

On the Commutativity of Torsion and Injective Hull

Kanroku AOYAMA

(Received May 12, 1976)

Introduction

Throughout this note A denotes a commutative ring with a unit and all modules are unitary A -modules. For any module M , if L is a submodule of M and S is a subset of M , then we put $(L: S) = \{x \in A; xS \subseteq L\}$, in particular $0(S) = (0: S)$. For any filter F of ideals of A , we have an operation upon the lattice of submodules of any A -module M , as follows. If L is a submodule of M , we define $C(L, M) = \{x \in M; (L: x) \in F\}$. Especially we rewrite $C(0, M) = T(M)$; $C(M, E(M)) = D(M)$, where $E(M)$ is an injective hull of M . Our main purpose is to answer the question: With the above notations, let F' be another filter and T', D' be the associated operators relative to F' . Can we have the equalities

- (1) $D'(T(M)) = T(D'(M))$,
- (2) $D'(M/T(M)) = D'(M)/D'(T(M))$ and
- (3) $D(\text{Hom}(N, M)) = \text{Hom}(N, D(M))$?

The above equalities have been obtained, in [8], in a special case using the local property.

§1. Notation and Preliminaries

Let F be a filter of ideals of A . When L is a submodule of an A -module M , we put $C(L, M) = \{x \in M; (L: x) \in F\}$. Especially we rewrite $C(0, M) = T(M)$, which is called the F -torsion of M ; $C(M, E(M)) = D(M)$; $C(\alpha, A) = c(\alpha)$. It is easy to see that, for any submodule N of M , $C(L, M) \cap N = C(L \cap N, N)$ and $C(L, M)/L = T(M/L)$. We denote the class of A -modules M such that $T(M) = M$ by \mathcal{T} and the class of A -modules M such that $T(M) = 0$ by \mathcal{F} . The following facts are easy and well-known:

(1) The class \mathcal{T} is closed under submodule, image and direct sum (such class will be called a weak torsion class). Hence a module M belongs to \mathcal{T} if and only if $Ax \in \mathcal{T}$ for any element x in M .

(2) T is a left exact subfunctor. Namely, the functor T satisfies the properties: (i) $T(M) \subseteq M$, (ii) if L is a submodule of M , then $T(L) = T(M) \cap L$, and (iii) for any homomorphism $f: M \rightarrow N$, $f(T(M)) \subseteq T(N)$ (such functor is called a left exact preradical).

(3) The operator c satisfies the properties: (i) $\alpha \subseteq c(\alpha)$, (ii) $c(\alpha \cap \beta) = c(\alpha) \cap c(\beta)$ and (iii) $(c(\alpha): x) = c(\alpha: x)$, for any ideals α, β and any element x in A

(such operator c will be called a modular operation).

(4) The class \mathcal{F} is the right annihilator of \mathcal{T} , i. e., an A -module M belongs to \mathcal{F} if and only if $\text{Hom}_A(N, M) = 0$ for any module N in \mathcal{T} (cf. [2], [6]). Hence \mathcal{F} is closed under submodule, group extension and direct product. Further \mathcal{F} is closed under essential extension. And an A -module M belongs to \mathcal{F} if and only if $Ax \in \mathcal{F}$ for any element x in M .

(5) (Relations among F, T, \mathcal{T} and c) For any ideal \mathfrak{a} of A , the following statements are equivalent: (a) $\mathfrak{a} \in F$, (b) $A/\mathfrak{a} \in \mathcal{T}$, (c) $T(A/\mathfrak{a}) = A/\mathfrak{a}$ and (d) $c(\mathfrak{a}) = A$. Let us note that, for any ideal \mathfrak{a} , $c(\mathfrak{a}) = \mathfrak{a}$ if and only if $A/\mathfrak{a} \in \mathcal{F}$. Further note that $c(\mathfrak{a})$ is the union of ideals $(\mathfrak{a} : \mathfrak{b})$, where \mathfrak{b} runs through F .

The above notations will be fixed throughout this note.

