

Z-transforms and overrings of a noetherian ring

Shiroh ITOH

Received April 19, 1980)

Introduction

Since Nagata had pointed out the importance of the notion of ideal transforms in relation to the 14-th problem of Hilbert, ideal transforms have been studied by many authors. The notion of Z-transforms of a ring A , Z being a subset of $\text{Spec}(A)$ which is stable under specialization, is a generalized one of ideal transforms. We can use ideal or Z-transforms as a powerful tool to study overrings B of a noetherian ring A . This is done as follows. Take a suitable chain $Z_n \subseteq Z_{n-1} \subseteq \cdots \subseteq Z_0 = \text{Spec}(A)$ of subsets of $\text{Spec}(A)$ and consider the overrings $T(Z_i, A) \cap B$ where $T(Z_i, A)$ is the Z_i -transform of A . Then by examining properties of $T(Z_i, A) \cap B$ inductively, we get the knowledge of properties of B . K. Yoshida, in [22], used this technic and showed some properties of overrings B are determined by local properties at prime ideals in $\text{Ass}_A(B/A)$. But the essential point of this technic is that we can reduce a problem on B to a problem on $(A_p)^\theta \cap B_p$, $p \in \text{Ass}_A(B/A)$, where $(A_p)^\theta$ is the global transform of A_p . This motivation follows from two facts: The first one is a characterization of $\text{Ass}_A(B/A)$, i.e. $\text{Ass}_A(B/A) = \{p \in \text{Spec}(A) \mid A_p \subset (A_p)^\theta \cap B_p\}$ (Theorem (2.5)). On the other hand, roughly speaking, the difference between $T(Z_i, A) \cap B$ and $T(Z_{i-1}, A) \cap B$ appears in prime ideals belonging to $Z_{i-1} - Z_i$, and if $Z_{i-1} - Z_i$ is discrete, then $(T(Z_i, A) \cap B)_p = A_p$ and $(T(Z_{i-1}, A) \cap B)_p = (A_p)^\theta \cap B_p$ for every $p \in Z_{i-1} - Z_i$. This is the second fact which we wish to point out. In this paper we shall study overrings of a noetherian ring from the above point of view.

Section 1 consists of preliminary results on Z-transforms and global transforms almost all of which are already known (cf. [1], [6], [9], [12], [13], [14] and [15]). We shall frequently use these results in this paper. In section 2, we shall give basic relations between $\text{Ass}_A(B/A)$ and Z-transforms. We remark here that we shall obtain whole results in this section, especially Corollary (2.12), without using completions and the theorem of Mori-Nagata. Corollary (2.12) is a modified form of Theorem (1.6) in [14], and using this corollary we shall give an alternative proof of the theorem of Mori-Nagata in appendix (see [17] for another proof of this theorem by means of global transforms).

In some cases we can prove some known facts in a unified way by means of Z-transforms. In fact, in section 3, we shall generalize J. Nishimura's results [15, (2.6), (3.1) and (3.2)] (see Theorem (3.1)), and in the last part of section 5

we shall give a unified proof of two basic facts concerning seminormal rings. In section 4, we shall treat finite (S_2) -overrings of a noetherian ring. In Theorem (4.7) we shall give a necessary and sufficient condition for a noetherian ring to have a finite (S_2) -overring. We shall also study how M. Brodmann's result in [2], which gives a sufficient condition for existence of finite (S_2) -overrings, can be deduced from our theorem. As we have already known, for a finite overring B of a noetherian ring A , A is seminormal in B if and only if A_p is seminormal in B_p for every $p \in \text{Ass}_A(B/A)$. In section 5, we shall sharpen this result in terms of global transforms of A_p , $p \in \text{Ass}_A(B/A)$.

Notation and terminology

In this paper, we mean by a ring a commutative ring with identity. Let A be a ring. We denote by $Q(A)$ the total quotient ring of A , and denote by \bar{A} the integral closure of A in $Q(A)$. $\text{Max}(A)$ (resp. $\text{Min}(A)$) will denote the set of all maximal ideals (resp. minimal prime ideals) of A . Let I be an ideal of A . Then $V(I)$ is the set of all prime ideals p of A with $I \subseteq p$. For a prime ideal p of A , $k(p) = A_p/pA_p$.

Let M be an A -module. We say that an ideal I of A is M -regular (resp. regular) if I contains M -regular elements (resp. regular elements) of A . $Q_A(M)$ (or simply $Q(M)$) will denote the A -module $S^{-1}M$, where S is the set of all M -regular elements of A . By definition $Q(A) = Q_A(A)$. $\text{Ass}_A(M)$ will denote the set $\{p \in \text{Spec}(A) \mid p \text{ is a minimal prime ideal of } 0 :_A x \text{ for some } x \in M\}$. Therefore if A is noetherian, then $\text{Ass}_A(M) = \{p \in \text{Spec}(A) \mid p = 0 :_A x \text{ for some } x \in M\}$ as usual.

We say that R is an overring of a ring A if R is an A -subalgebra of $Q(A)$ (i.e., $A \subseteq R \subseteq Q(A)$). If a noetherian overring R of A satisfies Serre's property (S_2) , then we say that R is an (S_2) -overring of A .

For a finitely generated regular ideal I of A , we frequently identify $\text{End}_A(I)$ ($= \text{Hom}_A(I, I)$) with $I :_{Q(A)} I$.

Let B be an A -algebra, $f: A \rightarrow B$ the corresponding homomorphism. For an ideal I of B , we write $A \cap I$ instead of $f^{-1}(I)$.

§1. Definitions and preliminaries

Let A be a ring. A topology on A is a family F of ideals of A with the following properties: (a) if $I \in F$, then $J \in F$ for every ideal J of A with $I \subseteq J$, and (b) if $I, J \in F$, then $IJ \in F$. Let F be a topology on A . For an A -module M , $F_{\text{reg}(M)}$ will denote the set of all M -regular ideals I of A with $I \in F$.

We shall first summarize some elementary results on F -transform which are mostly well known.

DEFINITION (1.1) Let A be a ring, and let F be a topology on A . The F -transform of an A -module M is defined to be the set

$$T(F, M) = \{z \in Q_A(M) \mid M :_A z \in F\}.$$

$T(F, M)$ is also an A -module such that $M \subseteq T(F, M) \subseteq Q_A(M)$. If B is an A -algebra, then $T(F, B)$ is a B -subalgebra of $Q_A(B)$. Let I be an ideal of A . If F is the set of all ideals of A which contain I^n for some $n \geq 0$, then $T(F, A)$ is the usual I -transform of A (cf. [13]).

Since $M :_A z$ contains M -regular elements for every $z \in Q_A(M)$, we have $T(F, M) = T(F_{\text{reg}(M)}, M)$. Moreover if F' is another topology on A such that $F \subseteq F'$, then clearly $T(F, M) \subseteq T(F', M)$.

(1.2) Let F be a topology on a ring A , and let M be an A -module. Then we have

(1) $T(F, M)_{\mathfrak{p}} = M_{\mathfrak{p}}$ for every prime ideal \mathfrak{p} of A such that $\mathfrak{p} \notin F_{\text{reg}(M)}$.

Assume further that $F_{\text{reg}(M)}$ has a cofinal subfamily consisting of finitely generated ideals. Then we have

(2) $T(F, N) = T(F, M)$ for every A -module N such that $M \subseteq N \subseteq T(F, M)$.

In particular $T(F, T(F, M)) = T(F, M)$.

Let B be an A -algebra, and let F be a topology on A . We denote by FB the set of all ideals J of B such that $J \supseteq IB$ for some $I \in F$. Note that FB is a topology on B . If $F = F_{\text{reg}(B)}$, then $T(FB, B) \subseteq Q_A(B)$. Therefore we have the following assertion:

(1.3) Let B be an A -algebra, and let F be a topology on A . Assume that $F = F_{\text{reg}(B)}$. Then $T(F, B) = T(FB, B)$.

The following assertion is an easy generalization of [13, Lemma 2.6].

(1.4) Let F be a topology on a ring A , and let M be an A -module. Assume that $F = F_{\text{reg}(M)}$ and F has a cofinal subfamily consisting of finitely generated ideals. Then for every flat A -algebra B , $T(F, M) \otimes_A B = T(FB, M \otimes_A B)$.

As an immediate corollary to (1.4), $Q_A(M)_{\mathfrak{p}} \subseteq Q_{A_{\mathfrak{p}}}(M_{\mathfrak{p}})$ for every A -module M and $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(A)$.

Let A be a ring, and let I be an ideal of A . Let M be an A -module. We denote by $\text{Gr}_A(I, M)$ the polynomial grade of I on M (as for the polynomial grade, we refer to [16]). If A is noetherian and M is finitely generated, then $\text{Gr}_A(I, M)$ is equal to the usual depth of I on M . Let \mathfrak{p} be a prime ideal of A . Note that $\text{Gr}_A(I, M) = 0$ (resp. $\text{Gr}_{A_{\mathfrak{p}}}(pA_{\mathfrak{p}}, M_{\mathfrak{p}}) = 0$) if and only if, for each finitely generated ideal J with $J \subseteq I$ (resp. $J \subseteq \mathfrak{p}$), there exists an element $x (\neq 0)$ of M such that $Jx = 0$ (resp. $J \subseteq \text{Ann}_A(x) \subseteq \mathfrak{p}$) (cf. [16, Chap. 5, Lemma 8]).

(1.5) Let F be a topology on A , and let M be an A -module. Consider the following conditions on M and F :

(1) $T(F, M) = M$.

(2) $\text{Gr}_A(I, M) \geq 2$ for all $I \in F_{\text{reg}(M)}$.

(3) $\text{Gr}_{A_{\mathfrak{p}}}(\mathfrak{p}A_{\mathfrak{p}}, M_{\mathfrak{p}}) \geq 2$ for all prime ideals \mathfrak{p} of A with $\mathfrak{p} \in F_{\text{reg}(M)}$.

Then we have (2) \Rightarrow (3) \Rightarrow (1). If $F_{\text{reg}(M)}$ has a cofinal subfamily consisting of finitely generated ideals, then (1) \Rightarrow (2).

PROOF. (2) \Rightarrow (3) is clear (cf. [16, Chap. 5, Exercise 10]). (3) \Rightarrow (1): Suppose contrarily that $T(F, M) \neq M$, and let z be an element of $T(F, M) - M$. We then put $z = x/s$ where $x \in M$ and s is an M -regular element of A . Let \mathfrak{p} be a minimal prime ideal of $M :_A z = sM :_A x$. Then $\mathfrak{p} \in F_{\text{reg}(M)}$, $\text{Gr}_{A_{\mathfrak{p}}}(\mathfrak{p}A_{\mathfrak{p}}, (M/sM)_{\mathfrak{p}}) = 0$; and hence $\text{Gr}_{A_{\mathfrak{p}}}(\mathfrak{p}A_{\mathfrak{p}}, M_{\mathfrak{p}}) = 1$. This is a contradiction. We now assume that $F_{\text{reg}(M)}$ has a cofinal subfamily consisting of finitely generated ideals, and we shall prove (1) \Rightarrow (2). Suppose contrarily that there exists an M -regular ideal I of A such that $I \in F$ and $\text{Gr}_A(I, M) = 1$. Let s be an M -regular element in I , and let J be a finitely generated ideal of A such that $J \subseteq I$ and $J \in F_{\text{reg}(M)}$. Since $\text{Gr}_A(I, M/sM) = 0$, $Jx \subseteq sM$ for some $x \in M - sM$. Then $x/s \in T(F, M) = M$ because $J \in F$; hence $x \in sM$. This is a contradiction. This completes the proof.

