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## 1. Introduction

Every open Riemann surface of finite genus can be embedded conformally into a compact Riemann surface of the same genus. On the basis of [5], M. Shiba and K. Shibata gave in [7] a new proof of this classical theorem, and introduced the notion of hydrodynamic continuation. Their proof was of global character.

In [6] M. Shiba studied the set of compact continuations of an open Riemann surface of genus one in detail. He proved, among others, that the moduli set of compact continuations of a fixed marked open Riemann surface $R$ of genus one is precisely a closed disk (or a point) in the upper half plane and that there is a bijection between the boundary of the closed disk and the set of hydrodynamic continuations of $R$. These results considerably improved Heins' result [2, Theorem 2]. The euclidean (resp. noneuclidean) diameter of the closed disk is called the euclidean (resp. hyperbolic) span for $R$ (cf. Shiba-Shibata [8]). These spans represent the size of the ideal boundary of $R$. For example, the hyperbolic (or euclidean) span vanishes if and only if $R \in O_{A D}$ (see [6, Theorem 6]).

It seems that only few quantitative results about the moduli set are known. Shiba-Shibata [8] have calculated, using Jacobi's elliptic functions, the hyperbolic span explicitly for a strongly symmetric marked torus with a horizontal slit, and applied the formulae to estimate the hyperbolic span for an arbitrary marked torus with a horizontal slit. The results are rather complicated, however.

In this paper we consider an open Riemann surface (of genus one) of the form $R=\tilde{R} / G$, where $G$ is a group generated by two translations of $\mathbb{C}$ and $\tilde{R}$ is a $G$-invariant domain of $\mathbb{C}$. By applying the length-area method we will give simple estimates of the euclidean span for $R$.

In the next section, after summarizing Shiba's results [6], we will state our main results. One of the hydrodynamic continuations of $R$ has the smallest (normalized) area among the compact continuations of $R$. In §3 we will characterize the area in terms of the moduli of ring domains on $R$ and
the modulus of a curve family. Our main results will be proved in $\S 4$. In the final section we treat the case where $R$ is a torus with horizontal slits.

## 2. Main results

Let $T$ be a torus (i.e., a compact Riemann surface of genus one). An ordered pair $\left\{a_{T}, b_{T}\right\}$ of generators for the fundamental group of $T$ is called a marking of $T$ if the intersection number of $a_{T}$ and $b_{T}$ is 1 . The modulus of $T$ with respect to the marking $\left\{a_{T}, b_{T}\right\}$, or the modulus of the marked torus ( $T,\left\{a_{T}, b_{T}\right\}$ ), is the period

$$
\tau=\int_{b_{T}} \varphi^{T}
$$

of $\varphi^{T}$ along $b_{T}$, where $\varphi^{T}$ is the holomorphic differential on $T$ with period 1 along $a_{T}$. It is well known that $\operatorname{Im} \tau>0$.

Now, we summarize some results of Shiba [6]. Let $R$ be an open Riemann surface of genus one, and fix a canonical homology basis $\{a, b\}$ of $R$ modulo dividing cycles. The system $\{a, b\}$ may be regarded as a set of generators for the fundamental group of the Kerékjártó-Stoïlow compactification of $R$ (cf. Richards [3]). The pair ( $R,\{a, b\}$ ) is called a marked open Riemann surface. If there is a conformal embedding $j$ of $R$ into a torus $T$ with marking $\left\{a_{T}, b_{T}\right\}$ such that $j(a)$ and $j(b)$ are freely homotopic to $a_{T}$ and $b_{T}$ in $T$ respectively, then the triple $\left(T,\left\{a_{T}, b_{T}\right\}, j\right)$ is said to be a marked realization of $(R,\{a, b\})$. Two marked realizations $\left(T,\left\{a_{T}, b_{T}\right\}, j\right)$ and ( $\left.T^{\prime},\left\{a_{T^{\prime}}^{\prime}, b_{T^{\prime}}^{\prime}\right\}, j^{\prime}\right)$ are defined to be equivalent if there exists a conformal mapping $f$ of $T$ onto $T^{\prime}$ such that $f \circ j=j^{\prime}$. An equivalence class $\left[T,\left\{a_{T}, b_{T}\right\}, j\right]$ is called a compact continuation of $(R,\{a, b\})$.