PROPOSITION 1. *The following conditions for a filter F are equivalent:*

- (a) For any ideal \mathfrak{a} , $c(\mathfrak{a}) = c^2(\mathfrak{a})$.
- (b) For any ideals $\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{b}$, if $\mathfrak{b}/\mathfrak{a} \in \mathcal{T}$, $\mathfrak{b} \in F$, then $\mathfrak{a} \in F$.
- (c) For any ideal \mathfrak{a} , if $c(\mathfrak{a}) \in F$ then $\mathfrak{a} \in F$.
- (d) For any module M , $M/T(M) \in \mathcal{F}$.
- (e) \mathcal{T} is the left annihilator of \mathcal{F} .
- (f) \mathcal{F} is closed under group extension.
- (g) For any submodule L of a module M with $L \in \mathcal{T}$, $C(L, M) = T(M)$.

PROOF. (a) \Rightarrow (b) \Rightarrow (c) follow from the fact that $\mathfrak{b}/\mathfrak{a} \in \mathcal{T}$ if and only if $\mathfrak{b} \subseteq c(\mathfrak{a})$. (c) \Rightarrow (a): If $x \in c^2(\mathfrak{a})$, then $(c(\mathfrak{a}) : x) = c(\mathfrak{a} : x) \in F$, hence $(\mathfrak{a} : x) \in F$ by (c). (c) \Rightarrow (d) \Rightarrow (e) \Rightarrow (f) \Rightarrow (g) are rather obvious. (g) \Rightarrow (a) follows from the equalities: $c^2(\mathfrak{a})/\mathfrak{a} = C(c(\mathfrak{a})/\mathfrak{a}, A/\mathfrak{a}) = c(\mathfrak{a})/\mathfrak{a}$.

DEFINITION 1. A filter satisfying the above condition is said to be *idempotent* (cf. [1], [3] and [6]). The associated operator c is called a modular closure operator. The associated functor T is called a left exact radical or torsion radical (cf. [4], [7], [9]). And the class \mathcal{T} will be called a torsion class (cf. [2], [9]).

PROPOSITION 2. *The following conditions for an A -module M are equivalent:*

- (a) $M = D(M)$, i. e. $E(M)/M \in \mathcal{F}$.
- (b) If $0 \rightarrow L \rightarrow N \rightarrow K \rightarrow 0$ be an exact sequence of modules with $K \in \mathcal{T}$, then any homomorphism $L \rightarrow M$ can be extended to a homomorphism $N \rightarrow M$.
- (c) $\text{Ext}_A^1(L, M) = 0$ for any $L \in \mathcal{T}$.
- (d) $\text{Ext}_A^1(A/\mathfrak{a}, M) = 0$ for any $\mathfrak{a} \in F$.
- (e) Any exact sequence $0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow N \rightarrow K \rightarrow 0$ with $K \in \mathcal{T}$ is split.
- (f) Let $0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow N \rightarrow K \rightarrow 0$ be an exact sequence with $K \in \mathcal{T}$. Then for any element x in K there exists an inverse image y of x in N such that $0(y) = 0(x)$.

PROOF. (b) \Rightarrow (e) \Rightarrow (f) \Rightarrow (a) and the equivalence of (b), (c) and (d) are obvious

(e.g. see [9]). (a) \Rightarrow (b): Under the assumption in (b), we can construct a commutative diagram of modules with exact rows:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 0 & \longrightarrow & L & \longrightarrow & N & \longrightarrow & K \longrightarrow 0 \\
 & & \downarrow f & & \downarrow g & & \downarrow h \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & M & \longrightarrow & E(M) & \longrightarrow & E(M)/M \rightarrow 0
 \end{array}$$

Since $h=0$, $g(N) \subseteq M$, which completes the proof.

DEFINITION 2. An A -module M is said to be F -injective or F -divisible if M satisfies the conditions above. The class of F -injective modules will be denoted by \mathcal{D} .

COROLLARY 1. The class \mathcal{D} is closed under group extension and direct product.

COROLLARY 2. If M is F -injective, then for any module N containing M , an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow C(M, N) \rightarrow T(N/M) \rightarrow 0$ is split. And $C(M, N) = M + T(N)$, furthermore $0 \rightarrow T(M) \rightarrow T(N) \rightarrow T(N/M) \rightarrow 0$ is exact.