Let A be a ring, and let Z be a subset of $\text{Spec}(A)$ which is stable under specialization. We denote by $F(Z)$ the set of all ideals I of A such that $V(I) \subseteq Z$. Then $F(Z)$ is a topology on A . For an A -module M , $Z_{\text{reg}(M)}$ will denote the set of all M -regular prime ideals \mathfrak{p} of A with $\mathfrak{p} \in Z$.

DEFINITION (1.6) The Z -transform of an A -module M is the $F(Z)$ -transform of M , and we denote it by $T(Z, M)$.

(1.7) (cf. (1.2)) Let A, Z be the same as above, and let M be an A -module. Then we have the following assertions.

(1) $T(Z, M) = T(Z_{\text{reg}(M)}, M) = T(F(Z)_{\text{reg}(M)}, M)$.

(2) For an element z of $Q_A(M)$, $z \in T(Z, M)$ if and only if $z/1 \in M_{\mathfrak{p}}$ for every $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(A) - Z$. In particular $T(Z, M)_{\mathfrak{p}} = M_{\mathfrak{p}}$ for every $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(A) - Z_{\text{reg}(M)}$.

(3) $T(Z, N) = T(Z, M)$ for every A -module N such that $M \subseteq N \subseteq T(Z, M)$. In particular $T(Z, T(Z, M)) = T(Z, M)$.

PROOF. The assertions (1) and (2) are obvious. (3): By (1) above, $N_{\mathfrak{p}} = M_{\mathfrak{p}}$ for every $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(A) - Z$. Therefore the assertion follows from (2).

(1.8) Let B be an A -algebra, and let Z be a subset of $\text{Spec}(A)$ which is stable under specialization. We put $Z' = \{Q \in \text{Spec}(B) \mid Q \cap A \in Z\}$. Then $F(Z)B = F(Z')$.

PROOF. Let J be an ideal of B such that $J \neq B$ and $V(J) \subseteq Z'$, and let \mathfrak{p} be a

minimal prime ideal of $J \cap A$. Since $J \cap (A - \mathfrak{p}) = \phi$, $J_{\mathfrak{p}} \neq B_{\mathfrak{p}}$. Therefore there exists a prime ideal P of B such that $J_{\mathfrak{p}} \subseteq P_{\mathfrak{p}} \subset B_{\mathfrak{p}}$. Then $P \in Z'$ and $P \cap A = \mathfrak{p}$; hence $\mathfrak{p} \in Z$. This shows that $F(Z') \subseteq F(Z)B$. The assertion now follows because the opposite inclusion clearly holds.

By virtue of (1.3), (1.7) and (1.8), we have the following assertion:

(1.9) *Let A, B, Z and Z' be the same as in (1.8). Assume further that $F(Z')_{\text{reg}(B)} = F(Z)_{\text{reg}(B)}B$ (e.g., B is an overring of A). Then $T(Z, B) = T(Z', B)$.*

Let A be a ring, and let Z be a subset of $\text{Spec}(A)$ which is stable under specialization. For a prime ideal \mathfrak{p} of A , $Z_{\mathfrak{p}}$ will denote the set $\{q \in Z \mid q \subseteq \mathfrak{p}\}$. We say that an element \mathfrak{p} of Z is a generic point of Z if $q \notin Z$ for any prime ideal q of A such that $q \subset \mathfrak{p}$. Z_{gen} will denote the set of all generic points of Z . For an ideal I of A , $T(V(I), *)$ is denoted simply by $T(I, *)$. If I is finitely generated, then $T(I, A)$ is the I -transform of A in the sense of Nagata (cf. [13]).

(1.10) *Let M be an A -module, and let Z be a subset of $\text{Spec}(A)$ which is stable under specialization. Assume that $F(Z_{\text{reg}(M)})$ has a cofinal subfamily consisting of finitely generated, M -regular ideals. Then we have the following assertions.*

- (1) *If $Z = Z_{\text{reg}(M)}$, then $T(Z, M)_{\mathfrak{p}} = T(Z_{\mathfrak{p}}, M_{\mathfrak{p}})$ for every $\mathfrak{p} \in Z$.*
- (2) *If $\mathfrak{p} \in Z_{\text{reg}(M)}$, then $T(\mathfrak{p}A_{\mathfrak{p}}, M_{\mathfrak{p}}) \subseteq T(Z, M)_{\mathfrak{p}}$.*
- (3) *If $\mathfrak{p} \in (Z_{\text{reg}(M)})_{\text{gen}}$, then $T(Z, M)_{\mathfrak{p}} = T(\mathfrak{p}A_{\mathfrak{p}}, M_{\mathfrak{p}})$.*

PROOF. Since $T(Z, M) = T(Z_{\text{reg}(M)}, M)$, the assertions (2) and (3) follow from (1). And (1) follows from (1.4) and (1.8).

Let A be a ring. The global transform of A is the $\text{Max}(A)$ -transform of A , and we denote it by A^g . The following results, due to Matijevic, are essential in the study of global transforms of noetherian rings.

(1.11) ([9, Theorem and Corollary]) *Let A be a noetherian ring, and let B be an A -algebra contained in A^g . Then we have the following assertions.*

- (1) *B/xB is a finite A -module for each regular element x of A . In particular every regular ideal of B is finitely generated.*
- (2) *If A is reduced, then B is noetherian.*

(1.12) *Let A and B be the same as in (1.11). Then $B^g = A^g$.*

PROOF. By (1.11), a regular prime ideal P of B lies over a maximal ideal of A if and only if P is maximal. Therefore by (1.7) (1) and (1.9), $B^g = T(\text{Max}(A), B)$. Then it follows from (1.7)(3) that $T(\text{Max}(A), B) = A^g$. This completes the proof.

REMARK (1.13) Let t be a regular element of a ring A . Then t is invertible in A^θ if and only if every prime ideal of A which contains t is a maximal ideal (of height one if A is noetherian). In particular $A^\theta = Q(A)$ whenever $\dim A = 1$.

As an immediate consequence of (11.1)(2), B^θ is finite over A^θ whenever B is a finite overring of a noetherian domain A ([14, Lemma (1.4)]). More generally we have the following assertion.

(1.14) *Let B be a finite overring of a noetherian ring A . Then for every overring R of B , $B^\theta \cap R$ is finite over $A^\theta \cap R$.*

PROOF. Let t be a regular element of A such that $tB \subseteq A$. Then it is easy to see that $tB^\theta \subseteq A^\theta$; hence $I = t(B^\theta \cap R)$ is an ideal of both $A^\theta \cap R$ and $B^\theta \cap R$. Therefore it is sufficient to show that $B^\theta \cap R/I$ is a finite A -module. Since I contains the B -regular element t , it follows from (1.11) that $B^\theta \cap R/I$ is a finite B -module; hence it is a finite A -module. This completes the proof.

Let A be a noetherian ring, and let M be an A -module. We put $X = \text{Spec}(A)$, and we denote by \tilde{M} a quasi-coherent \mathcal{O}_X -module associated to M . Then for a subset Z of $\text{Spec}(A)$ which is stable under specialization with $Z = Z_{\text{reg}(M)}$, $T(Z, M)$ is canonically isomorphic to $\Gamma(X, \mathcal{H}_{X/Z}^0(\tilde{M}))$ (cf. [6, (5.9)]). In particular if A is local and $\text{depth } A = 1$, then $A^\theta \cong \Gamma(X - \{\mathfrak{m}\}, \mathcal{O}_X)$ where \mathfrak{m} is the maximal ideal of A . Therefore we have the following assertion.

(1.15) ([6, (5.11.1)]) *Let A be a noetherian local ring with $\text{depth } A = 1$. Consider the following conditions on A :*

- (1) A^θ is a finite A -module.
- (2) $(A/\mathfrak{p})^\theta$ is a finite A/\mathfrak{p} -module for every $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass}_A(A)$.
- (3) $\dim A/\mathfrak{p} \geq 2$ for every $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass}_A(A)$.

Then we have (1) \Leftrightarrow (2) \Rightarrow (3).

(1.16) (cf. [6, (5.11.1) and (7.2.2)] and [4, Proposition 1.11]) *Let A be a residue ring of a Cohen-Macaulay local ring such that $\text{depth } A = 1$. Then A^θ is a finite A -module if and only if $\dim A/\mathfrak{p} \geq 2$ for every $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass}_A(A)$.*

PROOF. Assume that A is a domain such that $\dim A \geq 2$. Choose a Cohen-Macaulay local ring R and a prime ideal P of R so that $A = R/P$. Since R is Cohen-Macaulay, there exists a regular sequence x_1, \dots, x_r in P where $r = \text{ht}(P)$. We put $B = R/(x_1, \dots, x_r)R$. Then $\text{depth } B = \dim R - r \geq 2$ and $P \in \text{Ass}_R(B)$. Since $B^\theta = B$, A^θ is a finite A -module by (1.15). Therefore again by (1.15), we have the desired conclusion.

(1.17) *Let A be a noetherian local ring with the maximal ideal \mathfrak{m} . Assume*

that $\dim A \geq 2$ and $\text{depth } A = 1$. Let $(0) = \mathfrak{q}_1 \cap \mathfrak{q}_2 \cap \dots \cap \mathfrak{q}_n$ be an irredundant primary decomposition of (0) in A . Let $\mathfrak{p}_i = \sqrt{\mathfrak{q}_i}$ ($i = 1, \dots, n$). If \mathfrak{p}_1 is a minimal prime ideal of A such that $\dim A/\mathfrak{p}_1 = 1$, then $A^\theta \cong A_{\mathfrak{p}_1} \times (A/\mathfrak{q}_2 \cap \dots \cap \mathfrak{q}_n)^\theta$.