By the modulus of a marked realization ( $T,\left\{a_{T}, b_{T}\right\}, j$ ) we mean the modulus of $T$ with respect to $\left\{a_{T}, b_{T}\right\}$. Then equivalent marked realizations have the same modulus so that we can speak of the modulus of a compact continuation. Let $M(R,\{a, b\})$ denote the set of the moduli of all compact continuations of $(R,\{a, b\})$. Then, by Shiba [6, Theorem 5], $M(R,\{a, b\})$ is a closed disk (or a point), say $\left\{\tau \in \mathbb{C}\left|\left|\tau-\tau^{*}\right| \leq r\right\}\right.$, in the upper half plane. The euclidean (resp. noneuclidean) diameter of $M(R,\{a, b\})$ is called the euclidean (resp. hyperbolic) span for the marked open Riemann surface ( $R,\{a, b\}$ ) (cf. Shiba-Shibata [8]).

For each $t \in(-1,1]$ there is a unique holomorphic differential $\varphi_{t}$ on $R$ with $\int_{a} \varphi_{t}=1$ such that $\operatorname{Im}\left[e^{-i \pi t / 2} \varphi_{t}\right]$ is a distinguished harmonic differential of Ahlfors (cf. [1, V.21D]). Furthermore, there is a marked realization
$\left(T_{t},\left\{a_{t}, b_{t}\right\}, j_{t}\right)$ of $(R,\{a, b\})$ such that the transplant of $\varphi_{t}$ via $j_{t}^{-1}$ extends to a holomorphic differential $\varphi^{T_{t}}$ on $T_{t}$ with period 1 along $a_{t}$ and that $T_{t} \backslash j_{t}(R)$ is of zero area. We can choose $a_{t}$ and $b_{t}$ to be geodesics with respect to the metric $\left|\varphi^{T_{t}}\right|$. Then each component of $T_{t} \backslash j_{t}(R)$ is a point or a geodesic arc of inclination $\pi t / 2$ with $a_{t}$. The compact continuation $\left[T_{t},\left\{a_{t}, b_{t}\right\}, j_{t}\right]$ is called the hydrodynamic continuation of $(R,\{a, b\})$ with respect to $\varphi_{t}$. Its modulus is $\tau_{t}=\tau^{*}+r \exp [i \pi(t-1 / 2)] \in \partial M(R,\{a, b\})$, and it is the unique compact continuation of ( $R,\{a, b\}$ ) whose modulus is $\tau_{t}$ ([6, Theorems 3 and 4]).

When $R$ is a strongly symmetric torus with a horizontal slit, Shiba-Shibata [8] have explicitly calculated the hyperbolic span using Jacobi's elliptic functions. Also, they have obtained an estimate of the hyperbolic span for an arbitrary marked torus with a horizontal slit. The purpose of this paper is to estimate the euclidean span for the marked open Riemann surface ( $R,\{a, b\}$ ) given in the following way. Let $G$ be the group generated by the translations $\alpha(z)=z+1$ and $\beta(z)=z+\tau^{\prime}$ of the complex plane $\mathbb{C}$, where $\operatorname{Im} \tau^{\prime}>0$. Let $\tilde{R}(\neq \emptyset)$ be a $G$-invariant proper subdomain of $\mathbb{C}$. Then the orbit space $R=\tilde{R} / G$ is an open Riemann surface of genus one. We choose a canonical homology basis $\{a, b\}$ of $R$ modulo dividing cycles so that $a$ (resp. $b$ ) is covered by a Jordan arc in $\tilde{R}$ from a point $z_{0}$ to $\alpha\left(z_{0}\right)$ (resp. $\beta\left(z_{0}\right)$ ). Let $T^{\prime}=\mathbb{C} / G$. The inclusion map of $\tilde{R}$ into $\mathbb{C}$ induces an embedding $j^{\prime}: R \rightarrow T^{\prime}$. Setting $a^{\prime}=j^{\prime}(a)$ and $b^{\prime}=j^{\prime}(b)$, we obtain a marked realization ( $\left.T^{\prime},\left\{a^{\prime}, b^{\prime}\right\}, j^{\prime}\right)$ of $(R,\{a, b\})$. In particular, $\tau^{\prime} \in M(R,\{a, b\})$. As before, we denote by $\varphi_{t}$ the holomorphic differential on $R$ with $\int_{a} \varphi_{t}=1$ such that $\operatorname{Im}\left[e^{-i \pi t / 2} \varphi_{t}\right]$ is distinguished. Also, we assume that $M(R,\{a, b\})=\left\{\tau \in \mathbb{C} \| \tau-\tau^{*} \mid \leq r\right\}$ and set $\tau_{t}=\int_{b} \varphi_{t}=\tau^{*}+r \exp [i \pi(t-1 / 2)]$.

