

Stability of Difference Schemes for Nonsymmetric Linear Hyperbolic Systems

Kenji TOMOEDA

(Received May 18, 1977)

1. Introduction

Let us consider the Cauchy problem for a hyperbolic system

$$(1.1) \quad \frac{\partial u}{\partial t}(x, t) = \sum_{j=1}^n A_j(x, t) \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_j}(x, t) \quad (0 \leq t \leq T, -\infty < x_j < \infty),$$

$$(1.2) \quad u(x, 0) = u_0(x), \quad u_0(x) \in L_2,$$

where $u(x, t)$ and $u_0(x)$ are N -vectors and $A_j(x, t)$ ($j=1, 2, \dots, n$) are $N \times N$ matrices, and assume that this problem is well posed. For the numerical solution of this problem we consider the following difference scheme:

$$(1.3) \quad v(x, t+k) = S_h(t, h)v(x, t) \quad (0 \leq t \leq T, -\infty < x_j < \infty),$$

$$(1.4) \quad v(x, 0) = u_0(x), \quad k = \lambda h \quad (\lambda > 0),$$

where $S_h(t, \mu)$ is a sum of products of operators of the form $\sum_{\alpha} c_{\alpha}(x, t, \mu) T_h^{\alpha}$ ($\mu \geq 0$), α is a multi-index, $c_{\alpha}(x, t, \mu)$ is an $N \times N$ matrix, T_h is the translation operator and h is a space mesh width.

In our previous paper [5] we treated the case where $A_j(x, t)$ ($j=1, 2, \dots, n$) are independent of t , and obtained sufficient conditions for L_2 -stability of the scheme (1.3). In this paper we extend the results to the system (1.1) that satisfies the following conditions: Eigenvalues of $A(x, t, \xi) = \sum_{j=1}^n A_j(x, t) \xi_j / |\xi|$ ($\xi \neq 0$) are all real and their multiplicities are independent of x, t and ξ ; elementary divisors of $A(x, t, \xi)$ are all linear; there exists a positive constant δ such that

$$|\lambda_i(x, t, \xi) - \lambda_j(x, t, \xi)| \geq \delta \quad (i \neq j; i, j = 1, 2, \dots, s),$$

where $\lambda_i(x, t, \xi)$ ($i=1, 2, \dots, s$) are all the distinct eigenvalues of $A(x, t, \xi)$.

Our proof of stability is based on the following result: The scheme (1.3) is stable if $S_h(t, h)$ and $S_h(t, 0)$ are the families of bounded linear operators in L_2 and if there exist positive constants c_j ($j=0, 1, 2$) and a norm $\|\cdot\|_t$ which depends on t and is equivalent to the L_2 -norm such that

$$(1.5) \quad \|u\|_{t+k} \leq (1 + c_0 k) \|u\|_t \quad (t+k \leq T),$$

$$(1.6) \quad \|S_h(t, 0)u\|_t \leq (1 + c_1 h) \|u\|_t,$$

$$(1.7) \quad \|(S_h(t, h) - S_h(t, 0))u\| \leq c_2 h \|u\| \quad \text{for all } u \in L_2, t \in [0, T], h > 0.$$

The lemmas and theorems stated without proofs can be shown by the arguments similar to those of the corresponding ones in [5].

2. Notations and preliminaries

2.1. Notations

Let \mathbf{C} be the field of complex numbers and let a^* stand for the conjugate transpose of a matrix a . We denote by $|a|$, $|z|$ and $\rho(a)$ the spectral norm of an $N \times N$ matrix a , the Euclidean norm of an N -vector z and the spectral radius of a respectively. For any hermitian matrices a and b we use the notation $a \geq b$ if $a - b$ is positive semidefinite.

We denote by R^n the real n -space and write it as R_x^n , R_ω^n , R_χ^n , etc. to specify its space variables. Unless otherwise stated, we denote by $u(x)$, $\varphi(x)$, etc. the N -vector functions defined on R^n . We put $J = [0, T]$ and $I_\infty = [0, \infty)$.

The space L_p ($p \geq 1$) consists of all measurable functions $u(x)$ in R^n such that $|u(x)|^p$ is integrable, i.e. $\int |u(x)|^p dx < \infty$. The scalar product and the norm in L_2 are denoted by (\cdot, \cdot) and $\|\cdot\|$ respectively.

We denote by $\hat{p}(\chi, t, \omega)$ ($\chi \in R^n$) the Fourier transform of $p(x, t, \omega)$ with respect to x .

Let \mathcal{S} be the space of all C^∞ functions on R_x^n which, together with all their derivatives, decrease faster than any negative power of $|x|$ as $|x| \rightarrow \infty$. Then, for each $\varphi(x)$ in \mathcal{S} , $\hat{\varphi}(\chi)$ can be written as follows:

$$(2.1) \quad \hat{\varphi}(\chi) = \kappa \int e^{-ix \cdot \chi} \varphi(x) dx \quad \text{for all } \varphi \in \mathcal{S},$$

where

$$(2.2) \quad \kappa = (2\pi)^{-n/2}, \quad x \cdot \chi = \sum_{j=1}^n x_j \chi_j.$$

For simplicity we make use of the notations

$$\partial_t = \frac{\partial}{\partial t}, \quad D_j = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}, \quad \partial_j = \frac{\partial}{\partial \omega_j} \quad (j = 1, 2, \dots, n).$$

We denote by $\sup_{\omega \neq 0} u(x, t, \omega)$ and $\sup_{\omega \neq z} u(x, t, \omega)$ the supremum of $u(x, t, \omega)$ on $R_\omega^n - \{0\}$ for each fixed (x, t) and that on $R_\omega^n - Z$ respectively, where Z is a subset

of R_ω^n .

We say that $l(\chi, t, \omega)$ is absolutely continuous with respect to ω_k if it is so on any finite closed interval for each fixed χ, t and $\omega_j (j=1, 2, \dots, n; j \neq k)$, and that $l(\chi, t, \omega)$ is absolutely continuous with respect to t if it is so on J for each fixed χ and ω . We say that a scalar function $c(x, t, \omega)$ satisfies the condition imposed on matrix functions, if $c(x, t, \omega)I$ does.

2.2. The difference approximations

We consider a mesh imposed on (x, t) -space with a spacing of h in each x_j -direction ($j=1, 2, \dots, n$) and a spacing of k in the t -direction. The ratio $\lambda = k/h$ is to be kept constant as h varies. We approximate (1.1) and (1.2) by the difference scheme of the form:

$$(2.3) \quad v(x, t + k) = S_h(t, h)v(x, t) \quad (t, t + k \in J)$$

$$(2.4) \quad v(x, 0) = u_0(x),$$

where

$$(2.5) \quad S_h(t, \mu) = \sum_m \prod_{j=1}^v C_{m_j}(x, t, \mu, T_h), \quad m = (m_1, m_2, \dots, m_v),$$

$$(2.6) \quad C_{m_j}(x, t, \mu, T_h) = \sum_\alpha c_{\alpha m_j}(x, t, \mu) T_h^\alpha, \quad \alpha = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n),$$

$$(2.7) \quad T_h^\alpha = T_{1h}^{\alpha_1} T_{2h}^{\alpha_2} \dots T_{nh}^{\alpha_n}, \quad T_{jh}u(x) = u(x_1, \dots, x_{j-1}, x_j + h, x_{j+1}, \dots, x_n),$$

$m_j (m_j \geq 0; j=0, 1, \dots, v)$ and $\alpha_j (j=1, 2, \dots, n)$ are integers, $\mu \in I_\infty$ and $c_{\alpha m_j}(x, t, \mu)$'s are $N \times N$ matrices.

We approximate the partial differential operator $hD_j (1 \leq j \leq n)$ by the difference operator Δ_{jh} of the form

$$(2.8) \quad \Delta_{jh} = \sum_l b_l (T_{jh}^l - T_{jh}^{-l})/2,$$

where the summation is over a finite set of $l (l \geq 0)$ and b_l 's are real constants. We put

$$(2.9) \quad s_j(\omega) = \sum_l b_l \sin l\omega_j \quad (j = 1, 2, \dots, n),$$

$$(2.10) \quad s(\omega) = (s_1(\omega), s_2(\omega), \dots, s_n(\omega)),$$

and assume that, for some positive integer r , $s_j(\omega)$ can be written as follows:

$$(2.11) \quad s_j(\omega) = \omega_j + O(|\omega_j|^{r+1}) \quad (|\omega_j| \leq \pi).$$

For example the following difference operators are well known:

$$(2.12) \quad F_h(t) = C_h + \lambda P_h(t),$$

$$(2.13) \quad M_h(t) = I + \lambda P_h(t)C_h + \lambda^2\{(P_h(t))^2 + hQ_h(t)\}/2,$$

where

$$(2.14) \quad P_h(t) = \sum_{j=1}^n A_j(x, t)A_{jh}, \quad C_h = (1/n)\sum_{j=1}^n (T_{jh} + T_{jh}^{-1})/2,$$

$$Q_h(t) = \sum_{j=1}^n (\partial_t A_j(x, t))A_{jh}, \quad A_{jh} = (T_{jh} - T_{jh}^{-1})/2 \quad (j = 1, 2, \dots, n).$$

The schemes (2.3) with operators (2.12) and (2.13) are called Friedrichs' scheme and the modified Lax-Wendroff scheme respectively.

We say that the difference scheme (2.3) approximates (1.1) with accuracy of order r [4, 6] if all smooth solutions u of (1.1) satisfy

$$(2.15) \quad |u(x, t+k) - S_h(t, h)u(x, t)| = O(h^{r+1}) \quad (h \rightarrow 0)$$

for each (x, t) .

The difference scheme is said to be stable in L_2 if there exists a constant M such that

$$(2.16) \quad \|S_h(vk, h)S_h((v-1)k, h)\cdots S_h(0, h)u\| \leq M\|u\|$$

for all $u \in L_2$ and for all $h > 0$ and integers $v \geq 0$ such that $(v+1)k \leq T$. Since $S_h(t, h)$ is a family of bounded linear operators in L_2 depending on h and t , we have to study the boundedness of products of the form $L_h(vk)L_h((v-1)k)\cdots L_h(0)$ of such families of operators $L_h(t)$.

Let \mathcal{H}_h be the set of all families of bounded linear operators $H_h(t)$ in L_2 such that

$$(2.17) \quad \|H_h(t)u\| \leq c(h)\|u\| \quad \text{for all } u \in L_2, t \in J, h > 0,$$

where $c(\mu)$ is a continuous function on I_∞ .