PROOF. Note that $\{\mathfrak{p}_1\}$ is an open and closed subset of $U = \text{Spec } (A) - \{\mathfrak{m}\}$, and that $U - \{\mathfrak{p}_1\} = \text{Spec } (A/\mathfrak{q}_2 \cap \dots \cap \mathfrak{q}_n) - \{\mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{q}_2 \cap \dots \cap \mathfrak{q}_n\}$. Since $\text{depth } A/\mathfrak{q}_2 \cap \dots \cap \mathfrak{q}_n \geq 1$, $A^\theta \cong \Gamma(U, \mathcal{O}_U) = \Gamma(\{\mathfrak{p}_1\}, \mathcal{O}_U) \times \Gamma(U - \{\mathfrak{p}_1\}, \mathcal{O}_U) \cong A_{\mathfrak{p}_1} \times (A/\mathfrak{q}_2 \cap \dots \cap \mathfrak{q}_n)^\theta$.

§2. $\text{Ass}_A(B/A)$ and Z-transforms

We shall first make some general remarks on Ass .

LEMMA (2.1) Let A be a noetherian ring, and let M be an A -module. Let N be an A -submodule of $Q_A(M)$ containing M . Then we have the following assertions.

- (1) $\text{Ass}_A(N/M) \subseteq \text{Ass}_A(Q_A(M)/M)$.
- (2) For every $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass}_A(Q_A(M)/M)$, \mathfrak{p} contains M -regular elements.
- (3) $\text{Ass}_A(Q_A(M)/M) \cap V(sA) = \text{Ass}_A(M/sM)$ whenever s is an M -regular element.

PROOF. The assertion (1) is clear. The assertion (2) is also clear because $M :_A z$ contains M -regular elements for every $z \in Q_A(M)$. (3): Let s be an M -regular element, and let \mathfrak{p} be an element of $V(sA)$. Then $\mathfrak{p} = sM :_A x$ for some $x \in M$ if and only if $\mathfrak{p} = M :_A z$ for some $z \in Q_A(M)$; hence $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass}_A(M/sM)$ if and only if $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass}_A(Q_A(M)/M)$.

COROLLARY (2.2) Let A, M and N be the same as in (2.1). Assume further that M is finitely generated. Then we have the following assertions.

- (1) For every $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass}_A(N/M)$, \mathfrak{p} contains an M -regular element and $\text{depth}_{A_{\mathfrak{p}}}(M_{\mathfrak{p}}) = 1$.
- (2) $\text{Ass}_A(N/M) \cap V(sA)$ is a finite set for every M -regular element s of A .
- (3) $\text{Ass}_A(N/M)$ is a finite set if and only if $M_s = N_s$ for some M -regular element s of A . In particular, if B is an A -algebra of finite type contained in $Q(A)$, then $\text{Ass}_A(B/A)$ is a finite set.

PROOF. The assertions (1) and (2) follow from (2.1). (3): Suppose first that $\text{Ass}_A(N/M)$ is a finite set, and choose an M -regular element s so that $s \in \mathfrak{p}$ for all $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass}_A(N/M)$. Then $\text{Ass}_{A_s}(N_s/M_s) = \emptyset$; hence $M_s = N_s$ (cf. [10, Lemma, p. 50]). Conversely suppose that $M_s = N_s$ for some M -regular element s . Then every $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass}_A(N/M)$ contains s . Therefore by (2.1) (3), we have $\text{Ass}_A(N/M) \subseteq \text{Ass}_A(M/sM)$; hence $\text{Ass}_A(N/M)$ is a finite set.

PROPOSITION (2.3) Let A, M and N be the same as in (2.1). Let Z be a

subset of $\text{Spec}(A)$ which is stable under specialization. Then $\text{Ass}_A(T(Z, M) \cap N/M) = \text{Ass}_A(N/M) \cap Z$.

PROOF. Since $\text{Supp}(T(Z, M) \cap N/M) \subseteq Z$ (cf. (1.7)), we have $\text{Ass}_A(T(Z, M) \cap N/M) \subseteq \text{Ass}_A(N/M) \cap Z$. Let \mathfrak{p} be an element of $\text{Ass}_A(N/M) \cap Z$. Then $\mathfrak{p} = M :_A z$ for some $z \in N$. Since $\mathfrak{p} \in Z$, we have $z \in N \cap T(Z, M)$. Therefore $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass}_A(T(Z, M) \cap N/M)$. This completes the proof.

PROPOSITION (2.4) Let R be an overring of a noetherian ring A , and let Z and Z' be subsets of $\text{Spec}(A)$ which are stable under specialization. Assume that $Z \subseteq Z'$. We put $B = T(Z, A) \cap R$ and $B' = T(Z', A) \cap R$. Then there is a bijection $\text{Ass}_B(B'/B) \simeq \text{Ass}_A(B'/A) \cap (Z' - Z)$.

PROOF. (i) Let P be an element of $\text{Ass}_B(B'/B)$, and put $\mathfrak{p} = P \cap A$. We shall show that $\mathfrak{p} \in Z' - Z$. Suppose that $\mathfrak{p} \notin Z'$. Then by (1.7) (2), $B_{\mathfrak{p}} = B'_{\mathfrak{p}} = A_{\mathfrak{p}}$; hence $B'_{\mathfrak{p}} = B_{\mathfrak{p}}$. This contradicts the fact $P \in \text{Ass}_B(B'/B)$. Therefore $\mathfrak{p} \in Z'$. Suppose next that $\mathfrak{p} \in Z$. We can choose an element x of $B' - B$ so that P is a minimal prime ideal of $B :_B x$. Since \mathfrak{p} is finitely generated, this implies that $\sqrt{B :_B sx} \supseteq \mathfrak{p}$ for some $s \in B - P$. Since $\mathfrak{p} \in Z$, $sx \in T(Z, A) \cap R = B$; hence $x/1 \in B_{\mathfrak{p}}$. This is a contradiction. Therefore $\mathfrak{p} \notin Z$.

(ii) Let \mathfrak{p} be an element of $Z' - Z$. Since $B_{\mathfrak{p}} = A_{\mathfrak{p}}$ (cf. (1.2)(1)), there exists a unique prime ideal P of B which lies over \mathfrak{p} . Since $A_{\mathfrak{p}} = B_{\mathfrak{p}}$, it follows from [10, Lemma, p. 50] that $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass}_A(B'/A)$ if and only if $P \in \text{Ass}_B(B'/B)$. This completes the proof.

We shall now give a characterization of $\text{Ass}_A(N/M)$ which is a key point in our study of overrings of a noetherian ring.

THEOREM (2.5) Suppose that A is a noetherian ring. Let M be an A -module, and let N be an A -submodule of $Q_A(M)$ containing M . Then we have

$$\text{Ass}_A(N/M) = \{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(A) \mid M_{\mathfrak{p}} \subset T(\mathfrak{p}A_{\mathfrak{p}}, M_{\mathfrak{p}}) \cap N_{\mathfrak{p}}\}.$$

PROOF. Let \mathfrak{p} be a prime ideal of A such that $M_{\mathfrak{p}} \subset T(\mathfrak{p}A_{\mathfrak{p}}, M_{\mathfrak{p}}) \cap N_{\mathfrak{p}}$. Then we can choose an element x of N so that $x/1 \in T(\mathfrak{p}A_{\mathfrak{p}}, M_{\mathfrak{p}}) - M_{\mathfrak{p}}$. Since $M_{\mathfrak{p}} :_{A_{\mathfrak{p}}} x/1$ contains $(\mathfrak{p}A_{\mathfrak{p}})^n$ for some n , \mathfrak{p} is a minimal prime ideal of $M :_A x$; hence $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass}_A(N/M)$. Conversely let \mathfrak{p} be an element of $\text{Ass}_A(N/M)$. Then $\mathfrak{p} = M :_A x$ for some $x \in N$. It is easy to see that $x/1 \in T(\mathfrak{p}A_{\mathfrak{p}}, M_{\mathfrak{p}}) \cap N_{\mathfrak{p}} - M_{\mathfrak{p}}$. This completes the proof.

As an example we show the following proposition due to K. Yoshida [22].

PROPOSITION (2.6) Let A be a noetherian ring. Then

$$\text{Ass}_A(\overline{A}/A) = \{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(A) \mid \text{ht}(\mathfrak{p}) = 1, A_{\mathfrak{p}} \subset \overline{A}_{\mathfrak{p}} \text{ and } \mathfrak{p} \text{ is regular}\}$$

$\cup \{p \in \text{Spec}(A) \mid \text{ht}(p) \geq 2, \text{depth } A_p = 1 \text{ and } p \text{ is regular}\}.$

(2.6) follows from (2.5) and the following two lemmas.

LEMMA (2.7) *Let p be a regular prime ideal of a noetherian ring A . Then $\overline{A}_p \cap (A_p)^\mathfrak{g} = \overline{A}_p \cap (A_p)^\mathfrak{g}.$*

PROOF. By [3, Chap. 5, Proposition 16], $Q(A)_p \cap \overline{A}_p = \overline{A}_p.$ Since $(A_p)^\mathfrak{g} \subseteq Q(A)_p$ (cf. (1.10) (2)), $\overline{A}_p \cap (A_p)^\mathfrak{g} = (\overline{A}_p \cap Q(A)_p) \cap (A_p)^\mathfrak{g} = \overline{A}_p \cap (A_p)^\mathfrak{g}.$

LEMMA (2.8) *Let A be a noetherian local ring with $\text{depth } A = 1$ and $\dim A \geq 2.$ Then $\text{depth } A \geq 2$ if and only if $A = A^\mathfrak{g} \cap \overline{A}.$*

PROOF. Note that a noetherian local ring (R, \mathfrak{m}) with $\text{depth } R = 1$ is a DVR if and only if the canonical map $R \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(\mathfrak{m}, \mathfrak{m})$ is an isomorphism. Suppose first that $\text{depth } A = 1.$ Since A is not a DVR, $A \subset \text{Hom}_A(\mathfrak{p}, \mathfrak{p})$ where \mathfrak{p} is the maximal ideal of $A.$ Therefore $A \subset \text{Hom}_A(\mathfrak{p}, \mathfrak{p}) \subseteq A^\mathfrak{g} \cap \overline{A}.$ Suppose next that $\text{depth } A \geq 2.$ Then $A = A^\mathfrak{g};$ hence $A = A^\mathfrak{g} \cap \overline{A}.$ This completes the proof.

The following lemma is already known (cf. [11, (33.11)]), but we can prove it without using completions and the theorem of Mori-Nagata.

LEMMA (2.9) *Let C be an integral overring of a noetherian ring $A,$ and let P be a regular prime ideal of C such that $\text{ht}(P) = 1$ and $A_{P \cap A} \neq C_{P \cap A}.$ Then $P \cap A \in \text{Ass}_A(C/A).$*

PROOF. By Lemma (2.10) below, there exists a finite A -subalgebra B of C such that $\text{ht}(P \cap B) = 1$ and $A_{P \cap A} \neq B_{P \cap A}.$ We shall show that $P \cap A \in \text{Ass}_A(B/A).$ We put $Q = P \cap B$ and $\mathfrak{p} = P \cap A.$ Since $Q(A)_\mathfrak{p} \subseteq Q(A)_\mathfrak{p},$ $B_\mathfrak{p}$ is a finite overring of $A_\mathfrak{p};$ therefore we may assume that A is a local ring with the maximal ideal $\mathfrak{p}.$ Let a be a regular element of A such that $aB \subseteq A.$ Since $a \in \mathfrak{p}$ and $\text{ht}(Q) = 1,$ we have $sQ^n \subseteq aB (\subseteq A)$ for some positive integer n and $s \in B - Q.$ If $s \notin A,$ then $A :_A s \supseteq \mathfrak{p}^n;$ hence $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass}_A(B/A).$ If $s \in A,$ then s is invertible in $A;$ hence $A :_A B \supseteq \mathfrak{p}^n;$ therefore $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass}_A(B/A).$ This completes the proof.

