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Abstract. Ravenel [8] has introduced $p$-local spectra $T(m)$ for $m \geq 0$. The AdamsNovikov $E_{2}$-term converging to $\pi_{*}(T(m))$ is isomorphic to

$$
\operatorname{Ext}_{\Gamma(m+1)}^{*}\left(B P_{*}, B P_{*}\right)
$$

where $\Gamma(m+1)=B P_{*}\left[t_{m+1}, t_{m+2}, \ldots\right]$, and thus we may follow the chromatic method introduced in [4] to compute the $E_{2}$-term. One of the crucial point is to determine the Ext groups $\operatorname{Ext}_{\Gamma(m+1)}^{*}\left(B P_{*}, M_{s}^{n}\right)$. In particular $\operatorname{Ext}_{\Gamma(m+1)}^{0}\left(B P_{*}, M_{2}^{1}\right)$ has already been known except for $p=2$ and $m=1$. In this paper we will give the explicit description of the last unknown case.

## 1. Introduction

The homotopy groups of Ravenel spectrum $T(m)$ give information on the homotopy groups of spheres using "the method of infinite descent", which was the main subject of [8] Chapter 7. Its $B P$-homology group is given by $B P_{*}(T(m)) \cong B P_{*}\left[t_{1}, \ldots, t_{m}\right]$. The Adams-Novikov $E_{2}$-term for $T(m)$ is

$$
\operatorname{Ext}_{B P_{*}(B P)}^{*}\left(B P_{*}, B P_{*}(T(m))\right)
$$

which is isomorphic to $\operatorname{Ext}_{\Gamma(m+1)}\left(B P_{*}, B P_{*}\right)$ by the change-of-rings isomorphism. So this object is computable using the chromatic spectral sequence introduced in [4]. Define comodules $M_{m}^{n}$ by

$$
M_{m}^{n}=v_{m+n}^{-1} B P_{*} /\left(p, \ldots, v_{m-1}, v_{m}^{\infty}, \ldots, v_{m+n-1}^{\infty}\right)
$$

as usual. Then the chromatic $E_{1}$-term is

$$
E_{1}^{s, t}=\operatorname{Ext}_{\Gamma(m+1)}^{t}\left(B P_{*}, M_{0}^{s}\right)
$$
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We can determine the structure of this Ext group beginning with the $s$-th Morava stabilizer algebra $\operatorname{Ext}_{\Gamma(m+1)}\left(B P_{*}, M_{s}^{0}\right)$ by Bockstein spectral sequences

$$
\operatorname{Ext}_{\Gamma(m+1)}^{*}\left(B P_{*}, M_{s-n}^{n}\right) \Rightarrow \operatorname{Ext}_{\Gamma(m+1)}^{*}\left(B P_{*}, M_{s-n-1}^{n+1}\right)
$$

Recently, these Ext groups have been researched by the first author, Ravenel, Shimomura and their coworkers. Notice that Shimomura denotes our $\operatorname{Ext}_{\Gamma(m+1)}^{*}\left(B P_{*}, M_{s-n}^{n}\right)$ by $\operatorname{Ext}_{B P_{*} B P}^{*}\left(B P_{*}, M_{s-n}^{n}[m]\right)$, and he has determined the complete structure of $\operatorname{Ext}_{\Gamma(m+1)}^{*}\left(B P_{*}, M_{s-1}^{1}\right)$ in [9] for $m \geq s^{2}-s-1$.

Moreover, $\operatorname{Ext}_{\Gamma(m+1)}^{0}\left(B P_{*}, M_{2}^{1}\right)$ is known for various $p$ and $m$. In particular, it is determined by

