S^{2 n+1}\right] \mid n \geqq 0\right\}\right\}
$$

by the submodule generated by the elements $2^{k}\left[T_{k}, S^{2 n+1}\right](n \geqq 0)$, and $\mathfrak{5}_{k}$ is the quotient module of the free $\Omega_{*}$-module

$$
\Omega_{*}\left\{\left\{l E^{2 n+1} W(\omega) \mid n \geqq 0, \omega \in \pi\right\}\right\}
$$

by the submodule generated by the elements $2 l E^{2 n+1} W(\omega)$ and $A_{n, k}(\omega)(|\omega| \geqq 2)$,
$B_{n, k}\left(\omega, \omega^{\prime}\right),\left(n \geqq 0, \omega, \omega^{\prime} \in \pi\right)$, of (3.8).
By [5, Th. 2.22], Rohlin's Theorem (3.3) and the above theorem, we see the following proposition for the homomorphism $r: \Omega_{*}\left(Z_{2^{k}}\right) \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}_{*}\left(Z_{2^{k}}\right)$ of (3.1).

Proposition 3.10. (i) $r l E^{2 n+1} W(\omega)=r g(\omega)\left(\sum_{j=0}^{n+1} a_{2 j} l\left[a, S^{2 n-2 j+2}\right]\right)$, where $a_{2 j}$ is defined by $a_{0}=1$ and $\sum_{j=0}^{m} a_{2 j}[R P(2 m-2 j)]=0$ in $\mathfrak{\Re}_{*}$ for any $m \geqq 1$.
(ii) The submodule $\mathfrak{G}_{k}$ of $\widetilde{\Omega}_{*}\left(Z_{2^{k}}\right)$ in the above theorem is mapped by $r$ monomorphically into $\mathfrak{M}_{*}\left(Z_{2^{k}}\right)$.

## §4. Some $\Omega_{*}$-submodules in $\boldsymbol{\Omega}_{\boldsymbol{*}}\left(\boldsymbol{H}_{\boldsymbol{m}}\right)$

Now, we begin to study the principal oriented bordism module $\Omega_{*}\left(H_{m}\right)$ of the generalized quaternion group $H_{m}(m \geqq 2)$.

For our purpose, we use the following theorem which follows immediately from [3, Th. 14.2] and Lemma 1.3.

Theorem 4.1. The canonical homomorphism

$$
\theta: \widetilde{\Omega}_{n}\left(H_{m}\right) \longrightarrow \sum_{p+q=n} \tilde{H}_{p}\left(H_{m} ; \Omega_{q}\right) \quad(m \geqq 2)
$$

of $[3, \S 14]$ is isomorphic.
We see easily that the canonical homomorphisms $\theta$ are natural by the proof of [3, pp. 39-41], and so we have the commutative diagram

where $r$ 's are the orientation ignoring homomorphisms of (3.1) and $i_{m}: Z_{2^{m}} \subset H_{m}$, $j_{m}: Z_{4} \subset H_{m}$ are the inclusions of (1.6) and (1.15), and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& H_{n}^{\prime \varepsilon}, k \\
& H_{n, l}^{\varepsilon}=\sum_{q} \widetilde{H}_{4 q+\varepsilon}\left(Z_{2^{k}} ; \Omega_{n-4 q-\varepsilon}\right), \\
& \left(H_{l} ; \Omega_{n-4 q-\varepsilon}\right), \quad(k, l \geqq 2, \varepsilon=0,1,2,3) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Lemma 4.3. In $\widetilde{\Omega}_{*}\left(H_{m}\right)(m \geqq 2)$, we have the following relations.
(i) The elements $\left[\alpha_{m}, S^{4 n+3}\right], i_{m}\left[T_{m}, S^{4 n+1}\right]$ and $j_{m}\left[T_{2}, S^{4 n+1}\right]$ of (2.10) are of order $2^{m+1}, 2$ and 2 , respectively.

$$
\begin{equation*}
x\left[\alpha_{m}, S^{4 n+3}\right]=0 \quad \text { if and only if } \quad x \in 2^{m+1} \Omega_{*}, \tag{ii}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
x i_{m}\left[T_{m}, S^{4 n+1}\right]=0 & \text { if and only if } & x \in 2 \Omega_{*}, \\
x j_{m}\left[T_{2}, S^{4 n+1}\right]=0 & \text { if and only if } & x \in 2 \Omega_{*},
\end{array}
$$

for $x \in \Omega_{*}$.
Proof. Consider the natural homomorphisms

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu: \Omega_{n}(G) \longrightarrow H_{n}(G ; Z) \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

defined for finite groups $G$ in the same way as $\mu$ of (2.3). Then, we see easily that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mu\left[\alpha_{m}, S^{4 n+3}\right]=e_{m}^{4 n+3}, \\
& \mu i_{m}\left[T_{m}, S^{4 n+1}\right]=i_{m *} \mu\left[T_{m}, S^{4 n+1}\right]=e_{1, m}^{4 n+1},  \tag{*}\\
& \mu j_{m}\left[T_{2}, S^{4 n+1}\right]=j_{m *} \mu\left[T_{2}, S^{4 n+1}\right]=e_{2, m}^{4,+1},
\end{align*}
$$

by (1.2), the proof of [5, Lemma 2.13 (i)] and Lemmas 1.7 and 1.16 .
(i) We see that the order of $\left[\alpha_{m}, S^{4 n+3}\right]$ is $2^{m+1}$ by the first equality of (*), Lemma 1.3 and Theorem 4.1.

Since $\theta\left(\left[T_{k}, S^{4 n+1}\right]\right) \in H_{4 n+1, k}^{\prime 1} \oplus H_{4 n+1, k}^{\prime 3}$ by [5, Prop. 2.14 (ii)], we have

$$
\theta\left(i_{m}\left[T_{m}, S^{4 n+1}\right]\right), \quad \theta\left(j_{m}\left[T_{2}, S^{4 n+1}\right]\right) \in H_{4 n+1, m}^{1}
$$

by the commutativity of the diagram (4.2) and Lemmas 1.7 and 1.16. These and the last two equalities of $(*)$ and Lemma 1.3 show the rest of (i).
(ii) By (i), it is sufficient to prove the necessity. Since the bordism spectral sequence of $B H_{m}$ is trivial (cf. [3, Th. 15.2]), there is a commutative diagram

by [3, §7], where $\kappa$ 's are the homomorphisms defined by the multiplication and $\mu$ is the one in (4.4), and the lower $\kappa$ is monomorphic. Therefore, we have the desired results by using (*), Lemma 1.3 and the structure of $\Omega_{l}$.
q.e.d.

Lemma 4.5. By the composition $r \circ i_{m}$ in (4.2), the submodule in $\tilde{\Omega}_{*}\left(Z_{2^{m}}\right)$ generated by the elements $l E^{4 n+3} W(\omega)(n \geqq 0, \omega \in \pi)$ in (3.7) is mapped monomorphically into $\mathfrak{\Re}_{*}\left(H_{m}\right)$, and

$$
r i_{m} l E^{4 n+3} W(\omega)=r i E^{4 n+3} W(\omega)=r g(\omega)\left(\sum_{j=0}^{2 n+2} a_{2 j} i\left[a, S^{4(n+1)-2 j}\right]\right),
$$

where $i=i_{m}{ }^{\circ} l: Z_{2} \subset Z_{2^{m}} \subset H_{m}$ and the coefficients $a_{2_{j}}$ are the ones in Proposition 3.10.

Proof. The equality follows from Proposition 3.10 (i). Then, we have the desired results from Proposition 3.10, [5, Prop. 1.7 (i)], Theorem 2.13 and the facts that $i_{m}{ }^{\circ} r=r \circ i_{m}$ and $a_{0}=1$.
q.e.d.

Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta: \Omega_{n}\left(H_{m}\right) \longrightarrow \Omega_{n-4}\left(H_{m}\right), \quad \Delta: \mathfrak{N}_{n}\left(H_{m}\right) \longrightarrow \mathfrak{N}_{n-4}\left(H_{m}\right) \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

be the Smith homomorphisms defined as follows (cf. [3, §26 and (34.7)]): For a principal (oriented) $H_{m}$-manifold ( $H_{m}, M^{n}$ ), we can take a differentiable equivariant map $\varphi:\left(H_{m}, M^{n}\right) \rightarrow\left(\alpha_{m}, S^{4 N+3}\right)$ which is transverse regular on $S^{4 N-1}$, since $S^{4 N+3} / \alpha_{m}$ is the $(4 N+3)$-skeleton of $B H_{m}$, where ( $\alpha_{m}, S^{4 N+3}$ ) is the one in (2.10) and $4 N+3>n$. Then,

$$
\Delta\left[H_{m}, M^{n}\right]=\left[H_{m}, \varphi^{-1}\left(S^{4 N-1}\right)\right]
$$

