

On confidence regions in canonical discriminant analysis

Yasunori FUJIKOSHI
(Received May 20, 1991)

1. Introduction

Consider q p -variate populations Π_j , $j = 1, \dots, q$ with means μ_j and the same covariance matrix Σ , where μ_j and Σ are unknown. Suppose that there are N_j observations x_{jk} from the j -th population Π_j ($k = 1, \dots, N_j$; $j = 1, \dots, q$; $N = \sum N_j$). Let S and B be the matrices of sums of squares and products due to within populations and between populations, respectively, i.e.

$$S = \sum_{j=1}^q \sum_{k=1}^{N_j} (x_{jk} - \bar{x}_j)(x_{jk} - \bar{x}_j)'$$

and

$$B = \sum_{j=1}^q N_j (\bar{x}_j - \bar{x})(\bar{x}_j - \bar{x})'$$

where $\bar{x}_j = (1/N_j) \sum_{k=1}^{N_j} x_{jk}$ and $\bar{x} = (1/N) \sum_{j=1}^q \sum_{k=1}^{N_j} x_{jk}$. The canonical discriminant analysis introduced by Fisher [3] was developed by Rao [5, 6]. The method is used to summarize the differences between populations in terms of only a few transformed variates. Let $y_\alpha = c'_\alpha(x - \bar{x})$, $\alpha = 1, \dots, p$ be the transformed variates, which are called canonical discriminant variates. The coefficient vectors c_α 's are defined as the solutions of

$$(1.1) \quad Bc_\alpha = \ell_\alpha Sc_\alpha, \quad c'_\alpha Sc_\beta = n\delta_{\alpha\beta},$$

where $\ell_1 \geq \dots \geq \ell_p \geq 0$ and $n = N - q$. Let $\zeta_\alpha = \gamma'_\alpha(x - \bar{\mu})$, $\alpha = 1, \dots, p$ be the corresponding population canonical discriminant variates whose discriminant vectors are defined by

$$(1.2) \quad \Omega\gamma_\alpha = \lambda_\alpha \Sigma\gamma_\alpha, \quad \gamma'_\alpha \Sigma\gamma_\beta = \delta_{\alpha\beta},$$

where $\lambda_1 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_p \geq 0$, $\Omega = \sum_{j=1}^q (N_j/n)(\mu_j - \bar{\mu})(\mu_j - \bar{\mu})'$ and $\bar{\mu} = (1/N) \sum_{i=1}^q N_i \mu_i$. We assume that $\text{rank}(\Omega) = m \leq \min(p, q - 1)$. Then $\lambda_{m+1} = \dots = \lambda_p = 0$, and only the first m canonical discriminant variates are meaningful. Suppose we are interesting in the canonical discriminant variates based on the first s ($s \leq m$) discriminant variates. Let $C = [c_1 \dots c_s]$, and

$$(1.3) \quad \bar{y}_j = C'(\bar{x}_j - \bar{x}), \quad \eta_j = C'(\mu_j - \bar{\mu}).$$

It is assumed that all the observations are normal.

For the confidence regions on η_j , it has been used that $N_j(\bar{y}_j - \eta_j)'(\bar{y}_j - \eta_j)$ has an asymptotic χ^2 -distribution with s degrees of freedom. We note that the asymptotic distributional result should be corrected under the sampling variability of the canonical discriminant vectors. Krzanowski [4] has noted that such regions are not appropriate as a surrounding for the set of transformed data $y_{jk} = C'(x_{jk} - \bar{x})$, $k = 1, \dots, N_j$. In the connection with the latter regions, we consider the confidence regions for a new observation from Π_j based on

$$(1.4) \quad w_j = y_j - \bar{y}_j,$$

where $y_j = C'(x_j - \bar{x})$ and x_j is a new observation from Π_j . In the case $q = 2$, w_j is equal to the studentized classification statistic W , whose asymptotic distribution has been obtained by Anderson [1]. In Section 2 we give a fundamental reduction for the distributions of $\sqrt{N_j}(\bar{y}_j - \eta_j)$ and w_j . In Section 3 asymptotic confidence regions for η_j and y_j are given by obtaining asymptotic distributions of $N_j(\bar{y}_j - \eta_j)'(\bar{y}_j - \eta_j)$ and $w_j'w_j$, respectively. In Section 4 we obtain an asymptotic expansion of the distribution of $w_j'w_j$, which gives the confidence regions for y_j with confidence coefficients up to the order N^{-1} .