Ichigi-Nakai-Ravenel [2] for $p=2$ and $m \geq 3$ or $p \geq 3$ and $m \geq 2$,
Ichigi-Shimomura [3] for $p=3$ and $m=1$,
Mitsui-Shimomura [5] for $p \geq 5$ and $m=1$,
Ichigi [1] for $p=2$ and $m=2$.
The purpose of this paper is to determine the structure of $\operatorname{Ext}_{\Gamma(m+1)}^{0}\left(B P_{*}, M_{2}^{1}\right)$ in case that $p=2$ and $m=1$, which had been the last unsolved case. We will define integers $\hat{a}(k)$ in (4.2) and elements $\hat{x}_{k}(k \geq 0)$ inductively on $k$ by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \hat{x}_{0} \\
& \text { and } \quad v_{4} \\
& \hat{x}_{k}=\hat{x}_{k-1}^{2}+\hat{y}_{k} \quad \text { for } k \geq 1
\end{aligned}
$$

where each $\hat{y}_{k}$ is $v_{2}$-multiple and defined in (4.4). We will see that $\hat{x}_{k} / v_{2}^{\hat{a}(k)}$ is in $\operatorname{Ext}_{\Gamma(2)}^{0}\left(B P_{*}, M_{2}^{1}\right)$ and that the image of $\hat{x}_{k} / v_{2}^{\hat{a}(k)}$ under the connecting homomorphism $\delta: \operatorname{Ext}_{\Gamma(2)}^{0}\left(B P_{*}, M_{2}^{1}\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{Ext}_{\Gamma(2)}^{1}\left(B P_{*}, M_{3}^{0}\right)$ is nontrivial and cohomologous to the image of $v_{4}^{2^{k}} / v_{2}^{\hat{a}(k)}$.

Denote $\mathbf{Z} /(p)\left[v_{2}^{ \pm 1}, v_{3}\right]$ by $\hat{K}(2)_{*}$ and $\mathbf{Z} /(p)\left[v_{2}, v_{3}\right]$ by $\hat{k}(2)_{*}$ respectively. Then our main theorem is

Theorem 1.1. Assume that $p=2$. Then, as a $v_{3}^{-1} \hat{k}(2)_{*}$-module, $\operatorname{Ext}_{\Gamma(2)}^{0}\left(B P_{*}, M_{2}^{1}\right)$ is the direct sum of
(i) the cyclic $\mathbf{Z} /(2)\left[v_{2}, v_{3}^{ \pm 1}\right]$-modules isomorphic to $\mathbf{Z} /(2)\left[v_{2}, v_{3}^{ \pm 1}\right] /\left(v_{2}^{\hat{a}(k)}\right)$ generated by $\hat{x}_{k}^{s} / v_{2}^{\hat{a}(k)}$ for $k \geq 0$ and $2 \nmid s>0$; and
(ii) $v_{3}^{-1} \hat{K}(2)_{*} / \hat{k}(2)_{*}$, generated by $1 / v_{2}^{j}$ for $j \geq 1$.

Although Ichigi-Shimomura [3] has shown that $\hat{x}_{k}$ for $p=3$ are the same as those for $p>3$ [5], our result shows that $p=2$ case differs from the odd $p$ cases.

$$
\operatorname{Ext}_{\Gamma(2)}^{0}\left(B P_{*}, M_{2}^{1}\right)
$$

In $\S 2$ we review some basic facts about Brown-Peterson theory (cobar complex, Bockstein spectral sequence and Morava stabilizer algebra). In §3 we list up formulas for the right unit $\eta_{R}$ on Hazewinkel generators $v_{n}$ and elements $\hat{w}_{4}$ and $\hat{w}_{5}$ given in (3.2). In $\S 4$ we construct key elements $\hat{x}_{k}$ and compute the first cobar differential $d=\eta_{R}-\eta_{L}$ on $\hat{x}_{k}$. The proof of Theorem 1.1 is completed in $\S 5$.

We wish to thank Ippei Ichigi for reading carefully a draft of this paper and checking some calculations. We also would like to thank the referee for his helpful advice.

## 2. Bockstein spectral sequence

Hereafter we will abbreviate $\operatorname{Ext}_{\Gamma(m+1)}^{*}\left(B P_{*}, M\right)$ to $\operatorname{Ext}_{\Gamma(m+1)}^{*}(M)$ for simplicity.