DEFINITION 3. The intersection $\mathcal{D} \cap \mathcal{F}$ will be denoted by \mathcal{F}_a , whose member will be said to be F -closed.

COROLLARY 3. Let M be an F -closed module and L its submodule. Then L is F -closed if and only if $M/L \in \mathcal{F}$.

PROOF. Let \mathfrak{a} be an ideal in F . Since $\text{Hom}_A(A/\mathfrak{a}, M) = \text{Ext}_A^1(A/\mathfrak{a}, M) = 0$, $\text{Hom}_A(A/\mathfrak{a}, M/L) \simeq \text{Ext}_A^1(A/\mathfrak{a}, L)$.

REMARK 1. If F is an idempotent filter, then $D(M)$ is F -injective for any module M . $D(M)$ is the only submodule D of $E(M)$ so that $D/M \in \mathcal{F}$ and $E(M)/D \in \mathcal{F}$. Consequently we can say that $D(M)$ is an F -injective hull of an A -module M .

NOTICE. For each filter F the class \mathcal{F} is a Serre subcategory if and only if F is idempotent. See [10] for the terminology. Further we can say that F is idempotent if and only if \mathcal{F} is a localizing subcategory. Recently an idempotent filter is called a Gabriel topology by Bo Stenström.

§2. Splitting filters

THEOREM 1. The following conditions for a filter F are equivalent:

(a) For any module M , if $M \notin \mathcal{F}$, then there exists a non-zero submodule L of M with $L \in \mathcal{F}$.

- (b) \mathcal{F} is closed under essential extension.
- (c) For any module M , $E(T(M))=T(E(M))$.
- (d) If an A -module M is injective, then so is $T(M)$.
- (e) For any ideal \mathfrak{a} of A , there exists \mathfrak{b} in F such that $\mathfrak{a}=c(\mathfrak{a}) \cap \mathfrak{b}$.
- (f) For any ideal \mathfrak{a} with $\mathfrak{a} \notin F$, there exists $a \in A - c(\mathfrak{a})$ such that $(\mathfrak{a} : a) = c(\mathfrak{a} : a)$.

PROOF. This can be obtained by a modification of the proof of [8, Theorem 2]. So we shall omit the proof.

NOTICE. A part of Theorem 1 has already been known (see [3] and [9]). Recently S. Itoh, in [5], has shown the equivalence of (a)–(d) in Theorem 1 when \mathcal{F} is a localizing subcategory.

DEFINITION 4. A filter F is called a *splitting filter* if F satisfies the condition above. Note that if F is a splitting filter, then it is idempotent by the condition (e).

PROPOSITION 3. If F is a splitting filter, then $E(M/T(M))=E(M)/E(T(M))$ and $E(M)=E(T(M)) \oplus E(M/T(M))$ for any A -module M .

PROOF. First consider a canonical commutative diagram of modules with exact rows and columns:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 & & 0 & & 0 & & 0 \\
 & & \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \uparrow \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & E(T(M))/T(M) & \longrightarrow & E(M)/M & \longrightarrow & L \longrightarrow 0 \\
 & & \uparrow & & \uparrow f & & \uparrow g \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & E(T(M)) & \longrightarrow & E(M) & \longrightarrow & E(M)/T(E(M)) \longrightarrow 0 \\
 & & \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \uparrow h \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & T(M) & \longrightarrow & M & \longrightarrow & M/T(M) \longrightarrow 0 \\
 & & \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \uparrow \\
 & & 0 & & 0 & & 0
 \end{array}$$

Since $E(M)/T(E(M))$ is injective, it suffices to show that homomorphism h in the diagram is essential. If h is not essential then, by virtue of the next Lemma 1, there exists a non-zero element x of $E(M)/T(E(M))$ such that $0(x)=0(g(x))$. And there exists an inverse image y of x in $E(M)$ such that $0(x)=0(y)$. Hence $0(y)=0(f(y))$, which contradicts the fact that $E(M)$ is essential over M .

LEMMA 1. Let L be a submodule of an A -module M . Then L is not essential in M if and only if there exists a non-zero element x of M such that $0(x)=0(\bar{x})$,

where \bar{x} is the canonical image of x in M/L .

PROOF. Clear.