2. A fundamental reduction

As is well known, S and B are independently distributed as a central Wishart distribution $W_p(\Sigma, n)$ and a noncentral Wishart distribution $W_p(\Sigma, q - 1; n\Omega)$, respectively. Let

$$(2.1) \quad \tilde{S} = \frac{1}{n} \Gamma' S \Gamma, \tilde{B} = \frac{1}{n} \Gamma' B \Gamma, h_\alpha = \Gamma^{-1} c_\alpha, \alpha = 1, \dots, p.$$

Then the transformed vectors h_α 's are the solutions of

$$(2.2) \quad \tilde{B} h_\alpha = \ell_\alpha \tilde{S} h_\alpha, h_\alpha' \tilde{S} h_\beta = \delta_{\alpha\beta}.$$

Here $\tilde{S} \sim W_p(I_p, n)$. Further, it is well known that we can write \tilde{B} as

$$(2.3) \quad \tilde{B} = A + \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} M + \frac{1}{n} U_1 U_1',$$

where $U_1 = [u_1 \cdots u_{q-1}]$, the columns of $U = [U_1 \ u_q]$ are independently distributed as $N_p(\mathbf{0}, I_p)$, $M = [\sqrt{\lambda_1} u_1 \cdots \sqrt{\lambda_m} u_m \ O] + [\sqrt{\lambda_1} u_1 \cdots \sqrt{\lambda_m} u_m \ O]'$ and $A = \text{diag}(\lambda_1 \cdots \lambda_p)$.

Since the distributions of $\sqrt{n_j}(\bar{y}_j - \eta_j)$ and w_j depend on \bar{x}_j and \bar{x} as well as \tilde{S} and U , it is important to express these statistics in terms of \tilde{S} and U only. Let

$$\Xi = \sqrt{N/n} [\sqrt{N_1/N} (\mu_1 - \bar{\mu}) \cdots \sqrt{N_q/N} (\mu_q - \bar{\mu})].$$

Then $\Omega = \Xi \Xi'$, and $\text{rank}(\Xi) = \text{rank}(\Omega) = m$.

LEMMA 2.1. *There exist a nonsingular $p \times p$ matrix Γ and an orthogonal $q \times q$ matrix $G = [G_1 \ g_q]$ such that*

$$(2.4) \quad \begin{aligned} \Gamma' \Omega \Gamma &= \Lambda, \quad \Gamma' \Sigma \Gamma = I_p \text{ and} \\ \Gamma' \Xi G_1 &= \begin{bmatrix} \Lambda_1^{1/2} & O \\ O & O \end{bmatrix}, \end{aligned}$$

where $g_q = (\sqrt{N_1/N} \cdots \sqrt{N_q/N})'$ and $\Lambda_1 = \text{diag}(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m)$.

PROOF. Let \tilde{G}_1 be a $q \times \overline{q-1}$ matrix such that $I_q - g_q g_q' = \tilde{G}_1 \tilde{G}_1'$ and $\tilde{G}_1' \tilde{G}_1 = I_{\overline{q-1}}$. It is easily checked that $\Xi \tilde{G}_1 \tilde{G}_1' = \Xi$. Let $\Gamma = \Sigma^{-1/2} \Gamma_0$ and $G_1 = \tilde{G}_1 G_0$, where Γ_0 and G_0 are orthogonal $p \times p$ and $\overline{q-1} \times \overline{q-1}$ matrices, respectively. Then $\Gamma' \Sigma \Gamma = I_p$ and

$$(2.5) \quad \Gamma' \Xi G_1 = \Gamma_0' \Sigma^{-1/2} \Xi \tilde{G}_1 G_0.$$

Using Singular-valued Decomposition Theorem (see e.g., Rao [7, p. 42]) and noting that $\Sigma^{-1/2} \Xi \tilde{G}_1 (\Sigma^{-1/2} \Xi \tilde{G}_1)' = \Sigma^{-1/2} \Xi \Xi' \Sigma^{-1/2}$, it is seen that there exist Γ_0 and G_0 such that the right-hand side of (2.5) is equal to the desired matrix. This completes the proof.

