

A Correction to "Forced Oscillations in General Ordinary Differential Equations with Deviating Arguments"

Bhagat SINGH

(Received February 14, 1978)

1. Introduction

In [1] this author presented conditions to ensure that all oscillatory solutions of the equation

$$(1) \quad (r(t)y'(t))^{(n-1)} + a(t)y_{\tau}(t) = f(t), \quad y_{\tau}(t) \equiv y(t - \tau(t))$$

approach zero as $t \rightarrow \infty$. The proof of the main result (Lemma (1)) was based on the "truth" of the inequality

$$(2) \quad \left| \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_s^p a(t) dt ds \right| \leq \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_t^{t_2} |a(s)| ds dt$$

where $t_1 < p < t_2$ and $a(t)$ continuous in $[t_1, t_2]$.

But this inequality (cf. Staikos and Philos [2]) is false as the following counter example (due to Prof. T. Kusano of Hiroshima University) shows:

$$\int_{\pi}^{5\pi} \int_s^{5\pi} |f(t)| dt ds = 3\pi \quad \text{and} \quad \left| \int_{\pi}^{5\pi} \int_s^{2\pi} f(t) dt ds \right| = 5\pi$$

where

$$f(t) = \begin{cases} 0 & (\pi \leq t < 2\pi) \\ \sin t & (2\pi \leq t \leq 3\pi) \\ 0 & (3\pi \leq t \leq 5\pi). \end{cases}$$

However the conclusion of this crucial lemma remains true with a very minor change. We shall consider the following more general equation

$$(3) \quad (r(t)y'(t))^{(n-1)} + a(t)h(y(g(t))) = f(t)$$

subject to similar assumptions. More precisely we assume

- (i) $a(t), r(t), g(t), h(t), f(t)$ are real, continuous on the whole real line R ;
- (ii) $r(t) > 0, g(t) \leq t, g(t) \rightarrow \infty$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$;
- (iii) $0 \leq \frac{h(t)}{t} \leq m$, for some $m > 0, t > 0$.

2. Main results

LEMMA (2.1). *Suppose*

$$(4) \quad \int^{\infty} |a(t)| dt < \infty,$$

$$(5) \quad \int^{\infty} |f(t)| dt < \infty,$$

and

$$(6) \quad \frac{1}{r(t)} = O(1/t^{n-k}), \quad 0 \leq k < 1;$$

then all oscillatory solutions of equation (3) are bounded.

PROOF. Let $y(t)$ be an oscillatory solution of (3). Let $T > t_0$ be large enough so that for $t > T$, $g(t) > t_0$. Since $(r(t)y'(t))^{(n-2)}$ is oscillatory, there exist a $T_1 > t_1 > t_0$ such that $(r(t_1)y'(t_1))^{(n-2)} = 0$ and for $t \geq T_1$, $g(t) \geq t_1$. Designate $C_0 = r(t_1)y'(t_1)$, $C_1 = (r(t_1)y'(t_1))'$, $C_2 = (r(t_1)y'(t_1))''/2!, \dots$, $C_{n-3} = \frac{(r(t_1)y'(t_1))^{(n-3)}}{(n-3)!}$.

From (3) on integration

$$(7) \quad (r(t)y'(t))^{(n-2)} + \int_{t_1}^t a(s)h(y(g(s))) ds = \int_{t_1}^t f(s) ds.$$

On repeated integration from (7) we have

$$(8) \quad \begin{aligned} r(t)y'(t) &= C_0 + C_1(t - t_1) + C_2(t - t_1)^2 + \dots + C_{n-3}(t - t_1)^{n-3} \\ &\quad - \int_{t_1}^t \frac{(t-s)^{n-2}}{(n-2)!} a(s) \frac{h(y(g(s)))}{y(g(s))} y(g(s)) ds \\ &\quad + \int_{t_1}^t \frac{(t-s)^{n-2}}{(n-2)!} f(s) ds. \end{aligned}$$

