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## Introduction

It is well known that a cocommutative coalgebra is decomposed into the direct sum of its irreducible components ([3], Theorem 8.0.5). This theorem is based on the fact that a commutative artinian ring is uniquely decomposed into a direct product of local rings ([4], p.205). As for the non-commutative case, we know that an artinian ring is the direct sum of its blocks which are uniquely determined and indecomposable as two-sided ideals. A block is the sum of principal indecomposable modules which are linked to each other (e.g., [1], p.171).

The purpose of this paper is to give a criterion for a coalgebra to be indecomposable. Here, a coalgebra is indecomposable provided it cannot be decomposed into a direct sum of two non-zero subcoalgebras.

Since the Brauer's theory stated above is constructed by using one-sided ideals, it seems not to be useful for a theory of coalgebras. Thus we consider the decomposition of rings from a slightly different viewpoint.

Let $C$ be a coalgebra over a field. Then we shall prove the following result: A necessary and sufficient condition for $C$ to be indecomposable is that for any simple subcoalgebras $S$ and $S^{\prime}$ there exists a sequence

$$
S=S_{1}, S_{2}, \ldots, S_{r}=S^{\prime}
$$

of simple subcoalgebras such that

$$
S_{i} \wedge S_{i+1} \neq S_{i+1} \wedge S_{i} \quad \text { for } \quad i=1, \ldots, r-1
$$

The proof is divided into two parts. First, in Section 2, we reduce this problem to the finite-dimensional case, where coalgebras can be completely turned into algebras. Secondly, in Section 3, we prove the paraphrased assertion.

All notations and terminology we will use are the same as in [3].

## 1. Notations, Definitions and Main Theorem

Throughout this paper all coalgebras and algebras are over a fixed field $k$. Let $C$ be a coalgebra. We denote by $\mathfrak{S}=\mathfrak{S}(C)$ the set of all simple subcoalgebras of $C$.
(1.1) Let $\mathbb{S}=\cup_{\lambda} \mathbb{S}_{\lambda}$ be a partition of $\mathbb{S}$, i.e., $\mathbb{S}$ is a disjoint union of subsets $\mathfrak{S}_{\lambda}$, and $R_{\lambda}$ the sum of all simple subcoalgebras belonging to $\mathfrak{\Im}_{\lambda}$. Then the sum

$$
\sum_{\lambda} \wedge^{\infty} R_{\lambda}
$$

is direct, where $\wedge^{\infty} R_{\lambda}=\cup \wedge^{n} R_{\lambda}$.
In fact, assume that $\left(\wedge^{n} R_{\lambda}\right) \cap\left(\sum_{\mu \neq \lambda} \wedge^{\infty} R_{\mu}\right) \neq 0$. Since this is a subcoalgebra of $C$, it contains a simple subcoalgebra, say $S$. Then

$$
S \in \mathbb{S}\left(\wedge^{\infty} R_{\lambda}\right) \cap \mathbb{S}\left(\sum_{\mu \neq \lambda} \wedge^{\infty} R_{\mu}\right)=\mathbb{S}_{\lambda} \cap\left(\bigcup_{\mu \neq \lambda} \mathbb{S}_{\mu}\right)
$$

which contradicts the assumption that the union of $\mathcal{S}_{\lambda}$ 's is disjoint.
(1.2) A coalgebra is said to be indecomposable if it cannot be decomposed into a direct sum of two non-zero subcoalgebras.
(1.3) Let $S, S^{\prime}$ be simple subcoalgebras of $C$. We denote $S \sim S^{\prime}$ if either $S=S^{\prime}$ or there is a finite sequence

$$
S=S_{1}, S_{2}, \ldots, S_{r}=S^{\prime}
$$

of simple subcoalgebras of $C$ such that $S_{i} \wedge S_{i+1} \neq S_{i+1} \wedge S_{i}$ for $i=1, \ldots, r-1$. Then, as easily seen, the relation $\sim$ is an equivalence relation in $\mathbb{S}(C)$.

Let $\mathbb{S}_{\lambda}(\lambda \in \Lambda)$ be the equivalence classes and put $R_{\lambda}=\sum_{S \in \Theta_{\lambda}} S$.
The main theorem of this paper is
Theorbm. $C=\sum_{\lambda \in A} \oplus \wedge{ }^{\infty} R_{\lambda}$. Moreover each summand $\wedge^{\infty} R_{\lambda}$ is indecomposable.