LEMMA 2.2. *Let $G = [G_1 \ g_q]$ be an orthogonal $q \times q$ matrix satisfying (2.4), and let U be the random matrix defined in (2.3). Then*

- (i) $\sqrt{N_j}(\bar{y}_j - \eta_j) = C' \Gamma'^{-1} U_1 g_{j1}$
- (ii) $w_j = y_j - \bar{y}_j = C'(x_j - \mu_j) - (1/\sqrt{N_j}) C' \Gamma'^{-1} U \tilde{g}_j$,

where $G_1 = [g_{11} \cdots g_{q1}]'$ and $\tilde{g}_j = (g'_{j1} \ \sqrt{N_j/N})'$.

PROOF. Let $z_j = \sqrt{N_j} \Gamma'(\bar{x}_j - \mu_j)$ and $Z = [z_1 \cdots z_q]$. Then $z_j \sim N_p(\mathbf{0}, I_p)$. We have

$$(2.6) \quad \bar{x}_j - \bar{x} = \mu_j - \mu + (1/\sqrt{N_j}) \Gamma'^{-1} \tilde{z}_j,$$

where $\tilde{z}_j = z_j - \sqrt{N_j/N}(\sqrt{N_1/N} z_1 + \cdots + \sqrt{N_q/N} z_q)$. First we show that the random matrix U in (2.3) may be defined by

$$(2.7) \quad U = ZG = Z[G_1 \ g_q]$$

whose columns are independently distributed as $N_p(\mathbf{0}, I_p)$. This will be shown by substituting (2.6) into $\tilde{B} = (1/n) \Gamma' B \Gamma$. In fact,

$$(2.8) \quad \tilde{Z} = [\tilde{z}_1 \cdots \tilde{z}_q] = ZG_1 G_1' = U_1 G_1',$$

and hence

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{B} &= A + (1/\sqrt{n})(\Gamma' \tilde{E} \tilde{Z}' + \tilde{Z} \tilde{E}' \Gamma) + (1/n) \tilde{Z} \tilde{Z}' \\ &= A + (1/\sqrt{n})M + (1/n)U_1 U_1' .\end{aligned}$$

Using (2.6) ~ (2.8) we obtain the expressions (i) and (ii) in the following way:

$$\sqrt{N_j}(\bar{y}_j - \eta_j) = C' \Gamma'^{-1} \tilde{z}_j = C' \Gamma'^{-1} U_1 g_{j1}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}w_j &= C'(x_j - \mu_j) - (1/\sqrt{N_j})C' \Gamma'^{-1} z_j \\ &= C'(x_j - \mu_j) - (1/\sqrt{N_j})C' \Gamma'^{-1} U \tilde{g}_j .\end{aligned}$$

Next we consider perturbation expansions for

$$(2.9) \quad C = \Gamma[h_1 \cdots h_s] = \Gamma H.$$

We make the following assumptions:

A1. All the first s characteristic roots of $\Omega \Sigma^{-1}$ are simple, i.e.,

$$\lambda_1 > \cdots > \lambda_s > \lambda_{s+1} \geq \cdots \geq \lambda_m > \lambda_{m+1} = \cdots = \lambda_p = 0.$$

A2. $\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} N_j/N = d_j > 0$, $j = 1, \dots, q$.

Let

$$(2.10) \quad \tilde{S} = I_p + \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} V.$$

Then, h_α 's and ℓ_α 's are the solutions of

$$(2.11) \quad \begin{aligned}\left[A + \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} M + \frac{1}{n} U_1 U_1' \right] h_\alpha &= \ell_\alpha \left(I_p + \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} V \right) h_\alpha, \\ h_\alpha' \left(I_p + \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} V \right) h_\beta &= \delta_{\alpha\beta} .\end{aligned}$$

