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## Introduction

Let $n \geqq 2$. It is well known that a proper $C^{\infty}$-map of an $n$-manifold $M^{n}$ into a ( $2 n-1$ )-manifold $N^{2 n-1}$ is stable if and only if it is regular except at the isolated singularities of Whitney umbrella and its sheets intersect in general position. In this note we clarify the normal forms of stable and generic $C^{\infty}$-paths connecting two stable $C^{\infty}$-maps of $M^{n}$ into $N^{2 n-1}$. We put

$$
\mathscr{P}=\left\{f: M \times I \rightarrow N \times I \text { proper } C^{\infty}-\text { maps with } p_{N} \circ f=p_{M} ; f_{0} \text { and } f_{1} \text { are stable }\right\},
$$

where $p_{X}$ is the projection $X \times I \rightarrow I$ for $X=M$ or $N$ and $f(x, \lambda)=\left(f_{\lambda}(x), \lambda\right)$.
Definition. Let $f, g \in \mathscr{P}$. We say that $f$ is $I A$-equivalent to $g$ or $f_{\tilde{I A} A} g$ if there exist diffeomorphisms $k$ and $K$ which satisfy the following commutative diagram (where the maps to $I$ are the natural projections):


Accordingly we call $f_{\Gamma \widetilde{A}} g$ as germs at $(x, \lambda)$ if there exist such $k$ and $K$ as germs.
Theorem. Let $\mathscr{Q}=\{f \in \mathscr{P} ; f$ satisfies one of the following conditions (i)-(iv) as germs at any point of $M \times I\}$. Then, 2 is an open and dense subspace of $\mathscr{P}$ with respect to the fine $C^{\infty}$-topology of $\mathscr{P}$ which is defined by the identification with a subspace of $C^{\infty}\left(M^{n} \times I, N^{2 n-1}\right)$.
(i) $f_{\widetilde{I A}}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}, 0, \ldots, 0, \lambda\right)$,
(ii) $f_{\widetilde{I A}}\left(x_{1}^{2}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}, x_{1} x_{2}, \ldots, x_{1} x_{n}, \lambda\right)$ (Whitney umbrella $\left.\times \mathbf{R}\right)$,
(iii) $f_{\widetilde{I A}}\left(x_{1}^{2}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}, x_{1}\left( \pm \lambda-x_{1}^{2}-x_{2}^{2}\right), x_{1} x_{3}, \ldots, x_{1} x_{n}, \lambda\right)$ and
(iv) $f_{\widetilde{I A}}\left(x_{1}^{2}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}, x_{1}\left( \pm \lambda+x_{1}^{2}-x_{2}^{2}\right), x_{1} x_{3}, \ldots, x_{1} x_{n}, \lambda\right)$.


Figure 1.
We call $f \in \mathscr{P}$ is $I A$-stable if $\left\{g \in \mathscr{P} ; g_{\tilde{I A}_{A}} f\right\}$ is an open subspace of $\mathscr{P}$. The proof of Theorem shows that the germs of (i) $\sim(\mathrm{iv})$ are $I A$-stable as germs. If $M$ is compact, we can define a subspace $\mathscr{Q}_{0}$ of $\mathscr{2}$ with the condition that $f_{\lambda}$ has at most one non-stable singular point for each $\lambda$ and deformations of the sheets of $f_{\lambda}$ are in general position. Then, it is not difficult to see that $\mathscr{2}_{0}$ is an open and dense subspace of $\mathscr{P}$ and any $f \in \mathscr{Q}_{0}$ is $I A$-stable. This implies that any homotopy between two stable $C^{\infty}$-maps of compact $M^{n}$ into $N^{2 n-1}$ is approximated by a finite sequence of 'elementary' deformations up to isotopy. So, by noting that a nice PL-maps of a surface into a 3-manifold is isotopic to a stable $C^{\infty}$-map, we get an alternative proof of the main theorem of Homma-Nagase [4] about the deformations of nice PL-maps of a closed surface into a 3-manifold.