LEMMA (2.10) *Let C be an integral overring of a noetherian ring $A,$ and let P be a minimal prime ideal of aC where a is a regular element of $A.$ Then there exists a finite overring B of A such that $A \subseteq B \subseteq C$ and $\text{ht}(P \cap B) = 1.$*

PROOF. Let $\{b_1, \dots, b_n\}$ be a set of generators of $P \cap A.$ Since P is a minimal prime ideal of $aC,$ $\sqrt{aC_P} = PC_P.$ Therefore $sb_i^e = ax_i (i = 1, \dots, n)$ for some positive integer $e, s \in C - P$ and $x_1, \dots, x_n \in C.$ We put $B = A[s, x_1, \dots, x_n]$ and $Q = P \cap B.$ Since $(P \cap A)B_Q \subseteq \sqrt{aB_Q},$ there exists a height one prime ideal Q' of B such that $(P \cap A)B \subseteq Q' \subseteq Q.$ Since $Q' \cap A = Q \cap A = P \cap A,$ we have

$Q=Q'$. This completes the proof.

THEOREM (2.11) *Assume that A is a noetherian ring. Let C be an integral overring of A , and let Z be a subset of $\text{Spec}(A)$ which is stable under specialization. We put $B=T(Z, A) \cap C$ and $Z'=\{Q \in \text{Spec}(B) \mid Q \cap A \in Z\}$. Let V be the set of all regular prime ideals N of C such that $\text{ht}(N)=1$ and $N \cap A \in Z$. Then we have the following assertions.*

- (1) $B=T(Z', B) \cap C$.
- (2) $\{N \cap B \mid N \in V\}$ coincides with the set of all regular prime ideals M of B such that $\text{ht}(M)=1$ and $M \cap A \in Z$.
- (3) If $N \in V$, then $B_{N \cap B}=C_{N \cap B}=C_N$.

PROOF. (1): Since $B \subseteq T(Z, B) \cap C \subseteq T(Z, T(Z, A)) \cap C = T(Z, A) \cap C = B$, we have $B=T(Z, B) \cap C$. Then by (1.9), $B=T(Z', B) \cap C$. (2) follows from (3). To prove (3), by virtue of (2.10), we may assume that C is finite over A . Then it follows from the assertion (1) and (2.3) that $\text{Ass}_B(C/B) \cap Z' = \emptyset$. Let now $N \in V$. Then $N \cap B \in Z'$; hence $N \cap B \notin \text{Ass}_B(C/B)$. Therefore by (2.9), $B_{N \cap B}=C_{N \cap B}=C_N$. This completes the proof.

The following corollary can be considered as another form of [14, Theorem (1.6)]. But our proof of it does not depend on the theorem of Mori-Nagata.

COROLLARY (2.12) *Let A be a noetherian local ring with the maximal ideal \mathfrak{m} . We put $B=A^g \cap \bar{A}$. Then we have the following assertions.*

- (1) B has only a finite number of maximal ideals, and $k(M)$ is finite over $k(\mathfrak{m})$ for every maximal ideal M of B .
- (2) If M is a regular maximal ideal of B such that $\text{ht}(M) \geq 2$, then $M \notin \text{Ass}_B(B/sB)$ for any regular element s of A .
- (3) If M is a regular maximal ideal of B such that $\text{ht}(M)=1$, then MB_M is generated by a single element and $(B_M)_{\text{red}}$ is a DVR, i.e., B_M is a quasi-v-ring (cf. [18]).
- (4) If N is a regular maximal ideal of \bar{A} such that $\text{ht}(N)=1$, then $\text{ht}(N \cap B)=1$.

PROOF. The assertion (1) follows from (1.11), and the assertion (4) follows from (2.11). Let M be a regular maximal ideal of B . Suppose that $M \in \text{Ass}_B(B/sB)$ for some regular element s of A . Since B/sB is noetherian (cf. (1.11)), we can write $M=sB:_{B}x$ for some $x \in B$. Then by (1.12), $w=x/s \in B^g=A^g$. If $wM \subseteq M$, then $w \in A^g \cap \bar{A}=B$ because M is finitely generated (cf. (1.11)); hence $M=B:_{B}w=B$; this is a contradiction. Therefore $wM \not\subseteq M$; hence $wMB_M=B_M$. Choose an element z of MB_M so that $wz=1$. Then $MB_M=wzMB_M=zB_M$. In particular it follows from (2.10) that $\text{ht}(M)=1$. Therefore by (2.11), $B_M=\bar{A}_M=\bar{A}_N$ where N is the maximal ideal of \bar{A} such that $N \cap B=M$. Since N is

regular and $\text{ht}(N) = 1$, $Q(\bar{A}_N) = Q(\bar{A})_N$. It follows from [3, Chap. 5, Proposition 16] that \bar{A}_N is integrally closed in $Q(\bar{A}_N)$. Therefore by [18, Proposition 2.7], $(B_M)_{\text{red}}$ is a DVR. Thus the assertions (2) and (3) are proved.

REMARK (2.13) If A is a domain, we can prove (2.12) more easily. In fact B is noetherian (cf. (1.11)) and is integral over A ; hence by (1.9), $T(\text{Max}(A), B) = T(\text{Max}(B), B) = B^g$. Therefore the assertions (2), (3) and (4) follow from (2.6) and (2.11).

Assume for a moment that A is a noetherian local ring such that $\dim A \geq 2$ and $\text{depth} A = 1$. We put $B = A^g \cap \bar{A}$. By (2.12) (1), $\text{Max}(B)$ is a finite set. On the other hand, since $Q(A) = Q(B)$, we have $\text{Min}(A) \cong \text{Min}(B)$; in particular $\text{Min}(B)$ is a finite set. Let now $\{M_1, \dots, M_n\}$ (resp. $\{M_{n+1}, \dots, M_m\}$) be the set of maximal ideals M of B such that $\text{ht}(M) \geq 2$ (resp. $\text{ht}(M) = 1$), and let $\{P_1, \dots, P_r\}$ be the set of minimal prime ideals P of B such that $\dim B/P = 1$.

COROLLARY (2.14) ([14, (1.6.5.)]) *Let the situation be as described as above, and let t be an element of $\bigcap_{i=1}^n M_i - (\bigcup_{i=1}^r M_i) \cup (\bigcup_{i=1}^m P_i)$ (where $\bigcap_{i=1}^m M_i = B$ if the set $\{M_{n+1}, \dots, M_m\}$ is empty). Then t is invertible in A^g and $A^g = B_t$.*

PROOF. Since every prime ideal of B which contains t is maximal, t is regular and $1/t \in B^g = A^g$. Therefore by (2.12) (2) and (1.5), $(B_t)^g = B_t$; hence $A^g = (B_t)^g = B_t$.

We shall conclude this section by making a remark on noetherian rings satisfying Serre's property (S_2) .

Let A be a noetherian ring satisfying (S_1) , and let Z be the set of all prime ideals \mathfrak{p} of A such that $\text{ht}(\mathfrak{p}) \geq 2$. Then it follows from (1.5) that A satisfies (S_2) if and only if $T(Z, A) = A$. As an application of this fact, we obtain the following

PROPOSITION (2.15) (cf. [21, Theorem 2]) *Let B be a noetherian overring of a noetherian ring A . Assume that B is integral over A and satisfies (S_2) . Then A also satisfies (S_2) if and only if $\text{ht}(\mathfrak{p}) = 1$ for every $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass}_A(B/A)$.*

PROOF. Since $\text{depth} A_{\mathfrak{p}} = 1$ for all $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass}_A(B/A)$, the 'only if' part is trivial. Conversely suppose that $\text{ht}(\mathfrak{p}) = 1$ for all $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass}_A(B/A)$. Since $Q(A) = Q(B)$, A satisfies (S_1) . Let $Z = \{\mathfrak{q} \in \text{Spec}(A) \mid \text{ht}(\mathfrak{q}) \geq 2\}$, and let $Z' = \{Q \in \text{Spec}(B) \mid \text{ht}(Q) \geq 2\}$. As we remarked above, it is sufficient to show that $T(Z, A) = A$. By (2.3), $\text{Ass}_A(T(Z, A) \cap B/A) = \text{Ass}_A(B/A) \cap Z = \emptyset$; hence $T(Z, A) \cap B = A$. On the other hand it follows from (2.9) that $\{Q \in \text{Spec}(B) \mid Q \cap A \in Z\} \subseteq Z'$; hence by (1.9) $T(Z, B) \subseteq T(Z', B)$. Therefore $T(Z, A) \subseteq T(Z, B) \subseteq T(Z', B) = B$ because B satisfies (S_2) . Thus we have $A = T(Z, A) \cap B = T(Z, A)$. This completes the proof.

§ 3. Finiteness of overrings

In this section, A will always denote a noetherian ring.

THEOREM (3.1) *Let M be a finite A -module, and let N be an A -submodule of $Q_A(M)$ containing M . Then the following assertions are equivalent.*

- (1) N is a finite A -module.
- (2) $\text{Ass}_A(N/M)$ is a finite set, and $T(\mathfrak{p}A_{\mathfrak{p}}, M_{\mathfrak{p}}) \cap N_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is a finite $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$ -module for every $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass}_A(N/M)$.

