

Asymptotic behavior of periodic nonexpansive evolution operators in uniformly convex Banach spaces

Dedicated to Professor Isao Miyadera on his sixtieth birthday

KAZUO KOBAYASI

(Received September 20, 1985)

1. Introduction

Let $\{C_t\}_{t \geq 0}$ be a family of nonempty closed convex subsets of a Banach space X and let $U = \{U(t, s) : 0 \leq s \leq t\}$ be a nonexpansive evolution operator constrained in $\{C_t\}$, i.e. U is a family of mappings $U(t, s) : C_s \rightarrow C_t$ such that

$$U(t, s)U(s, r) = U(t, r), \quad U(r, r) = I,$$

$$\|U(t, s)x - U(t, s)y\| \leq \|x - y\|$$

for $0 \leq r \leq s \leq t$ and $x, y \in C_s$. Such an evolution operator U is said to be T -periodic ($T > 0$) if

$$C_{t+T} = C_t \quad \text{and} \quad U(t+T, s+T) = U(t, s) \quad \text{for} \quad 0 \leq s \leq t.$$

The objective of this paper is to study the asymptotic behavior as $t \rightarrow \infty$ of bounded orbits $U(t, 0)x$ defined by a T -periodic nonexpansive evolution operator U . We shall show under appropriate conditions on the space X that if $U(nT+t, 0)x$ is bounded in n for $x \in C_0$ and $t \in [0, T]$, then the sequence $\{U(nT+t, 0)x\}_{n \geq 1}$ is weakly or strongly almost convergent to some T -periodic trajectory $U(t, 0)z$, where z is a point of C_0 with $U(T, 0)z = z$.

In the case of Hilbert spaces this problem was considered for the evolution operator U associated with an initial value problem of the form

$$du(t)/dt + Au(t) = f(t), \quad t \geq 0, \quad u(0) = x,$$

by Baillon and Haraux [2], Baillon [1] and Brezis [4], where A is a maximal monotone operator and f is a T -periodic function.

To state our results we recall that X is said to be of type (F) if the norm of X is Fréchet differentiable, namely, for each $x \in X \setminus \{0\}$ the quotient $t^{-1}(\|x + ty\| - \|x\|)$ converges as $t \rightarrow 0$ uniformly for $y \in X$ with $\|y\| \leq 1$. It is known that the space L^p is uniformly convex and of type (F) whenever $1 < p < \infty$. Further, a sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X is said to be weakly (resp. strongly) almost convergent to x , if $n^{-1} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} x_{i+k}$ converges weakly (resp. strongly) to x as $n \rightarrow \infty$ and the con-

vergence is uniform in $k \in N \equiv \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$. We denote by $\mathcal{F}(S)$ the set of all fixed points of a mapping S .

In what follows, let U be a T -periodic nonexpansive evolution operator constrained in $\{C_t\}$ and set

$$u_n(t) = U(nT+t, 0)x \quad \text{for } x \in C_0, \quad t \in [0, T], \quad n \in N.$$

Then we have:

THEOREM 1. *Let X be a uniformly convex Banach space over the real field \mathbf{R} . Suppose that X is of type (F) and $U(t, 0)x_0$ is bounded in $t \geq 0$ for some $x_0 \in C_0$. Then, for each $x \in C_0$, there exists a point $z \in \mathcal{F}(U(T, 0))$ such that $\{u_n(t)\}$ is weakly almost convergent to $U(t, 0)z$ for each $t \in [0, T]$.*

THEOREM 2. *Let X be a uniformly convex Banach space over \mathbf{R} . Suppose that $U(t, 0)x_0$ is bounded in $t \geq 0$ for some $x_0 \in C_0$. Let $x \in C_0$ be such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|u_{n+k}(t) - u_n(t)\|$ exists uniformly in $k \in N$ for each $t \in [0, T]$. Then, there exists a point $z \in \mathcal{F}(U(T, 0))$ such that $\{u_n(t)\}$ is strongly almost convergent to $U(t, 0)z$ for each $t \in [0, T]$.*

REMARKS. (a) The author was informed by Professor W. J. Davis that Theorem 1 had been obtained independently by Bruck [8] with a different proof. It would be interesting to compare our proof with that given in [8]. (b) By a fixed point theorem due to Browder and Petryshyn [5] we can easily prove that $\mathcal{F}(U(T, 0)) \neq \emptyset$ if and only if $U(t, 0)x_0$ is bounded in t for some $x_0 \in C_0$. In this case $U(t, 0)x$ is also bounded in t for all $x \in C_0$. Moreover, it should be mentioned that if $z \in \mathcal{F}(U(T, 0))$ then $U(t, 0)z$ is periodic in t with period T . Therefore, Theorem 1 states that any bounded orbit given by U is weakly almost convergent to a periodic trajectory. (c) If $\{U(t, 0)x : t \geq 0\}$ is precompact in X , then it can be shown (see [10]) that for each $t \in [0, T]$, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|u_{n+k}(t) - u_n(t)\|$ exists uniformly in $k \in N$.