Dividing (8) by $r(t)$ and then integrating between t_1 and $g(t)$ for $t \geq T_1$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} y(g(t)) &= y(t_1) + C_0 \int_{t_1}^{g(t)} \frac{1}{r(s)} ds + C_1 \int_{t_1}^{g(t)} \frac{(s-t_1)}{r(s)} ds + \dots \\ &\quad + C_{n-3} \int_{t_1}^{g(t)} \frac{(s-t_1)^{n-3}}{r(s)} ds \\ &\quad - \int_{t_1}^{g(t)} 1/r(s) \int_{t_1}^s \frac{(s-x)^{n-2} a(x) h(y(g(x))) y(g(x)) dx}{(n-2)! y(g(x))} ds \\ &\quad + \int_{t_1}^{g(t)} 1/r(s) \int_{t_1}^s \frac{(s-x)^{n-2}}{(n-2)!} f(x) dx ds. \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 |y(g(t))| &\leq K_0 + mK_1 \int_{t_1}^t \int_{t_1}^s \frac{(s-x)^{n-2}}{s^{n-k}} |a(x)| |y(g(x))| dx ds \\
 &\quad + K_1 \int_{t_1}^t \int_{t_1}^s \frac{(s-x)^{n-2}}{s^{n-k}} |f(x)| dx ds \\
 &\leq K_0 + mK_1 \int_{t_1}^t \int_{t_1}^s \frac{|a(x)| y}{s^{2-k}} dx ds + K_1 \int_{t_1}^t \int_{t_1}^s \frac{|f(x)| dx ds}{s^{2-k}}
 \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\frac{1}{r(t)} \leq \frac{K_1}{t^{n-k}}.$$

Changing the order of integration in the above we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 (9) \quad |y(g(t))| &\leq K_0 + mK_1 \left[\int_{t_1}^t \int_x^t \frac{1}{s^{2-k}} ds \right] |y(g(x))| |a(x)| dx \\
 &\quad + K_1 \int_{t_1}^t \left[\int_x^t \frac{1}{s^{2-k}} ds \right] |f(x)| dx.
 \end{aligned}$$

Since $0 \leq k < 1$, $\int_x^t \frac{1}{s^{2-k}} ds \leq K_2$ for some $K_2 > 0$.

Let $mK_1K_2 = K_3$. We have from (9)

$$(10) \quad |y(g(t))| \leq K_0 + K_3 \int_{t_1}^t |a(x)| |y(g(x))| dx + K_1 K_2 \int_{t_1}^t |f(x)| dx$$

and since $\int_{t_1}^\infty |f(x)| dx < \infty$, there exists $K_4 > 0$ such that

$$(11) \quad |y(g(t))| \leq K_4 + K_3 \int_{t_1}^t |a(x)| |y(g(x))| dx.$$

The conclusion of the lemma follows from (11) by application of Gronwall's inequality. The proof is complete.

REMARK. The following inequality is needed in the proof of our main theorem:

If $t_1 < t_2 < t_3$ then

$$\left| \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_s^{t_3} a(x) dx ds \right| \leq \int_{t_1}^{t_3} \int_s^{t_3} |a(x)| dx ds \leq \int_{t_1}^\infty \int_s^\infty |a(x)| dx ds$$

which gives by induction

$$\begin{aligned}
 (12) \quad &\left| \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_{s_3}^{t_3} \int_{s_4}^{t_4} \dots \int_{s_n}^{t_n} a(x) dx ds_n \dots ds_3 \right| \\
 &\leq \int_{t_1}^{t_n} \int_{s_3}^{t_n} \int_{s_4}^{t_n} \dots \int_{s_n}^{t_n} |a(x)| dx ds_n \dots ds_3
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\leq \int_{t_1}^{\infty} \int_{s_3}^{\infty} \cdots \int_{s_n}^{\infty} |a(x)| dx ds_n \cdots ds_3$$

where $t_1 < t_2 < t_3 < \cdots < t_{n-1} < t_n$.

THEOREM (2.1). Suppose $\int^{\infty} t^{n-2}|a(t)|dt < \infty$, $\int^{\infty} |f(t)|t^{n-2}dt < \infty$ and $1/r(t) = O(t^{n-k})$, $0 \leq k < 1$, then oscillatory solutions of (3) approach zero as $t \rightarrow \infty$.

PROOF. Suppose to the contrary that some oscillatory solution $y(t)$ of (3) is such that $\limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} |y(t)| > 2d > 0$ for some number d . By Lemma (2.1), $y(t)$ is bounded.

Let T be large enough so that for $T_1 > T$, $\int_{T_1}^{\infty} 1/r(t)dt < 1$,

$$(13) \quad m \int_{T_1}^{\infty} x^{n-2}|a(x)|dx < d/M_1^2,$$

and

$$(14) \quad \int_{T_1}^{\infty} x^{n-2}|f(x)|dx < d/M_1,$$

where $M_1 = \sup \{|y(t)|, t \geq T\}$. Let t_1, t_2 be zeros of $y(t)$ such that $\text{Max } |y(t)| > d$ for $t \in [t_1, t_2]$. Let $p_1 < p_2 < p_3 < \cdots < p_{n-2}$, ($p_1 > t_2$) be zeros of $(r(t)y'(t))'$, $(r(t)y'(t))''$, ..., $(r(t)y'(t))^{(n-2)}$. On repeated integration from (3) for $t < p_1$

