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## 1. Introduction

Let $\Omega \subset \mathbf{R}^{3}$ be a bounded domain in $\mathbf{R}^{3}$ with a smooth boundary $\partial \Omega ; \partial \Omega$ is of class $C^{\infty}$. We consider the equations of Navier-Stokes

$$
\begin{equation*}
u^{\prime}-\Delta u+u \cdot \nabla u+\nabla \pi=f, \quad \operatorname{div} u=0,\left.\quad u\right|_{\partial \Omega}=0, \quad u(0)=u_{0} \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

on the cylindrical domain $\Omega \times(0, T) \subset \mathbf{R}^{4}$ with some $T>0$, and we investigate strong solutions $u$ of (1.1); these are solutions with $u \in L^{p}\left(0, T ; H^{2, p}(\Omega)^{3} \cap\right.$ $\left.\stackrel{\circ}{H}^{1, p}(\Omega)^{3}\right)$ and $u^{\prime} \in L^{p}\left(0, T ; L^{p}(\Omega)^{3}\right)$ for some $p$ with $2 \leqq p<\infty$.

Using the projection $P_{p}: L^{p}(\Omega)^{3} \rightarrow H_{p}(\Omega)$ from $L^{p}(\Omega)^{3}$ onto the subspace $H_{p}(\Omega) \subset L^{p}(\Omega)^{3}$ of divergence free functions with zero normal component on $\partial \Omega$ (in the sense of [3]), we can write (1.1) in the following equivalent form as an evolution equation in $H_{p}(\Omega)$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
u^{\prime}+A_{p} u+P_{p}(u \cdot \nabla u)=P_{p} f, \quad u(0)=u_{0}, \quad \mathrm{C} \leqq t \leqq T . \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $A_{p}: v \rightarrow A_{p} v:=-P_{p} \Delta v$ denotes the Stokes operator with domain $D\left(A_{p}\right):=H^{2, p}(\Omega)^{3} \cap H^{1, p}(\Omega)^{3} \cap H_{p}(\Omega)$. We can define the fractional powers $A_{p}^{\alpha}$ of $A_{p}$ with $0 \leqq \alpha \leqq 1$ and domain $D\left(A_{p}^{\alpha}\right) \supset D\left(A_{p}\right)$ as in [6]. Let $f \in L^{p}(0, T$; $\left.L^{p}(\Omega)^{3}\right)$ and $u_{0} \in D\left(A_{p}^{1-(1 / p)+\delta}\right)$ with some $\delta, 0<\delta<1 / p$ (take $u_{0} \in D\left(A_{p}\right)$ for example). Then a strong solution $u$ of (1.1) or (1.2) is defined by the conditions $u \in L^{p}\left(0, T ; D\left(A_{p}\right)\right), u^{\prime} \in L^{p}\left(0, T ; L^{p}(\Omega)^{3}\right)$ and (1.2).

The existence of strong solutions of (1.1) for arbitrary $T>0$ is an important unsolved problem up to now. Therefore it is interesting to know properties of the set

$$
R\left(u_{0}\right):=\left\{f \in L^{p}\left(0, T ; L^{p}(\Omega)^{3}\right) \mid(1.2) \text { has a unique strong solution } u\right.
$$

$$
\text { with data } \left.f, u_{0}\right\}
$$

for a fixed initial value $u_{0} \in D\left(A_{p}^{1-(1 / p)+\delta}\right)$. It is not known whether or not $R\left(u_{0}\right)=$ $L^{p}\left(0, T ; L^{p}(\Omega)^{3}\right)$; however we can prove some density properties of this set. This gives us some information how many $f$ do exist such that (1.1) is strongly solvable.

Solonnikov's theory of local solvability $[10 ; \S 10]$ tells us that $R\left(u_{0}\right) \subset$ $L^{p}\left(0, T ; L^{p}(\Omega)^{3}\right)$ is an open subset. In case $p=2$ it has been shown that $R\left(u_{0}\right)$
is dense in the space $L^{s}\left(0, T ; H^{-1,2}(\Omega)^{3}\right)$ with $1 \leqq s<4 / 3$, where $H^{-1,2}(\Omega)^{3}$ is the dual space of $\dot{H}^{1,2}(\Omega)^{3}([4,12])$. The aim of the present paper is to prove the following general density property.
1.3. Theorem. Let $2 \leqq p<\infty$ and $u_{0} \in D\left(A_{p}^{1-(1 / p)+\delta}\right)$ with $0<\delta \leqq 1 / p$. Then the set $R\left(u_{0}\right) \subset L^{p}\left(0, T ; L^{p}(\Omega)^{3}\right)$ is dense in the norm of $L^{s}\left(0, T ; L^{q}(\Omega)^{3}\right)$ for all $s$, $q \in(1, \infty)$ with $4<2 / s+3 / q$. Therefore, for every $f \in L^{p}\left(0, T ; L^{p}(\Omega)^{3}\right)$ and every $\varepsilon>0$ there exists some $g \in L^{p}\left(0, T ; L^{p}(\Omega)^{3}\right)$ with $\|g\|_{L^{s}\left(0, T ; L^{q}(\Omega)^{3}\right)} \leqq \varepsilon$ such that

$$
u^{\prime}+A_{p} u+P_{p}(u \cdot \nabla u)=P_{p} f+P_{p} g, \quad u(0)=u_{0}
$$

has a unique strong solution $u$.
Remarks. a) The quantity $2 / s+3 / q$ plays an important rôle in Serrin's regularity theory for the equation (1.1) $([8,16])$; a weak solution $u$ is regular if $u \in L^{s}\left(0, T ; L^{q}(\Omega)^{3}\right)$ holds for some $s, q \in(1, \infty)$ with $2 / s+3 / q \leqq 1$.
b) It can be shown that Theorem 1.3 also holds for $\delta=0$. This extension is not difficult to prove for $p=2$; it would require the theory of Besov spaces for $2<p<\infty$; however this detail does not seem to be very important.
c) Let $u_{0}$ be as in Theorem 1.3 and let $f \in L^{p}\left(0, T ; L^{p}(\Omega)^{3}\right)$. Then from 1.3 it follows in particular that for every $\varepsilon>0$ we can always find an additional external force $g \in L^{p}\left(0, T ; L^{p}(\Omega)^{3}\right)$ with

$$
\int_{0}^{T} \int_{\Omega}|g(x, t)| d x d t \leqq \varepsilon
$$

such that the Navier-Stokes equation $u^{\prime}-\Delta u+u \cdot \nabla u+\nabla \pi=f+g$ has a unique strong solution $u$ with $u(0)=u_{0}$.

Our method to prove 1.3 rests on a regularization procedure for (1.1) using the Yosida approximation (given in $[8,9]$ in principle) and on an estimate of the nonlinear term $u \cdot \nabla u$ using the exponent $p=5 / 4$ (given in [14, 15] in principle).