For $A_h(t), B_h(t) \in \mathcal{H}_h$ and $\alpha \in \mathbf{C}$ let $A_h(t) + B_h(t)$, $A_h(t)B_h(t)$ and $\alpha A_h(t)$ be defined by

$$(A_h(t) + B_h(t))u = A_h(t)u + B_h(t)u,$$

$$(A_h(t)B_h(t))u = A_h(t)(B_h(t)u), \quad (\alpha A_h(t))u = \alpha(A_h(t)u).$$

Then \mathcal{H}_h forms an algebra over \mathbf{C} with unit element I_h . Since the adjoint $A_h^*(t)$ of a family $A_h(t)$ also belongs to \mathcal{H}_h , the operation $*$ is an involution in \mathcal{H}_h and \mathcal{H}_h is an algebra with involution [2].

For $A_h(t), B_h(t) \in \mathcal{H}_h$ we use the notation $A_h(t) \equiv B_h(t)$ if there exists a constant c such that

$$(2.18) \quad \|(A_h(t) - B_h(t))u\| \leq ch\|u\| \quad \text{for all } u \in L_2, t \in J, h > 0.$$

Then we have the following

THEOREM 2.1. *Let $L_h(t) \in \mathcal{A}_h$ and suppose there exist a norm $\| \cdot \|_t$ ($t \in J$) and positive constants d_j ($j=1, 2, 3$) and c_0 such that*

$$(2.19) \quad d_1 \|u\| \leq \|u\|_t \leq d_2 \|u\|,$$

$$(2.20) \quad \|u\|_{t+k} \leq (1 + d_3 k) \|u\|_t \quad (t + k \in J),$$

$$(2.21) \quad \|L_h(t)u\|_t \leq (1 + c_0 h) \|u\|_t \quad \text{for all } u \in L_2, t \in J \text{ and } h > 0.$$

Then there exists a constant M such that

$$(2.22) \quad \|L_h(vk)L_h((v-1)k)\cdots L_h(0)u\| \leq M \|u\|$$

for all $u \in L_2$ and for all $h > 0$ and integers $v \geq 0$ such that $(v+1)k \leq T$.

PROOF. Making use of (2.20) and (2.21), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \|L_h(vk)L_h((v-1)k)\cdots L_h(0)u\|_{vk} \\ & \leq (1 + c_0 h) \|L_h((v-1)k)\cdots L_h(0)u\|_{vk} \\ & \leq (1 + c_0 h)(1 + d_3 k) \|L_h((v-1)k)\cdots L_h(0)u\|_{(v-1)k} \\ & \leq \cdots \leq (1 + c_0 h)^{v+1}(1 + d_3 k)^v \|u\|_0 \quad \text{for all } u \in L_2, h > 0, \end{aligned}$$

and by (2.19)

$$d_1 \|L_h(vk)L_h((v-1)k)\cdots L_h(0)u\| \leq c_1 d_2 \|u\| \quad \text{for all } u \in L_2, h > 0,$$

where $c_1 = \exp(c_0 T/\lambda) \exp(d_3 T)$. Hence (2.22) holds with $M = c_1 d_2 / d_1$.

COROLLARY 2.1. *For any $S_h(t) \in \mathcal{A}_h$ let $L_h(t)$ be a family such that $L_h(t) \equiv S_h(t)$ and which satisfies the assumption of the theorem. Then there exists a constant M such that*

$$(2.23) \quad \|S_h(vk)S_h((v-1)k)\cdots S_h(0)u\| \leq M \|u\|$$

for all $u \in L_2$ and for all $h > 0$ and integers $v \geq 0$ such that $(v+1)k \leq T$.

PROOF. Since there is a constant c_2 such that

$$\|(L_h(t) - S_h(t))u\| \leq c_2 h \|u\| \quad \text{for all } u \in L_2, t \in J, h > 0,$$

by (2.19) and (2.21) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|S_h(t)u\|_t & \leq \|L_h(t)u\|_t + \|(S_h(t) - L_h(t))u\|_t \\ & \leq \|L_h(t)u\|_t + c_2 d_2 h \|u\| \end{aligned}$$

$$\leq (1 + c_3 h) \|u\|_t,$$

where $c_3 = c_0 + c_2 d_2 / d_1$. Hence (2.21) is satisfied and (2.23) follows from the theorem.

By Theorem 2.1 and its corollary, in proving the stability of the scheme (2.3), the problem is to find a norm $\|\cdot\|_t$ ($t \in J$) and a family $L_h(t) \in \mathcal{H}_h$ such that $L_h(t) \equiv S_h(t, h)$ in order to establish (2.21).

3. The subalgebra \mathcal{X}_h of \mathcal{H}_h

3.1. Definitions

Let \mathcal{X} be the set of all $N \times N$ matrix functions $p(x, t, \omega)$ defined on $R_x^n \times J \times R_\omega^n$ with the properties:

1) $p(x, t, \omega)$ can be written as

$$p(x, t, \omega) = p_0(x, t, \omega) + p_\infty(t, \omega),$$

where $p_0(x, t, \omega)$ and $p_\infty(t, \omega)$ are bounded and measurable on $R_x^n \times J \times R_\omega^n$ and measurable on $R_x^n \times R_\omega^n$ for each $t \in J$,

$$\lim_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} p_0(x, t, \omega) = 0 \quad \text{for each } (t, \omega);$$

2) $p_0(x, t, \omega)$ is integrable as a function of x for each (t, ω) ;

3) $\hat{p}(\chi, t, \omega)$ is integrable as a function of χ for each (t, ω) and $\int_{\text{ess. sup}} |\hat{p}_0(\chi, t, \omega)| d\chi$ is bounded on J .

The Fourier transform $\hat{p}(\chi, t, \omega)$ of the element $p(x, t, \omega)$ of \mathcal{X} can be written as follows:

$$(3.1) \quad \hat{p}(\chi, t, \omega) = \hat{p}_0(\chi, t, \omega) + \delta(\chi) p_\infty(t, \omega),$$

where $\delta(\chi)$ is the delta function. We define $\|\hat{p}(t)\|_F$ by

$$(3.2) \quad \|\hat{p}(t)\|_F = \int_{\text{ess. sup}} |\hat{p}_0(\chi, t, \omega)| d\chi + \text{ess. sup} |p_\infty(t, \omega)|.$$

Then we have the following two lemmas.

LEMMA 3.1. *If $p, q \in \mathcal{X}$ and $\alpha \in \mathbf{C}$, then $p+q, pq, \alpha p, p^* \in \mathcal{X}$ and*

$$(3.3) \quad \|\widehat{p+q}(t)\|_F \leq \|\hat{p}(t)\|_F + \|\hat{q}(t)\|_F, \quad \|\widehat{pq}(t)\|_F \leq \|\hat{p}(t)\|_F \|\hat{q}(t)\|_F,$$

$$(3.4) \quad \|\widehat{\alpha p}(t)\|_F = |\alpha| \|\hat{p}(t)\|_F, \quad \|\widehat{p^*}(t)\|_F = \|\hat{p}(t)\|_F.$$

LEMMA 3.2. Let $p \in \mathcal{X}$ and $u \in \mathcal{S}$. Then

$$(3.5) \quad \left\| \int \hat{p}(\xi - \xi', t, h\xi') \hat{u}(\xi') d\xi' \right\| \leq \| \hat{p}(t) \|_F \| \hat{u} \| \quad \text{for all } t \in J, h > 0,$$

and for each $t \in J$ and $h > 0$

$$(3.6) \quad \begin{aligned} & \text{l. i. m. } \kappa^{-1} \int e^{ix \cdot \xi} \int \hat{p}(\xi - \xi', t, h\xi') \hat{u}(\xi') d\xi' d\xi \\ & = \kappa^{-1} \int e^{ix \cdot \xi} p(x, t, h\xi) \hat{u}(\xi) d\xi \end{aligned}$$

for almost all x .

With each $p \in \mathcal{X}$ we associate a family of operators $P_h(t)$ by the formula:

$$(3.7) \quad P_h(t)u(x) = \text{l. i. m. } \kappa^{-1} \int e^{ix \cdot \xi} \int \hat{p}(\xi - \xi', t, h\xi') \hat{u}(\xi') d\xi' d\xi$$

for all $u \in \mathcal{S}, t \in J, h > 0$.

Then by (3.5) $P_h(t)$ can be extended to the closure $\bar{\mathcal{S}} = L_2$ with preservation of norm and the extension is unique. Denoting this extension of $P_h(t)$ again by $P_h(t)$, we call $P_h(t)$ the family (of operators) associated with p and denote this mapping by ϕ i.e. $P_h(t) = \phi(p)$. Unless otherwise stated, we denote by $Q_h(t)$, $\tilde{L}_h(t)$, etc. the families associated with q , \tilde{l} , etc. respectively.

We note that by (3.6) $P_h(t)u$ ($u \in \mathcal{S}$) can be written as follows:

$$(3.8) \quad P_h(t)u(x) = \kappa^{-1} \int e^{ix \cdot \xi} p(x, t, h\xi) \hat{u}(\xi) d\xi$$

for all $u \in \mathcal{S}, t \in J, h > 0$.

Let $\mathcal{X}_h = \phi(\mathcal{X})$. Then we have

LEMMA 3.3. The mapping ϕ is one-to-one.

By Lemma 3.1 \mathcal{X} forms an algebra with involution over \mathbf{C} . For $p, q \in \mathcal{X}$ and $\alpha \in \mathbf{C}$ we have

$$\phi(p) + \phi(q) = \phi(p + q), \quad \alpha\phi(p) = \phi(\alpha p),$$

because $\mathcal{X}_h \subset \mathcal{X}_h$. Let

$$\phi(p) \circ \phi(q) = \phi(pq), \quad \phi(p)^* = \phi(p^*).$$

Then \mathcal{X}_h forms an algebra with involution over \mathbf{C} and the mappings ϕ and ϕ^{-1} are morphisms [1].

3.2. Products and adjoints

We introduce the following three conditions.

CONDITION I. 1) $p \in \mathcal{X}$;

2) $\hat{p}_0(\chi, t, \omega)$ and $p_\infty(t, \omega)$ are absolutely continuous with respect to ω_j ($j=1, 2, \dots, n$) and $\partial_j \hat{p}_0(\chi, t, \omega)$ and $\partial_j p_\infty(t, \omega)$ ($j=1, 2, \dots, n$) are measurable in $R_\chi^n \times R_\omega^n$ for each t ;

3) $\int \text{ess} \cdot \sup_\omega |\partial_j \hat{p}_0(\chi, t, \omega)| d\chi$ and $\text{ess} \cdot \sup_\omega |\partial_j p_\infty(t, \omega)|$ ($j=1, 2, \dots, n$) are bounded on J .

