

## A note on Gruenberg algebras

Naoki KAWAMOTO

(Received September 5, 1979)

1. Let  $\rho(L)$ ,  $e(L)$  and  $\bar{e}(L)$  denote respectively the Hirsch-Plotkin radical, the sets of left Engel and bounded left Engel elements of a Lie algebra  $L$  over a field  $\mathbb{f}$ . The classes of abelian, nilpotent and solvable Lie algebras over  $\mathbb{f}$  are denoted respectively by  $\mathfrak{A}$ ,  $\mathfrak{N}$  and  $\mathfrak{B}\mathfrak{A}$ . If  $\mathfrak{X}$  is a class of Lie algebras, then  $L\mathfrak{X}$  and  $\acute{e}\mathfrak{X}$  denote respectively the classes of locally  $\mathfrak{X}$ -algebras and algebras with ascending  $\mathfrak{X}$ -series.

Simonjan [3] has shown that the class of Gruenberg algebras equals  $\acute{e}\mathfrak{A} \cap L\mathfrak{N}$  over a field of characteristic 0. Amayo and Stewart have asked the following among "Some open questions" in [1]:

Question 40. *Over a field of characteristic  $p > 0$ , suppose that  $L \in \acute{e}\mathfrak{A} \cap L\mathfrak{N}$ . Is it true that  $x \in L$  implies  $\langle x \rangle \text{ asc } L$ ?*

In this note we shall give an affirmative answer to this question. This will be obtained as a corollary of the following theorem, which is proved over a field of characteristic 0 in [1, Theorem 16.4.2].

**THEOREM 1.** *Let  $L$  be a Lie algebra over a field  $\mathbb{f}$  of arbitrary characteristic.*

(a) *If  $L \in \acute{e}\mathfrak{A}$ , then  $\rho(L) \subseteq e(L) = \{x \in L \mid \langle x \rangle \text{ asc } L\}$ .*

(b) *If  $L \in \mathfrak{B}\mathfrak{A}$ , then  $\bar{e}(L) = \{x \in L \mid \langle x \rangle \text{ si } L\}$ .*

**COROLLARY** *Let  $L$  be a Lie algebra over a field  $\mathbb{f}$  of arbitrary characteristic belonging to  $\acute{e}\mathfrak{A} \cap L\mathfrak{N}$ . Then  $x \in L$  implies  $\langle x \rangle \text{ asc } L$ .*

We employ notations and terminology in [1]. All Lie algebras are not necessarily finite-dimensional over a field  $\mathbb{f}$  of arbitrary characteristic unless otherwise specified.

2. We show the following lemma on ascending series of a Lie algebra, which is an extension of Lemma 16 in [2].

**LEMMA.** *Let  $L$  be a Lie algebra and  $x \in e(L)$ . Assume that  $L$  has an ascending  $\mathfrak{X}$ -series where  $\mathfrak{X} = \mathfrak{A}$ ,  $L\mathfrak{N}$  or  $L\mathfrak{B}\mathfrak{A}$ . Then  $L$  has an ascending  $\mathfrak{X}$ -series with terms idealized by  $x$ .*

**PROOF.** Let  $(L_\alpha)_{\alpha \leq \lambda}$  be an ascending  $\mathfrak{X}$ -series of  $L$  with an ordinal  $\lambda$ . Let  $H_\alpha$  be the sum of  $\langle x \rangle$ -invariant subspaces of  $L_\alpha$  ( $\alpha \leq \lambda$ ). Then  $H_\alpha$  is the largest  $\langle x \rangle$ -invariant subalgebra of  $L_\alpha$  (cf. [2, Lemma 15]). Clearly  $H_0 = L_0 = 0$ ,