Notations. For $1<p<\infty$ and $k=1,2, \ldots$ we need the usual spaces $L^{p}(\Omega)$, $H^{k, p}(\Omega), \stackrel{\circ}{H}^{k, p}(\Omega), C^{k}(\Omega)$ and $C^{k}(\Omega)$. For a Banach space $H, L^{p}(0, T ; H)$ is the usual space with the norm $\|v\|_{L^{p}(0, T ; H)}=\left(\int_{0}^{T}\|v\|_{H}^{p} d t\right)^{1 / p}$, and $C(0, T ; H)$ is the space of continuous functions $v:[0, T] \rightarrow H$ with norm $\|v\|_{C(0, T ; H)}=$ $\sup _{0 \leqq t \leq T}\|v(t)\|_{H}$. In our proofs it is convenient to use the notations $\|v\|_{L^{p}(\Omega)}=$ $\|v\|_{p}$ or $\|v\|_{L^{p}(\Omega)}=\|v\|_{1 / p^{p}}$. Similarly, we use the notations $\|v\|_{L^{p}\left(0, T ; L^{q}(\Omega)\right)}=$ $\|v\|_{q, p}=\|v\|_{1 / q, 1 / p}$ and $\|v\|_{q, \infty}=\sup _{0 \leqq t \leqq T}\|v(t)\|_{q}$. The corresponding spaces of vector functions $v=\left(v_{1}, v_{2}, v_{3}\right)$ are denoted by $L^{p}(\Omega)^{3}, H^{k, p}(\Omega)^{3}, \ldots$, respectively.

We set $D_{i}:=\partial / \partial x_{i}\left(i=1,2,3, x=\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right) \in \Omega\right), u^{\prime}:=\partial / \partial t, \quad \Gamma:=\left(D_{1}, D_{2}\right.$,
$\left.D_{3}\right)$, div $v:=D_{1} v_{1}+D_{2} v_{2}+D_{3} v_{3}\left(v=\left(v_{1}, v_{2}, v_{3}\right)\right), u \cdot v=u_{1} v_{1}+u_{2} v_{2}+u_{3} v_{3}, u \cdot \nabla u=$ $(u \cdot \nabla) u=\left(u \cdot\left(\nabla u_{1}\right), u \cdot\left(\nabla u_{2}\right), u \cdot\left(\nabla u_{3}\right)\right)$ and $\langle u, v\rangle:=\int_{\Omega} u(x) \cdot v(x) d x$.

Let $H_{p}(\Omega)$ be the closure of $\left\{u \mid u \in \mathcal{C}^{\infty}(\Omega)^{3}, \operatorname{div} u=0\right\}$ with respect to the $L^{p}(\Omega)^{3}$-norm. There exists a bounded linear projection operator $P_{p}: L^{p}(\Omega)^{3} \rightarrow$ $H_{p}(\Omega)$, and every $v \in L^{p}(\Omega)^{3}$ possesses a decomposition $v=P_{p} v+\nabla \pi$ with $\pi \epsilon$ $H^{1, p}(\Omega)$ ([3]).

Let $\Delta_{p}: D\left(\Delta_{p}\right) \rightarrow L^{p}(\Omega)^{3}$ be the usual Laplace operator in $L^{p}(\Omega)^{3}$ with $D\left(\Delta_{p}\right)=$ $H^{2, p}(\Omega)^{3} \cap \dot{H}^{1, p}(\Omega)^{3} \quad$ and $\quad \Delta_{p} u=D_{1}^{2} u+D_{2}^{2} u+D_{3}^{2} u . \quad P_{p} \Delta_{p}: D\left(P_{p} \Delta_{p}\right) \rightarrow H_{p}(\Omega) \quad$ is the usual Stokes operator with $D\left(P_{p} \Delta_{p}\right)=D\left(\Delta_{p}\right) \cap H_{p}(\Omega)$. We set

$$
A_{p}:=-P_{p} \Delta_{p} \quad \text { and } \quad B_{p}:=-\Delta_{p}
$$

In our proofs we need some well known embedding properties which follow from the ellipticity of the Laplace operator ([13]):

Suppose $1<p \leqq q<\infty, 0 \leqq \beta \leqq \alpha \leqq 1,2 \alpha-3 / p \leqq 2 \beta-3 / q$. Then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|B_{q}^{\beta} v\right\|_{q} \leqq c\left\|B_{p}^{\alpha} v\right\|_{p}, \quad v \in D\left(B_{p}^{\alpha}\right) \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $c=c(p, q, \alpha, \beta, \Omega)>0$ does not depend on $v$.
Using Giga's characterization $D\left(A_{p}^{\alpha}\right)=D\left(B_{p}^{\alpha}\right) \cap H_{p}(\Omega)$ ([6]), we see that the following holds too:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|A_{q}^{\beta} v\right\|_{q} \leqq c\left\|A_{p}^{\alpha} v\right\|_{p} \quad \text { for all } \quad v \in D\left(A_{p}^{\alpha}\right), \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $q, p, \beta, \alpha, c$ are as above.
In case $\beta=0, q=\infty, 2 \alpha-3 / p>-3 / q=0$, these estimates remain valid; we get in particular $\|v\|_{\infty} \leqq c\left\|A_{p}^{\alpha} v\right\|_{p}$ in this case.

The operator $-A_{p}$ generates for $p, 1<p<\infty$, an analytic semigroup $e^{-t A_{p}}$, $t \geqq 0$, in $H_{p}(\Omega)([14,5])$. Therefore, we get for every $v \in L^{p}\left(0, T ; D\left(A_{p}\right)\right)$ with $v^{\prime} \in L^{p}\left(0, T ; L^{p}(\Omega)^{3}\right)$ the representation

$$
\begin{equation*}
v(t)=e^{-t A_{p}} v(0)+\int_{0}^{t} e^{-(t-s) A_{p}}\left(v^{\prime}+A_{p} v\right) d s \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

for almost all $t \in[0, T]$. Using (1.5) and the well known property $\left\|A_{p}^{\alpha} e^{-t A_{p}}\right\| \leqq$ $c t^{-x}$ ([2]), we can derive from (1.6) the following imbedding properties:

Suppose $v \in L^{p}\left(0, T ; D\left(A_{p}\right)\right), v^{\prime} \in L^{p}\left(0, T ; L^{p}(\Omega)^{3}\right), v(0) \in D\left(A_{p}^{1-1 / p}\right), \quad 1<p \leqq$ $q<\infty$. Then we have (after redefinition on a set of measure zero)

$$
\begin{array}{r}
v \in C\left(0, T ; L^{q}(\Omega)^{3}\right), \quad\|v\|_{q, x} \leqq c\left(\left\|A_{p}^{1-1 / p} v(0)\right\|_{p}+\left\|v^{\prime}\right\|_{p, p}+\left\|A_{p} v\right\|_{p, p}\right)  \tag{1.7}\\
\text { for } 2-5 / p>-3 / q,
\end{array}
$$

and moreover

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{i} v \in C\left(0, T ; L^{q}(\Omega)^{3}\right), \quad\left\|D_{i} v\right\|_{q, \propto} \leqq c\left(\left\|A_{p}^{1-1 / p} v(0)\right\|_{p}+\left\|v^{\prime}\right\|_{p, p}+\left\|A_{p} v\right\|_{p, p}\right) \tag{1.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\text { for } 2-5 / p>1-3 / q, \quad i=1,2,3 \text {, }
$$

where $c=c(p, q, \Omega)$ does not depend on $T$ since $\Omega$ is bounded and $\left\|e^{-t A_{p}}\right\|$ decays exponentially. In case $p=2$, it can be shown by using the scalar product that (1.8) also holds in case $2-5 / p=1-3 / q$, i.e. $q=2$. The continuity assertion on $v$ and $D_{i} v$ follows from the continuity of $J_{k} v$ resp. $D_{i} J_{k} v$ by letting $k \rightarrow \infty$ and using the estimates above with $J_{k} v$ instead of $v ; J_{k} v$ is the Yosida approximation to be introduced later.