It is easy to see that $\Delta$ is a homomorphism of $\Omega_{*^{-}}\left(\mathfrak{N}_{*^{-}}\right)$modules, and
Lemma 4.7. (i) $\quad \Delta\left[\alpha_{m}, S^{4 n+3}\right]=\left[\alpha_{m}, S^{4 n-1}\right]$,
(ii)

$$
\Delta i_{m}\left[T_{m}, S^{4 n+1}\right]=i_{m}\left[T_{m}, S^{4 n-3}\right]
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta j_{m}\left[T_{2}, S^{4 n+1}\right]=j_{m}\left[T_{2}, S^{4 n-3}\right] \tag{iii}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. (i) is clear.
(ii) Consider the composition

$$
f: H_{m} \times S^{4 n+1} \xrightarrow{1 \times f_{1}} H_{m} \times S^{4 n+3} \xrightarrow{1 \times f_{2}} H_{m} \times S^{4 n+3} \xrightarrow{\alpha_{m}} S^{4 n+3},
$$

where $f_{1}\left(z_{0}, \ldots, z_{2 n}\right)=\left(0, z_{0}, \ldots, z_{2 n}\right), f_{2}\left(z_{0}, \ldots, z_{2 n+1}\right)=\left(z_{0}, z_{1}^{-1}, \ldots, z_{2 n}, z_{2 n+1}^{-1}\right)$. Then, it is easy to see that $f(q, z)=f\left(q x^{-1}, x z\right)$, and so $f$ induces an $H_{m}$-equivariant differentiable map

$$
f: i_{m}\left(T_{m}, S^{4 n+1}\right) \longrightarrow\left(\alpha_{m}, S^{4 n+3}\right)
$$

which is transverse regular on $S^{4 n-1}$. Therefore, we have (ii).
(iii) In the same way, we have the desired result by considering the composition

$$
\bar{f}: H_{m} \times S^{4 n+1} \xrightarrow{1 \times f_{1}} H_{m} \times S^{4 n+3} \xrightarrow{1 \times f_{3}} H_{m} \times S^{4 n+3} \xrightarrow{\alpha_{m}} S^{4 n+3}
$$

where $f_{3}\left(x_{0}, y_{0}, x_{1}, y_{1}, \ldots, x_{2 n}, y_{2 n}, x_{2 n+1}, y_{2 n+1}\right)=\left(x_{0}, x_{1}, y_{0}, y_{1}, \ldots, x_{2 n}, x_{2 n+1}\right.$, $\left.y_{2 n}, y_{2 n+1}\right)$.
q.e.d.

Now, we have the following
Theorem 4.8. (i) The $\Omega_{*}$-submodule $\mathfrak{L}_{m}$ generated by $\left\{\left[\alpha_{m}, S^{4 n+3}\right] \mid n \geqq 0\right\}$
in (2.10) is the quotient module of the free $\Omega_{*}$-module

$$
\Omega_{*}\left\{\left\{\left[\alpha_{m}, S^{4 n+3}\right] \mid n \geqq 0\right\}\right\}
$$

by the submodule generated by the elements $2^{m+1}\left[\alpha_{m}, S^{4 n+3}\right](n \geqq 0)$.
(ii) The $\Omega_{*}$-submodules $\mathfrak{I}_{m}$ and $\mathfrak{I}_{m}$ generated by $\left\{i_{m}\left[T_{m}, S^{4 n+1}\right] \mid n \geqq 0\right\}$ and $\left\{j_{m}\left[T_{2}, S^{4 n+1}\right] \mid n \geqq 0\right\}$ in (2.10), respectively, are the quotient modules of the free $\Omega_{*}$-modules

- $\Omega_{*}\left\{\left\{i_{m}\left[T_{m}, S^{4 n+1}\right] \mid n \geqq 0\right\}\right\}$ and $\Omega_{*}\left\{\left\{j_{m}\left[T_{2}, S^{4 n+1}\right] \mid n \geqq 0\right\}\right\}$
by the submodules generated by the twices of the generators.
(iii) Consider the extension

$$
i E^{4 n+3} W(\omega)=i_{m} l E^{4 n+3} W(\omega), \quad \text { for } \quad n \geqq 0, \quad \omega \in \pi,
$$

of the class of (3.7) by $i_{m}: Z_{2^{m}} \subset H_{m}$. Then the $\Omega_{*}$-submodule $\mathfrak{B}_{m}$ generated by these elements is the quotient module of the free $\Omega_{*}$-module

$$
\Omega_{*}\left\{\left\{i E^{4 n+3} W(\omega) \mid n \geqq 0, \omega \in \pi\right\}\right\}
$$

by the submodule generated by the elements $2 i E^{4 n+3} W(\omega), i_{m} A_{2 n+1, m}(\omega)(|\omega| \geqq 2)$ and $i_{m} B_{2 n+1, m}\left(\omega, \omega^{\prime}\right),\left(n \geqq 0, \omega, \omega^{\prime} \in \pi\right)$, which are the extensions of (3.8).

Proof. (i) Assume that

$$
\sum_{l=0}^{n} x_{l}\left[\alpha_{m}, S^{4 l+3}\right]=0 \quad\left(x_{l} \in \Omega_{*}\right) .
$$

Then, the image of the left hand side of this equality by $\Delta^{n}=\Delta \circ \cdots \circ \Delta$ ( $n$-times) of (4.6) is equal to $x_{n}\left[\alpha_{m}, S^{3}\right]$ by Lemma 4.7 (i). And so, we have $x_{n} \in 2^{m+1} \Omega_{*}$ by Lemma 4.3 (ii). Therefore, we have (i).
(ii) We have the desired results in the same way as (i) by Lemmas 4.7 and 4.3 (ii).
(iii) By Lemma 4.5 and Theorem 3.9, this result follows immediately. q.e.d.

Denote by ${ }^{\#} A$ the order of a group $A$.
Proposition 4.9. (i) The submodule $\mathfrak{I}_{m}+\mathfrak{I}_{m}+\mathfrak{I}_{m}+\mathfrak{W}_{m}$ in $\widetilde{\Omega}_{*}\left(H_{m}\right)$ is the direct sum

$$
\mathfrak{L}_{m} \oplus \mathfrak{I}_{m} \oplus \mathfrak{I}_{m} \oplus \mathfrak{W}_{m}
$$

(ii) ${ }^{\#}\left(\mathfrak{L}_{m} \cap \widetilde{\Omega}_{n}\left(H_{m}\right)\right)={ }^{\#} H_{n, m}^{3},{ }^{\#}\left(\mathfrak{I}_{m} \cap \widetilde{\Omega}_{n}\left(H_{m}\right)\right)={ }^{\#}\left(\mathfrak{I}_{m} \cap \widetilde{\Omega}_{n}\left(H_{m}\right)\right)=\left({ }^{\#} H_{n, m}^{1}\right)^{1 / 2}$ and ${ }^{\#}\left(\mathfrak{W}_{m} \cap \widetilde{\Omega}_{n}\left(H_{m}\right)\right)={ }^{\#} H_{n, m}^{0}$.
(iii) By the isomorphism $\theta$ in (4.2), $2^{k} \mathfrak{Q}_{m} \cap \widetilde{\Omega}_{n}\left(H_{m}\right)$ is mapped isomorphically
onto $2^{k} H_{n, m}^{3}(k \geqq 1)$.
(iv) For the transfer homomorphism $t: \Omega_{n}\left(H_{m+1}\right) \rightarrow \Omega_{n}\left(H_{m}\right)$ induced by $k_{m, m+1}: H_{m} \subset H_{m+1}$ of (1.13), we have the exact sequence

$$
0 \longrightarrow 2^{m+1} \mathfrak{L}_{m+1} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{L}_{m+1} \xrightarrow{t} \mathfrak{L}_{m} \longrightarrow 0
$$

Proof. (i) Assume that

$$
\Sigma x_{n}\left[\alpha_{m}, S^{4 n+3}\right]+\Sigma y_{n} i_{m}\left[T_{m}, S^{4 n+1}\right]+\Sigma z_{n} j_{m}\left[T_{2}, S^{4 n+1}\right]+w=0,
$$

where $w=\Sigma w_{n} i E^{4 n+3} W(\omega) \in \mathfrak{M}_{m}$. We consider the image of this equality ${ }^{*}$ by $r: \Omega_{n}\left(H_{m}\right) \rightarrow \mathfrak{N}_{n}\left(H_{m}\right)$. Then, by Theorem 2.13 and the equality of Lemma 4.5, we see that $r x_{n}=r y_{n}=r z_{n}=0$ in $\mathfrak{\Re}_{*}$ for any $n$ and $r w=0$. The last equality and Lemma 4.5 show $w=0$. Also, we have $y_{n}, z_{n} \in 2 \Omega_{*}$ by (3.3) and so $y_{n} i_{m}\left[T_{m}\right.$, $\left.S^{4 n+1}\right]=z_{n} j_{m}\left[T_{2}, S^{4 n+1}\right]=0$ by Lemma 4.3.
(ii) There is a group homomorphism

$$
\varphi: H_{n, m}^{3} \oplus H_{n, m}^{1} \longrightarrow\left(\mathfrak{I}_{m} \oplus \mathfrak{I}_{m} \oplus \mathfrak{I}_{m}\right) \cap \widetilde{\Omega}_{n}\left(H_{m}\right)
$$

defined by $\varphi\left(e_{m}^{4 l+3} \otimes x\right)=x\left[\alpha_{m}, S^{4 l+3}\right], \varphi\left(e_{1, m}^{4 l+1} \otimes x\right)=x i_{m}\left[T_{m}, S^{4 l+1}\right]$ and $\varphi\left(e_{2, m}^{4 l+1}\right.$ $\otimes x)=x j_{m}\left[T_{2}, S^{4 l+1}\right]\left(x \in \Omega_{*}\right)$. By Theorem 4.8 (i) and (ii), it is clear that $\varphi$ is isomorphic. Therefore, we have the first two equalities.