PROPOSITION 4. Suppose that F be a splitting filter and let F' be another filter of ideals of A . Then, for any A -module M , $T(D'(M))=D'(T(M))$, in which $D'(M)=\{x \in E(M); (M: x) \in F'\}$.

PROOF. For any submodule L of M , we denote by $C'(L, M)$ the set of elements x in M such that $(L: x) \in F'$. Our assertion follows from the equalities for any module $M: TD'(M)=D'(M) \cap T(E(M))=C'(M, E(M)) \cap E(T(M))=C'(M \cap E(T(M)), E(T(M)))=C'(T(M), E(T(M)))=D'(T(M))$.

Now, with the same notations and assumptions in Prop. 4, can we see that $D'(M/T(M)) \simeq D'(M)/D'(T(M))$? The rest of this section will be devoted to examine into conditions for this equality.

Let E be an A -module and M, E' its submodules. Then we have a commutative diagram of modules with exact rows and columns:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 & & 0 & & 0 & & 0 \\
 & & \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \uparrow \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & N' & \longrightarrow & N & \longrightarrow & N'' \longrightarrow 0 \\
 & & \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \uparrow \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & E' & \longrightarrow & E & \longrightarrow & E'' \longrightarrow 0 \\
 & & \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \uparrow \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & M' & \longrightarrow & M & \longrightarrow & M'' \longrightarrow 0 \\
 & & \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \uparrow \\
 & & 0 & & 0 & & 0
 \end{array} \tag{*}$$

in which $M' = E' \cap M$, and morphisms and modules are all canonical. From this diagram (*), we can construct directly another commutative diagram of modules with exact rows and columns except the middle row:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 & & 0 & & 0 & & 0 \\
 & & \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \uparrow \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & T(N') & \longrightarrow & T(N) & \longrightarrow & T(N'') \\
 & & \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \uparrow \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & C(M', E') & \longrightarrow & C(M, E) & \longrightarrow & C(M'', E'') \\
 & & \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \uparrow \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & M' & \longrightarrow & M & \longrightarrow & M'' \longrightarrow 0 \\
 & & \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \uparrow \\
 & & 0 & & 0 & & 0
 \end{array} \tag{**}$$

But, by consideration of the homology group at each module in the diagram (**), we have the following commutative diagram of modules with exact rows:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc}
0 & \longrightarrow & T(N') & \longrightarrow & T(N) & \longrightarrow & T(N'') & \longrightarrow & L & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
& & \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \parallel & & \\
0 & \longrightarrow & C(M', E') & \longrightarrow & C(M, E) & \longrightarrow & C(M'', E'') & \longrightarrow & K & \longrightarrow & 0
\end{array}$$

Hence we have

LEMMA 2. *With the same notations as above, if F is idempotent and $N' \in \mathcal{F}$, then $C(M'', E') \simeq C(M, E)/C(M', E')$.*

More generally, using the notion of the right derived functors $R^n T (n \geq 0)$ of T , we have

LEMMA 3. *With the same notations as above, if $R^1 T(N') = 0$, then $C(M'', E'') \simeq C(M, E)/C(M', E')$.*

As a special case, we have

THEOREM 2. *Let F be a splitting filter and M an A -module. Then $D(T(M)) = T(D(M)) = E(T(M))$ and $D(M) \simeq D(T(M)) \oplus D(M/T(M))$.*

PROOF. Apply Lemma 2, putting $E' = T(E(M))$. Then our assertion follows directly since $D(T(M)) = E(T(M))$ is injective.

LEMMA 4. *Let F be a splitting filter and M an A -module. Then*

- (a) *If $M \in \mathcal{F}$, then $R^n T(M) = 0$ for $n \geq 1$.*
- (b) *$R^n T(M) = R^n T(D(M)) = R^n T(D(M/T(M)))$ for $n \geq 2$.*

PROOF. (a) comes from (b) in Theorem 1. (b) follows from long exact sequences derived from $R^n T$'s, using (a) and Theorem 2.