It is well known that $\operatorname{Ext}_{\Gamma(m+1)}^{*}(M)$ can be computed as cohomology groups of the cobar complex

$$
0 \longrightarrow M \xrightarrow{d_{0}} C_{\Gamma(m+1)}^{1}(M) \xrightarrow{d_{1}} C_{\Gamma(m+1)}^{2}(M) \xrightarrow{d_{2}} \cdots \xrightarrow{d_{k-1}} C_{\Gamma(m+1)}^{k}(M) \xrightarrow{d_{k}} \cdots,
$$

where $C_{\Gamma(m+1)}^{n}(M)=\Gamma(m+1)^{\otimes n} \otimes M$ ( $n$-fold tensor product). The differentials of this complex are defined using the right unit $\eta_{R}$ and the coproduct $\Delta$ of Hopf algebroid $\left(B P_{*}, \Gamma(m+1)\right)$. In particular we have $d_{0}=\eta_{R}-\eta_{L}$ and $\operatorname{Ext}_{\Gamma(m+1)}^{0}(M)=\operatorname{ker} d_{0}$.

We will determine the structure of $\operatorname{Ext}_{\Gamma(m+1)}^{0}\left(M_{2}^{1}\right)$ for $p=2$ and $m=1$ using Bockstein spectral sequence. In fact, the following lemma plays a fundamental role.

Lemma 2.1 ([4] Remark 3.11). Assume that there exists a $v_{3}^{-1} \hat{k}(2)_{*}{ }^{-}$ submodule $B^{t}$ of $\operatorname{Ext}_{\Gamma(2)}^{t}\left(M_{2}^{1}\right)$ for each $t<N$, such that the following sequence is exact:

$$
\begin{gathered}
0 \longrightarrow \operatorname{Ext}_{\Gamma(2)}^{0}\left(M_{3}^{0}\right) \xrightarrow{1 / v_{2}} B^{0} \xrightarrow{v_{2}} B^{0} \xrightarrow{\delta} \operatorname{Ext}_{\Gamma(2)}^{1}\left(M_{3}^{0}\right) \xrightarrow{1 / v_{2}} \cdots \\
\cdots \xrightarrow{1 / v_{2}} B^{N-1} \xrightarrow{v_{2}} B^{N-1} \xrightarrow{\delta} \operatorname{Ext}_{\Gamma(2)}^{N}\left(M_{3}^{0}\right),
\end{gathered}
$$

where $\delta$ is the restriction of the coboundary map

$$
\delta: \operatorname{Ext}_{\Gamma(2)}^{t}\left(M_{2}^{1}\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{Ext}_{\Gamma(2)}^{t+1}\left(M_{3}^{0}\right) .
$$

Then the inclusion map $i_{t}: B^{t} \rightarrow \operatorname{Ext}_{\Gamma(2)}^{t}\left(M_{2}^{1}\right)$ is an isomorphism between $\hat{k}(2)_{*}$-modules for each $t<N$.

In order to apply this lemma we will construct a module $B^{0}$ which satisfies the above condition. Because $B^{0}$ has a submodule isomorphic to $\operatorname{Ext}_{\Gamma(2)}^{0}\left(M_{3}^{0}\right)$, it is a natural way to construct $B^{0}$ by extending $\operatorname{Ext}_{\Gamma(2)}^{0}\left(M_{3}^{0}\right)$.

The following generalization of Morava-Landweber theorem is straightforward.

Lemma 2.2 (cf. [8] Proposition 7.1.7). For any prime p, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Ext}_{\Gamma(2)}^{0}\left(M_{3}^{0}\right) \cong K(3)_{*}\left[v_{4}\right]=\mathbf{Z} /(p)\left[v_{3}^{ \pm 1}, v_{4}\right] . \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

This Ext group is the starting point to construct $B^{0}$. Notice that for $x / v_{2}^{i} \in B^{0}$ there is an element $x^{\prime}=x+\left(v_{2}^{i}\right.$-multiples) such that $x^{\prime} / v_{2}^{i+1} \in B^{0}$ if $\delta\left(x / v_{2}^{i}\right)=0$. In this sense, an element of $B^{0}$ is divided by $v_{2}$ and we obtain a new element in $B^{0}$ if its $\delta$ image is zero.