Now, $\mathfrak{M}_{m} \cap \widetilde{\Omega}_{n}\left(H_{m}\right) \approx r\left(\mathfrak{M}_{m} \cap \widetilde{\Omega}_{n}\left(H_{m}\right)\right)$ by Lemma 4.5. Furthermore, by using Theorem 2.13 and the equality $r i E^{4 l-1} W(\omega)=r g(\omega)\left(\sum_{j=0}^{2 l} a_{2 j} i\left[a, S^{4 l-2 j}\right]\right)\left(a_{0}=1\right)$ of Lemma 4.5, we see easily that $r\left(\mathfrak{W}_{m} \cap \widetilde{\Omega}_{n}\left(H_{m}\right)\right)$ corresponds bijectively to $\sum_{l} r\left(\operatorname{Tor} \Omega_{n-4 l}\right) \approx \sum_{l}\left(\tilde{H}_{4 l}\left(H_{m}, \Omega_{n-4 l}\right)\right)=H_{n, m}^{0}$ by sending $r\left(\sum_{l} \sum_{\omega} x_{l, \omega}{ }^{i} E^{4 l-1} W(\omega)\right)$ $\in r\left(\mathfrak{W}_{m} \cap \tilde{\Omega}_{n}\left(H_{m}\right)\right)$ to $\sum_{l} \sum_{\omega} r\left(x_{l, \omega} g(\omega)\right) \in \sum_{l} r\left(\operatorname{Tor} \Omega_{n-4 l}\right)$.
(iii) By Theorems 4.8 (i), 4.1 and Lemma 1.3, we have immediately the desired result.
(iv) Since $t\left[\alpha_{m+1}, S^{4 n+3}\right]=\left[\alpha_{m}, S^{4 n+3}\right]$, we have (iv) by Theorem 4.8 (i). q.e.d.

## §5. Some $\boldsymbol{Z}_{\mathbf{2}}$-manifolds

Let $C P(n)$ be the complex projective $n$-space, and $P(2 m+1, n)$ be the Dold manifold obtained from $S^{2 m+1} \times C P(n)$ by the identification

$$
\left(z_{0}, \ldots, z_{m}, \eta_{0}, \ldots, \eta_{n}\right) \equiv\left(-z_{0}, \ldots,-z_{m}, \bar{\eta}_{0}, \ldots, \bar{\eta}_{n}\right) \quad\left(z_{i}, \eta_{i} \in \boldsymbol{C}\right)
$$

Then, we have the $Z_{2}$-manifold ( $\tau, P(2 m+1, n)$ ) where $\tau$ is the involution given by $\tau\left[z_{0}, \ldots, z_{m-1}, z_{m}, \eta_{0}, \ldots, \eta_{n}\right]=\left[z_{0}, \ldots, z_{m-1}, \bar{z}_{m}, \eta_{0}, \ldots, \eta_{n}\right]$. Furthermore, we set

$$
Q(2 m+1, n)=\left(S^{1} \times P(2 m+1, n)\right) /(a \times \tau)
$$

By C. T. C. Wall [9], the subalgebra $\mathfrak{M}_{*}$ of $\mathfrak{M}_{*}$, consisting of all classes of manifolds whose first Stiefel-Whitney classes $w_{1}$ are integral, is given as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathfrak{M}_{*}=Z_{2}\left[X_{2 l}, X_{2 l-1},\left(X_{2 j}\right)^{2} \mid l \neq 2^{*}\right] \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\quad X_{2^{r}(2 s+1)-1}=\left[P\left(2^{r}-1,2^{r} s\right)\right], X_{2^{r}(2 s+1)}=\left[Q\left(2^{r}-1,2^{r} s\right)\right] \quad$ and $\quad X_{2^{j}}=$ [ $R P\left(2^{j}\right)$ ], the real projective $2^{j}$-space. Also, there is a homomorphism

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial: \mathfrak{M}_{*} \longrightarrow \Omega_{*} \tag{5.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

obtained by sending the class of $M$ to the class of the submanifold $N$ of $M$ dual to $w_{1}(M)$, and the homomorphism

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial^{\prime}=r \circ \partial: \mathfrak{M}_{*} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{M}_{*} \tag{5.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

is a derivation such that $\partial^{\prime} X_{2 l}=X_{2 l-1}, \partial^{\prime} X_{2 l-1}=0$ and $\partial^{\prime}\left(X_{2 j}\right)^{2}=0$.

$$
\text { Put } X(\omega)=X_{2 a_{1}} \cdots X_{2 a_{r}} \text { for } \omega=\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{r}\right) \in \pi \text {. Then }
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
g(\omega)=\partial X(\omega) \quad \text { for any } \quad \omega \in \pi \tag{5.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $g(\omega)$ is the element in Theorem 3.6.
By the consideration of K. Kawakubo [6], we can define the orientable manifold $N(\omega)$, which represents $g(\omega)$ and admits an orientation reversing involution, as follows: Define an orientation reversing involution $T$ on $P(2 m+1,2 n)$ by

$$
T\left[z_{0}, z_{1}, \ldots, z_{m}, \eta_{0}, \ldots, \eta_{2 n}\right]=\left[\bar{z}_{0}, z_{1}, \ldots, z_{m}, \eta_{0}, \ldots, \eta_{2 n}\right] .
$$

Set $N_{2^{r}(2 s+1)}=Q\left(2^{r+1}-1,2^{r+1} s\right)$ which admits an involution $T$ induced by $1 \times T$. Furthermore, for any $\omega=\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{r}\right) \in \pi, a_{1}<a_{2}<\cdots<a_{r}$, we can consider the involution $T=T \times 1 \times \cdots \times 1$ on $N_{a_{1}} \times \cdots \times N_{a_{r}}$. Also, the map $p: N_{a_{1}} \times \cdots \times N_{a_{r}}$ $\rightarrow S^{1}$ is defined by $p\left(\left[t_{1}, u_{1}\right], \ldots,\left[t_{r}, u_{r}\right]\right)=t_{1}^{2} \cdots t_{r}^{2}$, and it is easy to see that $p$ is transverse regular on $1 \in S^{1}$ and realizes $w_{1}\left(N_{a_{1}} \times \cdots \times N_{a_{r}}\right)$. Therefore, the submanifold $N(\omega)=p^{-1}(1)$ of $N_{a_{1}} \times \cdots \times N_{a_{r}}$ represents $g(\omega)$ of Theorem 3.6 by (5.4). (This construction is due to Anderson [1] and Stong [8].) Now, we obtain a $Z_{2}$-manifold

$$
\begin{equation*}
(T, N(\omega)), \quad T=T \mid N(\omega) \tag{5.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the involution $T$ reverses the orientation on $N(\omega)$. It is easy to see that

$$
\begin{equation*}
(T, N(\omega))=\left(T, P\left(2^{r+1}-1,2^{r+1} s\right)\right) \tag{5.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

if $|\omega|=1$ and $\omega=\left(2^{r}(2 s+1)\right)$.
Lemma 5.7. There is an oriented differentiable manifold $W$ with an orientation reversing involution $T^{\prime}$ such that

$$
\left(T^{\prime}, \dot{W}\right) \cong 2(T, N(\omega))
$$

Proof. The desired result follows in the same way as the proof that $2[N(\omega)]$ $=2 g(\omega)=0$ in $\Omega_{*}$ (cf. [9, Lemma 1]).
q.e.d.

## §6. Some new $\boldsymbol{H}_{\boldsymbol{m}}$-manifolds

Let $G$ be a group, and $\left(G, M_{0}\right)$ be a given closed (not necessarily principal) $G$-manifold. Then for any principal $G$-manifold $(G, M)$, the product $M \times M_{0}$ is a principal $G$-manifold by the action $g(m, n)=(g m, g n)$ for $g \in G, m \in M, n \in$ $M_{0}$. This $G$-manifold ( $G, M \times M_{0}$ ) is denoted by

$$
\begin{equation*}
(G, M) \times\left(G, M_{0}\right) \tag{6.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then the following lemma is clear.
Lemma 6.2. For a given closed G-manifold $\left(G, M_{0}\right)$,

$$
\mathfrak{N}_{*}(G) \longrightarrow \mathfrak{N}_{*}(G), \quad[G, M] \longrightarrow\left[(G, M) \times\left(G, M_{0}\right)\right]
$$

is a homomorphism of $\mathfrak{N}_{*}$-modules.
Now, for the $Z_{2}$-manifold $(T, N(\omega))$ of (5.5), we define the $H_{m}$-manifold $N(\omega)$ by the action

$$
x \cdot u=u, y \cdot u=T u \text { for the generators } x, y \in H_{m}
$$

which is again denoted by $(T, N(\omega))$. By taking the products (6.1) of this $H_{m}$-manifold and $\left(\beta_{m}, S^{2 n+1} \times S^{2 n+1}\right)$ in (2.10), we define the principal oriented $H_{m}$-manifolds

$$
\begin{align*}
\bar{\beta}_{m}(n, \omega) & =\left(\bar{\beta}_{m}, S^{2 n+1} \times S^{2 n+1} \times N(\omega)\right) \\
& =\left(\beta_{m}, S^{2 n+1} \times S^{2 n+1}\right) \times(T, N(\omega)) \tag{6.3}
\end{align*}
$$

due to K. Shibata. By using $\gamma$ of (2.9), we consider also

$$
\begin{equation*}
k_{m} \gamma \bar{\beta}_{2}(n, \omega) \quad\left(k_{m}: H_{2} \subset H_{m}\right. \text { of (1.13)) } \tag{6.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 6.5. The bordism classes $\left[\bar{\beta}_{m}(n, \omega)\right]$ and $k_{m} \gamma\left[\bar{\beta}_{2}(n, \omega)\right]$ of the above manifolds are contained in $\widetilde{\Omega}_{*}\left(H_{m}\right)$.