CONDITION II. $q \in \mathcal{X}$ and $\int \text{ess} \cdot \sup_\omega (|\chi| |\hat{q}_0(\chi, t, \omega)|) d\chi$ is bounded on J .

CONDITION III. 1) $r \in \mathcal{X}$;

2) $\hat{r}_0(\chi, t, \omega)$ is absolutely continuous with respect to ω_j ($j=1, 2, \dots, n$) and $\partial_j \hat{r}_0(\chi, t, \omega)$ ($j=1, 2, \dots, n$) are measurable in $R_\chi^n \times R_\omega^n$ for each t ;

3) $\int \text{ess} \cdot \sup_\omega (|\chi_j| |\partial_j \hat{r}_0(\chi, t, \omega)|) d\chi$ ($j=1, 2, \dots, n$) are bounded on J .

We have

THEOREM 3.1. *If p, q and r satisfy Conditions I, II and III respectively, then*

$$(3.9) \quad P_h(t)Q_h(t) \equiv P_h(t) \circ Q_h(t), \quad R_h^*(t) \equiv R_h^*(t).$$

COROLLARY 3.1. *If $a(x, t), b(\omega, t), p(x, t, \omega) \in \mathcal{X}$, then*

$$(3.10) \quad A_h(t)P_h(t) = A_h(t) \circ P_h(t), \quad P_h(t)B_h(t) = P_h(t) \circ B_h(t),$$

$$(3.11) \quad B_h^*(t) = B_h^*(t).$$

3.3. Construction of a new norm

We construct a norm $\| \cdot \|_t$ ($t \in J$) stated in Theorem 2.1.

Let ε and R ($R \geq \varepsilon$) be positive numbers and let $S(R, \varepsilon) = \{x \mid |x| < R + \varepsilon\}$. Let $\{x^{(i)}\}$ ($i=1, 2, \dots, s$) be all the lattice-points ($\varepsilon\eta_1, \varepsilon\eta_2, \dots, \varepsilon\eta_n$) contained in $S(R, \varepsilon)$ ($\eta_j = m_j / \sqrt{n}$; $m_j = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots$; $j=1, 2, \dots, n$) and let

$$V_0 = \{x \mid |x| > R\}, \quad V_i = \{x \mid |x - x^{(i)}| < \varepsilon\} \quad (i = 1, 2, \dots, s).$$

Then we can construct a partition of unity $\{\alpha_i^2(x)\}_{i=0,1,\dots,s}$ with the properties:

$$1) \quad \alpha_i(x) \geq 0, \quad \alpha_i(x) \in C^\infty, \quad \text{supp } \alpha_i(x) \subset V_i \quad (i = 0, 1, \dots, s);$$

$$2) \quad \sum_{i=0}^s \alpha_i^2(x) = 1;$$

3) $\alpha_0(x)$ and all its derivatives are bounded uniformly with respect to R for each ε .

We introduce the following

CONDITION N. 1) $g \in \mathcal{X}$ and $D_j g(x, t, \omega)$ ($j=1, 2, \dots, n$) are bounded on $R_x^n \times J \times R_\omega^n$ and continuous on R_x^n for each (t, ω) ; $D_j g(x, t, \omega)$ ($j=1, 2, \dots, n$) are integrable as functions of x for each (t, ω) ; $\widehat{D_j g}(\chi, t, \omega)$ ($j=1, 2, \dots, n$) are integrable as functions of χ for each (t, ω) and $\int \text{ess} \cdot \sup |D_j g(\chi, t, \omega)| d\chi$ ($j=1, 2, \dots, n$) are bounded on J ;

2) $\|\widehat{\alpha_0 g_0}(t)\|_F$ converges to zero uniformly on J as $R \rightarrow \infty$.

Then we have the following lemma and theorem.

LEMMA 3.4. *If p and q satisfy Condition N, so also do $p+q$, pq and p^* .*

THEOREM 3.2. *Suppose*

- 1) $g(x, t, \omega)$ satisfies Condition N;
- 2) $g(x, t, \omega) \geq \varepsilon I$ for some constant $\varepsilon > 0$.

Then for sufficiently small ε and large R there exist positive constants d_j ($j=1, 2$) independent of u, t and h such that

$$(3.12) \quad d_1^2 \|u\|^2 \leq \sum_{i=0}^i \text{Re}(G_h(t)\alpha_i u, \alpha_i u) \leq d_2^2 \|u\|^2$$

for all $u \in L_2, t \in J, h > 0$.

This theorem enables us to introduce the norm

$$(3.13) \quad \|u\|_t = \{\sum_{i=0}^i \text{Re}(G_h(t)\alpha_i u, \alpha_i u)\}^{1/2} \quad \text{for all } u \in L_2, t \in J, h > 0,$$

which has the property (2.19) by (3.12). (For simplicity the dependence of $\|\cdot\|_t$ on h is not expressed explicitly.)

To obtain sufficient conditions for (2.20), we introduce the following

CONDITION L. 1) $g \in \mathcal{X}$;

2) $\hat{g}_0(\chi, t, \omega)$ and $g_\infty(t, \omega)$ are absolutely continuous with respect to t ; there exist measurable functions $\varphi_0(\chi, t, \omega)$ and $\varphi_\infty(t, \omega)$ in $R_\chi^n \times J \times R_\omega^n$ such that for each (χ, ω) and for almost all $t \in J$

$$\partial_t \hat{g}_0(\chi, t, \omega) = \varphi_0(\chi, t, \omega), \quad \partial_t g_\infty(t, \omega) = \varphi_\infty(t, \omega);$$

3) There exists a constant $M > 0$ such that for almost all $t \in J$

$$\int \text{ess} \cdot \sup |\varphi_0(\chi, t, \omega)| d\chi \leq M, \quad \text{ess} \cdot \sup |\varphi_\infty(t, \omega)| \leq M.$$

We have

LEMMA 3.5. *If g satisfies Condition L, then there exists a positive constant c independent of u, t, t' and h such that*

$$(3.14) \quad \|(G_h(t') - G_h(t))u\| \leq c|t' - t| \|u\| \quad \text{for all } u \in L_2, t, t' \in J, h > 0.$$

PROOF. By Lemma 3.2 it suffices to show that for some constant $c > 0$

$$(3.15) \quad \|\hat{g}(t') - \hat{g}(t)\|_F \leq c(t' - t) \quad \text{for all } t, t' \in J \quad (t' \geq t).$$

From Condition L-2) it follows that for each (χ, ω)

$$\begin{aligned} |\hat{g}_0(\chi, t', \omega) - \hat{g}_0(\chi, t, \omega)| &= \left| \int_t^{t'} \partial_t \hat{g}_0(\chi, \theta, \omega) d\theta \right| \\ &\leq \int_t^{t'} |\varphi_0(\chi, \theta, \omega)| d\theta. \end{aligned}$$

Taking the essential suprema of both sides over R_ω^n and integrating them with respect to χ , we have by Condition L-3)

$$(3.16) \quad \begin{aligned} \|\hat{g}_0(t') - \hat{g}_0(t)\|_F &\leq \iint_t^{t'} \text{ess. sup}_\omega |\varphi_0(\chi, \theta, \omega)| d\theta d\chi \\ &\leq \int_t^{t'} M d\theta = M(t' - t). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly we have

$$(3.17) \quad \|g_\infty(t') - g_\infty(t)\|_F \leq M(t' - t).$$

Hence (3.15) holds with $c=2M$ by (3.16) and (3.17).

Combining Theorem 3.2 with Lemma 3.5, we have

THEOREM 3.3. *Let g satisfy Conditions N and L and suppose $g(x, t, \omega) \geq eI$ for some constant $e > 0$. Then the norm $\|\cdot\|_t$ given by (3.13) satisfies (2.19) and (2.20).*

PROOF. It suffices to show (2.20). By Lemma 3.5 for some constant c independent of u, t, t' and h we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\|u\|_{t'}^2 - \|u\|_t^2| &= |\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \text{Re}((G_h(t') - G_h(t))\alpha_i u, \alpha_i u)| \\ &\leq \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \|(G_h(t') - G_h(t))\alpha_i u\| \|\alpha_i u\| \\ &\leq \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} c|t' - t| \|\alpha_i u\|^2 = c|t' - t| \|u\|^2 \end{aligned}$$

for all $u \in L_2, t, t' \in J, h > 0$.

The choice $t' = t + k$ yields (2.20) with $d_3 = c/d_1^2$ by (2.19).

3.4. Lax-Nirenberg Theorem

We have the following analogue of Lax-Nirenberg Theorem [3] which plays an important role in establishing (2.21).

THEOREM 3.4. *Suppose $p \in \mathcal{X}$ satisfies the conditions:*

- 1) $\partial_j \hat{p}_0(\chi, t, \omega)$ and $\partial_j p_\infty(t, \omega)$ ($j=1, 2, \dots, n$) are continuous on R_ω^n for each (χ, t) and absolutely continuous with respect to ω_k ($k=1, 2, \dots, n$);
- 2) $\partial_k \partial_j \hat{p}_0(\chi, t, \omega)$ and $\partial_k \partial_j p_\infty(t, \omega)$ ($j, k=1, 2, \dots, n$) are measurable in $R_\chi^n \times R_\omega^n$ for each t ; $\int \text{ess} \cdot \sup_\omega |\partial_k \partial_j \hat{p}_0(\chi, t, \omega)| d\chi$ and $\text{ess} \cdot \sup_\omega |\partial_k \partial_j p_\infty(t, \omega)|$ ($j, k=1, 2, \dots, n$) are bounded on J ;
- 3) $\int \text{ess} \cdot \sup_\omega (|\chi|^2 |\hat{p}_0(\chi, t, \omega)|) d\chi$ is bounded on J ;
- 4) $p(x, t, \omega) \geq 0$.

Then there exists a positive constant c independent of u, t and h such that

$$(3.18) \quad \text{Re}(P_h(t)u, u) \geq -ch\|u\|^2 \quad \text{for all } u \in L_2, t \in J, h > 0.$$

4. Products of families of operators

4.1. The family of operators A_h

In this section $s(\omega)$ denotes a real-valued vector function with the properties:

- 1) $s_l(\omega), \partial_j s_l(\omega)$ and $\partial_k \partial_j s_l(\omega)$ ($j, k, l=1, 2, \dots, n$) are bounded and continuous on R_ω^n ;
- 2) Zeros of $|s(\omega)|$ are isolated points.