We shall give a proof of Theorem based on the coordinate transformations, Malgrange's preparation theorem and Thom's transversality theorem. Since any miniversal deformation is parametrized stable (Cf. [2], [5]), we see that the singularities of codimension 1 have $I A$-stable versal deformations. Hence, our theorem implies that the normal forms of singularities of codimension 1 in the germs of $C^{\infty}$-maps of ( $\mathbf{R}^{n}, 0$ ) into ( $\mathbf{R}^{2 n-1}, 0$ ) are ( $x_{1}^{2}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}, x_{1} x_{2}^{2} \pm x_{1}^{3}$, $x_{1} x_{3}, \ldots, x_{1} x_{n}$ ). The argument in this note can be easily generalized to the case of the generic paths of $C^{\infty}$-maps of $M^{m}$ into $N^{n}$ when $(3 m-1) / 2<n \leqq 2 m-1$ (Cf. [3]) by replacing (ii) with ( $x_{1}^{2}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{m}, x_{1} x_{2 m-n+1}, \ldots, x_{1} x_{m}$ ) and (iii)-(iv) with $\left(x_{1}^{2}, \quad x_{2}, \ldots, x_{m}, \quad x_{1}\left( \pm \lambda \pm x_{1}^{2}-x_{2}^{2} \pm x_{2}^{2} \pm \cdots \pm x_{3 m-n+1}^{2}\right), \quad x_{1} x_{2 m-n+2}, \ldots, x_{1} x_{m}\right) \quad$ in Theorem.
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## Study of generic 1-parameter families and proof of Theorem

We write $x=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right), \lambda, y=\left(y_{1}, \ldots, y_{2 n-1}\right)$ as the local coordinates of $M^{n}$, $I, N^{2 n-1}$ respectively. We define submanifolds $S_{i}^{*}$ of $J^{1}(M \times I, N)$ by
$S_{i}^{*}=\left\{j^{1} f(x, \lambda) \in J^{1}(M \times I, N) ; \operatorname{rank} \partial\left(f_{1}, \ldots, f_{2 n-1}\right) / \partial\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)=n-i\right.$ at $\left.(x, \lambda)\right\}$.
This definition is compatible with the $I A$-equivalence. Put

$$
\mathscr{Q}^{\prime}=\left\{f \in \mathscr{P} ; j^{1} f \text { 天 } S_{i}^{*}(i=1, \ldots, n)\right\} .
$$

Since $\cup_{i=1}^{n} S_{i}^{*}$ is closed in $J^{1}(M \times I, N), \mathscr{Q}^{\prime}$ is open and dense in $\mathscr{P}$ by Thom's transversality theorem. Assume $f \in \mathscr{Q}^{\prime}$. We shall study the germs of $f$ at $\left(x_{0}, \lambda_{0}\right)$ with $f\left(x_{0}\right)=y_{0}$. By a parallel coordinate transformation, we may assume ( $x_{0}$, $\left.\lambda_{0}\right)=(0,0)$ and $y_{0}=0$, where we consider $I=[-1,1]$ instead of $I=[0,1]$. Since $\operatorname{codim} S_{1}^{*}=n$ and $\operatorname{codim} S_{i}^{*} \geqq n+2(i \geqq 2)$, it suffices to consider the following cases (1)-(3).

Case (1) $j^{1} f(0,0) \notin S_{1}^{*}$ : It is easy to see that $f_{\widetilde{I A}}(x, 0, \lambda)$ at $(0,0)$.
Case (2) $j^{1} f_{0} \pi S_{1}$ where $S_{1}=\left\{\sigma \in J^{1}(M, N)\right.$; rank $\left.\sigma=n-1\right\}$ : In an appropriate coordinate, we have $f_{i}\left(=y_{i} \circ f\right)=x_{i}(2 \leqq i \leqq n)$. Since $j^{1} f_{0}$ intersects with $S_{1}$ at $x=0$ transversally, $\partial_{x_{1}} f_{1}=\partial_{x_{1}} f_{n+1}=\cdots=\partial_{x_{1}} f_{2 n-1}=0$ and $\Delta \neq 0$ at $(0,0)$ where $\Delta=\operatorname{det}\left(\partial\left(\partial_{x_{1}} f_{1}, \partial_{x_{1}} f_{n+1}, \ldots, \partial_{x_{1}} f_{2 n-1}\right) / \partial\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)\right)$. Hence, we may assume $\partial_{x_{1} x_{1}} f_{1} \neq 0$ and $\partial_{x_{1} x_{1}} f_{n+j}=0(1 \leqq j \leqq n-1)$ at $(0,0)$. In particular $C_{(0,0)}^{\infty}(M \times I) /$ $\left.\left(f^{*} \mathfrak{m}_{(0,0)}\right)(N \times I)\right) C_{(0,0)}^{\infty}(M \times I)$ is generated by $1, x_{1}$, where $C_{(0,0)}^{\infty}(M \times I)$ is the set of all germs of $C^{\infty}$-functions defined on a neighborhood of $(x, \lambda)=(0,0)$ in $M \times I$ and $\mathfrak{m}_{(0,0)}(N \times I)$ is the unique maximal ideal of $C_{(0,0)}^{\infty}(N \times I)$. By Malgrange's preparation theorem, we get $\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2} \in C_{(0,0)}^{\infty}(N \times I)$ such that $x_{1}^{2}=\left(\alpha_{1} \circ f\right)(x, \lambda)+$ $x_{1}\left(\alpha_{2} \circ f\right)(x, \lambda)$. We remark that $\alpha_{2}(0,0)=0$ and $\partial_{y_{1}} \alpha_{1}(0,0) \neq 0$.