We denote one and two dimensional Lebesgue measures by $\mu_{1}$ and $\mu_{2}$, respectively. They naturally induce measures on $T^{\prime}$, which will be denoted by the same letters. Also, we denote by $I$ the orthogonal projection onto the imaginary axis: $I(z)=i \operatorname{Im} z$. The closed segment joining $z_{1}$ to $z_{2}$ is denoted by $\left[z_{1}, z_{2}\right]$.

Theorem 1. Let $l_{1}=\sup \mu_{1}(I(E))$, where the supremum is taken over all components $E$ of $\mathbb{C} \backslash \tilde{R} . \quad$ Set $L=\max \left\{l_{1}-\operatorname{Im} \tau^{\prime}, 0\right\}$. Then

$$
\operatorname{Im} \tau_{0} \leq \frac{\mu_{2}(R)}{L^{2}+1}
$$

and the euclidean span $\sigma$ for $(R,\{a, b\})$ satisfies

$$
\sigma \geq \operatorname{Im} \tau^{\prime}-\frac{\mu_{2}(R)}{L^{2}+1}
$$

Theorem 1 makes sense when $\mathbb{C} \backslash \tilde{R}$ is large, while the following theorem does when $\mathbb{C} \backslash \tilde{R}$ is small.

Theorem 2. Let $l_{2}=\mu_{1}\left(I(\mathbb{C} \backslash \tilde{R}) \cap\left[0, I\left(\tau^{\prime}\right)\right]\right)$. Then

$$
\operatorname{Im} \tau_{0} \geq \operatorname{Im} \tau^{\prime}-l_{2}
$$

In particular, if $\left[T^{\prime},\left\{a^{\prime}, b^{\prime}\right\}, j^{\prime}\right]$ is the hydrodynamic continuation of $(R,\{a, b\})$ with respect to $\varphi_{t}, t \in(-1,1]$, then the euclidean span $\sigma$ for $(R,\{a, b\})$ satisfies

$$
\sigma \leq \frac{l_{2}}{\sin ^{2}(\pi t / 2)}
$$

In the next section we give a proposition which is useful in estimating $\operatorname{Im} \tau_{0}$. The above theorems will be proved in $\S 4$.

## 3. Extremal properties of $\boldsymbol{\varphi}_{\mathbf{0}}$

A linear density (or a metric) $\rho=\rho(z)|d z|$ on a Riemann surface $R$ is an assignment of a Borel measurable nonnegative function $\rho(z)$ to each local coordinate $z$ on $R$ such that $\rho(z)|d z|$ is invariant under coordinate changes. For a linear density $\rho=\rho(z)|d z|$ we set

$$
\|\rho\|=\left(\iint_{R} \rho(z)^{2} d x d y\right)^{1 / 2}
$$

If $\varphi=\varphi(z) d z$ is a holomorphic differential on $R$, then $\rho=|\varphi|=|\varphi(z)||d z|$ is a linear density on $R$. We define $\|\varphi\|=\|\rho\|$. Note that $2\|\varphi\|^{2}=\iint_{R} \varphi \wedge * \bar{\varphi}$.

Let $D$ be a ring domain (i.e., a doubly connected domain) of $R$. It can be mapped conformally onto an annulus $\left\{z \in \mathbb{C}\left|r_{1}<|z|<r_{2}\right\}, 0 \leq r_{1}<r_{2} \leq+\infty\right.$. The modulus of $D$ is defined to be $(1 / 2 \pi) \log \left(r_{2} / r_{1}\right)$, and denoted by $\bmod D$. Let $c$ be a closed Jordan curve on $R$. If a closed Jordan curve on $D$ which is homotopically nontrivial in $D$ is freely homotopic to $c$ on $R$, then $D$ is said to be of homotopy type $c$. More generally, let $\left\{c_{n}\right\}_{n}$ be a sequence of finitely or countably many closed Jordan curves on $R$. A sequence $\left\{D_{n}\right\}_{n}$ of non-overlapping ring domains of $R$ is said to be of homotopy type $\left\{c_{n}\right\}_{n}$ if each $D_{n}$ is of homotopy type $c_{n}$.