It is readily seen that $|s(\omega)|I$ satisfies Condition I. Let $Z = \{\omega \mid |s(\omega)| = 0\}$ and A_h be the family associated with $|s(\omega)|I$. Then by Corollary 3.1 we have $A_h = A_h^* = A_h^*$.

Let $p(x, t, \omega)$ be an element of \mathcal{X} such that $p(x, t, \omega)/|s(\omega)|$ is bounded on $R_x^n \times J \times (R_\omega^n - Z)$. For any constant α let

$$(4.1) \quad q_\alpha(x, t, \omega) = \begin{cases} p(x, t, \omega)/|s(\omega)| & \text{for } \omega \in R_\omega^n - Z, \\ \alpha I & \text{for } \omega \in Z, \end{cases}$$

and suppose $q_\alpha(x, t, \omega) \in \mathcal{X}$. Then, since Z is a set of measure zero, we have for each t

$$(4.2) \quad \widehat{Q_{\alpha h}(t)u}(\xi) = \widehat{Q_{\beta h}(t)u}(\xi) \quad \text{a. e.}$$

for all $u \in \mathcal{S}$, where $Q_{\alpha h}(t)$ and $Q_{\beta h}(t)$ are the families associated with q_α and q_β

($\beta \neq \alpha$) respectively. In the following we identify $q_\alpha(x, t, \omega)$ with $q_\beta(x, t, \omega)$ and denote them by $p(x, t, \omega)/|s(\omega)|$. Then we have $P_h(t) = P_{1h}(t) \circ A_h$, where $P_{1h}(t)$ is the family associated with $p/|s|$.

When $e(\omega)$ is a scalar function with isolated zeros such that $e(\omega)I \in \mathcal{X}$, $p(x, t, \omega)/e(\omega)$ can be defined similarly by replacing $|s(\omega)|$ by $e(\omega)$.

Now we introduce the following conditions.

CONDITION I'. 1) $p \in \mathcal{X}$;
 2) $\hat{p}_0(\chi, t, \omega)$ is bounded on $R_\chi^n \times J \times (R_\omega^n - Z)$;
 3) $\partial_j l_0(\chi, t, \omega)$ and $\partial_j l_\infty(t, \omega)$ ($j=1, 2, \dots, n$) are bounded on $R_\chi^n \times J \times (R_\omega^n - Z)$ and continuous on $R_\omega^n - Z$ for each (χ, t) , where $l_0(\chi, t, \omega) = \hat{p}_0|s|$, $l_\infty(t, \omega) = p_\infty|s|$;

4) $\int \text{ess. sup}_\omega |\partial_j l_0(\chi, t, \omega)| d\chi$ ($j=1, 2, \dots, n$) are bounded on J .

CONDITION III'. 1), 2) the same as I'-1), I'-2) respectively;

3) $\partial_j l_0(\chi, t, \omega)$ ($j=1, 2, \dots, n$) are bounded on $R_\chi^n \times J \times (R_\omega^n - Z)$ and continuous on $R_\omega^n - Z$ for each (χ, t) ;

4) $\int \text{ess. sup}_\omega (|\chi_j| |\partial_j l_0(\chi, t, \omega)|) d\chi$ ($j=1, 2, \dots, n$) are bounded on J .

CONDITION IV. $p \in \mathcal{X}$ and $\int \text{ess. sup}_\omega (|\chi|^2 |\hat{p}_0(\chi, t, \omega)|) d\chi$ is bounded on J .

CONDITION V. 1) p satisfies Condition I' ;

2) $\partial_k m_{j_0}(\chi, t, \omega)$ and $\partial_k m_{j_\infty}(t, \omega)$ ($j, k=1, 2, \dots, n$) are bounded on $R_\chi^n \times J \times (R_\omega^n - Z)$ and continuous on $R_\omega^n - Z$ for each (χ, t) , where $m_{j_0}(\chi, t, \omega) = (\partial_j l_0)|s|$, $m_{j_\infty}(t, \omega) = (\partial_j l_\infty)|s|$, $l_0 = \hat{p}_0|s|$, $l_\infty = p_\infty|s|$;

3) $\int \text{ess. sup}_\omega |\partial_k m_{j_0}(\chi, t, \omega)| d\chi$ ($j, k=1, 2, \dots, n$) are bounded on J .

We have the following lemmas.

LEMMA 4.1. (i) If p satisfies Condition I', then $p|s|$ satisfies Condition I.

(ii) If p satisfies Condition III', then $p|s|$ satisfies Condition III.

LEMMA 4.2. (i) If p satisfies Condition I' and q satisfies Condition II, then

$$(4.3) \quad P_h(t)Q_h(t)A_h \equiv P_h(t) \circ Q_h(t) \circ A_h.$$

(ii) If p satisfies Condition III', then

$$(4.4) \quad (P_h(t)A_h)^* \equiv P_h^*(t) \circ A_h.$$

LEMMA 4.3. If p satisfies Conditions IV and V, then $p(x, t, \omega)|s(\omega)|^2$ satisfies conditions 1), 2) and 3) of Theorem 3.4.

4.2. Subalgebras \mathcal{M} and \mathcal{L} of \mathcal{X}

Let \mathcal{M} be the set of all elements of \mathcal{X} that satisfy Conditions I', II and III' and let the set \mathcal{L} consist of all elements of \mathcal{M} that satisfy Conditions IV and V. For instance $|s(\omega)|I$ and $(s_j(\omega)/|s(\omega)|)I$ ($j=1, 2, \dots, n$) belong to \mathcal{M} and \mathcal{L} .

LEMMA 4.4. (i) If p and q satisfy Condition II, so also do $p+q$, pq and p^* .

(ii) If $p, q \in \mathcal{M}$, then $p+q, pq, p^* \in \mathcal{M}$.

(iii) If $p, q \in \mathcal{L}$, then $p+q, pq, p^* \in \mathcal{L}$.

LEMMA 4.5. Let $g(x, t, \omega)$ satisfy Conditions I' and II, and let

$$(4.5) \quad l(x, t, \omega) = c(\omega)I + q(x, t, \omega)|s(\omega)|,$$

where $q(x, t, \omega) \in \mathcal{M}$ and $c(\omega)$ is a scalar function satisfying Condition I. Then

$$(4.6) \quad L_h^*(t)G_h(t)L_h(t) \equiv L_h^*(t) \circ G_h(t) \circ L_h(t).$$

COROLLARY 4.1. Under the assumption of Lemma 4.5 let

$$(4.7) \quad g(x, t, \omega) = w^*(x, t, \omega)w(x, t, \omega),$$

where $w, w^{-1} \in \mathcal{X}$. Then

$$(4.8) \quad G_h(t) - L_h^*(t)G_h(t)L_h(t) \equiv G_h(t) - L_h^*(t) \circ G_h(t) \circ L_h(t) \\ = W_h^*(t) \circ (I_h - \tilde{L}_h^*(t) \circ \tilde{L}_h(t)) \circ W_h(t),$$

$$(4.9) \quad g - l^*gl = w^*(I - \tilde{l}^*\tilde{l})w, \quad \tilde{l} = wlw^{-1}.$$

4.3. Integrability of Fourier transforms

We introduce

CONDITION VI. 1) $p(x, t, \omega)$ can be written as

$$p(x, t, \omega) = p_0(x, t, \omega) + p_\infty(t, \omega),$$

where $p_0(x, t, \omega)$ and $p_\infty(t, \omega)$ are bounded and measurable on $R_x^n \times J \times R_\omega^n$ and measurable on $R_x^n \times R_\omega^n$ for each t ,

$$\lim_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} p_0(x, t, \omega) = 0 \quad \text{for each } (t, \omega);$$

2) $D_l^m p_0(x, t, \omega)$ ($l=1, 2, \dots, n; m=0, 1, \dots, n+3$) are continuous on $R_x^n \times J \times (R_\omega^n - Z)$ and continuous on $R_x^n \times J$ for each $\omega \in Z$; $\sup_{\omega} |D_l^m p_0(x, t, \omega)|$ and $\int_{\omega} |D_l^m p_0(x, t, \omega)| dx$ ($l=1, 2, \dots, n; m=0, 1, \dots, n+3$) are bounded on $R_x^n \times J$

and on J respectively;

3) $\{(D_l^q \partial_j p_0(x, t, \omega)) |s(\omega)|\}$ and $\{(\partial_j p_\infty(t, \omega)) |s(\omega)|\}$ ($j, l=1, 2, \dots, n; q=0, 1, \dots, n+2$) are bounded and continuous on $R_x^n \times J \times (R_\omega^n - Z)$;

4) $\int_{\omega \notin Z} \sup (|D_l^q \partial_j p_0(x, t, \omega)| |s(\omega)|) dx$ ($j, l=1, 2, \dots, n; q=0, 1, \dots, n+2$) are bounded on J ;

5) $\{(D_l^r \partial_k \partial_j p_0(x, t, \omega)) |s(\omega)|^2\}$ and $\{(\partial_k \partial_j p_\infty(t, \omega)) |s(\omega)|^2\}$ ($j, k, l=1, 2, \dots, n; r=0, 1, \dots, n+1$) are bounded and continuous on $R_x^n \times J \times (R_\omega^n - Z)$;

6) $\int_{\omega \notin Z} \sup (|D_l^r \partial_k \partial_j p_0(x, t, \omega)| |s(\omega)|^2) dx$ ($j, k, l=1, 2, \dots, n; r=0, 1, \dots, n+1$) are bounded on J ;

7) $\int_{|x| \geq R} \sup_{\omega} |D_l^r p_0(x, t, \omega)| dx$ ($l=1, 2, \dots, n; r=0, 1, \dots, n+1$) converge to zero uniformly on J as $R \rightarrow \infty$;

8) $\partial_i p_0(x, t, \omega)$ and $\partial_i p_\infty(t, \omega)$ are bounded on $R_x^n \times J \times R_\omega^n$; $D_l^r \partial_i p_0(x, t, \omega)$ ($l=1, 2, \dots, n; r=0, 1, \dots, n+1$) are continuous on $R_x^n \times J \times (R_\omega^n - Z)$ and continuous on $R_x^n \times J$ for each $\omega \in Z$; $\sup_{\omega} |D_l^r \partial_i p_0(x, t, \omega)|$ and $\int_{\omega} \sup |D_l^r \partial_i p_0(x, t, \omega)| dx$ ($l=1, 2, \dots, n; r=0, 1, \dots, n+1$) are bounded on $R_x^n \times J$ and on J respectively.