PROOF. (1) \Rightarrow (2) is clear. (2) \Rightarrow (1): Since $\text{Ass}_A(N/M)$ is a finite set, we can choose a chain $\text{Spec}(A) = Z_0 \supset Z_1 \supset \cdots \supset Z_n \supset \cdots$ of subsets of $\text{Spec}(A)$ so that each Z_n is stable under specialization, $\bigcap_n Z_n = \phi$, $Z_n - Z_{n+1} \subseteq (Z_n)_{\text{gen}}$ for every n , and $\text{Ass}_A(N/M) \cap (Z_n - Z_{n+1})$ is empty or consists of one point for every n . Then there is an integer n_0 such that $\text{Ass}_A(N/M) \cap Z_{n_0} = \phi$ because $\text{Ass}_A(N/M)$ is a finite set and $\bigcap_n Z_n = \phi$; hence by (2.3), $T(Z_{n_0}, M) \cap N = M$. Assume now that $T(Z_{n+1}, M) \cap N$ is a finite A -module and $T(Z_{n+1}, M) \cap N \subset T(Z_n, M) \cap N$. We shall prove that $T(Z_n, M) \cap N$ is also finite. We put $L = T(Z_{n+1}, M) \cap N$ and $L' = T(Z_n, M) \cap N$. Note that $\text{Ass}_A(N/M) \cap (Z_n - Z_{n+1}) \neq \phi$. In fact, if $\text{Ass}_A(N/M) \cap (Z_n - Z_{n+1}) = \phi$, then by (1.7) (2), (1.10) (3) and (2.5), $L_{\mathfrak{p}} = M_{\mathfrak{p}} = L_{\mathfrak{p}}$ for all $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(A) - Z_{n+1}$; hence by (1.7) (2), $L' = L$. This is a contradiction. Therefore $\text{Ass}_A(N/M) \cap (Z_n - Z_{n+1})$ consists of one point, say \mathfrak{q} . Since $L'_{\mathfrak{q}} = T(\mathfrak{q}A_{\mathfrak{q}}, M_{\mathfrak{q}}) \cap N_{\mathfrak{q}}$ is a finite $A_{\mathfrak{q}}$ -module contained in $T(\mathfrak{q}A_{\mathfrak{q}}, M_{\mathfrak{q}})$, there exists an M -regular element t in \mathfrak{q} such that $tL'_{\mathfrak{q}} \subseteq M_{\mathfrak{q}}$. Then also by (1.7) (2), (1.10) (3) and (2.5), $(tL')_{\mathfrak{p}} \subseteq L_{\mathfrak{p}}$ for all $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(A) - Z_{n+1}$; hence by (1.7) (2), $tL' \subseteq L$. Therefore L' is a finite A -module. Now, by induction on n , $T(Z_0, M) = N$ is a finite A -module. This completes the proof.

COROLLARY (3.2) (cf. [15, (2.6.2)]) *Let M be a finite A -module, and let Z be a subset of $\text{Spec}(A)$ which is stable under specialization. Then the following assertions are equivalent.*

- (1) $T(Z, M)$ is a finite A -module.
- (2) $\Delta = \text{Ass}_A(Q_A(M)/M) \cap Z$ is a finite set, and $T(\mathfrak{p}A_{\mathfrak{p}}, M_{\mathfrak{p}})$ is a finite $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$ -module for every $\mathfrak{p} \in \Delta$.

PROOF. We put $N = T(Z, M)$. By (2.3), $\text{Ass}_A(N/M) = \text{Ass}_A(Q_A(M)/M) \cap Z = \Delta$. Therefore the assertion follows from (3.1).

COROLLARY (3.3) (cf. [15, (3.1)]) *Let M and N be the same as in (3.1), and let $x (\neq 0)$ be an M -regular element. Then the following assertions are equivalent.*

- (1) N is a finite A -module.

- (2) (a) N_x is a finite A_x -module, and
- (b) N_p is a finite A_p -module for every $p \in \text{Ass}_A(M/xM)$.

PROOF. (1) \Rightarrow (2) is clear. (2) \Rightarrow (1): Let $p \in \text{Ass}_A(N/M)$. If $x \notin p$, then $pA_x \in \text{Ass}_{A_x}(N_x/M_x)$, and if $x \in p$, then by (2.1) (3), $p \in \text{Ass}_A(M/xM)$. Since $\text{Ass}_{A_x}(N_x/M_x)$ and $\text{Ass}_A(M/xM)$ are finite sets, $\text{Ass}_A(N/M)$ is also a finite set. Moreover N_p is a finite A_p -module for every $p \in \text{Ass}_A(N/M)$. Therefore by (3.1), N is a finite A -module.

COROLLARY (3.4) (cf. [8, Theoreme 2.1] and [15, (3.1)]) *Assume that A is a domain. Let x be a non-zero element of A . Then the following assertions are equivalent.*

- (1) For every $p \in \text{Ass}_A(A/xA)$, the xA_p -adic completion of A_p is reduced.
- (2) For every $p \in \text{Ass}_A(A/xA)$, $(A_p)^\theta \cap \bar{A}_p$ is a finite A_p -module.
- (3) $A_x \cap \bar{A}$ is a finite A -module.

PROOF. (2) \Leftrightarrow (3): We put $N = A_x \cap \bar{A}$. Since $A_x = T(V(xA), A)$, it follows from (2.3) and (2.1) (3) that $\text{Ass}_A(N/A) = \text{Ass}_A(\bar{A}/A) \cap V(xA) \subseteq \text{Ass}_A(A/xA)$. Therefore the assertion follows immediately from (3.1). (1) \Rightarrow (2): Let $p \in \text{Ass}_A(A/xA)$, and let $(A_p)^*$ be the xA_p -adic completion of A_p . Since $(A_p)^*$ is faithfully flat over A_p , it follows from (1.4) that $((A_p)^\theta \cap \bar{A}_p) \otimes_{A_p} (A_p)^* \subseteq ((A_p)^*)^\theta \cap (\bar{A}_p)^*$. By (3.5) below, $((A_p)^*)^\theta \cap (\bar{A}_p)^*$ is finite over $(A_p)^*$; hence $((A_p)^\theta \cap \bar{A}_p) \otimes_{A_p} (A_p)^*$ is also finite over $(A_p)^*$. Therefore $(A_p)^\theta \cap \bar{A}_p$ is finite over A_p . (3) \Rightarrow (1): (cf. [8, Theoreme 2.1]) Let $B = A_x \cap \bar{A}$. Then $B_x \cap \bar{A} = B$. Therefore by (2.3) and (2.6), B_q is a DVR for every $q \in \text{Ass}_B(B/xB)$. Let now $p \in \text{Ass}_A(A/xA)$, and let $\{q_1, \dots, q_n\}$ be the set of all associated prime ideals q of xB such that $q \cap A \subseteq p$. Then $(A_p)^* \subseteq (B_p)^* \subseteq \widehat{B}_{q_1} \times \dots \times \widehat{B}_{q_n} (= C)$, where $(A_p)^*$ (resp. $(B_p)^*$) is the xA_p -adic completion of A_p (resp. xB_p -adic completion of B_p). Since C is reduced, $(A_p)^*$ is reduced. This completes the proof.

LEMMA (3.5) *Assume that A is reduced, and is xA -adically complete for some regular element x in A . Then $A^\theta \cap \bar{A}$ is finite over A .*

PROOF. Let $B = A^\theta \cap \bar{A}$. Since \bar{A} is a finite product of Krull domains and x is contained in the Jacobson radical of A , $\cap x^n B \subseteq \cap x^n \bar{A} = 0$. On the other hand A is xA -adically complete and, by (1.11), B/xB is finite over A/xA . Therefore B is finite over A (cf. [10, Lemma, p. 212]).

REMARK (3.6) (cf. [6, (5.10.17)]) *Assume that A is a noetherian ring satisfying Serre's property (S_1) . Let $Z = \{p \in \text{Spec}(A) \mid \text{ht}(p) \geq 2\}$, and put $A^{(1)} = T(Z, A)$. Corollary (3.2) gives a criterion for $A^{(1)}$ to be finite over A . Moreover if $A^{(1)}$ is finite over A , then $A^{(1)}$ satisfies (S_2) . In fact, let $Z' = \{P \in \text{Spec}(A^{(1)}) \mid \text{ht}(P) \geq 2\}$. Since $Z' \subseteq \{P \in \text{Spec}(A^{(1)}) \mid P \cap A \in Z\}$, we have $T(Z'$,*

$A^{(1)} \subseteq T(Z, A^{(1)})$; hence $A^{(1)} \subseteq T(Z', A^{(1)}) \subseteq T(Z, A^{(1)}) = T(Z, T(Z, A)) = T(Z, A) = A^{(1)}$. This shows that $A^{(1)} = T(Z', A^{(1)})$ and hence, by (1.5), $A^{(1)}$ satisfies (S_2) .

We also have a criterion for an overring of A to be integral over A , which is a generalization of [15, (2.6.1)].

PROPOSITION (3.7) *For an overring B of A , the following assertions are equivalent.*

- (1) B is integral over A .
- (2) $(A_p)^g \cap B_p$ is integral over A_p for every $p \in \text{Ass}_A(B/A)$.

PROOF. The implication (1) \Rightarrow (2) is obvious. (2) \Rightarrow (1): (cf. the proof of [15, (2.6.1)]) We may assume that B is generated by a single element x as an A -algebra, i.e., $B = A[x]$. We shall now construct a chain $A_0 \subseteq A_1 \subseteq \dots (\subseteq B)$ of finite overrings of A as follows: We put $A_0 = A$. Assume that $A_n (n \geq 0)$ can be constructed already. If $x \in A_n$, then we put $A_{n+1} = A_n$. Now consider the case that $x \notin A_n$. Let p be a minimal prime ideal of $A_n :_A x$. Then $x/1 \in ((A_n)_p)^g$, and by (1.14), $((A_n)_p)^g \cap B_p (= B_p)$ is integral over $(A_p)^g \cap B_p$. Therefore B_p is integral (hence finite) over A_p . Choose a finite A -algebra A_{n+1} so that $A_n \subset A_{n+1} \subseteq B$ and $(A_{n+1})_q = B_q$ for all minimal prime ideals q of $A_n :_A x$. Note that, by our construction, $A_n :_A x \subset A_{n+1} :_A x$ if $x \notin A_n$. Therefore if $x \notin A_n$ for all $n \geq 0$, then the ascending chain $A :_A x \subset A_1 :_A x \subset \dots$ is not stable; this is a contradiction because A is noetherian. Thus we conclude that $x \in A_n$ for some n . This completes the proof.

§4. Finite (S_2) -overrings

In this section we shall study noetherian rings with finite (S_2) -overrings. To do this, we need a characterization of a noetherian local ring over which its global transform is essentially finite. Recall that an A -algebra B is essentially finite over A if there exist a finite A -subalgebra C of B and a multiplicative subset S of C such that $B = S^{-1}C$.

LEMMA (4.1) *Let B and R be A -algebras, and let C be a B -algebra. Then we have the following assertions.*

- (1) *If B is essentially finite over A and C is essentially finite over B , then C is essentially finite over A .*
- (2) *If C is essentially finite over A , then C is essentially finite over B .*
- (3) *If B is essentially finite over A , then $B \otimes_A R$ is essentially finite over R .*

PROOF. The assertions (2) and (3) are obvious. (1): We may assume that C is finite over B . Choose an A -subalgebra B' of B and a multiplicative subset S of B' so that $B = S^{-1}B'$. Let $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ be a set of generators of C over B .

We may assume that each x_i is integral over B' . We now put $C' = B'[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ ($\subseteq C$). Then it is clear that $C = S^{-1}C'$.