Now, let $R$ be an open Riemann surface of genus one, and $a$ a closed Jordan curve on $R$ which is homotopically nontrivial. We denote by $\mathscr{C}(R, a)$ the family of all rectifiable closed Jordan curves on $R$ that are homologous to $a$ on $R$ modulo dividing cycles. The modulus $\bmod \mathscr{C}(R, a)$ of the family $\mathscr{C}(R, a)$ is defined by

$$
\bmod \mathscr{C}(R, a)=\inf _{\rho}\|\rho\|^{2}
$$

where the infimum is taken over all linear densities $\rho$ on $R$ such that $\int_{c} \rho|d z| \geq 1$ for all $c \in \mathscr{C}(R, a)$.

Next, let $\mathfrak{C}(R, a)$ be the set of all sequences of finitely or countably many curves in $\mathscr{C}(R, a)$. We denote by $\mathfrak{D}(R, a)$ the class of all sequences $\left\{D_{n}\right\}_{n}$ of non-overlapping ring domains of $R$ such that $\left\{D_{n}\right\}_{n}$ is of homotopy type $\left\{c_{n}\right\}_{n}$ for some $\left\{c_{n}\right\}_{n} \in \mathbb{C}(R, a)$.

Proposition 1. Let $(R,\{a, b\})$ be a marked open Riemann surface of genus one, and $\varphi_{0}$ the holomorphic differential on $R$ with $\int_{a} \varphi_{0}=1$ such that $\operatorname{Im} \varphi_{0}$ is a distinguished harmonic differential of Ahlfors. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\varphi_{0}\right\|^{2}=\bmod \mathscr{C}(R, a)=\sup \sum_{n} \bmod D_{n} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the supremum is taken over all $\left\{D_{n}\right\}_{n} \in \mathfrak{D}(R, a)$.
Proof. First, we have $\int_{c}\left|\varphi_{0}\right| \geq 1$ for all $c \in \mathscr{C}(R, a)$ since $\int_{c} \varphi_{0}=\int_{a} \varphi_{0}=1$. Thus, by the definition of the modulus of the curve family $\mathscr{C}(R, a)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\varphi_{0}\right\|^{2} \geq \bmod \mathscr{C}(R, a) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Next, let $\rho$ be a linear density on $R$ such that $\int_{c} \rho \geq 1$ for all $c \in \mathscr{C}(R, a)$. Since the modulus of a ring domain $D$ is identical with the modulus of the family of closed Jordan curves in $D$ which are homotopically nontrivial in $D$, we have

$$
\|\rho\|^{2} \geq \sum_{n} \iint_{D_{n}}|\rho|^{2} d x d y \geq \sum_{n} \bmod D_{n}
$$

for all $\left\{D_{n}\right\}_{n} \in \mathfrak{D}(R, a)$. Therefore, denoting by $s$ the supremum in (1), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bmod \mathscr{C}(R, a) \geq s \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Finally, in order to show that $s \geq\left\|\varphi_{0}\right\|^{2}$, we choose a regular exhaustion $\left\{R_{v}\right\}$ of $R$ such that the cycles $a$ and $b$ are contained in $R_{1}$. For each $v$ there is a unique holomorphic differential $\varphi_{0}^{(v)}$ on $R_{v}$ with $\int_{a} \varphi_{0}^{(\nu)}=1$ such that
$\operatorname{Im} \varphi_{0}^{(v)}$ is a distinguished harmonic differential on $R_{v}$. Let $\left[T_{v},\left\{a_{v}, b_{v}\right\}, j_{v}\right.$ ] be the hydrodynamic continuation of $\left(R_{v},\{a, b\}\right)$ with respect to $\varphi_{0}^{(v)}$. Let $G_{v}$ be the group generated by the translations $\alpha(z)=z+1$ and $\beta_{v}(z)=z+\tau_{v}$, where $\tau_{v}$ is the modulus of the continuation [ $T_{v},\left\{a_{v}, b_{v}\right\}, j_{v}$ ]. We can identify $T_{v}$ with $\mathbb{C} / G_{v}$, and assume that $a_{v}$ and $b_{v}$ are covered by the segments $[0,1]$ and $\left[0, \tau_{v}\right]$, respectively. Let $\pi_{v}: \mathbb{C} \rightarrow T_{v}$ be the natural projection, and set $\widetilde{R}_{v}=\pi_{v}^{-1}\left(j_{v}\left(R_{v}\right)\right)$. Then $\tilde{R}_{v}$ is a $G_{v}$-invariant horizontal slit domain, and $F_{v}=I\left(\mathbb{C} \backslash \tilde{R}_{v}\right)$ is a discrete set of the imaginary axis $i \mathbb{R}$, where $I(z)=i \operatorname{Im} z$. If $J$ is a component of $(i \mathbb{R}) \backslash F_{v}$, then $D=j_{v}^{-1}\left(\pi_{v}\left(I^{-1}(J)\right)\right)$ is a ring domain of $R_{v}(\subset R)$, whose modulus is equal to