We have

LEMMA 4.6. (i) *If p satisfies Conditions VI-1) and VI-2), then p satisfies Conditions II and IV.*

(ii) *If p satisfies Conditions VI-1)-VI-4), then $p \in \mathcal{M}$.*

(iii) *If p satisfies Conditions VI-1)-VI-6), then $p \in \mathcal{L}$.*

COROLLARY 4.2. *Let $a(x, t)$ be an $N \times N$ matrix such that*

$$(4.10) \quad a(x, t) = a_0(x, t) + a_\infty(t),$$

where $a_0(x, t)$ and $a_\infty(t)$ are bounded on $R_x^n \times J$ and $\lim_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} a_0(x, t) = 0$ for each t . Suppose $D_l^m a_0(x, t)$ ($l=1, 2, \dots, n; m=0, 1, \dots, n+1+p; p=0, 1, 2$) are bounded and continuous on $R_x^n \times J$ and $\int |D_l^m a_0(x, t)| dx$ are bounded on J . Then $\int |\chi|^p |\hat{a}_0(\chi, t)| d\chi$ ($p=0, 1, 2$) are bounded on J .

LEMMA 4.7. (i) *If g satisfies Conditions VI-1), VI-2) and VI-7), then it satisfies Condition N.*

(ii) *If g satisfies Conditions VI-1), VI-2) and VI-8), then it satisfies Condition L.*

PROOF. We have only to prove (ii). By Lemma 4.6 $g \in \mathcal{X}$, and g satisfies Condition L-1).

By Condition VI-8) we have for any fixed (χ, ω)

$$(4.11) \quad e^{-ix \cdot x} g_0(x, t', \omega) - e^{-ix \cdot x} g_0(x, t, \omega) = \int_t^{t'} e^{-ix \cdot x} \partial_t g_0(x, \theta, \omega) d\theta \quad \text{for all } t, t' \in J.$$

Integrating both sides of (4.11) with respect to x , we have

$$\hat{g}_0(\chi, t', \omega) - \hat{g}_0(\chi, t, \omega) = \int_t^{t'} \widehat{\partial_t g_0}(\chi, \theta, \omega) d\theta \quad \text{for all } t, t' \in J.$$

Hence $\hat{g}_0(\chi, t, \omega)$ is absolutely continuous with respect to t , so that for each (χ, ω) and for almost all $t \in J$

$$(4.12) \quad \partial_t \hat{g}_0(\chi, t, \omega) = \widehat{\partial_t g_0}(\chi, t, \omega).$$

Since $\widehat{\partial_t g_0}(\chi, t, \omega)$ is measurable on $R_\chi^n \times J \times R_\omega^n$, $g_0(x, t, \omega)$ satisfies Condition L-2) with $\varphi_0(\chi, t, \omega) = \widehat{\partial_t g_0}(\chi, t, \omega)$ by (4.12). Similarly g_∞ satisfies Condition L-2) with $\varphi_\infty(t, \omega) = \partial_t g_\infty(t, \omega)$.

By the argument similar to that of Lemma 4.6 in [5] it can be shown that Condition L-3) is satisfied.

4.4. Products of families of operators

To prove the boundedness of $L_h(vk)L_h((v-1)k)\cdots L_h(0)$, in view of Theorem 2.1, it suffices to show that $L_h(t)$ satisfies (2.21). We have

THEOREM 4.1. *Let $g(x, t, \omega) \in \mathcal{M}$ satisfy conditions of Theorem 3.3 and let*

$$(4.13) \quad l(x, t, \omega) = c(\omega)I + q(x, t, \omega)|s(\omega)|,$$

$$(4.14) \quad g(x, t, \omega) - l^*(x, t, \omega)g(x, t, \omega)l(x, t, \omega) = a(x, t, \omega)|s(\omega)|^2 + b(x, t, \omega)|e(\omega)|^2,$$

where $q \in \mathcal{M}$ and $c(\omega)$ and $e(\omega)$ are scalar functions satisfying Condition I. Suppose

- 1) $a \in \mathcal{L}$ and $a(x, t, \omega) \geq 0$;
- 2) $b(x, t, \omega)$ satisfies Conditions II and N;
- 3) $b(x, t, \omega) \geq \beta I$ for some $\beta > 0$.

Then for some $c_0 \geq 0$

$$(4.15) \quad \|L_h(t)u\|_t^2 \leq (1 + c_0 h) \|u\|_t^2 \quad \text{for all } u \in L_2, t \in J, h > 0,$$

where $\|\cdot\|_t$ is the norm given by (3.13).

PROOF. Let $\{\alpha_i^2(x)\}_{i=0,1,\dots,s}$ be the partition of unity given in 3.3 and let $\alpha_i = \phi(\alpha_i I)$ ($i=0, 1, \dots, s$). Then $\alpha_i(x)u(x) = (\alpha_i u)(x)$ ($i=0, 1, \dots, s$) and by Theo-

rem 3.2 there exist positive constants d_j, ε_j ($j=1, 2$), ε and R such that

$$(4.16) \quad d_1^2 \|u\|^2 \leq \sum_{i=0}^s \operatorname{Re}(G_h(t)\alpha_i u, \alpha_i u) \leq d_2^2 \|u\|^2,$$

$$(4.17) \quad \varepsilon_1^2 \|u\|^2 \leq \sum_{i=0}^s \operatorname{Re}(B_h(t)\alpha_i u, \alpha_i u) \leq \varepsilon_2^2 \|u\|^2.$$

By Lemma 4.5

$$L_h^*(t)G_h(t)L_h(t) \equiv L_h^*(t) \circ G_h(t) \circ L_h(t),$$

and for some $c_1 \geq 0$

$$(4.18) \quad |((L_h^*(t)G_h(t)L_h(t) - L_h^*(t) \circ G_h(t) \circ L_h(t))u, u)| \leq c_1 h \|u\|^2$$

for all $u \in L_2, t \in J, h > 0$.

Since $\alpha_i(x)$ ($i=0, 1, \dots, s$) satisfy Condition II, by Theorem 3.1 we have $L_h(t)\alpha_i \equiv \alpha_i L_h(t)$ ($i=0, 1, \dots, s$). Hence for some $c_2 \geq 0$

$$(4.19) \quad |(G_h(t)\alpha_i L_h(t)u, \alpha_i L_h(t)u) - (G_h(t)L_h(t)\alpha_i u, L_h(t)\alpha_i u)|$$

$\leq c_2 h \|u\|^2$ ($i=0, 1, \dots, s$) for all $u \in L_2, t \in J, h > 0$.

Since by definition

$$\| \|L_h(t)u\|_t^2 = \sum_{i=0}^s \operatorname{Re}(G_h(t)\alpha_i L_h(t)u, \alpha_i L_h(t)u),$$

by (4.18) and (4.19) we have

$$(4.20) \quad \| \|L_h(t)u\|_t^2 \leq \sum_{i=0}^s \operatorname{Re}(G_h(t)L_h(t)\alpha_i u, L_h(t)\alpha_i u) + c_3 h \|u\|^2$$

$\leq \sum_{i=0}^s \operatorname{Re}((L_h^*(t) \circ G_h(t) \circ L_h(t))\alpha_i u, \alpha_i u) + c_4 h \|u\|^2,$

where $c_3 = (s+1)c_2$, $c_4 = c_1 + c_3$. Hence

$$(4.21) \quad \| \|u\|_t^2 - \| \|L_h(t)u\|_t^2$$

$\geq \sum_{i=0}^s \operatorname{Re}((G_h(t) - L_h^*(t) \circ G_h(t) \circ L_h(t))\alpha_i u, \alpha_i u) - c_4 h \|u\|^2.$

The condition (4.14) yields

$$(4.22) \quad G_h(t) - L_h^*(t) \circ G_h(t) \circ L_h(t) = A_h(t) \circ A_h^2 + B_h(t) \circ E_h^* \circ E_h,$$

where $E_h = \phi(eI)$. By Lemma 4.3 and Theorem 3.4 from condition 1) it follows that for some $c_5 \geq 0$

$$(4.23) \quad \operatorname{Re}((A_h(t) \circ A_h^2)u, u) \geq -c_5 h \|u\|^2 \quad \text{for all } u \in L_2, t \in J, h > 0.$$

By Theorem 3.1 and its corollary we have $E_h \alpha_i \equiv \alpha_i E_h$ ($i=0, 1, \dots, s$) and

$$\begin{aligned} B_h(t) \circ E_h^* \circ E_h &= (E_h^* \circ B_h(t)) \circ E_h = (E_h^* \circ B_h(t)) E_h \\ &\equiv E_h^* B_h(t) E_h = E_h^* B_h(t) E_h, \end{aligned}$$

so that

$$\begin{aligned} (4.24) \quad \alpha_1^* (B_h(t) \circ E_h^* \circ E_h) \alpha_i &\equiv (E_h \alpha_i)^* B_h(t) (E_h \alpha_i) \\ &\equiv (\alpha_i E_h)^* B_h(t) (\alpha_i E_h). \end{aligned}$$

By (4.17) and (4.24) we have for some $c_6 \geq 0$

$$\begin{aligned} (4.25) \quad \sum_{i=0}^s \operatorname{Re} ((B_h(t) \circ E_h^* \circ E_h) \alpha_i u, \alpha_i u) &\geq \sum_{i=0}^s \{ \operatorname{Re} (B_h(t) \alpha_i E_h u, \alpha_i E_h u) - c_6 h \|u\|^2 \} \\ &\geq \varepsilon_1^2 \|E_h u\|^2 - c_7 h \|u\|^2, \end{aligned}$$

where $c_7 = (s+1)c_6$. Hence by (4.21)–(4.23) and (4.25)

$$\|u\|_t^2 - \|L_h(t)u\|_t^2 \geq \varepsilon_1^2 \|E_h u\|^2 - c_8 h \|u\|^2 \geq -c_8 h \|u\|^2,$$

where $c_8 = c_4 + c_5 + c_7$. Thus (4.15) holds by (4.16) with $c_0 = c_8/d_1^2$.