LEMMA (4.2) *Let A be a noetherian local ring such that $\dim A \geq 2$ and $\text{depth } A = 1$. Let C be a finite A -subalgebra of A^θ . Then the following assertions are equivalent.*

- (1) $A^\theta = S^{-1}C$ for some multiplicative subset S of C .
- (2) $\text{depth } C_Q \geq 2$ for every maximal ideal Q of C such that $\text{ht}(Q) \geq 2$.

PROOF. (1) \Rightarrow (2): Choose a multiplicative subset S of C so that $A^\theta = S^{-1}C$. Let Q be a maximal ideal of C such that $\text{ht}(Q) \geq 2$. Then $(C_Q)^\theta = (C^\theta)_Q = (A^\theta)_Q = (S^{-1}C)_Q$. If $S \cap Q \neq \phi$, then $(C_Q)^\theta$ has no prime ideals over QC_Q ; hence, by (1.11) (1), every regular element of C_Q is invertible in $(C_Q)^\theta$ and therefore, by (1.13), $\text{ht}(Q) = 1$. This is a contradiction. Therefore $S \cap Q = \phi$ and hence $(C_Q)^\theta = C_Q$. This shows that $\text{depth } C_Q \geq 2$ (cf. (1.5)).

(2) \Rightarrow (1): (cf. the proof of (2.14)) Choose a regular element t of C so that every prime ideal, containing t , is a maximal ideal of height one and t is not contained in any maximal ideal whose height is greater than one. Then it follows easily from (1.5) that $A^\theta = C_t$. This completes the proof.

LEMMA (4.3) *Let A be a residue ring of a regular local domain such that $\dim A \geq 2$ and $\text{depth } A = 1$. Then the following assertions are equivalent.*

- (1) A^θ is essentially finite over A .
- (2) $\dim A/\mathfrak{p} \geq 2$ for every embedded prime ideal \mathfrak{p} of A .

PROOF. (1) \Rightarrow (2): Choose a finite A -subalgebra C of A^θ and a multiplicative subset S of C so that $A^\theta = S^{-1}C$. Let \mathfrak{p} be an embedded prime ideal of A . Since C is an overring of A , there exists a unique embedded prime ideal P of C such that $P \cap A = \mathfrak{p}$. Let M be an arbitrary maximal ideal of C such that $M \supseteq P$. Since $\text{ht}(M) \geq 2$, it follows from (4.2) that $\text{depth } C_M \geq 2$. Therefore $\dim A/\mathfrak{p} = \dim C/P \geq 2$ (cf. [10, Theorem 27, p. 100]).

(2) \Rightarrow (1): Since $A_\mathfrak{p}$ is essentially finite over A for every $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(A)$, by virtue of (1.17), we may assume that A has no minimal prime ideals \mathfrak{p} such that $\dim A/\mathfrak{p} = 1$. In this situation it follows from (1.16) that A^θ is finite over A . This completes the proof.

PROPOSITION (4.4) *Let (A, \mathfrak{m}) be a noetherian local ring such that $\dim A \geq 2$ and $\text{depth } A = 1$. Let (R, \mathfrak{n}) be a faithfully flat A -algebra such that R is a residue ring of a regular local domain and $\mathfrak{n} = \sqrt{\mathfrak{m}R}$. Then the following assertions are equivalent.*

- (1) A^θ is essentially finite over A .
- (2) R^θ is essentially finite over R (i.e., $\dim R/\mathfrak{p} \geq 2$ for every embedded prime ideal \mathfrak{p} of R).

PROOF. Note first that $R^\theta = A^\theta \otimes_A R$ (cf. (1.4)).

(1) \Rightarrow (2): The assertion follows from (4.1).

(2) \Rightarrow (1): Let $B = A^\theta \cap \bar{A}$. Then by (2.14), $A^\theta = B_t$ for some $t \in B$. Therefore $R^\theta = (B \otimes_A R)_t$. By our assumption, there exist a finite R -subalgebra C' of R^θ and a multiplicative subset S of C' such that $R^\theta = S^{-1}C'$. Since C' is finite over R and $R^\theta = (B \otimes_A R)_t$, there exists a finite A -subalgebra C of B such that $C'_t \subseteq (C \otimes_A R)_t$. Therefore we may assume that $C' = C \otimes_A R$ for some finite A -subalgebra C of B . Since C' satisfies the condition (2) in (4.2), it follows from the faithful flatness of R over A that C also satisfies the condition (2) in (4.2); hence A^θ is essentially finite over A .

We shall now study some properties of noetherian rings with finite (S_2) -overrings.

LEMMA (4.5) *Let A be a noetherian ring satisfying (S_1) . Consider the following conditions on A :*

(1) *There exists a finite (S_2) -overring of A .*

(2) $U = \{p \in \text{Spec}(A) \mid A_p \text{ satisfies } (S_2)\}$ *is a non-empty open subset of $\text{Spec}(A)$.*

(3) $\Delta = \{p \in \text{Spec}(A) \mid \text{ht}(p) \geq 2 \text{ and } \text{depth } A_p = 1\}$ *is a finite set.*

Then (1) \Rightarrow (2) \Leftrightarrow (3).

PROOF. (1) \Rightarrow (3): Let t be a regular element of A such that $tR \subseteq A$. Then A_t satisfies (S_2) and therefore $t \in p$ for every $p \in \Delta$; hence $\Delta \subseteq \text{Ass}_A(A/tA)$. This shows that Δ is a finite set. (3) \Rightarrow (2): It is easy to see that $U = \text{Spec}(A) - \bigcup_{p \in \Delta} V(p)$; hence U is a non-empty open subset of $\text{Spec}(A)$. (2) \Rightarrow (3): Since U is open and $\text{Min}(A) \subseteq U$, there exists a regular element t of A such that $\text{Spec}(A_t) \subseteq U$. On the other hand $\Delta \cap U = \emptyset$; hence $\Delta \subseteq V(tA)$. Therefore $\Delta \subseteq \text{Ass}_A(A/tA)$. This shows that Δ is a finite set.

LEMMA (4.6) *Let A be a noetherian local ring. Assume that A has a finite (S_2) -overring R . Then A^θ is essentially finite over A .*

PROOF. We put $B = A^\theta \cap R$. We may assume that $\dim A \geq 2$ and $\text{depth } A = 1$. Now choose a regular element t of B so that every prime ideal, containing t , is a maximal ideal of height one and t is not contained in any maximal ideal whose height is greater than one. Then by (2.11) and (2.14), $B_t \subseteq A^\theta$ and R_t has no maximal ideals of height one. Therefore it follows from (1.5), (1.12) and (1.14) that $A^\theta = (B_t)^\theta \subseteq (R_t)^\theta = R_t$; hence A^θ is finite over B_t . By (4.1) (1), A^θ is essentially finite over A . This completes the proof.

The following theorem is our main result in this section.

THEOREM (4.7) *Let A be a noetherian ring satisfying Serre's property (S_1) , and let Δ be the set of all prime ideals \mathfrak{p} of A such that $\text{ht}(\mathfrak{p}) \geq 2$ and $\text{depth } A_{\mathfrak{p}} = 1$. Then the following assertions are equivalent.*

- (1) *There exists a finite (S_2) -overring of A .*
- (2) *Δ is a finite set, and for every $\mathfrak{p} \in \Delta$, $(A_{\mathfrak{p}})^{\theta}$ is essentially finite over $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$.*

PROOF. The implication (1) \Rightarrow (2) follows from (4.5) and (4.6). (2) \Rightarrow (1): For a finite overring B of A , we put $\Delta(B) = \{Q \in \text{Spec}(B) \mid \text{ht}(Q) \geq 2 \text{ and } \text{depth } B_Q = 1\}$, $\Delta^*(B) = \{Q \in \Delta(B) \mid (B_Q)^{\theta} \text{ is not finite over } B_Q\}$, $n(B) = \inf \{\text{ht}(Q \cap A) \mid Q \in \Delta(B)\}$, and $n^*(B) = \sup \{\text{ht}(Q \cap A) \mid Q \in \Delta^*(B)\}$. We shall show that there exists a finite overring R of A such that $\Delta^*(R) = \emptyset$. If this is done, then by (3.2) and (3.6), R has a finite (S_2) -overring; hence so does A . If $\Delta^*(A) = \emptyset$, there is nothing to prove. Therefore we may assume that $\Delta^*(A) \neq \emptyset$. Since $\Delta(A)$ is a finite set and $(A_{\mathfrak{p}})^{\theta}$ is essentially finite over $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$ for every $\mathfrak{p} \in \Delta(A)$, there exists a finite A -subalgebra C of $Q(A)$ such that, for every $\mathfrak{p} \in \Delta(A)$, $(A_{\mathfrak{p}})^{\theta} \cap C_{\mathfrak{p}}$ satisfies the conditions in (4.2). We now put $B = T(Z, A) \cap C$ where $Z = \bigcup_{\mathfrak{p} \in \Delta} V(\mathfrak{p})$, and we shall show that B satisfies the condition (2) above. Choose a regular element t of A so that $tB \subseteq A$. Since $B_t = A_t$, $\{Q \in \Delta(B) \mid t \in Q\}$ is a finite set. On the other hand $\{Q \in \Delta(B) \mid t \in Q\}$ is also a finite set because it is a subset of $\text{Ass}_B(B/tB)$. Therefore $\Delta(B)$ is a finite set. Let Q be a regular prime ideal of B such that $\text{ht}(Q) \geq 2$. We put $\mathfrak{q} = Q \cap A$. Since $B_{\mathfrak{q}}$ is finite over $A_{\mathfrak{q}}$, it follows from (1.14) that $(B_{\mathfrak{q}})^{\theta}$ is finite over $(A_{\mathfrak{q}})^{\theta}$. Suppose that $\mathfrak{q} \notin \Delta^*(A)$. Then $(A_{\mathfrak{q}})^{\theta}$ is finite over $A_{\mathfrak{q}}$. Therefore $(B_{\mathfrak{q}})^{\theta}$ is finite over $B_{\mathfrak{q}}$; hence $(B_Q)^{\theta} = ((B_{\mathfrak{q}})^{\theta})_Q$ is finite over $B_Q = (B_{\mathfrak{q}})_Q$. In particular, $Q \notin \Delta^*(B)$ whenever $\mathfrak{q} \notin \Delta^*(A)$. Suppose next that $\mathfrak{q} \in \Delta^*(A)$. Then $(A_{\mathfrak{q}})^{\theta}$ is essentially finite over $A_{\mathfrak{q}}$; hence by (4.1), $(B_{\mathfrak{q}})^{\theta}$ is essentially finite over $B_{\mathfrak{q}}$. Therefore $(B_Q)^{\theta}$ is essentially finite over B_Q . Thus B satisfies the condition (2) above. Moreover we have $Q \notin \Delta(B)$ whenever $\mathfrak{q} \in \Delta(A) \cap Z_{\text{gen}}$ (=the set of minimal elements of $\Delta(A)$). In fact if $\mathfrak{q} \in \Delta(A) \cap Z_{\text{gen}}$, then $B_{\mathfrak{q}} = (A_{\mathfrak{q}})^{\theta} \cap C_{\mathfrak{q}}$ and therefore, by the choice of C , $\text{depth } B_Q \geq 2$ (cf. (4.2)); hence $Q \notin \Delta(B)$. This shows that $n^*(B) > n(B)$.