The linearized equation for (1.2) is given by

$$
u^{\prime}+A_{p} u=P_{p} f, \quad u(0)=u_{0}, \quad 0 \leqq t \leqq T
$$

in the space $H_{p}(\Omega)$. Let $f \in L^{p}\left(0, T ; L^{p}(\Omega)^{3}\right)$ and $v(t):=\int_{0}^{t} e^{-(t-s) A_{p}} P_{p} f d s$. Then the estimate

$$
\left\|v^{\prime}\right\|_{p, p}+\left\|A_{p} v\right\|_{p, p} \leqq c\|f\|_{p p}
$$

with $c=c(p, \Omega)>0$ has been developed by Solonnikov ([10]). Using the property $\left\|A_{p}^{1-(1 / p)+\delta} e^{-t A_{p}}\right\| \leqq c t^{-(1-(1 / p)+\delta)}$, we get easily the estimate $\left(\int_{0}^{T}\left\|A_{p} e^{-t A_{p}} u_{0}\right\|_{p}^{p} d t\right)^{1 / p}$ $\leqq c\left\|A_{p}^{1-(1 / p)+\delta} u_{0}\right\|_{p}$ with $0<\delta \leqq 1 / p$.

Therefore, for all $f \in L^{p}\left(0, T ; L^{p}(\Omega)^{3}\right)$ and $u_{0} \in D\left(A_{p}^{1-(1 / p)+\delta}\right)$ with $0<\delta \leqq 1 / p$, we obtain a unique solution

$$
u: t \longrightarrow u(t)=e^{-t A_{p}} u_{0}+\int_{0}^{t} e^{-(t-s) A_{p}} P_{p} f d s
$$

of $u^{\prime}+A_{p} u=P_{p} f, u(0)=u_{0}$, and it holds

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|u^{\prime}\right\|_{p, p}+\left\|A_{p} u\right\|_{p, p} \leqq c\left(\left\|A_{p}^{1-(1 / p)+\delta} u_{0}\right\|_{p}+\|f\|_{p, p}\right) \tag{1.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $c=c(p, \Omega)>0$.
In fact, (1.9) holds for $2 \leqq p<\infty$ also with $\delta=0$. This follows for $p=2$ rather elementary using the scalar product and the self-adjointness of $A_{2}$, and for $2<p<\infty$ it follows from the imbedding property $D\left(A_{p}^{1-1 / p}\right) \subset B^{1-1 / p . p}$ where $B^{1-1 / p . p}$ is a certain Besov space (a similar argument has been used in [8; p. 362]). However, we omit the details.

For $p=2$, we get instead of (1.8) the estimate

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|A_{2}^{1 / 2} u(t)\right\|_{2} \leqq c\left(\left\|A_{2}^{1 / 2} u_{0}\right\|_{2}+\left\|u^{\prime}\right\|_{2,2}+\left\|A_{2} u\right\|_{2,2}\right) \tag{1.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

with some $c>0$.
In the following $c, c_{1}, c_{2}, \ldots$ are always positive constants whose values may change.

## 2. Proof of the main theorem

The proof of Theorem 1.3 rests on the regularization of (1.1) by the Yosida approximation similar as in [8] and [9]. From well known semigroup properties of $e^{-t A p}(t \geqq 0)$ we get easily that the operators

$$
J_{k}:=\left(I+k^{-1} A_{p}\right)^{-1}, \quad k=1,2, \ldots
$$

fulfill the following conditions: $\left\|J_{k}\right\| \leqq c$ where $c=c(p, \Omega)>0$ does not depend on $k$, and $\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} J_{k} v=v$ for all $v \in H_{p}(\Omega) . \quad J_{k}$ approximates the identity operator $I$ in the strong sense.

An important property is the estimate

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|A_{p}^{\alpha} J_{k}\right\| \leqq c k^{\alpha} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $c=c(p, \Omega)>0$ and $0 \leqq \alpha \leqq 1([2,17])$.
The idea of the proof is to solve in the strong sense the regularized NavierStokes equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
u^{\prime}+A_{p} u+P_{p}\left[\left(J_{k} u\right) \cdot \Gamma u\right]=P_{p} f, \quad u(0)=u_{0} \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

instead of (1.2). Then we write (2.2) in the form

$$
u^{\prime}+A_{p} u+P_{p}[u \cdot \nabla u]=P_{p} f+P_{p}\left[\left(I-J_{k}\right) u \cdot \nabla u\right]
$$

and show that the term $P_{p}\left[\left(I-J_{k}\right) u \cdot \nabla u\right]$ tends to zero as $k \rightarrow \infty$ in the space $L^{s}\left(0, T ; L^{q}(\Omega)^{3}\right)$ with $4<2 / s+3 / q$; this will prove the theorem.

The next lemma yields the solvability of (2.2) in the strong sense for each $k=1,2, \ldots$.
2.3. Lemma. Let $2 \leqq p<\infty, f \in L^{p}\left(0, T ; L^{p}(\Omega)^{3}\right)$, and $u_{0} \in D\left(A_{p}^{1-(1 / p)+\delta}\right)$ with $0<\delta \leqq 1 / p$. Then for each fixed $k=1,2, \ldots$, there exists a unique $u \in L^{p}(0, T$; $D\left(A_{p}\right)$ ) which fulfills $u^{\prime} \in L^{p}\left(0, T ; L^{p}(\Omega)^{3}\right)$ and (2.2). It holds the energy equality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|u(t)\|_{2}^{2}+2 \int_{0}^{t}\|\nabla u(\tau)\|_{2}^{2} d \tau=\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{2}^{2}+2 \int_{0}^{t}\langle f(\tau), u(\tau)\rangle d \tau \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

and therefore the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|u(t)\|_{2}^{2}+c_{1}\|\nabla u\|_{2,2}^{2} \leqq\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{2}^{2}+c_{2}\|f\|_{2,2}^{2} \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $c_{1}=c_{1}(\Omega)>0$ and $c_{2}=c_{2}(\Omega)>0$ depend only on $\Omega$.
Proof. We solve (2.2) by Banach's fixed point theorem; however for technical reasons we start with regularized initial values $J_{m} u_{0}$ instead of $u_{0}$. Thus we solve the equations

$$
\begin{equation*}
u^{\prime}+A_{p} u+P_{p}\left[\left(J_{k} u\right) \cdot \nabla u\right]=P_{p} f, \quad u(0)=J_{m} u_{0} \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

for fixed $k, m=1,2, \ldots$ in the strong sense (i.e. $u \in L^{p}\left(0, T ; D\left(A_{p}\right)\right)$ and $u^{\prime} \in L^{p}(0$, $\left.T ; L^{p}(\Omega)^{3}\right)$ ). The solution $u$ depends on $k, m$; later on we get the desired solution of (2.2) by letting $m \rightarrow \infty$.