5. Two algebras of difference operators

5.1. Algebra \mathcal{F}_h

Let \mathcal{A}_0 be the set of all $N \times N$ matrix functions $a(x, t)$ defined on $R_x^n \times J$ with the properties:

- 1) $a(x, t)$ can be written as

$$a(x, t) = a_0(x, t) + a_\infty(t),$$

where $a_0(x, t)$ and $a_\infty(t)$ are bounded and measurable on $R_x^n \times J$ and $\lim_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} a_0(x, t) = 0$ for each t ;

- 2) $a_0(x, t)$ is integrable as a function of x for each t ;
- 3) $\int |\chi|^p |\hat{a}_0(\chi, t)| d\chi$ ($p=0, 1, 2$) are bounded on J .

We denote by α an n -tuple $(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n)$ of integers, i.e. $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n)$. Let \mathcal{A} be the set of all matrices $a(x, t, \omega)$ such that $a(x, t, \omega) = \sum_\alpha a_\alpha(x, t) e^{i\alpha \cdot \omega}$, where $a_\alpha \in \mathcal{A}_0$ and the summation is over a finite set of α . It is clear that $a(x, t, \omega)$ satisfies Conditions I, II and III. Let

$$(5.1) \quad a(x, t, \omega) = \sum_\alpha a_\alpha(x, t) e^{i\alpha \cdot \omega}, \quad b(x, t, \omega) = \sum_\beta b_\beta(x, t) e^{i\beta \cdot \omega}.$$

Then

$$(5.2) \quad a(x, t, \omega) + b(x, t, \omega) = \sum_{\gamma} (a_{\gamma}(x, t) + b_{\gamma}(x, t))e^{i\gamma \cdot \omega},$$

$$(5.3) \quad a(x, t, \omega)b(x, t, \omega) = \sum_{\gamma} (\sum_{\alpha+\beta=\gamma} a_{\alpha}(x, t)b_{\beta}(x, t))e^{i\gamma \cdot \omega},$$

$$(5.4) \quad a^*(x, t, \omega) = \sum_{\alpha} a_{\alpha}^*(x, t)e^{-i\alpha \cdot \omega}.$$

Hence \mathcal{A} is a subalgebra of \mathcal{K} with involution.

Since for $a(x, t) \in \mathcal{A}_0$

$$\|a(x, t)T_h^{\alpha}u(x)\| \leq (\sup_{x,t} |a(x, t)|) \|u\| \quad \text{for all } u \in L_2, t \in J, h > 0,$$

the family $a(x, t)T_h^{\alpha}$ belongs to \mathcal{K}_h . We define a mapping ψ from \mathcal{A} into \mathcal{K}_h by

$$(5.5) \quad \psi(\sum_{\alpha} a_{\alpha}(x, t)e^{i\alpha \cdot \omega}) = \sum_{\alpha} a_{\alpha}(x, t)T_h^{\alpha},$$

and let $\mathcal{A}_h = \psi(\mathcal{A})$.

For $\sum_{\alpha} a_{\alpha}(x, t)e^{i\alpha \cdot \omega} \in \mathcal{A}$ let $A_h = \phi(\sum_{\alpha} a_{\alpha}(x, t)e^{i\alpha \cdot \omega})$. Then for each $u \in \mathcal{S}$ and $t \in J$

$$\begin{aligned} & \kappa \int e^{ix \cdot \xi} \sum_{\alpha} a_{\alpha}(x, t) T_h^{\alpha} u(x) dx \\ &= \int \sum_{\alpha} \widehat{a_{\alpha 0}}(\xi - \xi', t) e^{i\alpha \cdot h\xi'} \widehat{u}(\xi') d\xi' + \sum_{\alpha} a_{\alpha \infty}(t) e^{i\alpha \cdot h\xi} \widehat{u}(\xi) \\ &= \int \sum_{\alpha} \widehat{a_{\alpha}}(\xi - \xi', t) e^{i\alpha \cdot h\xi'} \widehat{u}(\xi') d\xi' = \widehat{A_h(t)}u(\xi) \quad \text{a. e.,} \end{aligned}$$

so that for $u \in \mathcal{S}$ we have in L_2

$$(5.6) \quad \sum_{\alpha} a_{\alpha}(x, t) T_h^{\alpha} u(x) = A_h(t)u(x).$$

It is clear that (5.6) holds for all $u \in L_2$, so that $\sum_{\alpha} a_{\alpha}(x, t) T_h^{\alpha}$ and $A_h(t)$ can be identified. Hence ψ is the restriction of ϕ to \mathcal{A} and is a one-to-one mapping from \mathcal{A} onto \mathcal{A}_h . We call $\sum_{\alpha} a_{\alpha}(x, t) e^{i\alpha \cdot \omega}$ the symbol of $\sum_{\alpha} a_{\alpha}(x, t) T_h^{\alpha}$.

Let $A_h(t), B_h(t) \in \mathcal{A}_h$ and let

$$(5.7) \quad A_h(t) = \sum_{\alpha} a_{\alpha}(x, t) T_h^{\alpha}, \quad B_h(t) = \sum_{\beta} b_{\beta}(x, t) T_h^{\beta}.$$

Then their symbols $a(x, t, \omega)$ and $b(x, t, \omega)$ are given by (5.1). Since $\mathcal{A}_h \subset \mathcal{K}_h$, the families $A_h(t) + B_h(t)$, $A_h(t) \circ B_h(t)$ and $A_h^{\sharp}(t)$ can be defined in \mathcal{K}_h . By (5.2)–(5.4) we have

$$(5.8) \quad A_h(t) + B_h(t) = \sum_{\gamma} (a_{\gamma}(x, t) + b_{\gamma}(x, t)) T_h^{\gamma},$$

$$(5.9) \quad A_h(t) \circ B_h(t) = \sum_{\gamma} (\sum_{\alpha+\beta=\gamma} a_{\alpha}(x, t) b_{\beta}(x, t)) T_h^{\gamma},$$

$$(5.10) \quad A_h^*(t) = \sum_{\alpha} a_{\alpha}^*(x, t) T_h^{-\alpha}.$$

Hence \mathcal{A}_h is a subalgebra of \mathcal{H}_h with involution and it follows that ψ and ψ^{-1} are morphisms.

LEMMA 5.1. *Let $F_{jh}(t) \in \mathcal{A}_h$ ($j=1, 2, \dots, k$) and let*

$$(5.11) \quad F_h(t) = F_{1h}(t)F_{2h}(t)\cdots F_{kh}(t), \quad L_h(t) = F_{1h}(t) \circ F_{2h}(t) \circ \cdots \circ F_{kh}(t).$$

Then $F_h(t) \equiv L_h(t)$ and $F_h^*(t) \equiv L_h^*(t)$.

Let \mathcal{F}_h be the subalgebra of \mathcal{H}_h generated by \mathcal{A}_h . Then $F_h(t) \in \mathcal{F}_h$ can be expressed as

$$(5.12) \quad F_h(t) = \sum_r F_{1h}^{(r)}(t) F_{2h}^{(r)}(t) \cdots F_{kh}^{(r)}(t) \quad (F_{jh}^{(r)}(t) \in \mathcal{A}_h).$$

Corresponding to this we put

$$(5.13) \quad L_h(t) = \sum_r F_{1h}^{(r)}(t) \circ F_{2h}^{(r)}(t) \circ \cdots \circ F_{kh}^{(r)}(t),$$

$$(5.14) \quad l(x, t, \omega) = \sum_r f_1^{(r)} f_2^{(r)} \cdots f_k^{(r)},$$

where $f_j^{(r)}(x, t, \omega)$ is the symbol of $F_{jh}^{(r)}(t)$. Then $L_h(t) \in \mathcal{A}_h$, $F_h(t) \equiv L_h(t)$ and $l(x, t, \omega)$ is the symbol of $L_h(t)$. In the following we call $l(x, t, \omega)$ a symbol belonging to $F_h(t)$.

5.2. Algebra \mathcal{G}_h

Let \mathcal{B}_0 be the set of all $N \times N$ matrix functions $b(x, t, \mu)$ defined on $R_x^n \times J \times I_{\infty}$ with the properties:

- 1) $b(x, t, 0) \in \mathcal{A}_0$;
- 2) $b(x, t, \mu)$ can be written as

$$b(x, t, \mu) = b_0(x, t, \mu) + b_{\infty}(t, \mu),$$

where $b_0(x, t, \mu)$ and $b_{\infty}(t, \mu)$ are bounded and measurable on $R_x^n \times J$ for each μ and

$$\lim_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} b_0(x, t, \mu) = 0 \quad \text{for each } (t, \mu);$$

- 3) For each (t, μ) $b_0(x, t, \mu)$ is integrable as a function of x ;
- 4) $\hat{b}_0(\chi, t, \mu)$ is integrable as a function of χ for each (t, μ) ;
- 5) There exists a constant $c \geq 0$ such that

$$\int |\hat{b}_0(\chi, t, \mu) - \hat{b}_0(\chi, t, 0)| d\chi \leq c\mu,$$

$$|b_\infty(t, \mu) - b_\infty(t, 0)| \leq c\mu \quad \text{for all } t \in J, \mu \geq 0.$$

For instance $\Delta_{j\mu} a(x, t) (j=1, 2, \dots, n)$ belong to \mathcal{B}_0 for $a(x, t) \in \mathcal{A}_0$.
We have

LEMMA 5.2. *Let $b(x, t, \mu) \in \mathcal{B}_0$ and let $B_h(t)$ be the family associated with $b(x, t, 0)e^{i\alpha \cdot \omega}$. Then $b(x, t, h)T_h^\alpha \in \mathcal{F}_h$ and*

$$(5.15) \quad b(x, t, h)T_h^\alpha \equiv B_h(t).$$

Let \mathcal{B}_h be the set of all finite sums of families of the form $\sum_\alpha b_\alpha(x, t, h)T_h^\alpha$ ($b_\alpha(x, t, \mu) \in \mathcal{B}_0$) and let \mathcal{G}_h be the subalgebra of \mathcal{F}_h generated by \mathcal{B}_h . It is clear that $\mathcal{A}_0 \subset \mathcal{B}_0$ and $\mathcal{F}_h \subset \mathcal{G}_h$.