Therefore, inductively, we can construct a sequence $B_0 = A \subseteq B_1 = B \subseteq \dots \subseteq B_n \subseteq \dots$ of finite overrings of A with the following properties:

- (a) *Each B_n satisfies the condition (2), and*
- (b) *$n^*(B_0) \geq n^*(B_1) \geq \dots \geq n^*(B_n) \geq n(B_n) > \dots > n(B_1) > n(B_0)$ if $\Delta^*(B_i) \neq \emptyset$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$.*

We must then have that $\Delta^*(B_j) = \emptyset$ for some j . This completes the proof.

REMARK (4.8) Recently, in [2] M. Brodmann proved the following assertion: A noetherian local domain A whose formal fibres satisfy the first Serre property (S_1) admits a finite (S_2) -overring if and only if the set $\{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(A) \mid A_{\mathfrak{p}} \text{ is } (S_2)\}$ is open in $\text{Spec}(A)$.

If formal fibres of A satisfy (S_1) , then by (4.4), $(A_{\mathfrak{p}})^{\theta}$ is essentially finite over

$A_{\mathfrak{p}}$ for every $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(A)$. Therefore his result follows from (4.7) and (4.5).

§ 5. Seminormality and global transforms

Throughout this section, A will denote a noetherian ring. Let B be a finite overring of A . Recall that A is seminormal in B if and only if, for an element b of B , $b \in A$ whenever $b^2, b^3 \in A$. This characterization of seminormality is sufficient to follow what we discuss in this section. In particular if A is seminormal in B , then $A :_A B$ is a radical ideal of B .

We shall first study a finite overring B of A such that $\text{Ass}_A(B/A)$ consists of one point \mathfrak{p} . Since $\text{Ass}_A(B/A) = \{\mathfrak{p}\}$, $A :_A N$ is a \mathfrak{p} -primary ideal of A for every A -submodule N of B such that $N \not\subseteq A$. Let $\{P_1, \dots, P_r\}$ be the set of all prime ideals of B which lie over \mathfrak{p} . We define an A -subalgebra C_0 of B by the following pull back diagram:

$$(*) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} C_0 & \longrightarrow & B \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ k(\mathfrak{p}) & \longrightarrow & \prod_i k(P_i) \end{array}$$

where $k(\mathfrak{p}) \rightarrow \prod_i k(P_i)$ and $B \rightarrow \prod_i k(P_i)$ are the natural ring homomorphisms, and inductively we define a chain $C_0 \supseteq C_1 \supseteq \dots \supseteq C_n \supseteq \dots$ of A -subalgebras of B by the following pull back diagrams:

$$(**) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} C_{n+1} & \longrightarrow & C_n \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ k(\mathfrak{p}) & \longrightarrow & C_n \otimes_A k(\mathfrak{p}) \end{array}$$

$n=0, 1, \dots$, where $k(\mathfrak{p}) \rightarrow C_n \otimes_A k(\mathfrak{p})$ and $C_n \rightarrow C_n \otimes_A k(\mathfrak{p})$ are the natural ring homomorphisms. C_0 is what we call the ring obtained from B by glueing over \mathfrak{p} . By definition, $\mathfrak{p}C_n$ (resp. $P_1 \cap \dots \cap P_r$) is also an ideal of C_{n+1} (resp. C_0). We put $P = P_1 \cap \dots \cap P_r$. Then P is the only one prime ideal of C_0 lying over \mathfrak{p} , and $k(\mathfrak{p}) = k(P)$; in particular $P \cap C_n$ is the only one prime ideal of C_n lying over \mathfrak{p} .

LEMMA (5.1) *With the same notation and assumptions as above, we have the following assertions.*

- (1) C_0 is seminormal in B .
- (2) A is not seminormal in C_n if $C_n \neq A$.
- (3) $A = C_0$ if and only if A is seminormal in B .
- (4) A is seminormal in B if and only if so is A in $\text{End}_A(\mathfrak{p}) \cap B$.
- (5) $A = C_n$ for some n .

PROOF. The assertion (1) is clear. (2): Let n be a non-negative integer such

that $C_n \neq A$. To prove that A is not seminormal in C_n , it is sufficient to show that $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is not seminormal in $(C_n)_{\mathfrak{p}}$. Note that $A_{\mathfrak{p}} \neq (C_n)_{\mathfrak{p}}$ because $\text{Ass}_A(C_n/A) = \{\mathfrak{p}\}$. Since the above pull back diagrams (*) and (**) commute with the localization with respect to $A - \mathfrak{p}$, we may assume that A is local and \mathfrak{p} is the maximal ideal of A . Let Q be the prime ideal of C_n lying over \mathfrak{p} . Suppose contrarily that A is seminormal in C_n . Since $A :_A C_n$ is a radical ideal of C_n , and also is \mathfrak{p} -primary, $Q = A :_A C_n = \mathfrak{p}$; hence by definition $C_n = A + Q = A + \mathfrak{p} = A$. This is a contradiction. Therefore A is not seminormal in C_n . The assertion (3) is now obvious by (1) and (2). (5): Since $A :_A C_n$ is a \mathfrak{p} -primary ideal of A , to prove that $A :_A C_n = A$ for some n , we may assume that A is local and \mathfrak{p} is the maximal ideal of A . By definition $C_{n+1} = A + \mathfrak{p}C_n$. Therefore $A :_A \mathfrak{p}C_n = A :_A C_{n+1}$. Suppose now that $A :_A C_n \neq A$ for all n . Then each $A :_A C_n$ is a \mathfrak{p} -primary ideal different from \mathfrak{p} ; hence $A :_A C_{n+1} = A :_A \mathfrak{p}C_n = (A :_A C_n) :_A \mathfrak{p} \subset A :_A C_n$. Therefore we have an ascending chain $A :_A C_0 \subset A :_A C_1 \subset \dots \subset A :_A C_n \subset \dots$ of \mathfrak{p} -primary ideals. This is a contradiction; hence $A :_A C_n = C_n$ for some n . (4): Suppose that A is not seminormal in B . Then $A = C_{n+1} \subset C_n$ for some n . Since $C_n \subseteq \text{End}_A(\mathfrak{p}) \cap B$, it follows from (2) that A is not seminormal in $\text{End}_A(\mathfrak{p}) \cap B$. The converse is trivial. Thus the lemma is proved.

Let R be an overring of A . We say that A is seminormal in R if A is seminormal in every finite A -subalgebra of R .

THEOREM (5.2) *Let R be an overring of A . Then the following assertions are equivalent.*

- (1) A is seminormal in R .
- (2) $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is seminormal in $(A_{\mathfrak{p}})^{\mathfrak{g}} \cap R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ for every $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass}_A(R/A)$.
- (3) $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is seminormal in $\text{End}_{A_{\mathfrak{p}}}(\mathfrak{p}A_{\mathfrak{p}}) \cap R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ for every $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass}_A(R/A)$.

PROOF. We may assume that R is finite over A . The implication (1) \Rightarrow (3) is obvious, and (3) \Rightarrow (2) follows from (5.1) because $\text{Ass}_{A_{\mathfrak{p}}}((A_{\mathfrak{p}})^{\mathfrak{g}} \cap R_{\mathfrak{p}}/A_{\mathfrak{p}}) = \{\mathfrak{p}A_{\mathfrak{p}}\}$ (cf. (2.3)). (2) \Rightarrow (1): Suppose that A is not seminormal in R . Then there is an element b of $R - B$ such that $b^2, b^3 \in A$. Let \mathfrak{p} be a minimal prime ideal of $A :_A b$. By definition, $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass}_A(R/A)$. Since $b/1 \in (A_{\mathfrak{p}})^{\mathfrak{g}} \cap R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ and $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is seminormal in $(A_{\mathfrak{p}})^{\mathfrak{g}} \cap R_{\mathfrak{p}}$, we have $b/1 \in A_{\mathfrak{p}}$. This is a contradiction. Therefore A is seminormal in R .

REMARK (5.3) The above theorem shows that A is seminormal in R if and only if $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is seminormal in $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ for every $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass}_A(R/A)$ (cf. [5] and [7]).

REMARK (5.4). Let B be a finite overring of A . Choose a chain $Z_0 \supset Z_1 \supset Z_2 \supset \dots$ of subsets of $\text{Spec}(A)$, which are stable under specialization, so that $\bigcap Z_n = \emptyset$ and, for each n , $\text{Ass}_A(B/A) \cap (Z_n - Z_{n+1})$ consists of one point or is empty. We may assume that $\text{Ass}_A(B/A) \cap (Z_i - Z_{i+1}) = \{\mathfrak{p}_{i+1}\}$ for $i=0, \dots, m$

and $\text{Ass}_A(B/A) \cap Z_{m+1} = \phi$. We now put $B_i = T(Z_i, A) \cap B$ for $i=0, \dots, m$. Since $(B_i)_{\mathfrak{p}_i} = A_{\mathfrak{p}_i}$, there exists a unique prime ideal P_i of B_i such that $P_i \cap A = \mathfrak{p}_i$. Therefore we have a chain $A = B_{m+1} \subset B_m \subset \dots \subset B_1 \subset B_0 = B$ of finite overrings of A such that $\text{Ass}_{B_i}(B_{i-1}/B_i) = \{P_i\}$ and $k(P_i) = k(\mathfrak{p}_i)$ ($i=1, \dots, m+1$). Thus the following lemma gives us another proof of [19, Th. 2.4].

LEMMA (5.5) (cf. (5.1) (5)) *Let B be a finite overring of A . Assume that $\text{Ass}_A(B/A) = \{\mathfrak{p}\}$. Consider the following chain $B = B_0 \supseteq B_1 \supseteq \dots$ of A -subalgebras of B defined by the following pull back diagrams:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} B_{n+1} & \longrightarrow & B_n \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ k(\mathfrak{p}) & \longrightarrow & B_n \otimes_A k(\mathfrak{p}) \end{array}$$

$n=0, 1, 2, \dots$ where $k(\mathfrak{p}) \rightarrow B_n \otimes_A k(\mathfrak{p})$ and $B_n \rightarrow B_n \otimes_A k(\mathfrak{p})$ are the natural ring homomorphisms. Then $B_n = A$ for some n .

Proof is similar to that of (5.1) (5).

LEMMA (5.6) *With the same notations and assumptions as in (2.4), if A is seminormal in R , then B is seminormal in B' .*

PROOF. Let x be an element of R such that $x^2, x^3 \in B$. We put $I = (A :_A x^2) \cap (A :_A x^3)$. Since $(Ix)^2, (Ix)^3 \subseteq A$, it follows from our assumption that $Ix \subseteq A$; hence $x \in T(Z, A) \cap R = B$ because $V(I) \subseteq Z$. This shows that B is seminormal in R . Therefore B is seminormal in B' .