Instead of (2.6) we can solve the equivalent integral equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(t)=e^{-t A_{p}} J_{m} u_{0}+\int_{0}^{t} e^{-(t-\tau) A_{p}}\left(P_{p} f-P_{p}\left[\left(J_{k} u\right) \cdot \nabla u\right]\right) d \tau, \quad 0 \leqq t \leqq T . \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

This equation can be solved using Banach's fixed point theorem. To show this, we have first to estimate the nonlinear term $P_{p}\left[\left(J_{k} u\right) \cdot \nabla u\right]$; in particular from this estimate it will follow that $P_{p}\left[\left(J_{k} u\right) \cdot \nabla u\right] \in L^{p}\left(0, T ; L^{p}(\Omega)^{3}\right)$ is well defined for strong solutions $u$.

For $2<p<\infty$ we can choose some $r$ with $2-5 / p>1-3 / r$ and $2<p<r<\infty$, and for $p=2$ we choose $r=2$. Then we obtain from (1.5), (1.7), (1.8), and (2.1) the following estimates for the nonlinear term:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|P_{p}\left[\left(J_{k} u\right) \cdot \Gamma u\right]\right\|_{p} \leqq c_{1}\left\|\left(J_{k} u\right) \cdot \Gamma u\right\|_{p} \leqq c_{2}\left\|J_{k} u\right\|_{1 / p-1 / r}\|\Gamma u\|_{1 / r}, \\
& \|\nabla u\|_{r, \infty} \leqq c_{3}\left(\left\|A_{p}^{1-1 / p} J_{m} u_{0}\right\|_{p}+\left\|u^{\prime}\right\|_{p, p}+\left\|A_{p} u\right\|_{p, p},\right. \\
& \left\|J_{k} u\right\|_{1 / p-1 / r} \leqq c_{4}\left\|A_{p}^{3 / 2 r} J_{k} u\right\|_{1 / p} \leqq c_{5} k^{3 / 2 r}\|u\|_{1 / p}, \\
& \|u\|_{p, \infty} \leqq c_{6}\left(\left\|A_{p}^{1-1 / p} J_{m} u_{0}\right\|_{p}+\left\|u^{\prime}\right\|_{p, p}+\left\|A_{p} u\right\|_{p, p}\right), \\
& \left.\left\|P_{p}\left[\left(J_{k} u\right) \cdot \nabla u\right]\right\|_{p, p} \leqq c_{2}\left(\int_{0}^{T}\left\|J_{k} u\right\|_{1 / p-1 / r}^{p}\|\nabla u\|_{1 / r}^{p} d t\right)\right)^{1 / p} \\
& \quad \leqq c_{7} T^{1 / p}\|u\|_{p, \infty}\|\nabla u\|_{r, \infty} \\
& \quad \leqq c_{8} T^{1 / p}\left(\left\|A_{p}^{1-1 / p} J_{m} u_{0}\right\|_{p}+\left\|u^{\prime}\right\|_{p, p}+\left\|A_{p} u\right\|_{p, p}\right)^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\left(J_{k} u\right) \cdot \nabla u\right\|_{L^{p}\left(0, T ; L^{p}(\Omega)^{3}\right)} \leqq c T^{1 / p}\left(\left\|A_{p}^{1-1 / p} J_{m} u_{0}\right\|+\left\|u^{\prime}\right\|_{p, p}+\left\|A_{p} u\right\|_{p, p}\right), \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $c=c(p, k, \Omega)>0$ still depends on $k$ but not on $T$.
In particular we get $P_{p}\left[\left(J_{k} u\right) \cdot \nabla u\right] \in L^{p}\left(0, T ; L^{p}(\Omega)^{3}\right)$ whenever $u \in L^{p}(0, T$; $\left.D\left(A_{p}\right)\right), u^{\prime} \in L^{p}\left(0, T ; L^{p}(\Omega)^{3}\right)$.

At first we solve (2.7) with $p=2$ and fixed $m, k$ by Banach's fixed point theorem. For this purpose we set

$$
(F u)(t):=e^{-t A_{2}} J_{m} u_{0}+\int_{0}^{t} e^{-(t-\tau) A_{2}}\left(P_{2} f-P_{2}\left[\left(J_{k} u\right) \cdot \nabla u\right]\right) d \tau,
$$

write (2.7) in the form $u=F u$, and we apply the fixed point theorem to the mapping $F: u \rightarrow F u$ defined on the set

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\mathscr{C}_{R}\left(u_{0}, T_{1}\right):=\left\{u \in L^{2}\left(0, T_{1} ; D\left(A_{2}\right)\right) \mid u^{\prime} \in L^{2}\left(0, T_{1} ; L^{2}(\Omega)^{3}\right), \quad u(0)=J_{m} u_{0},\right. \\
\left.\left\|u^{\prime}\right\|_{2,2}+\left\|A_{2} u\right\|_{2,2} \leqq R\right\} .
\end{array}
$$

We show that the conditions of this theorem are fulfilled for some $R>0$ and some sufficiently small $T_{1}>0$ with $T_{1} \leqq T$; the metric on $\mathscr{C}_{R}\left(u_{0}, T_{1}\right)$ is given by $\|u-\tilde{u}\|^{*}:=\left\|u^{\prime}-\tilde{u}^{\prime}\right\|_{2,2}+\left\|A_{2} u-A_{2} \tilde{u}\right\|_{2,2}$.