## 2. Reduction to the Finite-Dimensional Case

(2.1) Let $D$ be a subcoalgebra of $C$ and let $S, S^{\prime}$ be simple subcoalgebras of $D$ (a priori of $C$ ). If $S \sim S^{\prime}$ in $\mathfrak{S}(D)$, then $S \sim S^{\prime}$ in $\mathfrak{S}(C)$.

Proof. Let $S_{1}, S_{2}$ be in $\Theta(D)$. By [2] (2.3.1) we have

$$
S_{1} \wedge_{D} S_{2}=\left(S_{1} \wedge_{c} S_{2}\right) \cap D .
$$

Therefore, for $S_{1}, S_{2}$ in $\mathcal{G}(D)$ if $S_{1} \wedge_{c} S_{2}=S_{2} \wedge{ }_{c} S_{1}$ then $S_{1} \wedge_{D} S_{2}=S_{2} \wedge_{D} S_{1}$. Thus $S \sim S^{\prime}$ in $\Theta(D)$ implies that $S \sim S^{\prime}$ in $\Theta(C)$.
(2.2) We can assume that the coalgebra C in Theorem is of finite dimension.

In fact, assume that Theorem holds for all finite-dimensional coalgebras. Let $C$ be any coalgebra and let $c$ be any element of $C$. Then the subcoalgebra
$D$ generated by $c$ is of finite dimension. Thus by the assumption $D$ has the decomposition as in Theorem:

$$
D=\Sigma \oplus D_{i}
$$

From (2.1) and the definition of $D_{i}$ it is claer that

$$
D_{i} \subset \wedge^{\infty} R_{\lambda}
$$

for some $\lambda$. It follows that

$$
c \in D \subset \sum_{\lambda} \oplus \wedge^{\infty} R_{\lambda} .
$$

(2.3) Let $C$ be a finite-dimensional coalgebra and $A=C^{*}$ the dual algebra of $C$. The correspondence $W \mapsto W^{\perp}$ gives an inclusion reversing bijection between the set of all linear subspaces of $C$ and that of $A$. A subcoalgebra corresponds to a two-sided ideal; the sum (resp. intersection, wedge) of two subcoalgebras does to the intersection (resp. sum, product) of corresponding ideals; simple subcoalgebras do to maximal ideals ([3], Propositions 1.4.5, 1.4.6, 9.0.0).

By virtue of (2.2) and (2.3), we have completely reduced the situation to the case of finite-dimensional algebras. In this case we can paraphrase Theorem as follows:
(2.4) Let $A$ be a finite-dimensional algebra. We can define an eqivalence relation in the set of all maximal ideals of $A$ as follows: For maximal ideals $M, M^{\prime}$ of $A$, we write $M \sim M^{\prime}$ if either $M=M^{\prime}$ or there is a sequence of maximal ideals

$$
M=M_{1}, M_{2}, \ldots, M_{r}=M^{\prime}
$$

such that $M_{i} M_{i+1} \neq M_{i+1} M_{i}$ for $i=1, \ldots, r-1 . \quad$ Let $\mathfrak{M}_{i}(i=1, \ldots, s)$ be the equivalence classes and put

$$
P_{i}=\bigcap_{M \in \mathbb{R}_{i}} M
$$

Then we have the canonical isomorphism

$$
A \cong \prod_{i=1}^{s} A / P_{i}^{\infty}
$$

and each $A / P_{i}^{\infty}$ is indecomposable (as a ring), where $P_{i}^{\infty}=\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} P_{i}^{n}$.

## 3. Finite-Dimensional Algebras

Let $A$ be a finite-dimensional algebra.
(3.1) There exists a positive integer $d$ such that

$$
\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} I^{n}=I^{d}
$$

for all (one or two-sided) ideals $I$ of $A$. We denote $I^{d}$ by $I^{\infty}$. In fact, for example, $d=\operatorname{dim}_{k} A$.
(3.2) $\mathfrak{M}(A)$, the set of all maximal ideals of $A$, is a finite set and

$$
N=\bigcap_{M \in \mathbb{M}(A)} M
$$

is the (Jacobson) radical of $A$.
(3.3) Let $I$, $J$ be two-sided ideals of $A$ such that $I+J=A$. Then

$$
I \cap J=I J+J I .
$$

Proof. By hypothesis

$$
1=a+b
$$

for some $a$ in $I$ and $b$ in $J$. Let $x$ be any element of $I \cap J$. Then

$$
x=a x+b x
$$

where $a x \in I J$ and $b x \in J I$. This implies that

$$
I \cap J \subset I J+J I .
$$

The converse inclusion is clear.
(3.4) Let $P_{i}$ be as in (2.4). Then for $i \neq j$ we have

$$
P_{i}^{\infty}+P_{j}^{\infty}=A
$$

Indeed, this follows from the fact that only maximal ideals containing $P_{i}$ are members of the class $\mathfrak{M}_{i}$, and any (proper) ideal is contained in some maximal one.