Under Assumption A1 it is known (see, e.g., Siotani, Hayakawa and Fujikoshi [8, p. 464]) that ℓ_α and h_α , $\alpha = 1, \dots, s$ are expanded as

$$(2.12) \quad \begin{aligned}\ell_\alpha &= \lambda_\alpha + \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \ell_\alpha^{(1)} + \frac{1}{n} \ell_\alpha^{(2)} + \frac{1}{n\sqrt{n}} \ell_\alpha^{(3)} + O_p(n^{-2}), \\ h_\alpha &= e_\alpha + \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} h_\alpha^{(1)} + \frac{1}{n} h_\alpha^{(2)} + \frac{1}{n\sqrt{n}} h_\alpha^{(3)} + O_p(n^{-2})\end{aligned}$$

where e_α is the $p \times 1$ vector with α -th element one and other zero. The coefficients in (2.12) can be obtained by substituting (2.1) into (2.1) and equating the terms of $n^{-1/2}$ and n^{-1} in the expansions. These imply that

$$(2.13) \quad C = \Gamma \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} I_s \\ O \end{bmatrix} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} H^{(1)} + \frac{1}{n} H^{(2)} + \frac{1}{n\sqrt{n}} H^{(3)} + O_p(n^{-2}) \right\}.$$

The matrices $H^{(j)} = [h_{i\alpha}^{(j)}]$ are given as follows.

$$\begin{aligned} h_{\alpha\alpha}^{(1)} &= -\frac{1}{2} v_{\alpha\alpha}, \\ h_{i\alpha}^{(1)}, i \neq \alpha &= \lambda_{\alpha i} (m_{i\alpha} - \lambda_\alpha v_{i\alpha}), \\ h_{\alpha\alpha}^{(2)} &= \frac{3}{8} v_{\alpha\alpha}^2 - \sum_{i \neq \alpha}^p \lambda_{\alpha i} v_{\alpha i} (m_{i\alpha} - \lambda_\alpha v_{i\alpha}) \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i \neq \alpha}^p \lambda_{\alpha i}^2 (m_{\alpha i} - \lambda_\alpha v_{\alpha i})^2, \\ h_{i\alpha}^{(2)}, i \neq \alpha &= \lambda_{\alpha i} [(U_1' U_1)_{i\alpha} + \sum_{j \neq \alpha}^p \lambda_{\alpha j} (m_{ij} - \lambda_\alpha v_{ij}) (m_{j\alpha} - \lambda_\alpha v_{ij}) \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2} (m_{i\alpha} - \lambda_\alpha v_{i\alpha}) v_{\alpha\alpha} - v_{i\alpha} (m_{\alpha\alpha} - \lambda_\alpha v_{\alpha\alpha}) \\ &\quad - \lambda_{\alpha i} (m_{i\alpha} - \lambda_\alpha v_{i\alpha}) (m_{\alpha\alpha} - \lambda_\alpha v_{\alpha\alpha})], \end{aligned}$$

where $\lambda_{\alpha i} = (\lambda_\alpha - \lambda_i)^{-1}$, $i \neq \alpha$, $M = [m_{i\alpha}]$, and $h_{i\alpha}^{(3)}$ is a homogeneous polynomial (not depending on n) of degree 3 in the elements of U and V . The coefficients $h_{i\alpha}^{(1)}$ have been given in Anderson [1].

3. Asymptotic confidence regions

In this section we obtain asymptotic confidence regions for η_i and y_j , based on asymptotic distributions of $N_j(\bar{y}_j - \eta_j)'(\bar{y}_j - \eta_j)$ and $w_j'w_j$, respectively.

THEOREM 3.1. *Under Assumptions A.1 and A.2 it holds that $\sqrt{N_j}(\bar{y}_j - \eta_j)$ is asymptotically distributed as $N_s(\mathbf{0}, (1 - N_j/N)I_s)$.*

PROOF. Using Lemma 2.2 and the fact that C converges to $\Gamma[I_s, O]'$ in probability, we have that the asymptotic distribution of $\sqrt{N_j}(\bar{y}_j - \eta_j)$ is the same as the distribution of $U_{11}g_{i1}$. The distribution of $U_{11}g_{j1}$ is an s -dimensional normal with mean zero and covariance matrix

$$\mathbf{g}'_j \mathbf{g}_j I_s = (1 - N_j/N) I_s.$$

This completes the proof.