Proof. Since $H_{m}$ is the normal subgroup of $H_{m+1}$, there is a principal $Z_{2}$-bundle

$$
Z_{2} \longrightarrow S^{2 n+1} \times S^{2 n+1} \times N(\omega) / \bar{\beta}_{m} \longrightarrow S^{2 n+1} \times S^{2 n+1} \times N(\omega) / \bar{\beta}_{m+1}
$$

So, $\quad\left[S^{2 n+1} \times S^{2 n+1} \times N(\omega) / \bar{\beta}_{m}\right]=2\left[S^{2 n+1} \times S^{2 n+1} \times N(\omega) / \bar{\beta}_{m+1}\right]$ in $\Omega_{*}$ by [3,
(19.4)]. Furthermore, since $S^{2 n+1} \times S^{2 n+1} \times N(\omega) / \bar{\beta}_{m+1}$ is odd-dimensional, its class belongs to Tor $\Omega_{*}$ by Theorem 3.6. Therefore, we have $\varepsilon_{*}\left[\bar{\beta}_{m}(n, \omega)\right]=0$ by $2 \operatorname{Tor} \Omega_{*}=0$. Also,

$$
\varepsilon_{*} k_{m} \gamma\left[\bar{\beta}_{2}(n, \omega)\right]=\varepsilon_{*}\left[\bar{\beta}_{2}(n, \omega)\right]=0
$$

by (i).
In the commutative diagram

of the transfer homomorphisms and the orientation ignoring homomorphisms, we have

Lemma 6.7. (i) $r t_{j_{m}} i_{m}\left[T_{m}, S^{4 n+1}\right]=0$.
(ii) $r t_{j_{m}}\left[\bar{\beta}_{m}, S^{2 n+1} \times S^{2 n+1} \times N(\omega)\right]=r t_{i_{2}} \gamma\left[\bar{\beta}_{2}, S^{2 n+1} \times S^{2 n+1} \times N(\omega)\right]$

$$
=r g(\omega) t_{j_{m}}\left[\beta_{m}, S^{2 n+1} \times S^{2 n+1}\right]
$$

(iii) $r\left[\bar{\beta}_{m}, S^{2 n+1} \times S^{2 n+1} \times N(\omega)\right]=r g(\omega)\left[\beta_{m}, S^{2 n+1} \times S^{2 n+1}\right]$

$$
+\sum_{l \geqq 0} y_{l} i_{m}\left[T_{m}, S^{4 l+1}\right], \text { for some } y_{l} \in \mathfrak{N}_{*}
$$

Proof. (i) It is clear that $r t_{j_{m}} i_{m}\left[T_{m}, S^{4 n+1}\right]=l\left[a, S^{4 n+1}\right]$, which is zero by [5, Prop. 1.7 (ii)].
(ii) The first equality is clear by the definitions (6.3) and (6.4). By applying the homomorphism of Lemma 6.2 for $\left(G, M_{0}\right)=(T, N(\omega))$ to the equality of Lemma 2.15, we have

$$
r t_{j_{m}}\left[\bar{\beta}_{m}, S^{2 n+1} \times S^{2 n+1} \times N(\omega)\right]=\sum_{q=0}^{2 n+1} b_{q}\left[l\left(a, S^{2 q}\right) \times(T, N(\omega))\right],\left(b_{2 n+1}=1\right) .
$$

On the other hand, there is a $Z_{4}$-equivariant diffeomorphism

$$
\varphi: l\left(a, S^{2 q}\right) \times(T, N(\omega)) \longrightarrow l\left(a, S^{2 q}\right) \times N(\omega)
$$

defined by $\varphi([1, s], u)=([1, s], u), \varphi([y, s], u)=([y, s], T u)$. Thus the last sum is equal to

$$
r[N(\omega)]\left(\sum_{q=0}^{2 n+1} b_{q} l\left[a, S^{2 q}\right]\right)=r g(\omega) t_{j_{m}}\left[\beta_{m}, S^{2 n+1} \times S^{2 n+1}\right]
$$

by Lemma 2.15 and the definition of $N(\omega)$.
(iii) First, we consider the principal $N S^{1}$-manifolds

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\bar{\beta}, S^{2 n+1} \times S^{2 n+1} \times N(\omega)\right)=\left(\beta, S^{2 n+1} \times S^{2 n+1}\right) \times(T, N(\omega)), \tag{6.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $(T, N(\omega))$ is the $N S^{1}$-manifold $N(\omega)$ with the action $c \cdot u=u, j \cdot u=T u$. Then it is clear that $r\left[\bar{\beta}_{m}, S^{2 n+1} \times S^{2 n+1} \times N(\omega)\right]=t\left[\bar{\beta}, S^{2 n+1} \times S^{2 n+1} \times N(\omega)\right]$, where $t: \mathfrak{N}_{*}\left(N S^{1}\right) \rightarrow \mathfrak{N}_{*}\left(H_{m}\right)$ is the transfer homomorphism. Hence,

$$
\begin{aligned}
r\left[\bar{\beta}_{m}, S^{2 n+1} \times S^{2 n+1} \times N(\omega)\right]= & \Sigma x_{l}\left[\beta_{m}, S^{2 l+1} \times S^{2 l+1}\right]+\Sigma y_{l} i_{m}\left[T_{m}, S^{4 l+1}\right] \\
& +\Sigma z_{l}\left[\alpha_{m}, S^{4 l+3}\right],
\end{aligned}
$$

by Proposition 2.19 and Lemma 2.18. The image of this equality by $t_{j_{m}}$ is equal to $r g(\omega) t_{j_{m}}\left[\beta_{m}, S^{2 n+1} \times S^{2 n+1}\right]=\Sigma x_{l} t_{j_{m}}\left[\beta_{m}, S^{2 l+1} \times S^{2 l+1}\right]+\Sigma z_{l} t_{j_{m}}\left[\alpha_{m}, S^{4 l+3}\right]$ by (i) and (ii). Since $\mu t_{j_{m}}\left[\alpha_{m}, S^{4 l+3}\right]=\mu t_{j_{2}}\left[\alpha_{2}, S^{4 l+3}\right]=t_{j_{2} *} \mu\left[\alpha_{2}, S^{4 l+3}\right] \neq 0 \quad$ in $H_{4 l+3}\left(Z_{4} ; Z_{2}\right)$ by Lemmas 2.12 (i) and 1.10 (iv) and $\mu t_{j_{m}}\left[\beta_{m}, S^{2 l+1} \times S^{2 l+1}\right] \neq 0$ by Lemma 2.11, we have $x_{n}=r g(\omega), x_{l}=0(l \neq n)$ and $z_{l}=0$ by (2.4). q.e.d.

Lemma 6.9. (i) $\left[\bar{\beta}_{m}, S^{2 n+1} \times S^{2 n+1} \times N(\omega)\right] \in \widetilde{\Omega}_{*}\left(H_{m}\right)$ is of order 2 .
(ii) $x\left[\bar{\beta}_{m}, S^{2 n+1} \times S^{2 n+1} \times N(\omega)\right]=0$ if and only if $x \in 2 \Omega_{*}$, for $x \in \Omega_{*}$.

Proof. (i) follows from Lemmas 5.7 and 6.7 (iii), Theorem 2.13 and the fact that $r g(\omega) \neq 0$ in $\mathfrak{N}_{*}$.
(ii) By Lemma 6.7 (ii), $r t_{j_{m}} x\left[\bar{\beta}_{m}, S^{2 n+1} \times S^{2 n+1} \times N(\omega)\right]=r x r g(\omega) t_{j_{m}}\left[\beta_{m}\right.$, $\left.S^{2 n+1} \times S^{2 n+1}\right] \in \mathfrak{M}_{*}\left(Z_{4}\right)$. Therefore, if $x\left[\bar{\beta}_{m}(n, \omega)\right]=0$, we have $\operatorname{rxrg}(\omega)=0$ by Lemma 2.15 and [5, Prop. 1.7 (i)], which implies $r x=0$ since $\mathfrak{N}_{*}$ is a polynomial ring over $Z_{2}$ and $r g(\omega) \neq 0$. Therefore, we have $x \in 2 \Omega_{*}$ by Rohlin's Theorem (3.3).
q.e.d.

## § 7. Some new $\Omega_{*}$-submodules of $\Omega_{*}\left(H_{m}\right)$

We consider the $\Omega_{*}$-submodules

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathfrak{Q}_{m} \quad \text { and } \quad \mathfrak{Q}_{m}^{\prime} \quad \text { of } \quad \tilde{\Omega}_{*}\left(H_{m}\right) \quad(m \geqq 2) \tag{7.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

generated by $\left\{\left[\bar{\beta}_{m}, S^{2 n+1} \times S^{2 n+1} \times N(\omega)\right], i_{m}\left[T_{m}, S^{4 n+1}\right] \mid n \geqq 0, \omega \in \pi\right\} \quad$ and $\left\{k_{m} \gamma\left[\bar{\beta}_{2}, S^{2 n+1} \times S^{2 n+1} \times N(\omega)\right], j_{m}\left[T_{2}, S^{4 n+1}\right] \mid n \geqq 0, \omega \in \pi\right\}$, respectively, where the manifolds are those in (2.10), (6.3) and (6.4).