We will choose elements $\hat{x}_{k}(k \geq 0)$ each of which is $v_{4}^{2^{k}}$ plus $v_{2}$-multiples in (4.3) and (4.4), and denote the minimal exponent of $v_{2}$ by $\hat{a}(k)$ (4.2) such that $\delta\left(\hat{x}_{k} / v_{2}^{\hat{a}(k)}\right) \neq 0$. Then the following lemma is standard.

Lemma 2.4. We may define $B^{0}$ in Lemma 2.1 by

$$
v_{3}^{-1} \hat{k}(2)_{*}\left\{\hat{x}_{k}^{s} / v_{2}^{\hat{a}(k)}: k \geq 0 \text { and } p \nmid s>0\right\} \oplus v_{3}^{-1} \hat{K}(2)_{*} / \hat{k}(2)_{*},
$$

if the set

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\{\delta\left(\hat{x}_{k}^{s} / v_{2}^{\hat{a}(k)}\right): k \geq 0 \text { and } p \nmid s>0\right\} \subset \operatorname{Ext}_{\Gamma(2)}^{1}\left(M_{3}^{0}\right) \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

is linearly independent over $\mathbf{Z} /(p)\left[v_{3}^{+1}\right]$, where $\delta$ is the coboundary map in Lemma 2.1.

In order to check the condition (2.5) we have to know the first cohomology $\operatorname{Ext}_{\Gamma(2)}^{1}\left(M_{3}^{0}\right)$, which has fortunately been obtained in [7].

Proposition 2.6 ([7] Theorem 1.1).

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Ext}_{\Gamma(2)}^{1}\left(M_{3}^{0}\right) \cong \hat{K}(3)_{*}\left\{\hat{h}_{1,0}, \hat{h}_{1,1}, \hat{h}_{1,2}, \hat{h}_{2,0}, \hat{h}_{2,1}, \hat{h}_{2,2}, \rho_{3}\right\} \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where each $\hat{h}_{i, j}$ is the class corresponding to $t_{i+1}^{p^{j}}$ and $\rho_{3}$ is a suitable element with degree 0 .

By this proposition the basis of the vector space $\operatorname{Ext}_{\Gamma(2)}^{1}\left(M_{3}^{0}\right)$ is described explicitly, so that it is easy to confirm whether the set (2.5) is linearly independent or not.

## 3. Preliminary calculations

Here we list up some formulas which we will use in $\S 4$. By the formula (1.1) and (1.3) in [4], we can deduce the formulas of $\eta_{R}\left(v_{i}\right)$.

Lemma 3.1. The right unit

$$
\eta_{R}: v_{3}^{-1} B P_{*} \rightarrow v_{3}^{-1} B P_{*} \otimes_{B P_{*}} \Gamma(2)
$$

on Hazewinkel generators $v_{i}$ are expressed as

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\eta_{R}\left(v_{3}\right) \equiv v_{3} & \bmod \left(2, v_{1}\right), \\
\eta_{R}\left(v_{4}\right) \equiv v_{4}+v_{2} t_{2}^{4}+v_{2}^{4} t_{2} & \bmod \left(2, v_{1}\right), \\
\eta_{R}\left(v_{5}\right) \equiv v_{5}+v_{3}^{4} t_{2}+v_{3} t_{2}^{8}+v_{2}^{8} t_{3}+v_{2} t_{3}^{4} & \bmod \left(2, v_{1}\right), \\
\eta_{R}\left(v_{6}\right) \equiv v_{6}+v_{4}^{4} t_{2}+v_{4} t_{2}^{16}+v_{3}^{8} t_{3}+v_{3} t_{3}^{8}+v_{2} t_{4}^{4} & \bmod \left(2, v_{1}, v_{2}^{2}\right), \\
\eta_{R}\left(v_{7}\right) \equiv v_{7}+v_{5}^{4} t_{2}+v_{5} t_{2}^{32}+v_{4}^{8} t_{3}+v_{4} t_{3}^{16} & \\
& +v_{3}^{16} t_{4}+v_{3}^{16} t_{2}^{5}+v_{3}^{4} t_{2}^{33}+v_{3} t_{4}^{8}
\end{array}
$$