Let $E_h(t, h) \in \mathcal{G}_h$. Then it can be expressed as

$$(5.16) \quad E_h(t, h) = \sum_r E_{1h}^{(r)}(t, h)E_{2h}^{(r)}(t, h) \cdots E_{kh}^{(r)}(t, h) \quad (E_{jh}^{(r)}(t, h) \in \mathcal{B}_h),$$

where

$$(5.17) \quad E_{jh}^{(r)}(t, \mu) = \sum_\alpha e_{j\alpha}^{(r)}(x, t, \mu)T_h^\alpha \quad (e_{j\alpha}^{(r)}(x, t, \mu) \in \mathcal{B}_0).$$

By the definition of \mathcal{F}_h and by Lemma 5.2

$$E_h(t, 0) \in \mathcal{F}_h, \quad E_h(t, h) \equiv E_h(t, 0).$$

Thus we have

THEOREM 5.1. *Let $S_h(t, h)$ be the difference operator (2.5) with*

$$(5.18) \quad c_{\alpha m_j}(x, t, \mu) \in \mathcal{B}_0 \quad (j = 1, 2, \dots, v).$$

Then

$$S_h(t, h) \in \mathcal{G}_h, \quad S_h(t, 0) \in \mathcal{F}_h.$$

Let $L_h(t)$ be the family associated with a symbol belonging to $S_h(t, 0)$.
Then

$$L_h(t) \in \mathcal{A}_h, \quad S_h(t, h) \equiv S_h(t, 0) \equiv L_h(t).$$

By this theorem and Corollary 2.1, in proving the stability of the scheme (2.3) under the condition (5.18) the problem is to establish (2.21) for $L_h(t)$.

Let

$$(5.19) \quad s(x, t, \omega) = \sum_m \prod_{j=1}^v c_{m_j}(x, t, \omega),$$

where

$$(5.20) \quad c_{m_j}(x, t, \omega) = \sum_\alpha c_{\alpha m_j}(x, t, 0)e^{i\alpha \cdot \omega}, \quad c_{\alpha m_j}(x, t, \mu) \in \mathcal{B}_0.$$

Then $s(x, t, \omega)$ is a symbol belonging to $S_h(t, 0)$.

REMARK. The results obtained in Sections 2–5 are also valid when, for any $h_0 > 0$, the parameters h and μ are restricted to $(0, h_0]$ and $[0, h_0]$ respectively.

6. Stability of difference schemes

6.1. Assumptions and lemmas

Let

$$(6.1) \quad A(x, t, \omega) = \sum_{j=1}^n A_j(x, t)\omega_j$$

and let Δ_{jh} ($j=1, 2, \dots, n$) be the difference operators such that $s_j(\omega)$ ($j=1, 2, \dots, n$) satisfy (2.11).

We denote by ω' a point on the unit spherical surface in R_ω^n . Suppose the following conditions are satisfied:

CONDITION A. $A_j(x, t)$ ($j=1, 2, \dots, n$) are bounded and continuous on $R_x^n \times J$ and can be written as

$$A_j(x, t) = A_{j0}(x, t) + A_{j\infty}(t) \quad (j = 1, 2, \dots, n),$$

where $A_{j0}(x, t)$ converges to 0 uniformly on J as $|x| \rightarrow \infty$.

CONDITION B. 1) $D_l^m A_{j0}(x, t)$, $D_l^r \partial_t A_{j0}(x, t)$ and $\partial_t A_{j\infty}(t)$ ($j, l=1, 2, \dots, n$; $m=0, 1, \dots, n+3$; $r=0, 1, \dots, n+1$) are bounded and continuous on $R_x^n \times J$;

2) $\int |D_l^m A_{j0}(x, t)| dx$ and $\int |D_l^r \partial_t A_{j0}(x, t)| dx$ ($j, l=1, 2, \dots, n$; $m=0, 1, \dots, n+3$; $r=0, 1, \dots, n+1$) are bounded on J ;

3) $\int_{|x| \geq R} |D_l^r A_{j0}(x, t)| dx$ ($j, l=1, 2, \dots, n$; $r=0, 1, \dots, n+1$) converge to zero uniformly on J as $R \rightarrow \infty$.

CONDITION C. 1) Eigenvalues of $A(x, t, \omega')$ are all real and their multiplicities are independent of x, t and ω' ;

2) There exists a constant $\delta > 0$ independent of x, t and ω' such that

$$|\lambda_i(x, t, \omega') - \lambda_j(x, t, \omega')| \geq \delta \quad (i \neq j; i, j = 1, 2, \dots, s),$$

where $\lambda_i(x, t, \omega')$ ($i=1, 2, \dots, s$) are all the distinct eigenvalues of $A(x, t, \omega')$;

3) Elementary divisors of $A(x, t, \omega')$ are all linear.

By Corollary 4.2 $A_j(x, t)$ ($j=1, 2, \dots, n$) belong to \mathcal{A}_0 . Let

$$(6.2) \quad P_h(t) = \sum_{j=1}^n A_j(x, t) \Delta_{jh},$$

$$(6.3) \quad p(x, t, \omega) = \sum_{j=1}^n A_j(x, t) s_j(\omega),$$

$$(6.4) \quad p_z(x, t, \omega) = \sum_{j=1}^n A_j(x, t) s_j(\omega) / |s(\omega)|,$$

$$(6.5) \quad e_r(x, t, \omega; \lambda) = \sum_{j=0}^r (i\lambda p)^j / j!.$$

Then $P_h(t) \in \mathcal{A}_h$ and $ip(x, t, \omega)$ is the symbol of $P_h(t)$. By Lemmas 4.6 and 4.7 $p_z(x, t, \omega)$ belongs to \mathcal{L} and satisfies Condition N.

We have the following lemmas.

LEMMA 6.1. *There exists an element $g(x, t, \omega)$ of \mathcal{L} satisfying the conditions of Theorem 3.3 such that*

$$(6.6) \quad \{g(x, t, \omega)p_z(x, t, \omega)\}^* = g(x, t, \omega)p_z(x, t, \omega) \quad \text{for } \omega \in R_\omega^n - Z.$$

LEMMA 6.2. *There exist elements $w(x, t, \omega)$ and $w^{-1}(x, t, \omega)$ of \mathcal{L} satisfying Condition N such that*

$$(6.7) \quad g(x, t, \omega) = w^*(x, t, \omega)w(x, t, \omega).$$

For $a \in \mathcal{X}$ we denote waw^{-1} by \tilde{a} . By these lemmas \tilde{p}_z and \tilde{p} are hermitian matrices on $R_x^n \times J \times (R_\omega^n - Z)$ and on $R_x^n \times J \times R_\omega^n$ respectively. By Lemma 3.4 \tilde{p}_z satisfies Condition N and by Lemma 4.4 it belongs to \mathcal{L} .

In the following we assume that $S_h(t, h) \in \mathcal{G}_h$ and denote by $l(x, t, \omega; \lambda)$ a symbol belonging to $S_h(t, 0)$. Let the difference scheme (2.3) approximate (1.1) with accuracy of order r ($r \geq 1$) and put

$$(6.8) \quad d = r + k, \quad k = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } r \text{ is odd,} \\ 2 & \text{if } r \text{ is even.} \end{cases}$$

We denote by λ_0, c_1 and c_2 positive constants and by $e(\omega)$ a scalar function such that $e(\omega)I \in \mathcal{X}$.

Let $P[\lambda; \mathcal{L}]$ be the set of all polynomials in λ of the form

$$a(x, t, \omega; \lambda) = \sum_{j=0}^m \lambda^j a_j(x, t, \omega), \quad a_j(x, t, \omega) \in \mathcal{L} \quad (j = 0, 1, \dots, m),$$

and denote by $P[\lambda; p]$ the set of all polynomials in λ and $p(x, t, \omega)$. The set $P[\lambda; \mathcal{M}]$ is defined similarly. We use the notation

$$a(x, t, \omega)/e(\omega) = \sum_{j=0}^m \lambda^j a_j/e \in \mathcal{X} \quad (\text{or } \mathcal{L}, \mathcal{M}),$$

if $a_j(x, t, \omega)/e(\omega) \in \mathcal{X}$ (or \mathcal{L}, \mathcal{M}) ($j=0, 1, \dots, m$).

6.2. Stability theorems

We have the following theorems.

THEOREM 6.1. *Friedrichs' scheme is stable, if $\lambda\rho(p_z(x, t, \omega)) \leq 1/\sqrt{n}$. The modified Lax-Wendroff scheme is stable, if $\lambda\rho(p_z(x, t, \omega)) \leq 2/\sqrt{n}$.*

THEOREM 6.2. *Let $l(x, t, \omega; \lambda) = e_r$, where $r = 4m - 1$ or $4m$ ($m \geq 1$). Then the scheme (2.3) is stable for sufficiently small λ .*

THEOREM 6.3. *Let $l(x, t, \omega; \lambda) = e_r - (\lambda p)^m v(\lambda p)^m$, where $r \geq 2m$ ($m \geq 1$) and $v(x, t, \omega; \lambda) \in P[\lambda; \mathcal{L}]$. Suppose*

- 1) $|s(\omega)|^\sigma \leq c_1 e(\omega)$;
- 2) $v_1(x, t, \omega; \lambda) = v/e \in \mathcal{X}$;
- 3) $u(x, t, \omega; \lambda) \geq c_2 e(\omega)I$ for $\lambda \leq \lambda_0$,

where $\sigma = d - 2m$ and $u = \tilde{v}^* + \tilde{v} - \tilde{v}^*(\lambda \tilde{p})^{2m} \tilde{v}$. Then the scheme (2.3) is stable for sufficiently small λ .

THEOREM 6.4. *Let*

$$(6.9) \quad l(x, t, \omega; \lambda) = e_r - (i\lambda p)^{2m+1} a - (\lambda p)^{m+1} v(\lambda p)^{m+1},$$

where $r \geq 2m + 2$ ($m \geq 0$), $v(x, t, \omega; \lambda) \in P[\lambda; \mathcal{L}]$ and $a(\omega)$ is a real-valued scalar function such that $a(\omega)I \in \mathcal{L}$ and $(a(\omega)/e(\omega))I \in \mathcal{X}$. Suppose conditions 1), 2) and 3) of Theorem 6.3 are satisfied, where $\sigma = d - 2m - 2$,

$$u = \tilde{v}^* + \tilde{v} + (-1)^m 2aI - \tilde{b}^*(\lambda \tilde{p})^{2m} \tilde{b}, \quad b = (-1)^m (ia) + \lambda pv.$$

Then the scheme (2.3) is stable for sufficiently small λ .