PROPOSITION 5. *Let F_t be a splitting filter with the associated left exact functor t , and let F be another splitting filter. Suppose that $R^2 T(M) = 0$ for any A -module M such that $t(M) = M$ and $M \in \mathcal{F}_d$. Then, for any module M , $D(M/t(M)) \simeq DM/D(t(M))$.*

PROOF. Apply Lemma 3, putting $E' = t(E(M))$. Since $R^2 T(t(M)) = R^1 T(E(t(M))/t(M))$, it suffices to show that $R^2 T(t(M)) = 0$. This last equality follows directly from our assumption and Lemma 4.

§3. Divisorial lattices

A lattice $C(A)$ of ideals of A will be said to be divisorial if it is closed under intersection and, for any ideal α in $C(A)$ and any element x in A , $(\alpha : x)$ lies also in $C(A)$. Let F be an idempotent filter of ideals of A . Then we say that an ideal

α of A is F -closed if $c(\alpha) = \alpha$. The set of F -closed ideals of A forms a divisorial lattice $C_F(A)$. Note that the closure $c(\alpha)$ of any ideal α relative to F is the smallest F -closed ideal containing it.

Conversely, for each divisorial lattice $C(A)$ of ideals of A , we have a closure operation upon the lattice of ideals of A , defining the closure $\tilde{\alpha}$ of an ideal α by the smallest ideal in $C(A)$ containing α .

PROPOSITION 6. *With the same notations as above, the set F of ideals α of A such that $\tilde{\alpha} = \alpha$ forms an idempotent filter.*

PROOF. First of all we show that F is a filter. Since $\alpha \subseteq \tilde{\alpha}$, and $\tilde{\alpha} \subseteq \tilde{\beta}$ if $\alpha \subseteq \beta$, it suffices to show that if α and β are in F , then so is $\alpha \cdot \beta$. Suppose that $\alpha \cdot \beta \notin F$. Then there exists a proper ideal γ in $C(A)$ containing $\alpha \cdot \beta$. Since $\alpha \not\subseteq \gamma$, $(\gamma : \alpha)$ is a proper ideal in $C(A)$ containing β , contrary to the hypothesis.

The fact that F is idempotent follows from the next

LEMMA 5. *With the same notations as above, let α be an ideal of A . Then $c(\alpha) \subseteq \tilde{\alpha}$. Thus, $c(\alpha) \in F$ if and only if $\tilde{\alpha} = A$.*

PROOF. It suffices to show that, for any ideal α and element x in A , if $(\alpha : x) \in F$, then $x \in \tilde{\alpha}$. If $x \notin \tilde{\alpha}$, then $(\tilde{\alpha} : x)$ is a proper ideal in $C(A)$ containing $(\alpha : x)$, which shows that $(\alpha : x) \notin F$.

PROPOSITION 7. *Let $C(A)$ be a divisorial lattice of ideals of A and F an associated idempotent filter as above. Then the following conditions for $C(A)$ are equivalent:*

- (a) $C(A) = C_F(A)$.
- (b) For any ideal α of A , $c(\alpha) = \tilde{\alpha}$.
- (c) For any ideal α and element x in A , $(\tilde{\alpha} : x) = \widetilde{(\alpha : x)}$.

PROOF. (a) \Leftrightarrow (b) \Leftrightarrow (c): Clear.

REMARK 2. With the above notations, consider the condition (d): $\tilde{\alpha} \cap \tilde{\beta} = \widetilde{\alpha \cap \beta}$ for any ideals α, β of A . It is easy to see that the condition in Prop. 7 implies (d). But the converse is not true. For example, let $C(A)$ be the set of ideals α of A such that $\alpha = \sqrt{\alpha}$. Then $C(A)$ is a divisorial lattice with the condition (d) since $\tilde{\alpha} = \sqrt{\alpha}$ for any ideal α of A . However $c(\alpha) = \alpha$ for any ideal α of A . Thus, unless A is regular in the sense of von-Neumann, $C(A)$ does not satisfy the condition in Prop. 7.

REMARK 3. With the above notations, suppose that A is an integral domain and $C(A)$ satisfies the conditions (d) and (e): $x \cdot \tilde{\alpha} = \widetilde{x\alpha}$ for any ideal α and element x in A . Then $C(A)$ satisfies the condition in Prop. 7. In fact, for any α and x , $x(\alpha : x) = xA \cap \alpha$. Therefore $x(\widetilde{\alpha : x}) = xA \cap \tilde{\alpha} = x(\tilde{\alpha} : x)$ since $xA = \widetilde{xA}$.