$H_\lambda = L_\lambda = L$  and  $H_\alpha \leq H_\beta$  for  $\alpha \leq \beta \leq \lambda$ . Let  $\alpha < \lambda$ . Then  $[H_\alpha, H_{\alpha+1}] \subseteq [L_\alpha, L_{\alpha+1}] \subseteq L_\alpha$  and  $[H_\alpha, H_{\alpha+1}]$  is an  $\langle x \rangle$ -invariant subspace, whence  $[H_\alpha, H_{\alpha+1}] \subseteq H_\alpha$  and so  $H_\alpha \triangleleft H_{\alpha+1}$ . Let  $\mu \leq \lambda$  be a limit ordinal and  $y \in H_\mu$ . Since  $x \in e(L)$ , there exists an integer  $n = n(x, y)$  such that  $[y, {}_n x] = 0$ . Thus  $\langle y^{\langle x \rangle} \rangle = \langle y, [y, x], \dots, [y, {}_{n-1} x] \rangle$  is a finitely generated subalgebra of  $L_\mu = \bigcup_{\alpha < \mu} L_\alpha$ . Hence  $\langle y^{\langle x \rangle} \rangle \leq L_\alpha$  for some  $\alpha < \mu$ . Since  $\langle y^{\langle x \rangle} \rangle$  is idealized by  $x$ ,  $\langle y^{\langle x \rangle} \rangle \leq H_\alpha$ . Therefore  $H_\mu = \bigcup_{\alpha < \mu} H_\alpha$ . Thus  $(H_\alpha)_{\alpha \leq \lambda}$  is an ascending series of  $L$  with terms idealized by  $x$ .

Let  $\mathfrak{X} = \mathfrak{A}$ . Then for any  $\alpha < \lambda$   $H_{\alpha+1}^2 \leq L_{\alpha+1}^2 \leq L_\alpha$  and  $H_{\alpha+1}^2$  is idealized by  $x$ . Hence  $H_{\alpha+1}^2 \leq H_\alpha$ , that is,  $H_{\alpha+1}/H_\alpha \in \mathfrak{A}$ .

Let  $\mathfrak{X} = \text{LB}\mathfrak{A}$  and  $S$  be any finite subset of  $H_{\alpha+1}$  ( $\alpha < \lambda$ ). Since  $x \in e(L)$ ,  $K = \langle S^{\langle x \rangle} \rangle$  is a finitely generated subalgebra of  $L_{\alpha+1}$ . By the hypothesis  $L_{\alpha+1}/L_\alpha$  is locally solvable, whence  $K^{(m)} \leq L_\alpha$  for some integer  $m$ . Furthermore  $K^{(m)}$  is idealized by  $x$ , so that  $K^{(m)} \leq H_\alpha$ . Thus  $H_{\alpha+1}/H_\alpha \in \text{LB}\mathfrak{A}$ .

Similarly, if  $\mathfrak{X} = \text{L}\mathfrak{N}$ , then  $H_{\alpha+1}/H_\alpha$  ( $\alpha < \lambda$ ) is an  $\text{L}\mathfrak{N}$ -algebra.

**PROOF OF THEOREM 1.** (a) Let  $x \in e(L)$ . By Lemma  $L$  has an ascending  $\mathfrak{A}$ -series  $(H_\alpha)_{\alpha \leq \lambda}$  with terms idealized by  $x$ . Put  $H_{\alpha,i} = \{y \in H_{\alpha+1} \mid [y, {}_i x] \in H_\alpha\}$  for any  $\alpha < \lambda$  and any  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then it is easily seen that

$$H_\alpha + \langle x \rangle = H_{\alpha,0} + \langle x \rangle \triangleleft H_{\alpha,1} + \langle x \rangle \triangleleft \dots,$$

$$\bigcup_{i \geq 0} (H_{\alpha,i} + \langle x \rangle) = H_{\alpha+1} + \langle x \rangle.$$

Therefore  $\langle x \rangle \text{ asc } L$ .

(b) Let  $x \in \bar{e}(L)$ . Then there exists an integer  $n = n(x)$  such that  $[L, {}_n x] = 0$ . By the same argument as above we have

$$L^{(i+1)} + \langle x \rangle \triangleleft^n L^{(i)} + \langle x \rangle \quad (i \in \mathbb{N}).$$

Therefore  $\langle x \rangle \text{ si } L$ . This completes the proof.