The applicability of the fixed point theorem can be derived from the following inequalities

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\{\begin{array}{l}
\|F u\|^{*}=\|F u-0\|^{*} \\
\quad \leqq c_{1}\left(\left\|A_{2}^{1 / 2} J_{m} u_{0}\right\|_{2}+\|f\|_{2,2}\right)+c_{2} T_{1}^{1 / 2} \\
\qquad \cdot\left(\left\|A_{2}^{1 / 2} J_{m} u_{0}\right\|_{2}+\left\|u^{\prime}\right\|_{2,2}+\left\|A_{2} u\right\|_{2,2}\right)^{2}, \\
\|F u-F \tilde{u}\|^{*} \\
\leqq c_{3}\left(\left\|u^{\prime}-\tilde{u}^{\prime}\right\|_{2,2}+\left\|A_{2} u-A_{2} \tilde{u}\right\|_{2,2}\right)
\end{array}\right.  \tag{2.9}\\
& \cdot\left(\left\|A_{2}^{1 / 2} J_{m} u_{0}\right\|_{2}+\left\|u^{\prime}\right\|_{2,2}+\left\|\tilde{u}^{\prime}\right\|_{2,2}+\left\|A_{2} u\right\|_{2,2}+\left\|A_{2} \tilde{u}\right\|_{2,2}\right) T_{1}^{1 / 2}
\end{align*}
$$

where $c_{v}=c_{v}(k, m, \Omega)>0(v=1,2,3)$ depends on $k$ and $m$.
We obtain (2.9) by applying (1.9) and (2.8) to (2.7) in the following way ( $\delta=0$ for $p=2$ ):

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|F u\|^{*} \leqq & c_{5}\left(\left\|A_{2}^{1 / 2} J_{m} u_{0}\right\|_{2}+\|f\|_{2,2}+\left\|\left(J_{k} u\right) \cdot \nabla u\right\|_{2,2}\right) \\
& \leqq c_{6}\left(\left\|A_{2}^{1 / 2} J_{m} u_{0}\right\|_{2}+\|f\|_{2,2}\right) \\
\quad & +c_{7} T_{1}^{1 / 2}\left(\left\|A_{2}^{1 / 2} J_{m} u_{0}\right\|_{2}+\left\|u^{\prime}\right\|_{2,2}+\left\|A_{2} u\right\|_{2,2}\right)^{2}, \\
\|F u-F \tilde{u}\|^{*} & \leqq c_{8}\left\|\left(J_{k} u\right) \cdot \nabla u-\left(J_{k} \tilde{u}\right) \cdot \nabla \tilde{u}\right\|_{2,2} \\
& \leqq c_{9}\left(\|\left(J_{k}(u-\tilde{u}) \cdot \nabla u\left\|_{2,2}+\right\|\left(J_{k} \tilde{u}\right) \cdot \Gamma(u-\tilde{u}) \|_{2,2}\right) .\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

The last term can be estimated in the same way as in (2.8); we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|\left(J_{k}(u-\tilde{u})\right) \cdot \nabla u\right\|_{2,2} \\
& \quad \leqq c_{10} T T_{1}^{1 / 2}\left(\left\|u^{\prime}-\tilde{u}^{\prime}\right\|_{2,2}+\left\|A_{2} u-A_{2} \tilde{u}\right\|_{2,2}\right) \cdot\left(\left\|A_{2}^{1 / 2} J_{m} u_{0}\right\|_{2}+\left\|u^{\prime}\right\|_{2,2}+\left\|A_{2} u\right\|_{2,2}\right) \\
& \quad \leqq c_{11} T_{1}^{1 / 2}\left(\left\|u^{\prime}-\tilde{u}^{\prime}\right\|_{2,2}+\left\|A_{2} u-A_{2} \tilde{u}\right\|_{2,2}\right) \cdot\left(\left\|A_{2}^{1 / 2} J_{m} u_{0}\right\|_{2}+\left\|u^{\prime}\right\|_{2,2}+\left\|A_{2} u\right\|_{2,2}\right), \\
& \left\|\left(J_{k} \tilde{u}\right) \cdot \nabla(u-\tilde{u})\right\|_{2,2} \\
& \quad \leqq c_{12} T_{1}^{1 / 2}\left(\left\|A_{2}^{1 / 2} J_{m} u_{0}\right\|_{2}+\left\|\tilde{u}^{\prime}\right\|_{2,2}+\left\|A_{2} \tilde{u}\right\|_{2,2}\right)\left(\left\|u^{\prime}-\tilde{u}^{\prime}\right\|_{2,2}+\left\|A_{2} u-A_{2} \tilde{u}\right\|_{2,2}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus we get the inequalities (2.9).
From (2.9) we conclude the applicability of the fixed point theorem with $R:=2 c_{1}\left(\left\|A_{2}^{1 / 2} J_{m} u_{0}\right\|_{2}+\|f\|_{2,2}\right)$ and some sufficiently small $T_{1}>0$; we obtain a unique strong solution $u$ of (2.7) on the interval [ $0, T_{1}$ ]. In order to repeat this
procedure on a second interval etc., we need the energy inequality which prevents the blow up of the solution before it reaches the point $T$.

Taking the scalar product of (2.6) with $u$, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \|u(t)\|_{2}^{2}+2 \int_{0}^{t}\|\nabla u\|_{2}^{2} d \tau=\left\|J_{m} u_{0}\right\|_{2}^{2}+2 \int_{0}^{t}\langle f, u\rangle d \tau \\
& \quad \leqq c_{1}\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{2}^{2}+2 \int_{0}^{t}\|f\|_{2}\|u\|_{2} d \tau \\
& \quad \leqq c_{1}\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{2}^{2}+c_{2} \varepsilon^{-2} \int_{0}^{t}\|f\|_{2}^{2} d \tau+c_{3} \varepsilon^{2} \int_{0}^{t}\|u\|_{2}^{2} d \tau \\
& \leqq c_{1}\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{2}^{2}+c_{2} \varepsilon^{-2} \int_{0}^{t}\|f\|_{2}^{2} d \tau+c_{4} \varepsilon^{2} \int_{0}^{t}\|\nabla u\|_{2}^{2} d \tau
\end{aligned}
$$

for arbitrary $\varepsilon>0$. For some appropriate $\varepsilon>0$ we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|u(t)\|_{2}^{2}+c_{5} \int_{0}^{t}\|\Gamma u\|_{2}^{2} d \tau \leqq c_{6}\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{2}^{2}+c_{7} \int_{0}^{t}\|f\|_{2}^{2} d \tau \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $c_{5}, c_{6}, c_{7}>0$ depend only on $\Omega$.
Using this energy inequality and (1.10) we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\left\|A_{2}^{1 / 2} u\left(T_{1}\right)\right\|_{2}=\left\|A_{2}^{1 / 2}(F u)\left(T_{1}\right)\right\|_{2} \leqq c_{1}\left\|A_{2}^{1 / 2} J_{m} u_{0}\right\|_{2} \\
&+c_{2}\left(\int_{0}^{T_{1}}\left(\|f\|_{2}^{2}+\left\|\left(J_{k} u\right) \cdot \nabla u\right\|_{2}^{2}\right) d \tau\right)^{1 / 2} \\
& \leqq c_{1}\left\|A_{2}^{1 / 2} J_{m} u_{0}\right\|_{2}+c_{3}\left(\int_{0}^{T_{1}}\|f\|_{2}^{2} d \tau\right)^{1 / 2} \\
&+c_{4}\left(\int_{0}^{T_{1}}\left\|J_{k} u\right\|_{\infty}^{2}\|\nabla u\|_{2}^{2} d \tau\right)^{1 / 2}, \\
&\left\|J_{k} u\right\|_{\infty} \leqq c_{5}\left\|A_{2} J_{k} u\right\|_{2} \leqq c_{6}\|u\|_{2}, \\
&\left(\int_{0}^{T_{1}}\left\|J_{k} u\right\|_{\infty}^{2}\|\nabla u\|_{2}^{2} d \tau\right)^{1 / 2} \leqq c_{7}\left(\sup _{0 \leqq t \leqq T_{1}}\|u(t)\|_{2}^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}\left(\int_{0}^{T_{1}}\|\nabla u\|_{2}^{2} d \tau\right)^{1 / 2} \\
& \leqq c_{8}\left(\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{2}^{2}+\int_{0}^{T_{1}}\|f\|_{2}^{2} d \tau\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus it follows