Put $X_{1}=x_{1}-(1 / 2)\left(\alpha_{2} \circ f\right)(x, \lambda), X_{i}=x_{i}(2 \leqq i \leqq n)$ and $Y_{1}=\left(\alpha_{1}+(1 / 4) \alpha_{2}^{2}\right)(y, \lambda)$, $Y_{j}=y_{j}(2 \leqq j \leqq 2 n-1)$. Then, this is a coordinate change because of the above remark, and we get $Y_{1}=X_{1}^{2}, Y_{i}=X_{i}(2 \leqq i \leqq n), Y_{n+j}=g_{j}(x, \lambda)(1 \leqq j \leqq n-1)$ for some $g_{j} \in C_{(0,0)}^{\infty}(M \times I)$. By using Malgrange's theorem again, we have $\beta_{j, 1}$, $\beta_{j, 2} \in C_{(0,0)}^{\infty}\left(\mathbf{R}^{n+1}\right) \quad(1 \leqq j \leqq n-1)$ such that $g_{j}\left(X_{1}, X^{\prime}, \lambda\right)=\beta_{j, 1}\left(X_{1}^{2}, X^{\prime}, \lambda\right)+$ $X_{1} \beta_{j, 2}\left(X_{1}^{2}, X^{\prime}, \lambda\right)$ with an abbreviation $X^{\prime}=\left(X_{2}, \ldots, X_{n}\right)$. By putting $\bar{Y}_{i}=Y_{i}$ $(1 \leqq i \leqq n), \bar{Y}_{n+j}=Y_{n+j}-\beta_{j, 1}(Y, \lambda)(1 \leqq j \leqq n-1)$, we get $\bar{Y}_{1}=X_{1}^{2}, \bar{Y}_{i}=X_{i}(2 \leqq i \leqq$ $n), \bar{Y}_{n+j}=X_{1} \beta_{j, 2}\left(X_{1}^{2}, X^{\prime}, \lambda\right)(1 \leqq j \leqq n-1)$. Since $j^{1} f_{0}$ intersects with $S_{1}$ at $X=0$ transversally, we get $\beta_{j-1,2}(0,0)=0$ and $\operatorname{det}\left(\partial_{X_{i}} \beta_{j-1,2}(0,0)\right) \neq 0 \quad(2 \leqq i, j \leqq n)$. This implies $f_{\tilde{I A}}\left(x, x^{\prime}, x_{1} x^{\prime}, \lambda\right)$ at $(0,0)$.