Define elements $\hat{w}_{i} \in v_{3}^{-1} B P_{*}(i=4,5)$ by

$$
\begin{align*}
\hat{w}_{4} & =v_{3}^{-1} v_{5}  \tag{3.2}\\
\text { and } \quad \hat{w}_{5} & =v_{3}^{-1}\left(v_{6}+v_{4} \hat{w}_{4}^{2}\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

Lemma 3.3. The differentials

$$
d=\eta_{R}-\eta_{L}: v_{3}^{-1} B P_{*} \rightarrow v_{3}^{-1} B P_{*} \otimes_{B P_{*}} \Gamma(2)
$$

on $\hat{w}_{i}$ are expressed as

$$
\begin{array}{rlr}
d\left(\hat{w}_{4}\right) \equiv & v_{3}^{3} t_{2}+v_{2}^{8} v_{3}^{-1} t_{3}+v_{2} v_{3}^{-1} t_{3}^{4}+t_{2}^{8} & \bmod \left(2, v_{1}\right) \\
d\left(\hat{w}_{5}\right) \equiv & t_{3}^{8}+v_{3}^{7} t_{3}+v_{3}^{5} v_{4} t_{2}^{2}+v_{3}^{-1} v_{4}^{4} t_{2} & \\
& +v_{2}\left(v_{3}^{-1} t_{2}^{20}+v_{3}^{-1} t_{4}^{4}+v_{3}^{5} t_{2}^{6}+v_{3}^{-3} v_{5}^{2} t_{2}^{4}\right) & \bmod \left(2, v_{1}, v_{2}^{2}\right) .
\end{array}
$$

Proof. $d\left(\hat{w}_{4}\right)$ is straightforward by Lemma 3.1. For $d\left(\hat{w}_{5}\right)$, we observe that

$$
\begin{aligned}
d\left(v_{3}^{-1} v_{6}\right) & \equiv t_{3}^{8}+v_{3}^{7} t_{3}+v_{3}^{-1} v_{4} t_{2}^{16}+v_{3}^{-1} v_{4}^{4} t_{2}+v_{2} v_{3}^{-1} t_{4}^{4} \\
d\left(v_{3}^{-1} v_{4} \hat{w}_{4}^{2}\right) & \equiv v_{3}^{-1} v_{4} t_{2}^{16}+v_{3}^{5} v_{4} t_{2}^{2}+v_{2}\left(v_{3}^{-1} t_{2}^{20}+v_{3}^{5} t_{2}^{6}+v_{3}^{-3} v_{5}^{2} t_{2}^{4}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

modulo $\left(2, v_{1}, v_{2}^{2}\right)$. Summing these two congruences, we have the desired formula.

By this lemma we have $d\left(\hat{w}_{4}\right) \equiv v_{3}^{3} t_{2}+t_{2}^{8}$ and $d\left(\hat{w}_{5}\right) \equiv t_{3}^{8}+v_{3}^{7} t_{3}+v_{3}^{5} v_{4} t_{2}^{2}+$ $v_{3}^{-1} v_{4}^{4} t_{2}$ modulo $\left(2, v_{1}, v_{2}\right)$. These show that

$$
\begin{aligned}
t_{2} & =v_{3}^{-3} t_{2}^{8} \\
\text { and } \quad t_{3} & =v_{3}^{-7} t_{3}^{8}+v_{3}^{-2} v_{4} t_{2}^{2}+v_{3}^{-8} v_{4}^{4} t_{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

in $\operatorname{Ext}_{\Gamma(2)}^{1}\left(B P_{*}, M_{3}^{0}\right)$. So we may replace $\hat{h}_{1, i}$ with $\hat{h}_{1, i+3}$ and $\hat{h}_{2, i}$ with $\hat{h}_{2, i+3}$ in (2.7). Therefore Proposition 2.7 implies

## Corollary 3.4.

$$
\operatorname{Ext}_{\Gamma(2)}^{1}\left(M_{3}^{0}\right) \cong \hat{K}(3)_{*}\left\{\hat{h}_{1,2}, \hat{h}_{1,3}, \hat{h}_{1,4}, \hat{h}_{2,4}, \hat{h}_{2,5}, \hat{h}_{2,6}, \rho_{3}\right\}
$$

We will use this $\hat{K}(3)_{*}$-basis rather than the one of (2.7) because it would allow us to make the construction of $\hat{x}_{k}$ easy.