From Theorem 3.1 we have

$$(3.1) \quad N_j(1 - N_j/N)^{-1}(\bar{\mathbf{y}}_j - \boldsymbol{\eta}_j)'(\bar{\mathbf{y}}_j - \boldsymbol{\eta}_j) \longrightarrow \chi_s^2.$$

This gives confidence regions for $\boldsymbol{\eta}_j$ as hypersphere centered at $\bar{\mathbf{y}}_j$ and having squared radii $\{(1 - N_j/N)/N_j\} \chi_{s,\alpha}^2$, where $\chi_{s,\alpha}^2$ is the upper α point of the χ^2 -distribution with s degrees of freedom. It should be noted that the radii are not $\{N_j^{-1} \chi_{s,\alpha}^2\}^{1/2}$, but $[\{(1 - N_j/N)/N_j\} \chi_{s,\alpha}^2]^{1/2}$. Krzanowski [4] has pointed that the traditional confidence regions as hypersphere centered at $\bar{\mathbf{y}}_j$ and having squared radii $N_j^{-1} \chi_{s,\alpha}^2$ are not appropriate as a surrounding for the set of transformed data y_{jk} , $k = 1, \dots, N_j$. This note may be extended to the corrected regions as hypersphere centered at $\bar{\mathbf{y}}_j$ and having squared radii $\{(1 - N_j/N)/N_j\} \chi_{s,\alpha}^2$.

Next we consider asymptotic confidence regions for the canonical discriminant value y_j of a new observation \mathbf{x}_j from Π_j , which are closely related to a surrounding for the set of transformed data y_{jk} , $k = 1, \dots, N_j$. From Lemma 2.2. (ii) it is easily seen that the asymptotic distribution of \mathbf{w}_j is the same as the distribution of $[I_s \ O] \Gamma'(\mathbf{x}_j - \boldsymbol{\mu}_j)$. The latter distribution is an s variate normal with mean zero and covariance matrix $[I_s \ O] \Gamma' \Sigma \Gamma [I_s \ O]' = I_s$. Therefore, \mathbf{w}_j is asymptotically distributed as $N_s(\mathbf{0}, I_s)$. This asymptotic result is also obtained by noting that \mathbf{y}_j is independent of C and C converges to $\Gamma [I_s \ O]'$ in probability. This implies that $\mathbf{w}'_j \mathbf{w}_j$ is asymptotically distributed as χ_s^2 , and we obtain confidence regions for y_j ,

$$(3.2) \quad (\mathbf{y}_j - \bar{\mathbf{y}}_j)'(\mathbf{y}_j - \bar{\mathbf{y}}_j) \leq \chi_{s,\alpha}^2$$

as hyperspheres centered at $\bar{\mathbf{y}}_j$ and having squared radii $\chi_{s,\alpha}^2$.

4. Asymptotic expansion

In order to obtain more accurate confidence coefficients of the confidence regions (3.2), we shall obtain an asymptotic expansion of the distribution of $\mathbf{w}'_j \mathbf{w}_j$. The conditional distribution of \mathbf{w}_j given S and B is

$$N_s \left[-\frac{1}{\sqrt{N_j}} C' \Gamma'^{-1} U \mathbf{g}_i, C' \Sigma C \right].$$

Therefore, the characteristic function of $\mathbf{w}'_j \mathbf{w}_j$ is given by

$$(4.1) \quad \begin{aligned} \psi(t) &= E\{e^{it\mathbf{w}'_j \mathbf{w}_j}\} \\ &= E[|I_s - 2itC' \Sigma C|^{-1/2}] \end{aligned}$$

$$\times \exp \{itN_j^{-1} \mathbf{g}'_j U' \Gamma'^{-1} C(I_s - 2itC'\Sigma C)^{-1} C' \Gamma' U \mathbf{g}_j\}].$$

From Lemma 2.3 we can write

$$(4.2) \quad C'\Sigma C = I_s + \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} Q^{(1)} + \frac{1}{n} Q^{(2)} + \frac{1}{n\sqrt{n}} Q^{(3)} + O_p(n^{-2}),$$

where

$$Q^{(1)} = [I_s \ O] H^{(1)} + H^{(1)'} \begin{bmatrix} I_s \\ O \end{bmatrix},$$

$$Q^{(2)} = [I_s \ O] H^{(2)} + H^{(2)'} \begin{bmatrix} I_s \\ O \end{bmatrix} + H^{(1)'} H^{(1)},$$