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|A_{2}^{1 / 2} u\left(T_{1}\right)\right\|_{2} \leqq c_{9}\left(\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{2}+\left(\int_{0}^{T_{1}}\|f\|_{2}^{2} d \tau\right)^{1 / 2}+\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{2}^{2}+\int_{0}^{T_{1}}\|f\|_{2}^{2} d \tau\right) . \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now we can repeat the above construction of the strong solution for the next interval $\left[T_{1}, T_{2}\right.$ ] with the initial value $u\left(T_{1}\right)$ instead of $J_{m} u_{0}$, and so forth. This is possible because the right hand side of (2.10) depends only on the data $f, u_{0}$. Therefore in (2.9) we may insert $u\left(T_{1}\right)$ instead of $J_{m} u_{0}$, and we see the
following: $T_{1}, T_{2}, \ldots$ may be chosen so that all the intervals $\left[T_{v-1}, T_{v}\right]$ have the same length. In this way, we get a unique strong solution of (2.7) on the whole interval $[0, T]$. Let $u_{m}$ be this solution for $m=1,2, \ldots$ and fixed $k$.

In the next step we show $u_{m} \in L^{p}\left(0, T ; D\left(A_{p}\right)\right)$ and $u_{m}^{\prime} \in L^{p}\left(0, T ; L^{p}(\Omega)^{3}\right)$. For this purpose we have only to give a bound for $\left\|u_{m}^{\prime}\right\|_{p, p}+\left\|A_{p} u_{m}\right\|_{p, p}$ on $[0, T]$. Moreover, we show that this bound is independent of $m$. This enables us to let $m \rightarrow \infty$, and in this way we obtain a strong solution of (2.2).

To find such a bound, we give another estimate of $\left\|\left(J_{k} u_{m}\right) \cdot \nabla u_{m}\right\|_{p, p}$. We can choose $r$ and $a$ with $p<r<\infty, 1 / 2<a<1$ and with $a(1 / p-2 / 3)+(1-a) / 2=1 / r-$ $1 / 3$, and we get from Sobolev's embedding theorem [4; p. 24] the estimate $\left\|\nabla u_{m}\right\|_{r}$ $\leqq c_{1}\left\|\Delta u_{m}\right\|_{p}^{a}\left\|u_{m}\right\|_{2}^{1-a}$. Using $(2 / 3)(3 / 2)(1 / 2-(1 / p-1 / r))-1 / 2=-(1 / p-1 / r)$ and $(3 / 2)(1 / 2-(1 / p-1 / r)) \leqq 1$, we get from (1.5) the inequality $\left\|J_{k} u_{m}\right\|_{1 / p-1 / r} \leqq$ $c_{2}\left\|A_{2}^{(3 / 2)(1 / 2-(1 / p-1 / r))} J_{k} u_{m}\right\|_{2} \leqq c_{3}\left\|u_{m}\right\|_{2}$ where $c_{3}=c_{3}(p, r, \Omega)>0$. Therefore we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\left(J_{k} u_{m}\right) \cdot \nabla u_{m}\right\|_{p} & \leqq c_{4}\left\|J_{k} u_{m}\right\|_{1 / p-1 / r}\left\|\nabla u_{m}\right\|_{1 / r} \\
& \leqq c_{5}\left\|u_{m}\right\|_{2}^{2-a}\left\|A_{p} u_{m}\right\|_{p}^{a}
\end{aligned}
$$

and for any $\varepsilon>0$ it follows

$$
\left\|\left(J_{k} u_{m}\right) \cdot \nabla u_{m}\right\|_{p}^{p} \leqq c_{6} \varepsilon^{1 / a}\left\|A_{p} u_{m}\right\|_{p}^{p}+c_{7} \varepsilon^{1 /(1-a)}\left\|u_{m}\right\|_{2}^{(2-a)_{p /(1-a)}} .
$$

Applying (1.9) to (2.6) and using the last estimate, we obtain for some sufficiently small $\varepsilon>0$ the inequalities

$$
\left\|u_{m}^{\prime}\right\|_{p, p}+\left\|A_{p} u_{m}\right\|_{p, p} \leqq c_{1}\left(\left\|A_{p}^{1-(1 / p)+\delta} J_{m} u_{0}\right\|_{p}+\|f\|_{p, p}+\left\|\left(J_{k} u_{m}\right) \cdot \nabla u_{m}\right\|_{p, p}\right)
$$

and

$$
\left\|u_{m}^{\prime}\right\|_{p, p}+\left\|A_{p} u_{m}\right\|_{p, p} \leqq c_{2}\left(\left\|A_{p}^{1-1 / p+\delta} u_{0}\right\|_{p}+\|f\|_{p, p}+\left\|u_{m}\right\|_{2, \infty}^{(2-a) /(1-a)}\right)
$$

where $c_{2}=c_{2}(p, k, \Omega, T)>0$ is independent of $m$. Together with (2.10), we get from the last inequality a bound for $\left\|u_{m}^{\prime}\right\|_{p, p}+\left\|A_{p} u_{m}\right\|_{p, p}$ which does not depend on $m$.

We can now choose a subsequence $\left(u_{m_{j}}\right)$ of $\left(u_{m}\right)$ such that

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
u_{m_{j}}^{\prime} \longrightarrow u^{\prime} & \text { in } \quad L^{p}\left(0, T ; L^{p}(\Omega)^{3}\right), \\
A_{p} u_{m_{j}} \longrightarrow A_{p} u \quad \text { in } & L^{p}\left(0, T ; L^{p}(\Omega)^{3}\right) .
\end{array}
$$