## 4. The elements $\hat{x}_{k}$ and its $\delta$-image

The elements $\hat{x}_{k}$ are constructed by adding some $v_{2}$-multiples to $v_{4}^{2^{k}} \in$ $\operatorname{Ext}_{\Gamma(2)}^{0}\left(M_{3}^{0}\right)$. In other words, they satisfy the equality

$$
v_{4}^{2^{k} s} / v_{2}=\hat{x}_{k}^{s} / v_{2}
$$

in $\operatorname{Ext}_{\Gamma(2)}^{0}\left(M_{2}^{1}\right)$. In this section we will make the full description of $\hat{x}_{k}$ and compute the first cobar differential

$$
d=\eta_{R}-\eta_{L}: v_{3}^{-1} B P_{*} \rightarrow v_{3}^{-1} B P_{*} \otimes_{B P_{*}} \Gamma(2)
$$

on $\hat{x}_{k}$ in Lemma 4.5.
From now on, we set $v_{3}=1$ for simplicity because $v_{3}$ is a unit in $v_{3}^{-1} B P_{*}$. Define elements $\phi_{i}(1 \leq i \leq 6)$ by

$$
\begin{gathered}
\phi_{1}=v_{2} \hat{w}_{4}^{4}+v_{4}, \quad \phi_{2}=v_{2}^{2} \hat{w}_{4}+v_{4}^{2}, \quad \phi_{3}=v_{2} \hat{w}_{5}^{4}+v_{4}^{17}, \\
\phi_{4}=v_{7}^{16}+\hat{w}_{4}^{80}, \quad \phi_{5}=v_{2}^{2} \hat{w}_{4}^{8}+v_{4}^{2} \quad \text { and } \quad \phi_{6}=v_{2}^{4} \hat{w}_{4}^{2}+v_{4}^{4} .
\end{gathered}
$$

Using Lemma 3.1 and Lemma 3.3 we can compute $d\left(\phi_{i}\right)$ easily.
Lemma 4.1. The differentials on $\phi_{i}$ are expressed as

$$
\begin{array}{rlrl}
d\left(\phi_{1}\right) \equiv & v_{2} t_{2}^{32}+v_{2}^{4} t_{2}+v_{2}^{5} t_{3}^{16} & \bmod \left(2, v_{1}, v_{2}^{12}\right), \\
d\left(\phi_{2}\right) \equiv & v_{2}^{2} t_{2}+v_{2}^{3} t_{3}^{4}+v_{2}^{8} t_{2}^{2} & \bmod \left(2, v_{1}, v_{2}^{10}\right) \\
d\left(\phi_{3}\right) \equiv & v_{2}\left(t_{3}^{4}+t_{3}^{32}+v_{4}^{4} t_{2}^{8}\right)+v_{2}^{4} v_{4}^{16} t_{2}, & \\
& +v_{2}^{5}\left(t_{2}^{24}+t_{2}^{80}+t_{4}^{16}+v_{5}^{8} t_{2}^{16}\right) & & \bmod \left(2, v_{1}, v_{2}^{8}\right) \\
d\left(\phi_{4}\right) \equiv & t_{4}^{16}+t_{4}^{128}+v_{4}^{16} t_{3}^{256}+v_{4}^{128} t_{3}^{16}, & \\
& +t_{2}^{192}+t_{2}^{640}+v_{5}^{16} t_{2}^{64}+v_{5}^{64} t_{2}^{128} & & \bmod \left(2, v_{1}, v_{2}^{3}\right) \\
d\left(\phi_{5}\right) \equiv & v_{2}^{2} t_{2}^{64} & & \bmod \left(2, v_{1}, v_{2}^{5}\right) \\
d\left(\phi_{6}\right) \equiv & v_{2}^{4} t_{2}^{2} & & \bmod \left(2, v_{1}, v_{2}^{6}\right)
\end{array}
$$

$$
\operatorname{Ext}_{\Gamma(2)}^{0}\left(B P_{*}, M_{2}^{1}\right)
$$

Define integers $\hat{a}(k)(k \geq 0)$ inductively on $k$ by

$$
\hat{a}(k)= \begin{cases}2^{k} & (0 \leq k \leq 2)  \tag{4.2}\\ 3 \cdot 2^{k-1} & (3 \leq k \leq 4) \\ 50 & (k=5) \\ 103 & (k=6) \\ 207 & (k=7) \\ 49 \cdot 2^{k-5}+\hat{a}(k-4) & (k \geq 8)\end{cases}
$$