2. Proofs of the theorems

Let Γ denote the set of strictly increasing, continuous and convex functions $\gamma: [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ with $\gamma(0) = 0$. Let D be a closed convex subset of X . According to Bruck [6] we say that a mapping $S: D \rightarrow X$ is of type (γ) if $\gamma \in \Gamma$ and for $x, y \in D$ and $\alpha \in [0, 1]$ we have

$$\gamma(\|S(\alpha x + (1-\alpha)y) - \alpha Sx - (1-\alpha)Sy\|) \leq \|x - y\| - \|Sx - Sy\|.$$

It is known ([6, Lemma 1.1]) that if D is bounded, then one can construct a $\gamma \in \Gamma$ such that every nonexpansive mapping $S: D \rightarrow X$ is of type (γ) . Further, we denote by J the duality mapping of X .

LEMMA 3. Put $U_t = U(T+t, t)$ for $t \in [0, T]$. Then we have:

(a) For each $t \in [0, T]$ and $w \in \mathcal{F}(U_t)$ there exists $z \in \mathcal{F}(U_0)$ such that $U(t, 0)z = w$.

(b) For each $t \in [0, T]$ and $z \in \mathcal{F}(U_0)$, $U(t, 0)z \in \mathcal{F}(U_t)$.

PROOF. Let $t \in [0, T]$ and $w \in \mathcal{F}(U_t)$. We first note that $U_{t+T} = U_t$. Put $z = U(T, t)w$. Then, $U_0z = U_Tz = U(2T, T+t)U_t w = U(T, t)w = z$ and $U(t, 0)z = U(T+t, T)z = U(T+t, T)U(T, t)w = U_t w = w$. This proves (a). Next, let $t \in [0, T]$ and $z \in \mathcal{F}(U_0)$. Then $U_t U(t, 0)z = U(T+t, T)U_0z = U(t, 0)z$ and so $U(t, 0)z \in \mathcal{F}(U_t)$. Thus (b) is proved.

LEMMA 4. Let X be a uniformly convex Banach space over \mathbb{R} . Suppose that X is of type (F) and $\mathcal{F}(U_0) \neq \emptyset$. Then, for $x \in C_0$ and $z_1, z_2 \in \mathcal{F}(U_0)$, the limit

$$(1) \quad h(t) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (u_n(t) - U(t, 0)z_1, J(U(t, 0)z_1 - U(t, 0)z_2))$$

exists for $t \in [0, T]$ and $h(t)$ is nonincreasing in t .

PROOF. Let $t \in [0, T]$, $x \in C_0$ and $z_1, z_2 \in \mathcal{F}(U_0)$. For $\alpha \in (0, 1]$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we put

$$a_n(t, \alpha) = \|\alpha u_n(t) + \beta U(t, 0)z_1 - U(t, 0)z_2\|,$$

where $\beta = 1 - \alpha$. Then, $u_n(t) = U_t^n U(t, 0)x$, U_t is a nonexpansive mapping of C_t into itself and $U(t, 0)z_i \in \mathcal{F}(U_t)$, $i = 1, 2$, by Lemma 3 (b). Hence, by [6, Lemmas 2.1 and 2.2], $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n(t, \alpha)$ exists. Moreover, $u_n(t)$ is bounded in n since $\mathcal{F}(U_t) \neq \emptyset$. Since X is of type (F), it follows (see [9]) that

$$\begin{aligned} & (u_n(t) - U(t, 0)z_1, J(U(t, 0)z_1 - U(t, 0)z_2)) \\ &= \lim_{\alpha \downarrow 0} (2\alpha)^{-1} \{a_n(t, \alpha)^2 - \|U(t, 0)z_1 - U(t, 0)z_2\|^2\} \end{aligned}$$

and the convergence is uniform in n . Hence the formula (1) is obtained via the relation

$$(2) \quad \begin{aligned} h(t) &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{\alpha \downarrow 0} (2\alpha)^{-1} \{a_n(t, \alpha)^2 - \|U(t, 0)z_1 - U(t, 0)z_2\|^2\} \\ &= \lim_{\alpha \downarrow 0} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (2\alpha)^{-1} \{a_n(t, \alpha)^2 - \|z_1 - z_2\|^2\}, \end{aligned}$$

where we used the fact that $\|z_1 - z_2\| = \|U(T, 0)z_1 - U(T, 0)z_2\| \leq \|U(t, 0)z_1 - U(t, 0)z_2\| \leq \|z_1 - z_2\|$.