As for the nonlinear term we get $\left(J_{k} u_{m}\right) \cdot \nabla u_{m_{j}} \rightharpoonup v$ in $L^{p}\left(0, T ; L^{p}(\Omega)^{3}\right)$. If $\varphi \in$ $C_{0}^{\infty}((0, T) \times \Omega)^{3}$, we have

$$
\int_{0}^{T} \int_{\Omega}\left(\left(J_{k} u_{m_{j}}\right) \cdot \nabla u_{m_{j}}\right) \varphi d x d t \longrightarrow \int_{0}^{T} \int_{\Omega}\left(\left(J_{k} u\right) \cdot \nabla u\right) \varphi d x d t
$$

since $\nabla u_{m_{j}} \rightarrow \nabla u$ in $L^{2}\left(0, T ; L^{2}(\Omega)^{3}\right)$ and $J_{k} u_{m_{j}} \rightarrow J_{k} u$ in $L^{2}\left(0, T ; L^{2}(\Omega)^{3}\right)$ by Rellich's theorem. Thus $v=J_{k} u \cdot \nabla u$ and, in particular, $P_{p}\left(J_{k} u_{m_{j}} \cdot \nabla u_{m_{j}}\right) \rightharpoonup$ $P_{p}\left(J_{k} u \cdot \nabla u\right)$ in $L^{p}\left(0, T ; L^{p}(\Omega)^{3}\right)$. Finally we arrive at $u^{\prime}+A_{p} u+P_{p}\left(J_{k} u \cdot \nabla u\right)=f$, $u^{\prime} \in L^{p}\left(0, T ; L^{p}(\Omega)^{3}\right), A_{p} u \in L^{p}\left(0, T ; L^{p}(\Omega)^{3}\right), u(0)=u_{0}$. The $u$ of course obeys the same bound as the $u_{m}$. Let us remark that without loss of generality we have always chosen the same subsequence of $\left(u_{m}\right)$.

To show the uniqueness, we consider two strong solutions $u$ and $\tilde{u}$ with the same data $u_{0}, f$. Then we get

$$
(u-\tilde{u})^{\prime}+A_{p}(u-\tilde{u})=P_{p}\left[\left(J_{k}(\tilde{u}-u)\right) \cdot \nabla u\right]+P_{p}\left[\left(J_{k} \tilde{u}\right) \cdot \nabla(\tilde{u}-u)\right] .
$$

Using (1.9), it follows

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|u^{\prime}-\tilde{u}^{\prime}\right\|_{L^{p}\left(0, t ; L^{p}\right)}^{p}+\left\|A_{p}(u-\tilde{u})\right\|_{L^{p}\left(0, t ; L^{p}\right)}^{p} \leqq & c_{1}\left(\left\|\left(J_{k}(\tilde{u}-u)\right) \cdot \nabla u\right\|_{L^{p}\left(0, t ; L^{p}\right)}^{p}\right. \\
& \left.+\left\|\left(J_{k} \tilde{u}\right) \cdot \nabla(\tilde{u}-u)\right\|_{L^{p}\left(0, t: L^{p}\right)}^{p}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

for $0 \leqq t \leqq T$, where $c_{1}$ is independent of $t$.

$$
\text { We set } y(t):=\left\|u^{\prime}-\tilde{u}^{\prime}\right\|_{L^{p}\left(0, t ; L^{p}\right)}^{p}+\left\|A_{p}(u-\tilde{u})\right\|_{L^{p}\left(0, t ; L^{p}\right)}^{p} .
$$

The same estimates which we have used for (2.8) yield the inequality $y(t) \leqq c \int_{0}^{t}$ $y(\tau) d \tau$. In order to show this we use the same notation as in the proof of (2.8) and obtain:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\left(J_{k}(\tilde{u}-u)\right) \cdot \nabla u\right\|_{L^{p}\left(0, t, L^{p}\right)}^{p} & \leqq c_{2} \int_{0}^{t}\left\|J_{k}(\tilde{u}-u)\right\|_{1 / p-1 / r}^{p}\|\nabla u\|_{1 / r}^{p} d \tau \\
& \leqq c_{3} \int_{0}^{t}\|\tilde{u}-u\|_{p}^{p}\|\nabla u\|_{r}^{p} d \tau .
\end{aligned}
$$

Using (1.7) and (1.8), the last expression is

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \leqq c_{4} \int_{0}^{t} y(\tau)\|\nabla u\|_{r}^{p} d \tau \\
& \leqq c_{5}\left(\int_{0}^{t} y(\tau) d \tau\right)\left(\left\|A_{p}^{1-1 / p} u_{0}\right\|_{p}^{p}+\int_{0}^{T}\left(\left\|u^{\prime}\right\|_{p}^{p}+\left\|A_{p} u\right\|_{p}^{p}\right) d \tau\right) \\
& \leqq c_{6} \int_{0}^{t} y(\tau) d \tau
\end{aligned}
$$

In the same way it follows

$$
\left\|\left(J_{k} \tilde{u}\right) \cdot \nabla(\tilde{u}-u)\right\|_{L^{p}\left(0, t ; L^{p}\right)}^{p} \leqq c_{7} \int_{0}^{t} y(\tau) d \tau
$$

and thus we obtain the inequality $y(t) \leqq c \int_{0}^{t} y(\tau) d \tau$. Together with $y(0)=0$ we
get that $y(t)=0$ for all $t \in[0, T]$; it follows $u=\tilde{u}$ by Gronwall's inequality.
The energy equality (2.4) follows by taking the scalar product of (2.1) with $u$. Lemma 2.3 is proved.

Let us make two remarks: First we want to explain why we have used regularized initial values $J_{m} u_{0}$. The reason is simply that in the second part of the preceding proof we need an initial value in $D\left(A_{p}^{1-(1 / p)+\delta}\right)$, whereas in the first part it is sufficient to have $u_{0} \in D\left(A_{2}^{1 / 2}\right)$. Secondly, it follows from the linear theory that the solution in Lemma 2.3 is in $C^{0}\left((0, T), D\left(A_{2}^{1 / 2}\right)\right)$.

Proof of Theorem 1.3. Let $f, u_{0}$ and $s, q$ be as in 1.3 , and let $u_{k}$ be the strong solution of (2.2) for $k=1,2, \ldots$. We write (2.2) in the form

$$
u_{k}^{\prime}+A_{p} u_{k}+P_{p}\left[u_{k} \cdot \nabla u_{k}\right]=P_{p} f+P_{p}\left[\left(I-J_{k}\right) u_{k} \cdot \nabla u_{k}\right]
$$

and show that $g_{k}:=P_{k}\left[\left(I-J_{k}\right) u_{k} \cdot \nabla u_{k}\right]$ belongs to $L^{p}\left(0, T ; L^{p}(\Omega)^{3}\right)$ and tends to zero in $L^{s}\left(0, T ; L^{q}(\Omega)^{3}\right)$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$. Then we have proved the last assertion of 1.3. However, because $2 / s+3 / q>4$, we see that $s<2$ and $q<2$; it follows that $s \leqq p, q \leqq p$ and therefore, that $L^{p}\left(0, T ; L^{p}(\Omega)^{3}\right)$ is contained in $L^{s}\left(0, T ; L^{q}(\Omega)^{3}\right)$ as a dense subset. Thus we obtain the first assertion of 1.3 too.