and $Q^{(3)}$ is a homogeneous polynomial of degree 3 in the elements of U and V . Substituting (4.2) into (4.1) and using $-\log |I_s - A| = \text{tr } A + \frac{1}{2} \text{tr } A^2 + \dots$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(t) = & \delta(t)^{s/2} E \left[1 + \frac{1}{2\sqrt{n}} (\delta(t) - 1) \text{tr } Q^{(1)} \right. \\ & + \frac{1}{n} (\delta(t) - 1) \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \text{tr } Q^{(2)} + \frac{1}{4} (\delta(t) - 1) (\text{tr } Q^{(1)})^2 \right. \\ & \left. \left. + \frac{1}{8} (\delta(t) - 1)^2 (\text{tr } Q^{(1)})^2 \right\} \right. \\ & \left. + \frac{1}{2N_j} (\delta(t) - 1) \mathbf{g}'_j U' \begin{bmatrix} I_s & O \\ O & O \end{bmatrix} U \mathbf{g}_j \right] + O(n^{-2}), \end{aligned}$$

where $\delta(t) = (1 - 2it)^{-1}$. Here we used that $E[\{\text{homogeneous polynomial of degree 3 in the elements of } U \text{ and } V\}] = O(n^{-1/2})$. After much simplification, we obtain

$$(4.3) \quad \begin{aligned} \psi(t) = & \delta(t)^{s/2} \left[1 + \frac{1}{n} (\delta(t) - 1) \left\{ s + \sum_{\alpha=1}^s \sum_{\beta \neq \alpha}^p \lambda_{\alpha\beta} \lambda_{\alpha} \right. \right. \\ & \left. \left. + \frac{1}{4} (\delta(t) - 1) (3s + 2 \sum_{\alpha \neq \beta}^s \lambda_{\alpha\beta} \lambda_{\alpha}) + \frac{ns}{2N_j} \right\} \right] \\ & + O(n^{-2}). \end{aligned}$$

This gives the following result.

THEOREM 4.1. *Under Assumptions A1 and A2 it holds that*

$$\begin{aligned}
 P(\mathbf{w}'_j \mathbf{w}_j \leq x) &= P(\chi_s^2 \leq x) - \frac{1}{n} g_s(x) \\
 &\left[\left\{ \frac{1}{2} s + \left(\sum_{\alpha=1}^s \sum_{\beta \neq \alpha}^p + \sum_{\alpha=1}^s \sum_{\beta=s+1}^p \right) \lambda_{\alpha\beta} \lambda_{\alpha} + \frac{ns}{N_j} \right\} \frac{x}{s} \right. \\
 &\quad \left. + \left(\frac{3}{2} s + \sum_{\alpha \neq \beta}^s \lambda_{\alpha\beta} \lambda_{\alpha} \right) \frac{x^2}{s(s+2)} \right] + O(n^{-2}),
 \end{aligned}$$

where $g_s(x)$ is the density function of a χ^2 -variate with s degrees of freedom and $\lambda_{\alpha\beta} = (\lambda_{\alpha} - \lambda_{\beta})^{-1}$.

Theorem 4.1 implies that the $\chi_{s,\alpha}^2$ in (3.2) can be expanded as

$$\begin{aligned}
 (4.4) \quad \chi_{s,\alpha}^2 &\left[1 + \frac{1}{n} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{s} \left(\sum_{\alpha=1}^s \sum_{\beta \neq \alpha}^p + \sum_{\alpha=1}^s \sum_{\beta=s+1}^p \right) \lambda_{\alpha\beta} \lambda_{\alpha} \right. \right. \\
 &\quad \left. \left. + \frac{n}{N_j} + \left(\frac{3}{2} + \frac{1}{s} \sum_{\alpha \neq \beta}^s \lambda_{\alpha\beta} \lambda_{\alpha} \right) \frac{\chi_{s,\alpha}^2}{s+2} \right\} \right] + O(n^{-2}).
 \end{aligned}$$

For a practical use, we need to replace λ_j by its estimate ℓ_j .