COROLLARY 6.1. *Let $l(x, t, \omega; \lambda) = e_r - (i\lambda p)^{r-1} e$, where $r = 4m + 1$ or $4m + 2$ ($m \geq 1$). Suppose $e(\omega)$, $\partial_j e(\omega)$ and $\partial_k \partial_j e(\omega)$ ($j, k = 1, 2, \dots, n$) are bounded and continuous on R_0^n and $|s(\omega)|^2 \leq c_1 e(\omega)$. Then the scheme (2.3) is stable for sufficiently small λ .*

THEOREM 6.5. *Let $l(x, t, \omega; \lambda) = e_r - \lambda^{2m} v$, where $r \geq 2m$ ($m \geq 0$, $r \geq 1$),*

$$v(x, t, \omega; \lambda) = a + \lambda^\alpha b \quad (\alpha \geq 0),$$

$$a(x, t, \omega; \lambda) \in P[\lambda; \mathcal{L}], \quad b(x, t, \omega; \lambda) \in P[\lambda; \mathcal{L}],$$

$$a_1(x, t, \omega; \lambda) = a/|s|^2 \in \mathcal{L}, \quad b_1(x, t, \omega; \lambda) = b/|s| \in \mathcal{L}.$$

Suppose

- 1) $\tilde{b}^* + \tilde{b} = 0$;
- 2) $|s(\omega)|^{d-2} \leq c_1 e(\omega)$;

$$3) \quad a_2(x, t, \omega; \lambda) = a_1/e \in \mathcal{X}, \quad b_2(x, t, \omega; \lambda) = b_1/e \in \mathcal{X};$$

$$4) \quad u(x, t, \omega; \lambda) \geq c_2 e |s|^2 I \quad \text{for } \lambda \leq \lambda_0,$$

where $u = \tilde{a}^* + \tilde{a} - \lambda^{2m} \tilde{v}^* \tilde{v}$. Then the scheme (2.3) is stable for sufficiently small λ .

THEOREM 6.6. Let $l(x, t, \omega; \lambda) = e_r - \lambda^\alpha v$, where

$$v(x, t, \omega; \lambda) = mI + \lambda^\beta a + \lambda^\gamma b \quad (\beta, \gamma \geq 0),$$

$$m(\omega; \lambda) = \sum_{j=0}^{\mu} \lambda^j m_j(\omega) I, \quad \gamma \geq \alpha \geq 0,$$

$$a(x, t, \omega; \lambda) \in P[\lambda; \mathcal{M}], \quad b(x, t, \omega; \lambda) \in P[\lambda; \mathcal{M}],$$

$$a_1(x, t, \omega; \lambda) = a/|s| \in \mathcal{M}, \quad b_1(x, t, \omega; \lambda) = b/|s| \in \mathcal{M},$$

$m_j(\omega)$ ($j=0, 1, \dots, \mu$) are scalar functions satisfying Condition I. Suppose

$$1) \quad \tilde{b}^* + \tilde{b} = 0;$$

$$2) \quad e(\omega) \text{ satisfies Condition I};$$

$$3) \quad |s(\omega)|^d \leq c_1 e^2(\omega), \quad |m_j(\omega)| \leq c_1 e^2(\omega) \quad (j = 0, 1, \dots, \mu);$$

4) $a_2(x, t, \omega; \lambda) = a/e^2 \in \mathcal{X}$, $b_2(x, t, \omega; \lambda) = b|s|/e^2 \in \mathcal{X}$ and a_2 , b_1 and b_2 satisfy Conditions N and II;

$$5) \quad u(x, t, \omega; \lambda) \geq c_2 e^2 I \quad \text{for } \lambda \leq \lambda_0,$$

where $u = (m^* + m)I + \lambda^\beta(\tilde{a}^* + \tilde{a}) - \lambda^\alpha \tilde{v}^* \tilde{v}$. Then the scheme (2.3) is stable for sufficiently small λ .

THEOREM 6.7. For a regularly hyperbolic system with real coefficients let

$$(6.10) \quad l(x, t, \omega; \lambda) = I + i\lambda p(x, t, \omega) + \lambda^2 q(x, t, \omega; \lambda) |s(\omega)|^2,$$

where q is a polynomial in λ with coefficients satisfying Condition VI. Suppose

$$(6.11) \quad \rho(l(x, t, \omega; \lambda)) \leq 1 \quad \text{for } \lambda \leq \lambda_0.$$

Then the scheme (2.3) is stable for sufficiently small λ .

7. Examples of schemes

In this section Conditions A, B and C are assumed. To construct difference schemes with accuracy of order r ($r=3, 4$), we assume that $\partial_t^q A_{j0}(x, t)$ and

$\partial_t^q A_{j\infty}(t)$ ($q=0, 1, \dots, r-1; j=1, 2, \dots, n$) are bounded and continuous on $R_x^n \times J$ together with their partial derivatives up to the $(n+3)rd$ order with respect to x and that $\int |D_t^m \partial_t^q A_{j0}(x, t)| dx$ ($j, l=1, 2, \dots, n; m=0, 1, \dots, n+3; q=0, 1, \dots, r-1$) are bounded on J .

We introduce the following difference operators:

$$A_{1jh} = (T_{jh} - T_{jh}^{-1})/2, \quad A_{2jh} = [8(T_{jh} - T_{jh}^{-1}) - (T_{jh}^2 - T_{jh}^{-2})]/12,$$

$$\delta_{jh} = (T_{jh} + T_{jh}^{-1} - 2I)/4 \quad (j = 1, 2, \dots, n),$$

$$P_{mh}(t) = \sum_{j=1}^n A_j(x, t) \Delta_{mjh} \quad (m = 1, 2),$$

$$K_{1h}(t, \mu) = F_{1h}(t, \mu) + 4 \sum_{j=1}^n A_j^2 \delta_{jh},$$

$$K_{2h}(t, \mu) = F_{2h}(t, \mu) + 4 \sum_{j=1}^n A_j^2 \delta_{jh} (1 - \delta_{jh}/3),$$

$$L_h(t, \mu) = F_{2h}(t, \mu) + \sum_{j=1}^n A_j^2 \Delta_{1jh}^2 (1 - 4\delta_{jh}/3),$$

$$E_{1h} = \sum_{j=1}^n \Delta_{1jh}^2 \sum_{k=1}^n \delta_{kh}/n^2, \quad E_{2h} = \sum_{j=1}^n \delta_{jh}^2/n,$$

$$E_{3h} = \sum_{j=1}^n \Delta_{1jh}^2 \sum_{k=1}^n \delta_{kh}^2/n^2, \quad E_{4h} = \sum_{j=1}^n \delta_{jh}^3/n,$$

$$W_{1h}(t, h) = M_{1h}(t, h), \quad W_{2h}(t, h) = M_{2h}(t, h) + \lambda^2 G_h(t, h)/24,$$

where

$$M_{mh}(t, h) = Q_{mh}(t)/2 + \lambda \{2Q_{1h}(t)P_{mh}(t) + P_{mh}(t)Q_{1h}(t) + hR_h(t)\}/6,$$

$$F_{mh}(t, \mu) = \sum_{j \neq k} A_j \Delta_{mjh} (A_k \Delta_{mkk}) + \sum_{j=1}^n A_j (\Delta_{mj\mu} A_j) \Delta_{mjh} \quad (m = 1, 2),$$

$$G_h(t, h) = (P_{1h}(t))^2 Q_{1h}(t) + 2P_{1h}(t)Q_{1h}(t)P_{1h}(t) + 3Q_{1h}(t)(P_{1h}(t))^2 + h\{P_{1h}(t)R_h(t) + 3(Q_{1h}(t))^2 + 3R_h(t)P_{1h}(t)\} + h^2 V_h(t),$$

$$Q_{mh}(t) = \sum_{j=1}^n (\partial_t A_j(x, t)) \Delta_{mjh} \quad (m = 1, 2),$$

$$R_h(t) = \sum_{j=1}^n (\partial_t^2 A_j(x, t)) \Delta_{1jh}, \quad V_h(t) = \sum_{j=1}^n (\partial_t^3 A_j(x, t)) \Delta_{1jh}.$$

Since by Corollary 4.2 $\partial_t^q A_j(x, t) \in \mathcal{A}_0$ and $\Delta_{mj\mu} A_j(x, t) \in \mathcal{B}_0$ ($j=1, 2, \dots, n; q=0, 1, \dots, r-1; m=1, 2$), $P_{mh}(t)$ ($m=1, 2$) belong to \mathcal{A}_h and $F_{mh}(t, h)$, $K_{mh}(t, h)$, $hW_{mh}(t, h)$ ($m=1, 2$) and $L_h(t, h)$ belong to \mathcal{G}_h .

We consider the following difference operators:

$$(7.1) \quad S_h(t) = I - E_{1h} + \lambda P_{2h}(t) + \lambda^2 P_{2h}(t)P_{1h}(t)/2 + (\lambda P_{1h}(t))^3/6 + \lambda^2 h W_{1h}(t, h),$$

$$(7.2) \quad S_h(t, h) = I - E_{2h} + \lambda P_{2h}(t) + (\lambda P_{1h}(t))^2/2 + \lambda^3 K_{1h}(t, h)P_{1h}(t)/6$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & + \lambda^2 h W_{1h}(t, h), \\
 (7.3) \quad S_h(t, h) & = I + E_{3h} + \lambda \{I + \lambda P_{2h}(t)/2 + \lambda^2 L_h(t, h)/6 \\
 & + (\lambda P_{1h}(t))^3/24\} P_{2h}(t) + \lambda^2 h W_{2h}(t, h),
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 (7.4) \quad S_h(t, h) & = I + E_{4h} + \lambda \{I + \lambda P_{2h}(t)/2 + \lambda^2 K_{2h}(t, h)/6 \\
 & + \lambda^3 K_{1h}(t, h) P_{1h}(t)/24\} P_{2h}(t) + \lambda^2 h W_{2h}(t, h).
 \end{aligned}$$

Then by Theorems 6.5 and 6.6 the schemes (2.3) with the operators (7.1)–(7.4) are stable for sufficiently small λ .

References

- [1] N. Bourbaki, *Théories spectrales*, Hermann, Paris, 1967.
- [2] D. Dunford and J. T. Schwartz, *Linear operators*, Part I, Interscience, New York, 1958.
- [3] P. D. Lax and L. Nirenberg, *On stability for difference schemes; a sharp form of Gårding's inequality*, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* **19** (1966), 473–492.
- [4] J. Peetre and V. Thomée, *On the rate of convergence for discrete initial-value problems*, *Math. Scand.* **21** (1967), 159–176.
- [5] H. Shintani and K. Tomoeda, *Stability of difference schemes for nonsymmetric linear hyperbolic systems with variable coefficients*, *Hiroshima Math. J.* **7** (1977), 309–378.
- [6] V. Thomée, *Stability theory for partial difference operators*, *SIAM Rev.* **11** (1969), 152–195.

*Department of Mathematics,
 Faculty of Science,
 Hiroshima University*