$$
\mu_{1}(J)=\iint_{[0,1] \times J} d x d y=\iint_{D}\left|\varphi_{0}^{(v)}\right|^{2} d x d y
$$

Now, let $D_{m}^{(v)}, m=1, \ldots, m_{v}$, be the ring domains on $R_{v}$ obtained as above from the components of $(i \mathbb{R}) \backslash F_{v}$. Then $\left\{D_{m}^{(\nu)}\right\}_{m} \in \mathfrak{D}\left(R_{v}, a\right) \subset \mathfrak{D}(R, a)$. Since $\bigcup_{m} D_{m}^{(\nu)}$ differs from $R_{v}$ by a set of zero area, we obtain

$$
s \geq \sum_{m} \bmod D_{m}^{(v)}=\sum_{m} \iint_{D_{m}^{(\nu)}}\left|\varphi_{0}^{(v)}\right|^{2} d x d y=\left\|\varphi_{0}^{(\nu)}\right\|^{2}
$$

Since $\left\|\varphi_{0}^{(v)}\right\|^{2}$ tends to $\left\|\varphi_{0}\right\|^{2}$ as $v \rightarrow \infty$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
s \geq\left\|\varphi_{0}\right\|^{2} . \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining (2), (3) and (4), we obtain the desired result (1).
Remarks. (i) We could apply [9, Theorem 20.3] to prove inequality (3).
(ii) Since the differential $\psi=\operatorname{Re} \varphi_{0} /\left\|\varphi_{0}\right\|^{2}$ is the $\Gamma_{\text {hse }}$-reproducer for $a$, it follows from Rodin's theorem [4] that

$$
\frac{1}{\bmod \mathscr{C}(R, a)}=\iint_{R} \psi \wedge * \bar{\psi}=\frac{1}{\left\|\varphi_{0}\right\|^{2}}
$$

We have thus obtained a half of Proposition 1.

## 4. Proof of Theorems 1 and 2

We can now easily prove Theorems 1 and 2 .
Proof of Theorem 1. Let $\tilde{c}$ be a curve on $\tilde{R}$ whose projection belongs to $\mathscr{C}(R, a)$. Then $\tilde{c}$ is a rectifiable Jordan arc joining a point $z_{0} \in \tilde{R}$ to $\alpha\left(z_{0}\right)=z_{0}+1$ in $\widetilde{R}$. We claim that

$$
\int_{\tilde{c}}|d z| \geq \sqrt{L^{2}+1}
$$

This inequality clearly holds when $L=0$. Thus we have only to consider the case $L>0$. For each $\varepsilon \in(0, L)$, there is a component $E$ of $\mathbb{C} \backslash \tilde{R}$ such that $\mu_{1}(I(E))>l_{1}-\varepsilon$. Since $l_{1}-\varepsilon>\operatorname{Im} \tau^{\prime}$, we can find $g \in G$ such that (i) $g(E) \cap\left[z_{0}, \alpha\left(z_{0}\right)\right] \neq \emptyset$, (ii) $\operatorname{Im} \zeta^{+} \geq \operatorname{Im} z_{0}+(L-\varepsilon) / 2$ for some $\zeta^{+} \in E$, and (iii) $\operatorname{Im} \zeta^{-} \leq \operatorname{Im} z_{0}-(L-\varepsilon) / 2$ for some $\zeta^{-} \in E$. Since $\tilde{c} \cap g(E)=\emptyset$, we see that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\tilde{\mathfrak{c}}}|d z| & \geq \min \left\{\left|z_{0}-\zeta^{+}\right|+\left|\alpha\left(z_{0}\right)-\zeta^{+}\right|,\left|z_{0}-\zeta^{-}\right|+\left|\alpha\left(z_{0}\right)-\zeta^{-}\right|\right\} \\
& \geq \sqrt{(L-\varepsilon)^{2}+1}
\end{aligned}
$$