EXAMPLE. Let A be an integral domain. Consider the set of ideals of A which are divisorial in the usual sense. Then it is a divisorial lattice with the condition (e). The associated filter consists of all ideals \mathfrak{a} of A such that $\mathfrak{a}^{-1} = A$. Let \mathfrak{a} be a non-zero ideal of A and K the fractional field of A . Then, since $K/A \in \mathcal{F}$ and thus $T(A/\mathfrak{a}) = T(K/\mathfrak{a})$, $c(\mathfrak{a}) = D(\mathfrak{a})$ with respect to the above filter.

In [8], the following proposition is proved. We shall prove it again rather easily.

PROPOSITION 8. *With the same situation in the above example, assume that A is completely integrally closed. Then, for any ideal \mathfrak{a} of A , $c(\mathfrak{a}) = \tilde{\mathfrak{a}}$.*

PROOF. Suppose that $x \in \tilde{\mathfrak{a}}$, namely that $x \cdot \mathfrak{a}^{-1} \in A$, then $x \cdot \mathfrak{a} \cdot \mathfrak{a}^{-1} \subseteq \mathfrak{a}$, thus $\mathfrak{a} \cdot \mathfrak{a}^{-1} \subseteq (\mathfrak{a} : x)$. By our assumption, $\widetilde{\mathfrak{a} \cdot \mathfrak{a}^{-1}} = A$, hence $x \in c(\mathfrak{a})$.

REMARK 4. To avoid the trivial case we assume that A is not a field. Let F be the filter in the above example. Then the associated (hereditary) torsion theory $(\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{F})$ is cogenerated by $E(K/A)$. That is, an A -module M belongs to \mathcal{T} if and only if $\text{Hom}_A(M, E(K/A)) = 0$ (cf. [6], [9] and [8, Prop. 5]). In fact, “only if” part is easy to see, so we shall show “if” part. If $\text{Hom}_A(M, E(K/A)) = 0$, then $\text{Hom}_A(Ax, K/A) = 0$ for any element x of M . Hence it suffices to show that if $\text{Hom}_A(A/\mathfrak{a}, K/A) = 0$, then $\mathfrak{a} \in F$. Suppose that an ideal \mathfrak{a} is not in F , then $\tilde{\mathfrak{a}} \neq A$ by Lemma 5. Hence we can take an element x of $\mathfrak{a}^{-1} - A$. Define $f: A \rightarrow K/A$ so that $f(a) = ax$ modulo A . Then $f(\mathfrak{a}) = 0$ and $f \neq 0$, which completes the proof.

§4. Relations with \otimes and Hom

As before, we fix a filter F of ideals of A .

LEMMA 6. *Let M be an A -module. Then*

- (a) *If M is in \mathcal{T} , then so are $\text{Tor}_n^A(M, N)$ for any module N .*
- (b) *If M is in \mathcal{F} , then so is $\text{Hom}_A(N, M)$ for any module N .*

PROOF. Clear.

PROPOSITION 9. *If an A -module M is F -closed, then so is $\text{Hom}_A(N, M)$ for any module N .*

PROOF. Let \mathfrak{a} be an ideal in F and N an A -module. It suffices to show that $\text{Hom}_A(A, \text{Hom}_A(N, M)) \simeq \text{Hom}_A(\mathfrak{a}, \text{Hom}_A(N, M))$. Consider two exact sequences:

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\longrightarrow \text{Tor}_1^A(A/\mathfrak{a}, N) \longrightarrow \mathfrak{a} \otimes_A N \longrightarrow \mathfrak{a}N \longrightarrow 0, \\ 0 &\longrightarrow \mathfrak{a}N \longrightarrow N \longrightarrow N/\mathfrak{a}N \longrightarrow 0 \end{aligned}$$

Since $\text{Tor}_1^f(A/\mathfrak{a}, N)$ is in \mathcal{F} , $\text{Hom}_A(\mathfrak{a}N, M) \simeq \text{Hom}_A(\mathfrak{a} \otimes_A N, M) \simeq \text{Hom}_A(\mathfrak{a}, \text{Hom}_A(N, M))$. Again since $N/\mathfrak{a}N$ is in \mathcal{F} and M is F -injective, $\text{Hom}_A(\mathfrak{a}N, M) \simeq \text{Hom}_A(N, M)$. These isomorphisms are all natural, and hence the proof is complete.