Lemma 7.2. For the induced isomorphism

$$
\gamma: \Omega_{n}\left(H_{2}\right) \longrightarrow \Omega_{n}\left(H_{2}\right)
$$

of $\gamma$ of (1.11), we have $\gamma \mathfrak{Q}_{2}=\mathfrak{Q}_{2}^{\prime}$ and $\gamma \mathfrak{Q}_{2}^{\prime}=\mathfrak{Q}_{2}$.
Lemma 7.3. (i) $2 \mathfrak{Q}_{m}=2 \mathfrak{Q}_{m}^{\prime}=0$.
(ii) The orientation ignoring homomorphism $r: \Omega_{*}\left(H_{m}\right) \rightarrow \mathfrak{N}_{*}\left(H_{m}\right)$ maps $\mathfrak{Q}_{\boldsymbol{m}}$ and $\mathfrak{Q}_{m}^{\prime}$ into the free $\mathfrak{N}_{\boldsymbol{*}}$-submodules

$$
\mathfrak{N}_{*}\left\{\left\{\left[\beta_{m}, S^{2 n+1} \times S^{2 n+1}\right], i_{m}\left[T_{m}, S^{4 n+1}\right] \mid n \geqq 0\right\}\right\}
$$

and

$$
\mathfrak{N}_{*}\left\{\left\{k_{m} \gamma\left[\beta_{2}, S^{2 n+1} \times S^{2 n+1}\right], j_{m}\left[T_{2}, S^{4 n+1}\right] \mid n \geqq 0\right\}\right\},
$$

respectively.
(iii) Furthermore, $r$ is monomorphic on $\mathfrak{Q}_{m}$ and $\mathfrak{Q}_{m}^{\prime}$.
(iv) By the extension homomorphism $k_{m}: \Omega_{*}\left(H_{2}\right) \rightarrow \Omega_{*}\left(H_{m}\right), \mathfrak{Q}_{2}^{\prime}$ is mapped isomorphically onto $\mathfrak{Q}_{m}^{\prime}$.

Proof. (i) follows from Lemmas 4.3 (i) and 6.9 and the fact that $\mathfrak{Q}_{m}^{\prime}$ $=k_{m} \gamma \mathfrak{Q}_{2}$.
(ii) follows from Lemma 6.7 (iii) and the naturality.
(iii) Consider the commutative diagram

where $t$ 's are the transfer homomorphisms of $k_{m, m+1}: H_{m} \subset H_{m+1}$. Assume

$$
r q=0 \quad \text { for } \quad q \in \mathfrak{Q}_{m} .
$$

Since $t i_{m+1}\left[T_{m+1}, S^{4 n+1}\right]=i_{m}\left[T_{m}, S^{4 n+1}\right]$ and $t\left[\bar{\beta}_{m+1}, S^{2 n+1} \times S^{2 n+1} \times N(\omega)\right]=$ $\left[\bar{\beta}_{m}, S^{2 n+1} \times S^{2 n+1} \times N(\omega)\right]$, there is an element $q^{\prime} \in \mathfrak{Q}_{m+1}$ such that $t q^{\prime}=q$. Then, we see that

$$
r q^{\prime}=0
$$

by (ii) and Lemma 2.14. Hence, $q^{\prime} \in 2 \mathfrak{I}_{m+1}$ by (3.3), Lemma 1.3, (4.2) and Proposition 4.9 (iii). Therefore $q^{\prime} \in 2^{m+1} \mathfrak{L}_{m+1}$ from (i) and Theorem 4.8 (i), and so $q=t q^{\prime}=0$ by Proposition 4.9 (iv). Thus we have the result for $\mathfrak{Q}_{m}$.

We have the result for $\mathfrak{Q}_{2}^{\prime}$ from the result for $\mathfrak{Q}_{2}$ by using the isomorphism $\gamma$, Lemma 7.2 and $r \circ \gamma=\gamma \circ r$. Finally, consider the commutative diagram


Then it is clear that $\mathfrak{Q}_{m}^{\prime}=k_{m} \mathfrak{Q}_{2}^{\prime}$ by definition. Since $r \mid \mathfrak{Q}_{2}^{\prime}$ is monomorphic by the above proof and so is $k_{m} \mid r\left(\mathfrak{Q}_{2}^{\prime}\right)$ by (ii) and Lemma 2.14 (i), we see that $r \circ k_{m}=k_{m} \circ r$ is monomorphic on $\mathfrak{Q}_{2}^{\prime}$. Therefore, so is $r$ on $\mathfrak{Q}_{m}^{\prime}$.
(iv) The result is shown in the above.
q.e.d.

Lemma 7.4. The $\Omega_{*}$-submodule $\mathfrak{L}_{m}+\mathfrak{Q}_{m}+\mathfrak{Q}_{m}^{\prime}+\mathfrak{D}_{m}$ of $\widetilde{\Omega}_{*}\left(H_{m}\right)$ is the direct sum

$$
\mathfrak{L}_{m} \oplus \mathfrak{Q}_{m} \oplus \mathfrak{Q}_{m}^{\prime} \oplus \mathfrak{W}_{m}
$$

where $\mathfrak{L}_{m}$ and $\mathfrak{M}_{m}$ are the ones in Theorem 4.8.
Proof. Assume that

$$
l+q+q^{\prime}+w=0 \quad\left(l \in \mathfrak{S}_{m}, q \in \mathfrak{Q}_{m}, q^{\prime} \in \mathfrak{Q}_{m}^{\prime}, w \in \mathfrak{B}_{m}\right)
$$

Then by Lemmas 4.5, 7.3 (ii) and Theorem 2.13, we have

$$
r l=r q=r q^{\prime}=r w=0 \quad \text { in } \quad \mathfrak{N}_{*}\left(H_{m}\right) .
$$

Therefore, we get

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
q=0=q^{\prime} & \text { by Lemma } 7.3 \text { (iii), } \\
w=0 & \text { by Lemma } 4.5 .
\end{array}
$$

Now, we have the following
Theorem 7.5. The principal oriented $H_{m}$-bordism module $\widetilde{\Omega}_{*}\left(H_{m}\right)(m \geqq 2)$ is the direct sum

$$
\mathfrak{L}_{m} \oplus \mathfrak{Q}_{m} \oplus \mathfrak{Q}_{m}^{\prime} \oplus \mathfrak{W}_{m}
$$

of the $\Omega_{*}$-submodules $\mathfrak{Q}_{m}, \mathfrak{W}_{m}$ in Theorem 4.8 (i), (iii) and $\mathfrak{Q}_{m}, \mathfrak{Q}_{m}^{\prime}$ in (7.1).
Proof. By Lemma 7.4, it is sufficient to prove that

$$
\#\left(\left(\mathfrak{Q}_{m} \oplus \mathfrak{Q}_{m} \oplus \mathfrak{Q}_{m}^{\prime} \oplus \mathfrak{B}_{m}\right) \cap \widetilde{\Omega}_{n}\left(H_{m}\right)\right)={ }^{\#} \widetilde{\Omega}_{n}\left(H_{m}\right) .
$$

Consider the homomorphisms

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{\Omega}_{n}\left(H_{m}\right) \xrightarrow{r} \mathfrak{9}_{n}\left(H_{m}\right) \xrightarrow{p_{1} \oplus p_{2}} P_{1} \oplus P_{2}, \tag{7.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $P_{1}=\mathfrak{M}_{*}\left\{\left\{\left[\beta_{m}, S^{2 l+1} \times S^{2 l+1}\right] \mid l \geqq 0\right\}\right\} \cap \mathfrak{N}_{n}\left(H_{m}\right), \quad P_{2}=\mathfrak{N}_{*}\left\{\left\{k_{m} \gamma\left[\beta_{2}, S^{2 l+1} \times\right.\right.\right.$ $\left.\left.\left.S^{2 l+1}\right] \mid l \geqq 0\right\}\right\} \cap \mathfrak{M}_{n}\left(H_{m}\right)$ and $p_{i}$ are the projections. Then,

$$
\begin{align*}
& p_{1} r\left[\bar{\beta}_{m}(l, \omega)\right]=r g(\omega)\left[\beta_{m}, S^{2 l+1} \times S^{2 l+1}\right] \\
& p_{2} r\left[\bar{\beta}_{m}(l, \omega)\right]=0=p_{1} r k_{m} \gamma\left[\bar{\beta}_{2}(l, \omega)\right],  \tag{7.7}\\
& p_{2} r k_{m} \gamma\left[\bar{\beta}_{2}(l, \omega)\right]=r g(\omega) k_{m} \gamma\left[\beta_{2}, S^{2 l+1} \times S^{2 n+1}\right]
\end{align*}
$$