Letting $\varepsilon \downarrow 0$, we obtain our claim.
The linear density $|d z| / \sqrt{L^{2}+1}$ on $\tilde{R}$ induces a linear density $\rho$ on $R$. It then follows from the above claim that $\int_{c} \rho \geq 1$ for all $c \in \mathscr{C}(R, a)$. Consequently, by Proposition 1,

$$
\operatorname{Im} \tau_{0}=\left\|\varphi_{0}\right\|^{2}=\bmod \mathscr{C}(R, a) \leq\|\rho\|^{2}=\frac{\mu_{2}(R)}{L^{2}+1}
$$

Since $\tau^{\prime} \in M(R,\{a, b\})$, we have

$$
\sigma \geq\left|\tau^{\prime}-\tau_{0}\right| \geq \operatorname{Im} \tau^{\prime}-\operatorname{Im} \tau_{0} \geq \operatorname{Im} \tau^{\prime}-\frac{\mu_{0}(R)}{L^{2}+1}
$$

This completes the proof.

Proof of Theorem 2. The set $F=I(\mathbb{C} \backslash \tilde{R})$ is a closed subset of the imaginary axis $i \mathbb{R}$. Let $\pi: \tilde{R} \rightarrow R$ be the natural projection. If $J$ is a component of $(i \mathbb{R}) \backslash F$, then $D=\pi\left(I^{-1}(J)\right)$ is a ring domain of $R$ with $\bmod D=\mu_{1}(J)$. Let $\left\{D_{m}\right\}_{m}$ be the collection of the ring domains of $R$ so obtained from the components of $(i \mathbb{R}) \backslash F$. Then $\left\{D_{m}\right\}_{m} \in \mathfrak{D}(R, a)$. Therefore, by Proposition 1, we have

$$
\operatorname{Im} \tau_{0}=\left\|\varphi_{0}\right\|^{2} \geq \sum_{m} \bmod D_{m}=\operatorname{Im} \tau^{\prime}-l_{2}
$$

Next, assume that [ $\left.T^{\prime},\left\{a^{\prime}, b^{\prime}\right\}, j^{\prime}\right]$ is the hydrodynamic continuation of $(R,\{a, b\})$ with respect to $\varphi_{t}$. Then $\tau^{\prime}=\tau_{t}=\tau^{*}+r \exp [i \pi(t-1 / 2)]$. Hence

$$
l_{2} \geq \operatorname{Im} \tau^{\prime}-\operatorname{Im} \tau_{0}=r\left[1+\sin \pi\left(t-\frac{1}{2}\right)\right]=2 r \sin ^{2} \frac{\pi t}{2}
$$

which implies that

$$
\sigma=2 r \leq \frac{l_{2}}{\sin ^{2}(\pi t / 2)}
$$

We have proved the theorem.

## 5. Tori with horizontal slits

In this section we consider the case where $\left[T^{\prime},\left\{a^{\prime}, b^{\prime}\right\}, j^{\prime}\right]$ is the hydrodynamic continuation of $(R,\{a, b\})$ with respect to $\varphi_{0}$. Then $\tau^{\prime}=\tau_{0}$. In this case Theorem 1 or 2 gives us no information about the euclidean span. However, by changing homology bases, we can prove the following