In a special case $q = 2$, $\lambda_1 > \lambda_2 = \dots = \lambda_p = 0$ and

$$\begin{aligned}
 (4.5) \quad P(\mathbf{w}'_j \mathbf{w}_j \leq x) &= P(\chi_1^2 \leq x) \\
 &- \frac{1}{n} g_1(x) \left[\left(2p - \frac{3}{2} + \frac{n}{N_j} \right) x + \frac{1}{2} x^2 \right] + O(n^{-2}).
 \end{aligned}$$

The upper α point of $\mathbf{w}'_j \mathbf{w}_j$ can be expanded as

$$(4.6) \quad \chi_{1,\alpha}^2 \left[1 + \frac{1}{n} \left\{ 2p - \frac{1}{2} + \frac{N_2}{N_1} + \frac{1}{2} \chi_{1,\alpha}^2 \right\} \right] + O(n^{-2}).$$

These special results (4.5) and (4.6) can be also obtained from the result of Anderson [1]. In order to see this, first note that the coefficient vector of the canonical or linear discriminant function is

$$\mathbf{c} = \frac{1}{D} \left(\frac{1}{n} S \right)^{-1} (\bar{\mathbf{x}}_1 - \bar{\mathbf{x}}_2),$$

where $D = \{(\bar{\mathbf{x}}_1 - \bar{\mathbf{x}}_2)' S^{-1} (\bar{\mathbf{x}}_1 - \bar{\mathbf{x}}_2)\}^{1/2}$. Anderson [1] has shown that

$$\begin{aligned}
 (4.7) \quad &P(\mathbf{c}'(\mathbf{x} - \bar{\mathbf{x}}) - \mathbf{c}'(\bar{\mathbf{x}}_1 - \bar{\mathbf{x}}) \leq u | \mathbf{x} \in \Pi_1) \\
 &= P\left(\frac{1}{D} (\bar{\mathbf{x}}_1 - \bar{\mathbf{x}}_2)' \left(\frac{1}{n} S \right)^{-1} (\mathbf{x} - \bar{\mathbf{x}}_1) \leq u | \mathbf{x} \in \Pi_1 \right) \\
 &= \Phi(u) + \frac{1}{n} \phi(x) \left[\frac{(p-1)}{\lambda} \left(1 + \frac{N_2}{N_1} \right) \right]
 \end{aligned}$$

$$-\left(p - \frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{N_2}{N_1}\right)u - \frac{1}{4}u^3 \Big] + O(n^{-2}),$$

where $\lambda = \{(\boldsymbol{\mu}_1 - \boldsymbol{\mu}_2)' \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1} (\boldsymbol{\mu}_1 - \boldsymbol{\mu}_2)\}^{1/2}$, and $\Phi(x)$ and $\phi(x)$ are the distribution and the density functions of $N(0, 1)$, respectively. This implies that

$$\begin{aligned} P(\{\mathbf{c}'(\mathbf{x}_1 - \bar{\mathbf{x}}_1)\}^2 \leq v | \mathbf{x}_1 \in \Pi_1) \\ = P(\chi_1^2 \leq v) - \frac{2}{n} \phi(\sqrt{v}) \left\{ \left(p - \frac{1}{4} + \frac{N_2}{2N_1} \right) \sqrt{v} + \frac{1}{4} (\sqrt{v})^3 \right\} + O(n^{-2}) \end{aligned}$$

which is coincident with (4.5).

References

- [1] T. W. Anderson, The asymptotic distribution of certain characteristic roots and vectors, Proc. 2nd. Berkeley Symp. Math. Statist. Prob., Univ. of California Press, Berkeley, 1951, 103-130.
- [2] T. W. Anderson, An asymptotic expansion of the distribution of the studentized classification statistic W , Ann. Statist. **1** (1973), 964-972.
- [3] R. A. Fisher, The use of multiple measurements in taxonomic problems, Ann. Eugen. **7** (1936), 179-184.
- [4] W. J. Krzanowski, On confidence regions in canonical variate analysis, Biometrika **76** (1989), 107-116.
- [5] C. R. Rao, The utilization of multiple measurements in problems of biological classification (with discussion), J. R. Statist. Soc. B **10** (1948), 159-203.
- [6] C. R. Rao, Advanced Statistical Methods in Biometric Research, Wiley, New York, 1952.
- [7] C. R. Rao, Linear Statistical Inference and Its Applications, 2nd ed., Wiley, New York, 1973.
- [8] M. Siotani, T. Hayakawa, and Y. Fujikoshi, Modern Multivariate Statistical Analysis: A Graduate Course and Handbook, American Science Press, INC., Ohio, 1985.

*Department of Mathematics,
Faculty of Science,
Hiroshima University*