This implies, by Chinese Remainder Theorem (e.g., [1], p.46), the canonical homomorphism

$$
A \longrightarrow \prod_{i=1}^{s} A / P_{i}^{\infty}
$$

is surjective. The kernel of this homomorphism is $\xrightarrow[i=1]{s} P_{i}^{\infty}$.
(3.5) Let $M_{1}, \ldots, M_{r}$ be distinct maximal ideals and I an ideal of $A$ such that $M_{i} I=I M_{i}$ for $i=1, \ldots, r$. Then

$$
I\left(M_{1} \cap \cdots \cap M_{r}\right)=\left(M_{1} \cap \cdots \cap M_{r}\right) I
$$

Proof. We prove this by induction on $r$. It is trivial for $r=1$. Assume that the assertion holds for $r-1$. Let $K=M_{1} \cap \cdots \cap M_{r-1}$. Since all $M_{i}$ 's are distinct maximal ideals we have

$$
K+M_{r}=A
$$

Therefore by (3.3) we have an equality

$$
K \cap M_{r}=K M_{r}+M_{r} K
$$

so that this commutes with $I$.
(3.6) Let $P_{i}$ be as in (3.4). By (3.5) we have

$$
P_{i}^{\infty} \cap P_{j}^{\infty}=P_{i}^{\infty} P_{j}^{\infty} .
$$

Indeed, two maximal ideals belonging to distinct classes commute. Therefore

$$
\begin{aligned}
P_{1}^{\infty} \cap & \cdots \cap P_{s}^{\infty}=P_{1}^{\infty} \cdots P_{s}^{\infty} \\
& =\left(P_{1} \cdots P_{s}\right)^{\infty} \\
& =N^{\infty} \\
& =0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Consequently the homomorphism

$$
A \longrightarrow \Pi A / P_{i}^{\infty}
$$

is an isomorphism. This completes the proof of Thborem.

## 4. Corollaries and Example

In this section we list some direct consequences of Theorem and an example.
(4.1) Let $C$ be a coalgebra and $C_{1}=C_{0} \wedge C_{0}$, where $C_{0}$ is the coradical of $C$. Then $C$ is indecomposable if and only if $C_{1}$ is indecomposable.

In fact, since $S \wedge{ }_{c} S^{\prime} \subset C_{1}$ for simple subcoalgebras $S$ and $S^{\prime}$, we have

$$
S \wedge{ }_{C} S^{\prime}=S \wedge{ }_{c 1} S^{\prime}
$$

(4.2) A necessary and sufficient condition for a coalgebra $C$ to be decomposed into the direct sum of its irreducible components is that

$$
S \wedge S^{\prime}=S^{\prime} \wedge S
$$

for any simple subcoalgebras $S$ and $S^{\prime}$ of $C$.
Note that when $C$ is cocommutative this condition is trivial.
(4.3) Even if $C$ is indecomposable, the number of simple subcoalgebras need not be finite.

Example. Let $C$ be a vector sapce with basis $\left\{x_{n} \mid n=1,2, \ldots\right\} \cup\left\{y_{n} \mid n=\right.$ $1,2, \ldots\}$. Define $\Delta$ and $\varepsilon$ as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Delta\left(x_{n}\right)=x_{n} \otimes x_{n}, \quad \varepsilon\left(x_{n}\right)=1, \quad \text { for } n=1,2, \ldots \\
& \Delta\left(y_{n}\right)=x_{n} \otimes y_{n}+y_{n} \otimes x_{n+1}, \varepsilon\left(y_{n}\right)=0, \quad \text { for } n=1,2, \ldots
\end{aligned}
$$

Then $C$ is actually a coalgebra and $\subseteq(C)=\left\{k x_{n} \mid n=1,2, \ldots\right\}$. It is easy to see that for each $n$

$$
k x_{n} \wedge k x_{n+1} \neq k x_{n+1} \wedge k x_{n}
$$

since $y_{n}$ belongs to the left but not to the right. Thus by Theorem $C$ is indecomposable.
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