Case (3) $j^{1} f_{0}$ intersects with $S_{1}$ non-transversally: We may assume that
$f_{1}=x_{1}^{2}, f_{i}=x_{i}(2 \leqq i \leqq n)$ and $f_{n+j}=x_{1} h_{j}\left(x_{1}^{2}, x^{\prime}, \lambda\right)(1 \leqq j \leqq n-1)$. Since $j^{1} f_{0}$ intersects with $S_{1}$ non-transversally at $x=0$, we get $h_{j}(0,0)=0(1 \leqq j \leqq n-1)$ and $\Delta^{\prime}(0,0)=0$ where $\Delta^{\prime}=\operatorname{det}\left(\partial_{x_{i}} h_{j}\right)_{1 \leqq i-1, j \leqq n-1}$. Since $j^{1} f$ 不 $S_{1}^{*}$, we have $\operatorname{rank}\left(\partial\left(\partial_{x_{1}} f_{1}, \partial_{x_{1}} f_{n+1}, \ldots, \partial_{x_{1}} f_{2 n-1}\right) / \partial\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}, \lambda\right)\right)=n$ at $(0,0)$. So, we may assume $\partial_{\lambda} h_{1}(0,0) \neq 0$ and $\operatorname{det}\left(\partial_{x_{i}} h_{j}(0,0)\right)_{2 \leqq i-1, j \leqq n-1} \neq 0$. Moreover, by a coordinate change of $\left(x_{3}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$ and $\left(y_{n+2}, \ldots, y_{2 n-1}\right)$ we may assume $h_{i}=x_{i+1}(2 \leqq i \leqq n-1)$ from the last condition; other conditions reduce to $\partial_{x_{2}} h_{1}(0,0)=0$ and $\partial_{\lambda} h_{1}(0,0) \neq$ 0. Hence, $h_{1}\left(x_{1}^{2}, x^{\prime}, \lambda\right)=a\left(x_{1}^{2}, x^{\prime}, \lambda\right) x_{1}^{2}+b\left(x_{1}^{2}, x^{\prime}, \lambda\right) x_{2}^{2}+c\left(x_{1}^{2}, x^{\prime}, \lambda\right) \lambda+$ $\sum_{i=3}^{n} a_{i}\left(x_{1}^{2}, x^{\prime}, \lambda\right) x_{i}$ for some $a, b, c, a_{i} \in C_{(0,0)}^{\infty}\left(\mathbf{R}^{n+1}\right)$ with $c(0,0,0) \neq 0$. This easily implies

$$
f_{\tilde{I A}}\left(x_{1}^{2}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}, x_{1}\left( \pm \lambda+\alpha\left(x_{1}^{2}, x^{\prime}, \lambda\right) x_{1}^{2}-\beta\left(x_{1}^{2}, x^{\prime}, \lambda\right) x_{2}^{2}\right), x_{1} x_{3}, \ldots, x_{1} x_{n}, \lambda\right) .
$$

Proof of Theorem. Note that ( $x_{1}^{2}, x^{\prime}, x_{1}\left( \pm \lambda+\alpha\left(x_{1}^{2}, x^{\prime}, \lambda\right) x_{1}^{2}-\beta\left(x_{1}^{2}, x^{\prime}\right.\right.$, ג) $\left.\left.x_{2}^{2}\right), x_{1} x^{\prime \prime}, \lambda\right)_{\widetilde{I A}}\left(x_{1}^{2}, x^{\prime}, x_{1}\left( \pm \lambda+\operatorname{sign} \alpha(0,0,0) \beta(0,0,0) x_{1}^{2}-x_{2}^{2}\right), x_{1} x^{\prime \prime}, \lambda\right)$ if $\alpha(0,0$, $0) \neq 0$ and $\beta(0,0,0) \neq 0$, where $x^{\prime}=\left(x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$ and $x^{\prime \prime}=\left(x_{3}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$. By the above argument we see that (i)-(iv) are $I A$-stable germs and so $\mathscr{Q}$ is open. We remember that $\mathscr{Q}^{\prime}$ is dense in $\mathscr{P}$. For any $f^{\prime} \in \mathscr{Q}^{\prime}$ there is an $f \in \mathscr{Q}$ in any neighborhood of $f^{\prime}$. In fact, if $f^{\prime} \widetilde{I A}^{( }\left(x_{1}^{2}, x^{\prime}, x_{1}\left( \pm \lambda+\alpha x_{1}^{2}-\beta x_{2}^{2}\right), x_{1} x^{\prime \prime}, \lambda\right)$ at $(x, \lambda)$, we modify $f^{\prime}$ near $(x, \lambda)$ to $f$ so that $f_{\tilde{I A}}\left(x_{1}^{2}, x^{\prime}, x_{1}\left( \pm \lambda+(\alpha+\gamma) x_{1}^{2}-(\beta+\gamma) x_{2}^{2}, x_{1} x^{\prime \prime}, \lambda\right)_{\tilde{I A}}\left(x_{1}^{2}, x^{\prime}\right.\right.$, $\left.x_{1}\left( \pm \lambda+x_{1}^{2}-x_{2}^{2}\right), x_{1} x^{\prime \prime}, \lambda\right)$ by using a germ of $C^{\infty}$-function $\gamma(\lambda) \in C_{0}^{\infty}(\mathbf{R})$ close to 0 with $\gamma(0)>0$ and $\gamma=0$ outside a small neighborhood of 0 . q.e.d.
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