Next we show that $h(t)$ is nonincreasing in t . Since $\|u_n(0) - z_1\| \geq \|U_0 u_n(0) - U_0 z_1\| = \|u_{n+1}(0) - z_1\|$, $\{\|u_n(0) - z_1\|\}$ must converge. Take an $R > 0$ so that $\sup_n \|u_n(0)\| \leq R$ and $\|z_1\|, \|z_2\| \leq R$, and put $D = C_0 \cap \{u \in X : \|y\| \leq R\}$. Then, there exists $\gamma \in \Gamma$ such that $U(t, 0)|_D$ (the restriction of $U(t, 0)$ to D) is of type (γ)

for all $t \in [0, T]$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} & \|U(t, 0)(\alpha u_n(0) + \beta z_1) - \alpha U(t, 0)u_n(0) - \beta U(t, 0)z_1\| \\ & \leq \gamma^{-1}(\|u_n(0) - z_1\| - \|U(t, 0)u_n(0) - U(t, 0)z_1\|) \\ & \leq \gamma^{-1}(\|u_n(0) - z_1\| - \|u_{n+1}(0) - z_1\|) \end{aligned}$$

for $\alpha \in (0, 1]$ and $\beta = 1 - \alpha$. Since $u_n(t) = U(nT + t, nT)u_n(0) = U(t, 0)u_n(0)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} a_n(t, \alpha) & \leq \|U(t, 0)(\alpha u_n(0) + \beta z_1) - U(t, 0)z_2\| \\ & \quad + \gamma^{-1}(\|u_n(0) - z_1\| - \|u_{n+1}(0) - z_1\|). \end{aligned}$$

Now let $0 \leq s \leq t$. Then the first term on the right side of the above inequality is estimated as

$$\begin{aligned} & \|U(s, 0)(\alpha u_n(0) + \beta z_1) - U(s, 0)z_2\| \\ & \leq \|\alpha U(s, 0)u_n(0) + \beta U(s, 0)z_1 - U(s, 0)z_2\| \\ & \quad + \|U(s, 0)(\alpha u_n(0) + \beta z_1) - \alpha U(s, 0)u_n(0) - \beta U(s, 0)z_1\| \\ & \leq a_n(s, \alpha) + \gamma^{-1}(\|u_n(0) - z_1\| - \|u_{n+1}(0) - z_1\|). \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, we obtain

$$a_n(t, \alpha) \leq a_n(s, \alpha) + 2\gamma^{-1}(\|u_n(0) - z_1\| - \|u_{n+1}(0) - z_1\|).$$

From this relation, (2) and the fact that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|u_n(0) - z_1\|$ exists, we conclude that $h(t) \leq h(s)$. Thus the lemma is proved.

PROOF OF THEOREM 1. Let $x \in C_0$ and $t \in [0, T]$. As seen before $\mathcal{F}(U_0) \neq \emptyset$ by the assumption, and hence $\mathcal{F}(U_t) \neq \emptyset$. Since $u_n(t) = U_t^n U(t, 0)x$, it follows from [6, Theorem 2.1] (cf. [9, Theorem 3.1]) that $\{u_n(t)\}$ is weakly almost convergent to a point $z(t) \in \mathcal{F}(U_t)$. Since $u_n(T) = u_{n+1}(0)$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we have $z(T) = w\text{-}\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n u_i(0) = z(0)$. Now, take arbitrary elements z_1, z_2 in $\mathcal{F}(U_0)$. By Lemma 4, we have

$$\begin{aligned} h(t) & = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n^{-1} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} (u_i(t) - U(t, 0)z_1, g) \\ & = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (n^{-1} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} u_i(t) - U(t, 0)z_1, g) \\ & = (z(t) - U(t, 0)z_1, g), \end{aligned}$$

where $g = J(U(t, 0)z_1 - U(t, 0)z_2)$. This, together with the relation $z(0) = z(T)$, shows that $h(0) = h(T) \leq h(t) \leq h(0)$. Therefore, $h(t) \equiv h(0)$ for $t \in [0, T]$. We now take $z_1 = z(0) \in \mathcal{F}(U_0)$. Then we have

$$(3) \quad \begin{aligned} & (z(t) - U(t, 0)z_1, J(U(t, 0)z_1 - U(t, 0)z_2)) \\ & = (z(0) - z_1, J(z_1 - z_2)) = 0 \quad \text{for } t \in [0, T]. \end{aligned}$$