PROPOSITION 10. *Let M and N be A -modules. If M is F -injective and if $\text{Tor}_1^f(A/\mathfrak{a}, N) = 0$ for any ideal \mathfrak{a} in F , then $\text{Hom}_A(N, M)$ is F -injective.*

PROOF. Let \mathfrak{a} be an ideal in F . Then we have a commutative diagram of modules with exact columns:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 \text{Hom}_A(N, M) & \simeq & \text{Hom}_A(A \otimes_A N, M) & \simeq & \text{Hom}_A(A, \text{Hom}_A(N, M)) \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow p \\
 \text{Hom}_A(\mathfrak{a}N, M) & \simeq & \text{Hom}_A(\mathfrak{a} \otimes_A N, M) & \simeq & \text{Hom}_A(\mathfrak{a}, \text{Hom}_A(N, M)) \\
 \downarrow & i & \downarrow & & \\
 0 & & 0 & &
 \end{array}$$

In fact, since M is in \mathcal{F} , the columns are exact. And the isomorphism i can be obtained by our assumption for N . This diagram shows that p is epimorphic, which proves our assertion.

LEMMA 7. *Let M and N be A -modules. If M is F -closed, then $\text{Hom}_A(D(N), M) = \text{Hom}_A(N, M)$.*

PROOF. Clear.

COROLLARY 1. *Let N and M be A -modules. Assume that F is idempotent and that M is in \mathcal{F} . Then $\text{Hom}_A(D(N), D(M)) = \text{Hom}_A(N, D(M))$.*

PROOF. Since $D(M)$ is F -closed by Remark 1, our assertion follows directly from Lemma 7.

COROLLARY 2. *With the same assumptions as in Cor. 1, assume further that $\text{Hom}_A(N, D(M)/M) \in \mathcal{F}$. Then $\text{Hom}_A(N, D(M))$ is an F -injective hull of $\text{Hom}_A(N, M)$.*

PROOF. By our assumption, $\text{Hom}_A(N, M)$ is essential in $\text{Hom}_A(N, D(M))$. Since the latter is F -injective, our assertion follows from the min-max property of an F -injective hull.

Let us now inquire into an A -module N such that $\text{Hom}_A(N, L)$ belongs to \mathcal{F} for any module L in \mathcal{F} . We shall say that such a module N is of F -finite type. It is easy to see that each module of finite type is always of F -finite type.

PROPOSITION 11. *If F is a splitting filter, then every submodule of an A -*

module of F -finite type is of F -finite type.

PROOF. Let N be an A -module of F -finite type and M its submodule. Then, for any module L in \mathcal{T} , $\text{Hom}_A(M, L)$ is a submodule of $\text{Hom}_A(M, E(L))$, which is a homomorphic image of $\text{Hom}_A(N, E(L))$. The last module is in \mathcal{T} , since $E(L)$ is in \mathcal{T} by our assumption. Thus M is of F -finite type because of the closedness of the class \mathcal{T} .

The above result generalizes Prop. 32 in [8]. But we can have more generalization as follows. At first, note that the class of modules of F -finite type is closed under image and group extension.

DEFINITION 5. Let F be a filter of ideals of A . We say that F is a *completely multiplicative filter* if it satisfies the conditions:

- (i) For any ideals \mathfrak{a} and \mathfrak{b} in F ; $\mathfrak{a} \cdot \mathfrak{b}$ belongs to F .
- (ii) For any ideal \mathfrak{a} , $(\mathfrak{a} : c(\mathfrak{a}))$ belongs to F , or equivalently,
- (ii)' For any ideal \mathfrak{a} , there exists an ideal \mathfrak{b} in F such that $(\mathfrak{a} : \mathfrak{b}) = c(\mathfrak{a})$.

REMARK 5. In the above, the equivalence of (ii) and (ii)' follows from the statement (5) in § 1.