We now consider a finite overring B of A in which A is seminormal. We define, inductively, a chain $B = B_0 \supseteq B_1 \supseteq B_2 \supseteq \dots$ of A -subalgebras of B as follows: Assume that B_n is already defined. If $B_n = A$, then we put $B_{n+1} = A$. Now consider the case that $B_n \neq A$. Let \mathfrak{p}_{n+1} be a minimal prime ideal of $A :_A B_n$. We then put B_{n+1} = the ring obtained from B_n by glueing over \mathfrak{p}_{n+1} .

PROPOSITION (5.8) ([20, Theorem 2.1] and [7, Theorem 1.13]) *With the same notations and assumptions as above, we have the following assertions.*

- (1) $B_n = A$ for some n .
- (2) $\text{Ass}_A(B/A) = \{\mathfrak{p}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{p}_n\}$ if $B_{n-1} \supset B_n = A$.

The assertion (1) in (5.8) is the structure theorem of seminormal rings due to C. Traverso [20], and the assertion (2) has been shown by J. V. Leahy and M. A. Vitulli [7]. However we give here another proofs of these results using Z -transforms.

PROOF OF (5.8). We put $Z_0 = \text{Spec}(A)$. Since \mathfrak{p}_1 is a minimal element of

$\text{Ass}_A(B/A)$, we can choose a subset Z_1 of $\text{Spec}(A)$ which is stable under specialization so that $\text{Ass}_A(B/A) \cap (Z_0 - Z_1) = \{p_1\}$. We put $B'_1 = T(Z_1, A) \cap B$, and we show that $B'_1 = B_1$. By (2.4) and (5.6), B'_1 is seminormal in B and $\text{Ass}_{B'_1}(B/B'_1) = \{P'_1\}$ where P'_1 is a unique prime ideal of B'_1 lying over p_1 (note that $(B'_1)_{p_1} = A_{p_1}$). Since $k(p_1) = k(P'_1)$, it follows from (5.1) (3) that $B'_1 = B_1$. By induction, it is now easy to see that there exists a chain $Z_0 \supset Z_1 \supset Z_2 \supset \dots$ of subsets of $\text{Spec}(A)$ such that each Z_n is stable under specialization, $\text{Ass}_A(B_n/A) \cap (Z_n - Z_{n+1}) = \{p_{n+1}\}$ whenever $B_n \neq A$, and $B_n = T(Z_n, A) \cap B$ for $n = 1, 2, \dots$. In particular $p_i \neq p_j$ if $i \neq j$, and $\{p_1, p_2, \dots\} \subseteq \text{Ass}_A(B/A)$. Since $\text{Ass}_A(B/A)$ is a finite set, $B_n = A$. Then $\text{Ass}_A(B/A) = \cup (\text{Ass}_A(B/A) \cap (Z_i - Z_{i+1})) = \cup (\text{Ass}_A(B_i/A) \cup (Z_i - Z_{i+1})) = \{p_1, \dots, p_n\}$. This completes the proof.

Appendix

In this appendix, as an application of (2.12), we shall give an alternative proof of the theorem of Mori-Nagata:

Let R be the derived normal domain of a noetherian domain A . Then we have the following assertions.

(1) For each $p \in \text{Spec}(A)$, there are only a finite number of prime ideals P of R such that $P \cap A = p$, and if P is such a prime ideal of R , then $[k(P) : k(p)]$ is finite.

(2) R is a Krull domain, i.e.,

(a) R_p is a DVR for every height one prime ideal P of R ,

(b) for every $x (\neq 0)$ in R , there are only a finite number of height one prime ideals of R which contain x , and

(c) $R = \cap R_p$ where P runs through all height one prime ideals of R .

PROOF. (b): We may assume that $x \in A$. Then it follows from (2.1) (3) and (2.9) that every height one prime ideal of R containing x lies over some prime ideal in $\text{Ass}_A(A/xA)$. Since $\text{Ass}_A(A/xA)$ is a finite set, the assertion is clear by (2.12). Similarly we can prove the assertion (a).

(c): To prove the assertion, it is sufficient to show that if Q is a minimal prime ideal of $xR :_R y$ for some x and y in R with $y \notin xR_Q$, then $\text{ht}(Q) = 1$. Suppose contrarily that $\text{ht}(Q) \geq 2$. We may assume that A is a local ring with the maximal ideal $\mathfrak{q} = Q \cap A$, and $x, y \in A$. Moreover replacing A by $(A^\theta \cap \bar{A})_{Q \cap (A^\theta \cap \bar{A})}$, we may assume that there exist no height one maximal ideals of R (cf. (2.11)). Since Q is a minimal prime ideal of $xR :_R y$, $\mathfrak{q}^n y s \subseteq xR$ for some positive integer n and $s \in R - Q$. Choose a finite overring B of A so that $s \in B$ and $\mathfrak{q}^n y s \subseteq xB$. Note that, by virtue of (2.12), $B^\theta \subseteq R$. Since $\mathfrak{q}^n B^\theta y s \subseteq xB^\theta$ and $\dim B^\theta / \mathfrak{q}^n B^\theta = 0$, $y s \in xB^\theta \subseteq xR$; hence $y \in xR_Q$. This is a contradiction. This completes the proof of (2).

(1): We may assume that A is a local domain with the maximal ideal \mathfrak{p} , and it is sufficient to show that (1) is true for \mathfrak{p} . We shall use induction on $n = \dim A$. If $n = 1$, then the assertion follows from the theorem of Krull-Akizuki (or (2.12)). Now assume that $n \geq 2$. We shall first consider the case that $\text{depth } A \geq 2$. Let x, y be a regular sequence of length two in \mathfrak{p} , and let X be an indeterminate. Then $\mathfrak{q} = (xX - y)A(X)$ is a prime ideal of $A(X)$ and $A(X)_{\mathfrak{q}}$ is a DVR; hence there exists a unique prime ideal Q of $\overline{A}(X)$ such that $Q \cap A(X) = \mathfrak{q}$. By virtue of (2.1) (3) and (2.9), Q is the only one minimal prime ideal of $(xX - y) \cdot \overline{A}(X)$; hence every maximal ideal of $\overline{A}(X)$ contains Q . Therefore the natural map $\text{Spec}(\overline{A}(X)/Q) \rightarrow \text{Spec}(\overline{A})$ induces a bijection $\text{Max}(\overline{A}(X)/Q) \simeq \text{Max}(\overline{A})$. (Note that every maximal ideal of $\overline{A}(X)$ is of the form $M\overline{A}(X)$, $M \in \text{Max}(\overline{A})$ (cf. [11, Chap. I, p. 18]). Since $\dim A(X)/\mathfrak{q} \leq n - 1$ and $\overline{A}(X)/Q \subseteq \overline{A(X)}/\mathfrak{q}$, the induction hypothesis implies that $\text{Max}(\overline{A}(X)/Q) (= \text{Max}(\overline{A}))$ is a finite set and, for every $M \in \text{Max}(\overline{A})$, $k(M\overline{A}(X)/Q) (= k(M)(X))$ is a finite algebraic extension of $k(\mathfrak{p}A(X)/\mathfrak{q}) (= k(\mathfrak{p})(X))$; hence $[k(M):k(\mathfrak{p})]$ is finite. Now consider the case that $\text{depth } A = 1$. By (2.12), it is sufficient to prove that $B = A^{\#} \cap \overline{A}$ satisfies (1) for its maximal ideals. Let M be a maximal ideal of B . If $\text{ht}(M) \geq 2$, then by (2.12) $\text{depth } B_M \geq 2$; hence this case is established already. If $\text{ht}(M) = 1$, then by (2.12) B_M is a DVR; hence the assertion is obvious. This completes the proof.

References

- [1] M. Brodmann, Ueber die minimale Dimension der assoziierten Primideale der Kompletion eines lokalen Integritätsbereiches, *Comment. Math. Helv.* **50** (1975), 219–232.
- [2] ———, Finiteness of ideal transforms, *J. Algebra* (to appear).
- [3] N. Bourbaki, *Algèbre Commutative*, Chap. 5, 6, Hermann, Paris, 1964.
- [4] D. Ferrand-M. Raynaud, Fibres formelles d'un anneaux local noethérien, *Ann. Sci. Ecole Norm. Sup. (4)* **3** (1970), 295–311.
- [5] S. Greco-C. Traverso, On seminormal schemes, *Serie di Pubbl. Ist. Mat. Pol. Torino, Serie I*, Preprint N. 10, 1978.
- [6] A. Grothendieck, *Eléments de géométrie algébrique IV (Second partie)*, Publ. Math. IHES. **24**, Paris, 1965.
- [7] J. V. Leahy-M. A. Vitulli, Seminormal rings and weakly normal varieties, (preprint).
- [8] J. Marot, Topologies d'anneau principal, *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. A* **288** (1979), 713–715.
- [9] J. Matijevic, Maximal ideal transforms of noetherian rings, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **54** (1976), 49–52.
- [10] H. Matsumura, *Commutative Algebra*, Benjamin, 1970.
- [11] M. Nagata, *Local Rings*, Interscience, 1962.
- [12] ———, A treatise on the 14-th problem of Hilbert, *Mem. Coll. Sci. Univ. Kyoto, Ser. A Math.* **30** (1956–57), 57–70.
- [13] ———, A theorem of finite generation of a ring, *Nagoya Math. J.* **27** (1966), 193–205.

- [14] J. Nishimura, On ideal transforms of noetherian rings, I, *J. Math. Kyoto Univ.* **19** (1979), 41–46.
- [15] ———, On ideal transforms of noetherian rings, II, *J. Math. Kyoto Univ.* **20** (1980), 149–154.
- [16] D. G. Northcott, *Finite Free Resolutions*, Cambridge tracts in mathematics; no. 71, 1976.
- [17] J. Querré, Sur un théorème de Mori-Nagata, *C. R. Acad. Sci. Sér. A Paris* **285** (1977), 323–324.
- [18] L. J. Ratliff, On prime divisors of the integral closure of a principal ideal, *J. reine Angew. Math.* **255** (1972), 210–220.
- [19] G. Tamone, Su una generalizzazione della nozione di incollamento, *Atti. Accad. Naz. Lincei Rend. Cl. Sci. Fis. Mat. Natur.* (8) **65** (1978), 107–112.
- [20] C. Traverso, Seminormality and Picard group, *Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa Cl. Sci.* (3) **24** (1970), 585–595.
- [21] H. Yanagihara, On glueing of prime ideals, *Hiroshima Math. J.* (to appear).
- [22] K. Yoshida, On the birational integral extensions and the prime ideals of depth one, (in preparation).

*Department of Mathematics,
Faculty of Science,
Hiroshima University*