by Lemma 6.7 (iii). Also, $\left(p_{1} \oplus p_{2}\right) r\left(\left(\mathfrak{L}_{m} \oplus \mathfrak{I}_{m} \oplus \mathfrak{I}_{m} \oplus \mathfrak{B}_{m}\right) \cap \widetilde{\Omega}_{n}\left(H_{m}\right)\right)=0$ by Theorem 2.13 and Lemma 4.5, where $\mathfrak{I}_{m}$ and $\mathfrak{I}_{m}$ are the ones in Theorem 4.8, and
so $\quad\left(p_{1} \oplus p_{2}\right) r\left(\left(\mathfrak{Q}_{m} \oplus \mathfrak{Q}_{m} \oplus \mathfrak{Q}_{m}^{\prime} \oplus \mathfrak{W}_{m}\right) \cap \widetilde{\Omega}_{n}\left(H_{m}\right)\right)=p_{1} r\left(\mathfrak{Q}_{m} \cap \widetilde{\Omega}_{n}\left(H_{m}\right)\right) \oplus p_{2} r\left(\mathfrak{Q}_{m}^{\prime} \cap\right.$ $\tilde{\Omega}_{n}\left(H_{m}\right)$ ). Hence, using (7.7), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }^{\#} p_{1} r\left(\mathfrak{Q}_{m} \cap \tilde{\Omega}_{n}\left(H_{m}\right)\right)={ }^{\#} p_{2} r\left(\mathfrak{Q}_{m}^{\prime} \cap \widetilde{\Omega}_{n}\left(H_{m}\right)\right)=\left({ }^{\#} H_{n, m}^{2}\right)^{1 / 2} \tag{7.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

in a way similar to the proof of Proposition 4.9 (ii). Therefore,

$$
\begin{aligned}
{ }^{\#} \Omega_{n}\left(H_{m}\right) & \geqq{ }^{\#}\left(\left(\mathfrak{L}_{m} \oplus \mathfrak{I}_{m} \oplus \mathfrak{I}_{m} \oplus \mathfrak{B}_{m}\right) \cap \widetilde{\Omega}_{n}\left(H_{m}\right)\right) \cdot \#\left(\left(p_{1} r \mathfrak{Q}_{m} \oplus p_{2} r \mathfrak{Q}_{m}^{\prime}\right) \cap \widetilde{\Omega}_{n}\left(H_{m}\right)\right) \\
& ={ }^{\#}\left(H_{n, m}^{3} \oplus H_{n, m}^{1} \oplus H_{n, m}^{0}\right) \cdot{ }^{\#} H_{n, m}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

by Proposition 4.9 (ii). Hence, we have the desired result by Theorem 4.1.
q. e. d.

Lemma 7.9. (i) ${ }^{\#}\left(\mathfrak{Q}_{m} \cap \widetilde{\Omega}_{n}\left(H_{m}\right)\right)={ }^{\#}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{m}^{\prime} \cap \widetilde{\Omega}_{n}\left(H_{m}\right)\right)=\left({ }^{\#}\left(H_{n, m}^{1} \oplus H_{n, m}^{2}\right)\right)^{1 / 2}$.
(ii) For the homomorphism $p_{1} \circ r: \widetilde{\Omega}_{n}\left(H_{m}\right) \rightarrow P_{1}$ in (7.6), if $p_{1} r(q)=0$ for $q \in \mathfrak{Q}_{m} \cap \widetilde{\Omega}_{n}\left(H_{m}\right)$, then $q \in \mathfrak{I}_{m} \cap \widetilde{\Omega}_{n}\left(H_{m}\right)$.

Proof. (i) In the same way as the proof of Theorem 7.5, we see ${ }^{\#}\left(\mathfrak{Q}_{m} \cap\right.$ $\left.\widetilde{\Omega}_{n}\left(H_{m}\right)\right) \geqq\left({ }^{\#} H_{n, m}^{1}\right)^{1 / 2} \cdot\left({ }^{\#} H_{n, m}^{2}\right)^{1 / 2},{ }^{\#}\left(Q_{m}^{\prime} \cap \widetilde{\Omega}_{n}\left(H_{m}\right)\right) \geqq\left({ }^{\ddagger} H_{n, m}\right)^{1 / 2} \cdot\left({ }^{\#} H_{n, m}^{2}\right)^{1 / 2} \quad$ by using Proposition 4.9 (ii) and (7.8). Hence, we have the desired results from Theorems 4.1, 7.5 and Proposition 4.9 (ii).
(ii) We see that $\operatorname{Ker}\left(p_{1} \circ r \mid \mathfrak{Q}_{m} \cap \widetilde{\Omega}_{n}\left(H_{m}\right)\right)=\mathfrak{I}_{m} \cap \widetilde{\Omega}_{n}\left(H_{m}\right)$ from (i), (7.8) and Proposition 4.9 (ii). q.e.d.

## §8. The main theorem

Now, we shall determine completely the $\Omega_{*}$-submodules $\mathfrak{Q}_{m}$ and $\mathfrak{Q}_{m}^{\prime}$ of (7.1).
For the principal $N S^{1}$-manifold ( $\bar{\beta}, S^{1} \times S^{1} \times N(\omega)$ ) given by (6.8), the bordism class in $\mathfrak{\Re}_{*}$ of the orbit manifold

$$
S^{1} \times S^{1} \times N(\omega) / \bar{\beta}=S^{1} \times N(\omega) /(a \times T)
$$

is contained in $\prod 10_{*}$ of (5.1) since the orientation bundle $S^{1} \times N(\omega) \rightarrow S^{1} \times N(\omega) /$ $(a \times T)$ is classified by the map $S^{1} \times N(\omega) /(a \times T) \rightarrow S^{1} / a,[t, z] \rightarrow[t]$. Also for the derivation $\partial^{\prime}$ of (5.3), we see that

$$
\partial^{\prime}\left[S^{1} \times N(\omega) /(a \times T)\right]=r g(\omega) .
$$

Since $\operatorname{Ker} \partial^{\prime}=\operatorname{Im} r$ by [9] and $\partial^{\prime} X(\omega)=r g(\omega)$ by (5.3) and (5.4), we have

$$
\left[S^{1} \times N(\omega) /(a \times T)\right]-X(\omega) \quad \in \operatorname{Im} r
$$

Hence, we can take $Y(\omega) \in \Omega_{*}\left(\bmod 2 \Omega_{*}\right)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
r Y(\omega)=\left[S^{1} \times N(\omega) /(a \times T)\right]-X(\omega) \quad \text { in } \quad \mathfrak{n}_{*} . \tag{8.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 8.2. For the elements of (6.3), we have

$$
\Delta\left[\bar{\beta}_{m}, S^{2 n+1} \times S^{2 n+1} \times N(\omega)\right]= \begin{cases}{\left[\bar{\beta}_{m}, S^{2 n-1} \times S^{2 n-1} \times N(\omega)\right]} & (n>0), \\ 0 & (n=0)\end{cases}
$$

where $\Delta: \Omega_{*}\left(H_{m}\right) \rightarrow \Omega_{*-4}\left(H_{m}\right)$ and $\Delta: \mathfrak{N}_{*}\left(H_{m}\right) \rightarrow \mathfrak{N}_{*-4}\left(H_{m}\right)$ are the Smith homomorphisms of (4.6).

Proof. There is an $H_{m}$-equivariant differentiable map

$$
\varphi:\left(\bar{\beta}_{m}, S^{2 n+1} \times S^{2 n+1} \times N(\omega)\right) \longrightarrow\left(\alpha_{m}, S^{4 n+3}\right)
$$

defined by $\varphi\left(z_{0}, \ldots, z_{n}, z_{0}^{\prime}, \ldots, z_{n}^{\prime}, u\right)=\left(z_{0} / \sqrt{2}, z_{0}^{\prime} / \sqrt{2}, \ldots, z_{n} / \sqrt{ } 2, z_{n}^{\prime} / \sqrt{2}\right)$ which is transverse regular on $S^{4 n-1}$. Hence, we have the desired result.
q.e.d.

Lemma 8.3. $r\left[\bar{\beta}_{m}, S^{1} \times S^{1} \times N(\omega)\right]=r g(\omega)\left[\beta_{m}, S^{1} \times S^{1}\right]$

$$
+(X(\omega)+r Y(\omega)) i_{m}\left[T_{m}, S^{1}\right] .
$$

Proof. By Lemma 6.7 (iii), we can write

$$
r\left[\bar{\beta}_{m}, S^{1} \times S^{1} \times N(\omega)\right]=r g(\omega)\left[\beta_{m}, S^{1} \times S^{1}\right]+\sum_{l} y_{l} i_{m}\left[T_{m}, S^{4 l+1}\right] .
$$

Since $\Delta r\left[\bar{\beta}_{m}, S^{1} \times S^{1} \times N(\omega)\right]=0=\Delta\left[\beta_{m}, S^{1} \times S^{1}\right]$ by Lemma 8.2 , we have $y_{l}=0(l \neq 0)$ by Lemma 4.7 (ii) and Theorem 2.13, and so

$$
r\left[\bar{\beta}_{m}, S^{1} \times S^{1} \times N(\omega)\right]=r g(\omega)\left[\beta_{m}, S^{1} \times S^{1}\right]+y_{0} i_{m}\left[T_{m}, S^{1}\right] .
$$

Consider the transfer homomorphism $t: \mathfrak{N}_{*}\left(N S^{1}\right) \rightarrow \mathfrak{N}_{*}\left(H_{m}\right)$ induced by the inclusion $H_{m} \subset N S^{1}$. Then,

$$
r\left[\bar{\beta}_{m}, S^{1} \times S^{1} \times N(\omega)\right]=t\left[\bar{\beta}, S^{1} \times S^{1} \times N(\omega)\right]
$$

by the definition of $\bar{\beta}$ of (6.8). Therefore, we have

$$
\left[\bar{\beta}, S^{1} \times S^{1} \times N(\omega)\right]=r g(\omega)\left[\beta, S^{1} \times S^{1}\right]+y_{0} i\left[S^{1}, S^{1}\right],
$$

by Lemmas 2.18 and 2.20. By applying the augmentation homomorphism $\varepsilon_{*}: \mathfrak{M}_{*}\left(N S^{1}\right) \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}_{*}$ to this equality, we see

$$
\left[S^{1} \times S^{1} \times N(\omega) / \bar{\beta}\right]=r g(\omega)\left[S^{1}\right]+y_{0}=y_{0} .
$$

Hence, we have $y_{0}=X(\omega)+r Y(\omega)$ by (8.1).
q.e.d.