**PROOF OF COROLLARY.** Since  $L \in \mathfrak{E}\mathfrak{A} \cap \text{L}\mathfrak{N}$ ,

$$L = \rho(L) \subseteq \{x \in L \mid \langle x \rangle \text{ asc } L\} \subseteq L$$

by Theorem 1.

We note that  $\rho(L) \not\subseteq e(L)$  in general and that the subsets  $\{x \in L \mid \langle x \rangle \text{ asc } L\}$  and  $\{x \in L \mid \langle x \rangle \text{ si } L\}$  are not necessarily subalgebras of  $L$  over a field of positive characteristic. To see these we consider Hartley's example  $L = P + (x, y, z)$  [1, Lemma 3.1.1 and Example 6.3.6]. The following facts are well known: (a) If  $\text{char } \mathfrak{f} = 0$ , then  $\rho(L) = P$  and  $y \in e(L)$ . (b) If  $\text{char } \mathfrak{f} = p > 0$ , then  $\rho(L) = P$  and  $x, y \in e(L) = \bar{e}(L)$  but  $z = [x, y] \notin e(L) = \bar{e}(L)$ . Since  $L \in \mathfrak{E}\mathfrak{A}$ , the assertions follow from Theorem 1.

We remark that Corollary may be obtained from [2, Theorem 17].

3. As usual, let  $\{L, \acute{e}\}\mathfrak{A}$  and  $\mathfrak{E}$  denote respectively the smallest  $L$ -closed and  $\acute{e}$ -closed class containing  $\mathfrak{A}$  and the class of Engel algebras. Then it is well known that  $\{L, \acute{e}\}\mathfrak{A} \cap \mathfrak{E} \leq L\mathfrak{N}$  [1, Corollary 16.3.10]. If  $\langle x \rangle \text{ asc } L$  for any  $x \in L$ , then clearly  $L \in \mathfrak{E}$ . Hence by Corollary we have the following

**THEOREM 2.** *Let  $L$  be a Lie algebra. If  $L \in \{L, \acute{e}\}\mathfrak{A}$  and  $\langle x \rangle \text{ asc } L$  for any  $x \in L$ , then  $L \in L\mathfrak{N}$ . In particular if  $L \in \acute{e}\mathfrak{A}$ , then the following conditions are equivalent: (a)  $L \in \mathfrak{E}$ , i.e.,  $\langle x \rangle \text{ asc } L$  for any  $x \in L$ . (b)  $L \in \mathfrak{E}$ . (c)  $L \in L\mathfrak{N}$ .*

Finally we note that over any field there exists a Lie algebra  $L$  where for any non-zero  $x \in L$   $\langle x \rangle \text{ asc } L$  but  $\langle x \rangle$  is not a subideal of  $L$ . Consider, for example, a Lie algebra  $L$  constructed by Simonjan [4, Theorem 4]. It belongs to the class  $\acute{e}\mathfrak{A} \cap L\mathfrak{N}$ , and  $\bar{e}(L) = 0$  so that  $\{x \in L \mid \langle x \rangle \text{ si } L\} = 0$ . Hence by Theorem 2 we see that this algebra has the above property.

### References

- [1] R. K. Amayo and I. Stewart: *Infinite-dimensional Lie Algebras*, Noordhoff, Leyden, 1974.
- [2] N. Kawamoto: Subideality and ascendancy in generalized solvable Lie algebras, *Hiroshima Math. J.* **9** (1979), 701–716.
- [3] L. A. Simonjan: On two radicals of Lie algebras, *Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR* **157** (1964), 281–283, translated in *Soviet Math. Dokl.* **5** (1964), 941–943.
- [4] L. A. Simonjan: Certain examples of Lie groups and algebras, *Sibirsk. Mat. Ž.* **12** (1971), 837–843, translated in *Siberian Math. J.* **12** (1971), 602–606.

*Department of Mathematics,  
Faculty of Science,  
Hiroshima University*