We then demonstrate that $z(t) = U(t, 0)z_1$ for $t \in [0, T]$. Suppose to the contrary that there exists $t_0 \in [0, T]$ such that $z(t_0) \neq U(t_0, 0)z_1$. Since $z(t_0) \in \mathcal{F}(U_{t_0})$, Lemma 3 (a) would imply that there exists $z_2 \in \mathcal{F}(U_0)$ satisfying $U(t_0, 0)z_2 = z(t_0)$. Hence (3) would give $-\|U(t_0, 0)z_1 - U(t_0, 0)z_2\|^2 = 0$ and $U(t_0, 0)z_1 = U(t_0, 0)z_2$. This contradicts the fact that $z(t_0) \neq U(t_0, 0)z_1$. Consequently, we have $z(t) = U(t, 0)z_1$ for all $t \in [0, T]$. Thus the proof of Theorem 1 is complete.

PROOF OF THEOREM 2. Since $u_n(t) = U_t^n U(t, 0)x$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|U_t^{n+k} U(t, 0)x - U_t^n U(t, 0)x\|$ exists uniformly in k by the assumption, it follows from [10, Theorem 1] that $\{u_n(t)\}$ is strongly almost convergent to a point $z(t) \in \mathcal{F}(U_t)$. Let $\sup_n \|u_n(0)\| \leq R$ and put $D = C_0 \cap \{y \in X : \|y\| \leq R\}$. Then, by [7, Theorem 2.1], there exists $\gamma \in \Gamma$, depending only upon R and the modulus of uniform convexity of X , such that

$$\begin{aligned} & \gamma(\|U(t, 0)(n^{-1} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} y_i) - n^{-1} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} U(t, 0)y_i\|) \\ & \leq \max_{0 \leq i, j \leq n-1} \{\|y_i - y_j\| - \|U(t, 0)y_i - U(t, 0)y_j\|\} \end{aligned}$$

for any $y_0, \dots, y_{n-1} \in D$, $t \in [0, T]$ and any $n \geq 1$. Putting $y_i = u_{i+k}(0)$ in the above inequality and noting that $u_n(t) = U(t, 0)u_n(0)$, we have

$$\|U(t, 0)(n^{-1} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} u_{i+k}(0)) - n^{-1} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} u_{i+k}(t)\| \leq \gamma^{-1}(\varepsilon_{k,n})$$

for any k and n , where

$$\varepsilon_{k,n} = \max_{0 \leq i, j \leq n-1} \{\|u_{i+k}(0) - u_{j+k}(0)\| - \|u_{i+k+1}(0) - u_{j+k+1}(0)\|\}.$$

Fix any $\varepsilon > 0$ and take $m = m(\varepsilon, t) \geq 1$ such that $\|m^{-1} \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} u_{i+k}(s) - z(s)\| < \varepsilon/2$ for $s \in \{0, t\}$ and $k \in \mathcal{N}$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \|U(t, 0)z(0) - z(t)\| \\ & \leq \|U(t, 0)z(0) - U(t, 0)(m^{-1} \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} u_{i+k}(0))\| \\ & \quad + \|z(t) - m^{-1} \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} u_{i+k}(t)\| \\ & \quad + \|U(t, 0)(m^{-1} \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} u_{i+k}(0)) - m^{-1} \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} u_{i+k}(t)\| \\ & \leq \varepsilon/2 + \varepsilon/2 + \gamma^{-1}(\varepsilon_{k,m}) \quad \text{for all } k \in \mathcal{N}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\|u_{n+p}(0) - u_n(0)\|$ is nonincreasing in n for each p , it follows that $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \varepsilon_{k,m} = 0$ and $\|U(t, 0)z(0) - z(t)\| \leq \varepsilon$. Since $\varepsilon > 0$ is arbitrary, we infer that $z(t) = U(t, 0)z(0)$ with $z(0) \in \mathcal{F}(U_0)$. The proof of Theorem 2 is thereby complete.