$$\begin{aligned} \pm (r(t)y'(t))' &= - \int_t^{p_1} \int_{s_2}^{p_2} \cdots \int_{s_{n-2}}^{p_{n-2}} a(x)h(y(g(x)))dx ds_{n-2} \cdots ds_2 \\ &+ \int_t^{p_1} \int_{s_2}^{p_2} \cdots \int_{s_{n-2}}^{p_{n-2}} f(x)dx ds_{n-2} \cdots ds_2 \end{aligned}$$

which gives by (12)

$$\begin{aligned} |(r(t)y'(t))'| &\leq m \int_t^{p_{n-2}} \int_{s_2}^{p_{n-2}} \cdots \int_{s_{n-2}}^{p_{n-2}} |a(x)| |y(g(x))| dx \cdots ds_2 \\ &+ \int_t^{p_{n-2}} \int_{s_2}^{p_{n-2}} \cdots \int_{s_{n-2}}^{p_{n-2}} |f(x)| dx \cdots ds_2, \quad \text{for all } t \in [t_1, t_2]. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} (15) \quad \int_{t_1}^{t_2} |(r(t)y'(t))'| dt &\leq m \int_{t_1}^{p_{n-2}} \int_{s_1}^{p_{n-2}} \int_{s_2}^{p_{n-2}} \cdots \int_{s_{n-2}}^{p_{n-2}} |a(x)| |y| dx \cdots ds_2 ds_1 \\ &+ \int_{t_1}^{p_{n-2}} \int_{s_1}^{p_{n-2}} \int_{s_2}^{p_{n-2}} \cdots \int_{s_{n-2}}^{p_{n-2}} |f(x)| dx \cdots ds_2 ds_1 \end{aligned}$$

$$\leq \frac{m}{(n-2)!} \int_{t_1}^{\infty} (x-t_1)^{n-2} |a(x)| |y(g(x))| dx + \frac{1}{(n-2)!} \int_{t_1}^{\infty} (x-t_1)^{n-2} |f(x)| dx.$$

Let

$$T_0 \in [t_1, t_2] \text{ such that } M = |y(T_0)| = \max |y(t)| \text{ in } [t_1, t_2].$$

Now

$$(16) \quad M = \int_{t_1}^{T_0} y'(t) dt = - \int_{T_0}^{t_2} y'(t) dt$$

which gives

$$(17) \quad 2M \leq \int_{t_1}^{t_2} |y'(t)| dt = \int_{t_1}^{t_2} (r(t))^{1/2} |y'(t)|^{1/2} (r(t))^{-1/2} |y'(t)|^{1/2} dt.$$

By Schwarz's inequality

$$(18) \quad 4M^2 \leq \int_{t_1}^{t_2} 1/r(t) dt \int_{t_1}^{t_2} (r(t)y'(t))y'(t) dt,$$

Integrating second integral by parts gives

$$(19) \quad 4M \leq \left[\int_{t_1}^{t_2} 1/r(t) dt \right] \left[\int_{t_1}^{t_2} |(r(t)y'(t))'| dt \right]$$

since $|y(t)| \leq M$. Without any loss of generality we can assume that $d \leq MM_1$. From (15) and (19) we have

$$(20) \quad 4M \leq \left(\int_{t_1}^{\infty} 1/r(t) dt \right) \left[\frac{m}{(n-2)!} \int_{t_1}^{\infty} (x-t_1)^{n-2} |a(x)| |y(g(x))| dx + \frac{1}{(n-2)!} \int_{t_1}^{\infty} (x-t_1)^{n-2} |f(x)| dx \right]$$

From (20), (13) and (14)

$$(21) \quad 4 \frac{d}{M_1} \leq \left(\int_{t_1}^{\infty} 1/r(t) dt \right) \frac{2d}{M_1}.$$

Conclusion follows from contradiction apparent in (21), since

$$\int_{t_1}^{\infty} 1/r(t) dt < 1.$$

The proof is complete.

References

- [1] Bhagat Singh, Forced oscillations in general ordinary differential equations with deviating arguments, Hiroshima Math. J., **6** (1976), 7-14.
- [2] V. A. Staikos and C. G. Philos, Some oscillation and asymptotic properties of linear differential equations, Bull. Fac. Sci., Ibaraki Univ. Math., **8** (1976), 25-30.

Bhagat Singh,
Department of Mathematics,
University of Wisconsin Center,
Manitowoc, WI 54220,
U. S. A.