Put

$$
\begin{equation*}
N(n, \omega)=\varepsilon_{*}\left[\bar{\beta}, S^{2 n+1} \times S^{2 n+1} \times N(\omega)\right] \in \mathfrak{M}_{*}, \tag{8.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\varepsilon_{*}$ is the augmentation homomorphism of (3.2).

Lemma 8.5. For each $\omega=\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{|\omega|}\right) \in \pi,|\omega| \geqq 2$, there are elements $K_{2 n+1, m}(\omega) \in \Omega_{*}$ such that

$$
\begin{align*}
& K_{1, m}(\omega)=\sum_{j} g\left(a_{j}\right) Y\left(\omega_{j}\right)+g(\omega), \\
& r K_{2 n+1, m}(\omega)=  \tag{8.6}\\
& \quad \sum_{j} r g\left(\omega_{j}\right) N\left(n, \omega_{j}\right) \\
& \\
& \quad+\sum_{l=0}^{n-1}[C P(2 n-2 l)] r K_{2 l+1, m}(\omega) \quad(n \geqq 1),
\end{align*}
$$

and that the following elements $C_{n, m}(\omega)$ vanish in $\tilde{\Omega}_{*}\left(H_{m}\right)$ :
(8.7) $\quad C_{n m}(\omega)=\sum_{j} g\left(a_{j}\right)\left[\bar{\beta}_{m}\left(n, \omega_{j}\right)\right]+\sum_{l=0}^{n} K_{2 l+1, m}(\omega) i_{m}\left[T_{m}, S^{4 n-4 l+1}\right]$.

Proof. We notice that $r: \widetilde{\Omega}_{n}\left(H_{m}\right) \rightarrow \mathfrak{N}_{n}\left(H_{m}\right)$ is monomorphic on $\mathfrak{Q}_{m}$ by Lemma 7.3 (iii). Define $K_{1, m}(\omega)$ by (8.6). Then we see $C_{0, m}(\omega)=0$ as follows:

$$
\begin{array}{rlr}
r C_{0, m}(\omega)= & r\left(\sum_{j} g\left(a_{j}\right)\left[\bar{\beta}_{m}\left(0, \omega_{j}\right)\right]+\left(\sum_{j} g\left(a_{j}\right) Y\left(\omega_{j}\right)+g(\omega)\right) i_{m}\left[T_{m}, S^{1}\right]\right) \\
= & r\left(\sum_{j} g\left(a_{j}\right) g\left(\omega_{j}\right)\right)\left[\beta_{m}, S^{1} \times S^{1}\right]+\left(\sum_{j} r g\left(a_{j}\right) X\left(\omega_{j}\right)\right. \\
& +r g(\omega)) i_{m}\left[T_{m}, S^{1}\right] & \\
=0 & \text { by Lemma } 8.3 \\
= & & \text { by Theorem 3.6, (5.3) and (5.4). }
\end{array}
$$

Now we assume that there exist $K_{2 l+1, m}(\omega)$ for $l<n$ in this lemma, and put

$$
A=\sum_{j} g\left(a_{j}\right)\left[\bar{\beta}_{m}\left(n, \omega_{j}\right)\right]+\sum_{l=0}^{n-1} K_{2 l+1, m}(\omega) i_{m}\left[T_{m}, S^{4 n-4 l+1}\right] .
$$

Then $\Delta A=0$ by Lemmas $4.7,8.2$ and the assumption $C_{n-1, m}(\omega)=0$, where $\Delta$ is the Smith homomorphism of (4.6). Also, by the first equality of (7.7), Theorems 3.6 and 2.13 , we see that $p_{1} r A=0$ and so $A \in \mathfrak{I}_{m}$ by Lemma 7.9 (ii). Therefore, $A \in \mathfrak{I}_{m} \cap \operatorname{Ker} \Delta$ and we see that

$$
A+x_{0} i_{m}\left[T_{m}, S^{1}\right]=0 \quad \text { for some } x_{0} \in \Omega_{*}
$$

by Lemma 4.7 (ii) and Theorem 4.8 (ii). Take $K_{2 n+1, m}(\omega)=x_{0}$. Then this equality shows $C_{n, m}(\omega)=0$.

Consider the transfer homomorphism $t: \mathfrak{N}_{*}\left(N S^{\boldsymbol{1}}\right) \rightarrow \mathfrak{N}_{*}\left(H_{m}\right)$. The element

$$
A^{\prime}=\sum_{j} r g\left(a_{j}\right)\left[\bar{\beta}, S^{2 n+1} \times S^{2 n+1} \times N(\omega)\right]+\sum_{l=0}^{n=1} r K_{2 l+1, m}(\omega) i\left[S^{1}, S^{4 n-4 l+1}\right]
$$

of $\mathfrak{N}_{*}\left(N S^{1}\right)$ satisfies $t A^{\prime}=A$ by Lemma 2.18 and the definition of (6.8). Therefore, we see that

$$
A^{\prime}+r x_{0} i\left[S^{1}, S^{1}\right]=0 \quad \text { in } \quad \mathfrak{N}_{*}\left(N S^{1}\right)
$$

because $C_{n, m}(\omega)=0$ and $t$ is monomorphic (Lemma 2.20). Thus $r K_{2 n+1, m}(\omega)$
$=r x_{0}=\varepsilon_{*} A^{\prime}$ which is the equality (8.6), and the proof is complete by the induction on $n$.
q.e.d.

Lemma 8.8. For each $\omega, \omega^{\prime} \in \pi$, there are the elements $P_{2 n+1, m}\left(\omega, \omega^{\prime}\right) \in \Omega_{*}$ such that

$$
\begin{align*}
& P_{1, m}\left(\omega, \omega^{\prime}\right)=g(\omega) Y\left(\omega^{\prime}\right)-\sum_{j} h\left(\omega_{j} \cap \omega^{\prime}\right) g\left(a_{j}\right) Y\left(\omega_{j} \ominus \omega^{\prime}\right), \\
& r P_{2 n+1, m}\left(\omega, \omega^{\prime}\right)= r g(\omega) N\left(n, \omega^{\prime}\right)-\sum_{j} r h\left(\omega_{j} \cap \omega^{\prime}\right) r g\left(a_{j}\right) N\left(n, \omega_{j} \ominus \omega^{\prime}\right)  \tag{8.9}\\
&+\sum_{l=0}^{n-1}[C P(2 n-2 l)] r P_{2 l+1, m}\left(\omega, \omega^{\prime}\right) \quad(n \geqq 1),
\end{align*}
$$

and that the following elements $D_{n, m}\left(\omega, \omega^{\prime}\right)$ vanish in $\widetilde{\Omega}_{*}\left(H_{m}\right)$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
D_{n, m}\left(\omega, \omega^{\prime}\right)= & g(\omega)\left[\bar{\beta}_{m}\left(n, \omega^{\prime}\right)\right]-\sum_{j} h\left(\omega_{j} \cap \omega^{\prime}\right) g\left(a_{j}\right)\left[\bar{\beta}_{m}\left(n, \omega_{j} \ominus \omega^{\prime}\right)\right] \\
& +\sum_{l=0}^{n} P_{2 l+1, m}\left(\omega, \omega^{\prime}\right) i_{m}\left[T_{m}, S^{4 n-4 l+1}\right] . \tag{8.10}
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. Define $P_{1, m}\left(\omega, \omega^{\prime}\right)$ by (8.9). Then, we see $D_{0, m}\left(\omega, \omega^{\prime}\right)=0$ as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
r D_{0, m}\left(\omega, \omega^{\prime}\right)= & r\left(g(\omega)\left[\bar{\beta}_{m}\left(0, \omega^{\prime}\right)\right]-\sum_{j} h\left(\omega_{j} \cap \omega^{\prime}\right) g\left(a_{j}\right)\left[\bar{\beta}_{m}\left(0, \omega_{j} \ominus \omega^{\prime}\right)\right]\right. \\
& \left.+\left(g(\omega) Y\left(\omega^{\prime}\right)-\sum_{j} h\left(\omega_{j} \cap \omega^{\prime}\right) g\left(a_{j}\right) Y\left(\omega_{j} \ominus \omega^{\prime}\right)\right) i_{m}\left[T_{m}, S^{1}\right]\right) \\
= & r\left(g(\omega) g\left(\omega^{\prime}\right)-\sum_{j} h\left(\omega_{j} \cap \omega^{\prime}\right) g\left(a_{j}\right) g\left(\omega_{j} \ominus \omega^{\prime}\right)\right)\left[\beta_{m}, S^{1} \times S^{1}\right] \\
& +\left(r g(\omega) X\left(\omega^{\prime}\right)-\sum_{j} r h\left(\omega_{j} \cap \omega^{\prime}\right) r g\left(a_{j}\right) X\left(\omega_{j} \ominus \omega^{\prime}\right)\right) i_{m}\left[T_{m}, S^{1}\right] \\
= & 0
\end{aligned}
$$

by Lemma 8.3, Theorem 3.6, (5.3), (5.4) and the fact that $r h(\omega)=X(\omega)^{2}([9$, Lemma 14]).