As for the main part we show first that $g_{k} \in L^{p}\left(0, T ; L^{p}(\Omega)^{3}\right)$. To prove this we choose $r=2$ in case $p=2$ and $r>p$ with $2-5 / p>1-3 / r$ in case $2<p$. Then from (1.8) we obtain

$$
\left\|\Gamma u_{k}\right\|_{r, \infty} \leqq c_{1}\left(\left\|A_{p}^{1-1 / p} u_{0}\right\|_{p}+\left\|u_{k}^{\prime}\right\|_{p, p}+\left\|A_{p} u_{k}\right\|_{p, p}\right)
$$

and using $2 / 3-1 / p>-(1 / p-1 / r)$ and (1.5), we arrive at

$$
\left\|u_{k}\right\|_{1 / p-1 / r} \leqq c_{2}\left\|A_{p} u_{k}\right\|_{p}
$$

Therefore we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|g_{k}\right\|_{p, p} & \leqq c_{3}\left(\int_{0}^{T}\left\|\left(I-J_{k}\right) u_{k} \cdot \nabla u_{k}\right\|_{p}^{p} d t\right)^{1 / p} \\
& \leqq c_{4}\left(\int_{0}^{T}\left\|u_{k}\right\|_{1 / p-1 / r}^{p}\left\|\nabla u_{k}\right\|_{1 / r}^{p} d t\right)^{1 / p} \\
& \left.\leqq c_{5}\left(\left\|A_{p}^{1-1 / p} u_{0}\right\|_{p}+\left\|u_{k}^{\prime}\right\|_{p, p}+\left\|A_{p} u_{k}\right\|_{p, p}\right)\left(\int_{0}^{T}\left\|A_{p} u_{k}\right\|_{p}^{p} d t\right)\right)^{1 / p} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Because $u_{k}$ is a strong solution of (2.2) it follows $g_{k} \in L^{p}\left(0, T ; L^{p}(\Omega)^{3}\right)$.
In order to show that $g_{k} \rightarrow 0$ in $L^{s}\left(0, T ; L^{q}(\Omega)^{3}\right)$, we take an $r>q$ with $4=$ $2 / s+3 / r$; this is possible because $4<2 / s+3 / q$. Then we can choose $\alpha \in(0,1)$ with $(3 / 2)(1-1 / r)-\alpha \geqq(3 / 2)(1-1 / q)$ and we get

$$
(2 / 3)((3 / 2)(1-1 / r)-\alpha)-1 / 2 \geqq(2 / 3)(3 / 2)(1-1 / q)-1 / 2=-(1 / q-1 / 2)
$$

Using $I-J_{k}=(1 / k) A_{p} J_{k}$, (2.1) and (1.5), we obtain the following estimates:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|g_{k}\right\|_{q}=\| P_{p}\left[\left(\left(I-J_{k}\right) u_{k} \cdot \nabla u_{k}\right]\left\|_{q} \leqq c_{1}\right\|\left(\left(I-J_{k}\right) u_{k}\right) \cdot \nabla u_{k} \|_{q}\right. \\
& \quad=c_{1}\left\|\left((1 / k) A_{p} J_{k} A_{p}^{-\alpha} A_{p}^{\alpha} u_{k}\right) \cdot \nabla u_{k}\right\|_{q}=c_{1}\left\|\left((1 / k) A_{p}^{1-\alpha} J_{k} A_{p}^{\alpha} u_{k}\right) \cdot \nabla u_{k}\right\|_{q} \\
& \quad \leqq c_{2}\left\|(1 / k) A_{p}^{1-\alpha} J_{k} A_{p}^{\alpha} u_{k}\right\|_{1 / q-1 / 2}\left\|\nabla u_{k}\right\|_{1 / 2} \\
& \leqq c_{3}\left\|(1 / k) A_{p}^{1-\alpha} J_{k}\right\|\left\|A_{p}^{\alpha} u_{k}\right\|_{1 / q-1 / 2}\left\|\nabla u_{k}\right\|_{1 / 2} \\
& \leqq c_{4} k^{-\alpha}\left\|A_{p}^{\alpha} u_{k}\right\|_{1 / q-1 / 2}\left\|\nabla u_{k}\right\|_{1 / 2} \\
& \leqq c_{5} k^{-\alpha}\left\|A_{2}^{(3 / 2)(1-1 / r)-\alpha} A_{2}^{\alpha} u_{k}\right\|_{2}\left\|A_{2}^{1 / 2} u_{k}\right\|_{2} \\
& =c_{5} k^{-\alpha}\left\|A_{2}^{(3 / 2)(1-1 / r)} u_{k}\right\|_{2}\left\|A_{2}^{1 / 2} u_{k}\right\|_{2} \\
& \leqq c_{6} k^{-\alpha}\left\|A_{2}^{1 / 2} u_{k}\right\|_{2}^{3(1-1 / r)}\left\|u_{k}\right\|_{2}^{1-3(1-1 / r)}\left\|A_{2}^{1 / 2} u_{k}\right\|_{2} \\
& =c_{6} k^{-\alpha}\left\|A_{2}^{1 / 2} u_{k}\right\|\left\|_{2}^{1+3(1-1 / r)}\right\| u_{k} \|_{2}^{1-3(1-1 / r)} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Here we have used that $A_{p}^{\beta} v=A_{2}^{\beta} v$ holds for $v \in D\left(A_{p}^{\beta}\right) \cap D\left(A_{2}^{\beta}\right), 0 \leqq \beta \leqq 1$.
Using $2=s(1+3(1-1 / r))$ (because $4=2 / s+3 / r)$ and $s(1+3(1-1 / r))=2 s-2$ we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|g_{k}\right\|_{q, s} & =\left(\int_{0}^{T}\left\|g_{k}\right\|_{q}^{s} d t\right)^{1 / s} \leqq c_{6} k^{-\alpha}\left(\int_{0}^{T}\left\|A_{2}^{1 / 2} u_{k}\right\| \sum_{2}^{(1+3(1-1 / r)}\left\|u_{k}\right\|_{2}^{s(1-3(1-1 / r))} d t\right)^{1 / s} \\
& \leqq c_{6} k^{-\alpha}\left(\int_{0}^{T}\left\|A_{2}^{1 / 2} u_{k}\right\|_{2}^{2} d t\right)^{1 / s}\left\|u_{k}\right\|_{2, \infty}^{2-2 / s} \\
& =c_{6} k^{-\alpha}\left\|A_{2}^{1 / 2} u_{k}\right\|_{2,2}^{2 / s}\left\|u_{k}\right\|_{2, \infty}^{2-2 / s} .
\end{aligned}
$$

The energy inequality (2.5) shows that

$$
\sup _{k}\left(\left\|A_{2}^{1 / 2} u_{k}\right\|_{2,2}^{2 / s}\left\|u_{k}\right\|_{2, \infty}^{2-2 / s}\right)=\sup _{k}\left(\left\|\nabla u_{k}\right\|\left\|_{2,2}^{2 / s}\right\| u_{k} \|_{2, \infty}^{2-2 / s}\right)
$$

has a bound which is independent of $k$. Thus we see that $\left\|g_{k}\right\|_{q, s} \rightarrow 0$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$, and Theorem 1.3 is proved.
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