3. Periodic forcing

Let A be an m -accretive operator in a Banach space X over \mathbf{R} and $f \in L^1_{loc}(0, \infty; X)$ be T -periodic. It is well-known that for each $s \geq 0$ and $x \in cl D(A)$ (the closure of the domain of A), there exists a unique integral solution $u(t; s, x)$ of

$$(4) \quad du(t)/dt + Au(t) \ni f(t), \quad t \in [s, \infty)$$

with initial condition $u(s) = x$. (See [3] for the notion of m -accretive operator, the notion of integral solution and existence theorems for integral solutions of (4).) By setting $U(t, s)x = u(t; s, x)$, we see that $\{U(t, s): 0 \leq s \leq t\}$ forms a T -periodic nonexpansive evolution operator constrained in $C_t \equiv cl D(A)$. In this case, Theorem 1 implies the following result.

COROLLARY 5. *Let X be uniformly convex and of type (F). Let $x \in cl D(A)$ and $u_n(t) = u(nT + t; 0, x)$. If $u(t; 0, x)$ is bounded in t , then there is a T -periodic integral solution $\omega(t)$ of equation (4) with $s=0$ and a T -periodic forcing term f such that $\{u_n(t)\}$ is weakly almost convergent to $\omega(t)$ for each $t \in [0, T]$.*

REMARK. Baillon [1] proved this corollary in the case where X is a Hilbert space.

Furthermore, assume in Corollary 5 that X is a Hilbert space, $A = \partial\phi$, the subdifferential of a proper convex lower semicontinuous function $\phi: X \rightarrow (-\infty, \infty]$, and that $f \in L^2_{loc}(0, \infty; X)$. Then, applying the same argument as in [2, Theorem 5] we can choose a subsequence $\{n(k)\}$ such that

$$\int_0^T \|du_{n(k)}(t)/dt - d\tilde{\omega}(t)/dt\|^2 dt \longrightarrow 0$$

as $n(k) \rightarrow \infty$, where $\tilde{\omega}(t)$ is an arbitrary T -periodic solution of (4) with $s=0$ and $A = \partial\phi$. But

$$\begin{aligned} \|u_{n+1}(t) - u_n(t)\| &= \|U(t, 0)u_n(T) - U(t, 0)u_n(0)\| \\ &\leq \|u_n(T) - u_n(0)\| \\ &\leq \int_0^T \|(d/dt)(u_n(t) - \tilde{\omega}(t))\| dt \\ &\leq T^{1/2} \left(\int_0^T \|(d/dt)(u_n(t) - \tilde{\omega}(t))\|^2 dt \right)^{1/2}, \end{aligned}$$

and hence $\|u_{n(k)+1}(t) - u_{n(k)}(t)\| \rightarrow 0$ as $n(k) \rightarrow \infty$. Since $\|u_{n+1}(t) - u_n(t)\|$ is non-increasing in n , we conclude that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|u_{n+1}(t) - u_n(t)\| = 0$, which is the so-called

Tauberian condition for almost convergent series (see [6, Section 3]). Therefore, in this case, $u_n(t)$ itself converges weakly as $n \rightarrow \infty$ to some T -periodic solution of (4) with $s=0$. This is nothing but the result given in [2].

References

- [1] J. B. Baillon, Thèse, Université de Paris VI, 1978.
- [2] J. B. Baillon and A. Haraux, Comportement à l'infini pour les equations d'évolution avec forcing périodique, Arch. Rational Mech. Anal. **67** (1977), 101–109.
- [3] V. Barbu, Nonlinear semigroups and differential equations in Banach spaces, Noordhoff International Publ., Leyden, 1976.
- [4] H. Brezis, Asymptotic behavior of solutions of evolution equations, in Nonlinear evolution equations (M. G. Crandall Ed.), Academic Press, New York, 1978.
- [5] F. E. Browder and W. Petryshyn, The solution by iteration of nonlinear functional equations in Banach spaces, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **72** (1966), 571–575.
- [6] R. E. Bruck, A simple proof of the mean ergodic theorem for nonlinear contractions in Banach spaces, Israel J. Math. **32** (1979), 107–116.
- [7] R. E. Bruck, On the convex approximation property and the asymptotic behavior of nonlinear contractions in Banach spaces, Israel J. Math. **38** (1981), 304–314.
- [8] R. E. Bruck, Construction of periodic solutions of periodic contraction systems from bounded solutions, to appear.
- [9] K. Kobayasi and I. Miyadera, Some remarks on nonlinear ergodic theorems in Banach spaces, Proc. Japan Acad. **56** (1980), 88–92.
- [10] K. Kobayasi and I. Miyadera, On the strong convergence of Cesàro means of contractions in Banach spaces, Proc. Japan Acad. **56** (1980), 245–249.

*Department of Mathematics,
Sagami Institute of Technology*