PROPOSITION 12. Let M be an A -module of finite type and suppose that F is a completely multiplicative filter. Then every submodule of M is of F -finite type.

PROOF. We prove the assertion by induction on the number of generators of M . If M is cyclic, then its submodule is of the form $\mathfrak{a}/\mathfrak{b}$ where \mathfrak{a} and \mathfrak{b} are ideals of A . It suffices to show that each ideal \mathfrak{a} is of F -finite type. Let f be a homomorphism from an ideal \mathfrak{a} into an A -module L in \mathcal{T} . Then $f(\mathfrak{a}) \simeq \mathfrak{a}/\mathfrak{b}$ and $\mathfrak{b} \subseteq \mathfrak{a} \subseteq c(\mathfrak{b})$. By our assumption there exists an ideal \mathfrak{c} in F such that $\mathfrak{c}\mathfrak{a} \subseteq \mathfrak{b}$, i. e., $\mathfrak{c}f = 0$, which shows that $\text{Hom}_A(\mathfrak{a}, L) \in \mathcal{T}$ if L is in \mathcal{T} .

If M is not cyclic, then we can write $M = M_1 + M_2$, where M_1 and M_2 are generated by less elements than M is. Let N be a submodule of M . Then $N \cap M_1$ and $N/N \cap M_1 = N + M_1/M_1$ are of F -finite type, by induction hypothesis, hence so is N , which completes the proof.

REMARK 6. Let F be a filter of ideals of A . It is easy to see that if F is completely multiplicative, then it is idempotent. Further, if F is of splitting type, then it is completely multiplicative, by the condition (e) in Theorem 1.

As a summary of the above results, we have

THEOREM 3. Let M be an A -module with $M \in \mathcal{F}$ and N a submodule of an A -module of finite type. If F is a completely multiplicative filter, then $\text{Hom}_A(N,$

$D(M)$ is an F -injective hull of $\text{Hom}_A(N, M)$.

EXAMPLE (continued). Let A be a completely integrally closed domain and F the set of ideals \mathfrak{a} of A such that $\mathfrak{a}^{-1} = A$. Then F is completely multiplicative. In fact, for any non-zero ideal \mathfrak{a} of A , $\mathfrak{a} \cdot \mathfrak{a}^{-1} \in F$ by our assumption on A . On the other hand since $\mathfrak{a}^{-1} = c(\mathfrak{a})^{-1}$, $\mathfrak{a} \cdot \mathfrak{a}^{-1} \subseteq (\mathfrak{a} : c(\mathfrak{a}))$, which shows our assertion.

By Theorem 3, for any A -lattices N and M , $D(\text{Hom}_A(N, M)) = \text{Hom}_A(N, D(M)) = \text{Hom}_A(D(N), D(M))$.

References

- [1] N. BOURBAKI, *Algèbre Commutative*, Chap. 1 and 2 (1961), 156–165.
- [2] S. E. DICKSON, A torsion theory for abelian categories, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **121** (1966), 223–235.
- [3] P. GABRIEL, Des catégories abeliennes, *Bull. Soc. Math. France* **90** (1962), 323–448.
- [4] O. GOLDMAN, Rings and modules of quotients, *J. Algebra* **13** (1969), 10–47.
- [5] S. ITOH, Divisorial Objects in Abelian Categories, this issue.
- [6] J. LAMBEK, Torsion theories, additive semantics, and rings of quotients, *Lecture Notes in Math.*, Vol. 177, Springer-Verlag, Berlin and New York, 1971.
- [7] J.-M. MARANDA, Injective structures, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **110** (1964), 98–135.
- [8] M. NISHI and M. SHINAGAWA, Codivisorial and divisorial modules over completely integrally closed domains (I), (II), *Hiroshima Math. J.*, **5**, **6** (1975).
- [9] B. STENSTRÖM, Rings and Modules of Quotients, *Lecture Notes in Math.*, Vol. 237, Springer-Verlag, Berlin and New York, 1971.
- [10] R. G. SWAN, Algebraic K -Theory, *Lecture Notes in Math.*, Vol. 76, Springer-Verlag, Berlin and New York, 1968.

*Faculty of Education,
Kumamoto University*