The rest of the lemma can be proved in the same way as the proof of the above lemma.
q.e.d.

By the definitions of (8.7) and (8.10), we have easily the following equality.

## Lemma 8.11.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& D_{n, m}\left(\omega, \omega^{\prime}\right)+D_{n, m}\left(\omega^{\prime}, \omega\right)+h\left(\omega \cap \omega^{\prime}\right) C_{n, m}\left(\omega \ominus \omega^{\prime}\right) \\
= & g(\omega)\left[\bar{\beta}_{m}\left(n, \omega^{\prime}\right)\right]+g\left(\omega^{\prime}\right)\left[\bar{\beta}_{m}(n, \omega)\right]+\sum_{l=0}^{n}\left(h\left(\omega \cap \omega^{\prime}\right) K_{2 l+1, m}\left(\omega \ominus \omega^{\prime}\right)\right. \\
& \left.+P_{2 l+1, m}\left(\omega, \omega^{\prime}\right)+P_{2 l+1, m}\left(\omega^{\prime}, \omega\right)\right) i_{m}\left[T_{m}, S^{4 n-4 l+1}\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

Now, we are ready to prove our main theorem.
Theorem 8.12. The principal oriented $H_{m}$-bordism module $\widetilde{\Omega}_{*}\left(H_{m}\right)(m \geqq 2)$
is the direct sum

$$
\tilde{\Omega}_{*}\left(H_{m}\right)=\mathfrak{Q}_{m} \oplus \mathfrak{D}_{m} \oplus \mathfrak{Q}_{m} \oplus \mathfrak{Q}_{m}^{\prime}
$$

where the $\Omega_{*}$-submodules $\mathfrak{S}_{m}$ and $\mathfrak{M}_{m}$ are given by Theorem 4.8 (i) and (iii), and $\mathfrak{Q}_{m}$ and $\mathfrak{Q}_{m}^{\prime}$ are given as follows:

The $\Omega_{*}$-submodule $\mathfrak{Q}_{m}$ of (7.1) is the quotient module of the free $\Omega_{*}$-module

$$
\Omega_{*}\left\{\left\{\left[\bar{\beta}_{m}(n, \omega)\right], i_{m}\left[T_{m}, S^{4 n+1}\right] \mid n \geqq 0, \omega \in \pi\right\}\right\}
$$

by the $\Omega_{*}$-submodule generated by the elements

$$
2\left[\bar{\beta}_{m}(n, \omega)\right], \quad 2 i_{m}\left[T_{m}, S^{4 n+1}\right], \quad C_{n, m}(\omega) \quad(|\omega| \geqq 2), \quad D_{n, m}\left(\omega, \omega^{\prime}\right),
$$

( $n \geqq 0, \omega, \omega^{\prime} \in \pi$ ), where $C$ and $D$ are the ones in (8.7) and (8.10).
The $\Omega_{*}$-submodule $\mathfrak{Q}_{m}^{\prime}$ of (7.1) is isomorphic to $\mathfrak{Q}_{2}$ by the composition

$$
\tilde{\Omega}_{*}\left(H_{2}\right) \xrightarrow{\gamma} \tilde{\Omega}_{*}\left(H_{2}\right) \xrightarrow{k_{m}} \tilde{\Omega}_{*}\left(H_{m}\right)
$$

of the isomorphism $\gamma$ induced by the automorphism $\gamma: H_{2} \rightarrow H_{2}$ of (1.11) and the extension homomorphism $k_{m}$ induced by the inclusion $k_{m}: H_{2} \subset H_{m}$ of (1.13).

Proof. The first result is Theorem 7.5.
We shall determine the submodule $\mathfrak{Q}_{m}$. Consider the element

$$
X=\sum_{n} X_{n}+Y, \quad X_{n}=\sum_{\omega} x_{n, \omega}\left[\bar{\beta}_{m}(n, \omega)\right], \quad Y \in \mathfrak{I}_{m},
$$

of $\mathfrak{Q}_{m}$ such that $X=0$ in $\widetilde{\Omega}_{*}\left(H_{m}\right)$. Applying the composition $p_{1} \circ$ r: $\widetilde{\Omega}_{*}\left(H_{m}\right) \xrightarrow{r}$ $\mathfrak{N}_{*}\left(H_{m}\right) \xrightarrow{p_{1}} P_{1}$ of (7.6), we have

$$
\sum_{n}\left(\sum_{\omega} r\left(x_{n, \omega} g(\omega)\right)\left[\beta_{m}, S^{2 n+1} \times S^{2 n+1}\right]\right)=0
$$

by (7.7). Since $\left\{\left[\beta_{m}, S^{2 n+1} \times S^{2 n+1}\right] \mid n \geqq 0\right\}$ is a free $\mathfrak{N}_{*}$-base of $\mathfrak{N}_{*}\left(H_{m}\right)$ by Theorem 2.13, we have $r\left(\sum_{\omega} x_{n, \omega} g(\omega)\right)=0$ in $\mathfrak{N}_{*}$ for each $n \geqq 0$. On the other hand, $\sum_{\omega} x_{n, \omega} g(\omega) \in \operatorname{Tor} \Omega_{*}$ by Theorem 3.6. Therefore we see that $\sum_{\omega} x_{n, \omega} g(\omega)$ $=0$ in $\Omega_{*}$ by (3.3) and the fact $2 \operatorname{Tor} \Omega_{*}=0$.

Thus, according to Theorem 3.6, we can write

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{\omega^{\prime}} x_{n, \omega} g(\omega)= & \sum_{\omega^{2}} 2 A_{n, \omega} g(\omega)+\sum_{\omega} B_{n, \omega}\left(\sum_{j} g\left(a_{j}\right) g\left(\omega_{j}\right)\right) \\
& \left.+\sum_{\omega, \omega^{\prime}} C_{n, \omega, \omega^{\prime}} g(\omega) g\left(\omega^{\prime}\right)-\sum_{j} h\left(\omega_{j} \cap \omega^{\prime}\right) g\left(a_{j}\right) g\left(\omega_{j} \ominus \omega^{\prime}\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

for some $A_{n, \omega}, B_{n, \omega}, C_{n, \omega, \omega^{\prime}} \in \Omega_{*}$, and we consider the linear combination

$$
X_{n}^{\prime}=\sum_{\omega} 2 A_{n, \omega}\left[\bar{\beta}_{m}(n, \omega)\right]+\sum_{\omega} B_{n, \omega} C_{n, m}(\omega)+\sum_{\omega, \omega} C_{n, \omega, \omega^{\prime}} D_{n, m}\left(\omega, \omega^{\prime}\right)
$$

of $R_{n}=\left\{2\left[\bar{\beta}_{m}(n, \omega)\right], C_{n, m}(\omega), D_{n, m}\left(\omega, \omega^{\prime}\right)\right\}$. Then we see that

$$
p_{1} r X_{n}^{\prime}=\sum_{\omega} r x_{n, \omega} r g(\omega)\left[\beta_{m}, S^{2 n+1} \times S^{2 n+1}\right]=p_{1} r X_{n}
$$

by (7.7). Furthermore, the only two elements $g(\omega)\left[\bar{\beta}_{m}\left(n, \omega^{\prime}\right)\right]$ and $g\left(\omega^{\prime}\right)\left[\bar{\beta}_{m}(n\right.$, $\omega)]$ are mapped by $p_{1} \circ r$ to $r g(\omega) r g\left(\omega^{\prime}\right)\left[\beta_{m}, S^{2 n+1} \times S^{2 n+1}\right]$ by the proof of Theorem 7.5, and $g(\omega)\left[\bar{\beta}_{m}\left(n, \omega^{\prime}\right)\right]-g\left(\omega^{\prime}\right)\left[\bar{\beta}_{m}(n, \omega)\right]$ is the sum of a linear combination of $R_{n}$ and an element of $\mathfrak{I}_{m}$ by Lemma 8.11. Therefore, we see that

$$
X_{n}-X_{n}^{\prime}=X_{n}^{\prime \prime}+Y_{n}
$$

where $X_{n}^{\prime \prime}$ is a linear combination of $R_{n}$ and $Y_{n} \in \mathfrak{I}_{m}$. These show that

$$
X=\sum_{n} X_{n}+Y=\sum_{n}\left(X_{n}^{\prime}+X_{n}^{\prime \prime}\right)+\left(\sum_{n} Y_{n}+Y\right) .
$$

Since the elements of $R_{n}$ are zero in $\tilde{\Omega}_{*}\left(H_{m}\right)$ by Lemmas $6.9,8.5$, and 8.8 , the assumption $X=0$ in $\tilde{\Omega}_{*}\left(H_{m}\right)$ implies that $\sum_{n} Y_{n}+Y=0$ in $\mathfrak{I}_{m}$, and hence that $\sum_{n} Y_{n}+Y$ is a linear combination of $\left\{2 i_{m}\left[T_{m}, S^{4 l+1}\right]\right\}$ by Theorem 4.8 (ii). Therefore, $X$ is a linear combination of $\cup_{n} R_{n}$ and $\left\{2 i_{m}\left[T_{m}, S^{4 l+1}\right]\right\}$, as desired.

The result for the submodule $\mathfrak{Q}_{m}^{\prime}$ is Lemmas 7.2 and 7.3 (iv). q.e.d.
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