Theorem 3. Set $\theta^{\prime}=\arg \tau^{\prime}, 0<\theta^{\prime}<\pi$, and $l_{3}=\mu_{1}\left(I^{\prime}(\mathbb{C} \backslash \tilde{R}) \cap\left[0, I^{\prime}(1)\right]\right)$, where $I^{\prime}$ is the orthogonal projection of $\mathbb{C}$ onto the line which passes through the origin and is orthogonal to the segment $\left[0, \tau^{\prime}\right]$. If $\left[T^{\prime},\left\{a^{\prime}, b^{\prime}\right\}, j^{\prime}\right]$ is the hydrodynamic continuation of $(R,\{a, b\})$ with respect to $\varphi_{0}$, then the euclidean span $\sigma$ for $(R,\{a, b\})$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma \leq \frac{l_{3}}{\sin \theta^{\prime}-l_{3}} \cdot \frac{\left|\tau^{\prime}\right|}{\sin \theta^{\prime}} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Let

$$
t= \begin{cases}\frac{2 \theta^{\prime}}{\pi} & \text { if } 0<\theta^{\prime} \leq \frac{\pi}{2} \\ \frac{2 \theta^{\prime}}{\pi}-2 & \text { if } \frac{\pi}{2}<\theta^{\prime}<\pi\end{cases}
$$

and consider the hydrodynamic continuation $\left[T_{t},\left\{a_{t}, b_{t}\right\}, j_{t}\right]$ of $(R,\{a, b\})$ with respect to $\varphi_{t}$. Note that the origin $0, \tau^{\prime}$ and $\tau_{t}$ are collinear. Thus, denoting by $\psi_{0}$ the holomorphic differential on $R$ with $\int_{b} \psi_{0}=1$ such that $\operatorname{Im} \psi_{0}$ is distinguished, we have $\varphi_{t}=\tau_{t} \psi_{0}$ so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{-a} \psi_{0}=-\frac{1}{\tau_{t}} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, Theorem 2 implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Im} \int_{-a} \psi_{0} \geq \frac{\sin \theta^{\prime}-l_{3}}{\left|\tau^{\prime}\right|} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\arg \tau_{t}=\arg \tau^{\prime}=\theta^{\prime}$,

$$
\operatorname{Im}\left(-\frac{1}{\tau_{t}}\right)=\frac{\sin \theta^{\prime}}{\left|\tau_{t}\right|}
$$

By (6), (7) and (8) we obtain

$$
\left|\tau_{t}\right| \leq \frac{\sin \theta^{\prime}}{\sin \theta^{\prime}-l_{3}}\left|\tau^{\prime}\right|
$$

and hence

$$
\left|\tau_{t}-\tau^{\prime}\right|=\left|\tau_{t}\right|-\left|\tau^{\prime}\right| \leq\left(\frac{\sin \theta^{\prime}}{\sin \theta^{\prime}-l_{3}}-1\right)\left|\tau^{\prime}\right|=\frac{l_{3}}{\sin \theta^{\prime}-l_{3}}\left|\tau^{\prime}\right| .
$$

Since $\left|\tau_{t}-\tau^{\prime}\right|=\sigma \sin \theta^{\prime}$, we conclude that

$$
\sigma \leq \frac{l_{3}}{\sin \theta^{\prime}-l_{3}} \cdot \frac{\left|\tau^{\prime}\right|}{\sin \theta^{\prime}}
$$

The proof is complete.
In particular, assume that $T^{\prime} \backslash j^{\prime}(R)$ is connected; let $l$ be the length of the horizontal slit. Then, since $l=l_{3} / \sin \theta^{\prime}$, inequality (5) can be rewritten as

$$
\sigma \leq \frac{l}{1-l} \cdot \frac{\operatorname{Im} \tau^{\prime}}{\sin ^{2} \theta^{\prime}}
$$

Now, choose $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $\left|\operatorname{Re} \tau^{\prime}+k\right| \leq 1 / 2$, and set $\theta=\arg \left(\tau^{\prime}+k\right)$. Observe that $\left[T^{\prime}\left\{a^{\prime}, k a^{\prime}+b^{\prime}\right\}, j^{\prime}\right]$ is the hydrodynamic continuation of $(R,\{a, k a+b\})$ with respect to $\varphi_{0}$, whose modulus is $\tau^{\prime}+k$. Since the euclidean span for $(R,\{a, k a+b\})$ is the same as that for $(R,\{a, b\})$, we finally obtain

$$
\sigma \leq \frac{l}{1-l} \cdot \frac{\operatorname{Im} \tau^{\prime}}{\sin ^{2} \theta}
$$
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