Define elements $\hat{x}_{k} \in v_{3}^{-1} B P_{*}(k \geq 0)$ inductively on $k$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{x}_{k}=\hat{x}_{k-1}^{2}+\hat{y}_{k} \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\hat{y}_{k}= \begin{cases}\begin{array}{ll}
v_{2}^{7} \phi_{1}+v_{2}^{9} \phi_{2}+v_{2}^{11} \phi_{3}+v_{2}^{10} v_{4}^{4} \hat{x}_{1}+v_{2}^{13} v_{4}^{16} \phi_{2} & \text { for } 0 \leq k \leq 2, \\
& +v_{2}^{15} v_{4}^{16} \phi_{3}+v_{2}^{14} v_{4}^{20} \hat{x}_{1}
\end{array} & \text { for } k=3,  \tag{4.4}\\
v_{2}^{27} v_{4}^{4} \phi_{1} & \text { for } k=4, \\
v_{2}^{24} \hat{x}_{4}+v_{2}^{36} \hat{x}_{3}+v_{2}^{44} v_{4}^{64} \hat{x}_{2}+v_{2}^{47} v_{4}^{16} \phi_{1}+v_{2}^{48} \hat{w}_{5}^{16} & \text { for } k=5, \\
v_{2}^{98} v_{4}^{32} \hat{x}_{2}+v_{2}^{99} v_{4}^{4} \phi_{1}+v_{2}^{101} v_{4}^{4} \phi_{2}+v_{2}^{102} v_{4}^{32} \hat{w}_{4}^{2} & \text { for } k=6, \\
v_{2}^{205} v_{4}^{2} \phi_{1} & \text { for } k=7, \\
v_{2}^{364} \hat{x}_{5}+v_{2}^{412} v_{4}^{192} \hat{x}_{2}+v_{2}^{413}\left(v_{4}^{16} \phi_{2}+\phi_{6}\right) & \\
\quad+v_{2}^{44}\left(v_{4}^{20} \hat{x}_{1}+\phi_{5}+v_{4}^{160} \phi_{5}\right)+v_{2}^{415}\left(v_{4}^{16} \phi_{3}+v_{4}^{256} \phi_{1}\right) & \\
\quad+v_{2}^{416}\left(\phi_{4}+v_{4}^{16} \hat{w}_{5}^{32}+v_{4}^{128} \hat{w}_{5}^{16}+v_{4}^{128} \hat{w}_{5}^{32}\right) & \text { for } k=8, \\
v_{2}^{49 \cdot 2^{k-5} \hat{x}_{k-4}\left(\hat{x}_{k-4}+\hat{x}_{k-5}^{2}\right)} & \text { for } k \geq 9 .\end{cases}
$$

Then we have
Lemma 4.5. Modulo $\left(2, v_{1}, v_{2}^{1+\hat{a}(k)}\right)$, the differentials on $\hat{x}_{k}$ are expressed as

$$
d\left(\hat{x}_{k}\right) \equiv \begin{cases}v_{2}^{2^{k}} t_{2}^{2^{k+2}} & \text { for } 0 \leq k \leq 2 \\ v_{2}^{3 \cdot 2^{k-1}}\left(t_{3}^{2^{k+1}}+t_{3}^{2^{k+2}}\right) & \text { for } 3 \leq k \leq 4 \\ v_{2}^{50} v_{4}^{2} t_{2}^{16} & \text { for } k=5 \\ v_{2}^{103} v_{4} t_{2}^{16} & \text { for } k=6 \\ v_{2}^{207} v_{4} t_{2}^{8} & \text { for } k=7 \\ v_{2}^{49 \cdot 2^{k-5}} v_{4}^{2^{k-4} d\left(\hat{x}_{k-4}\right)} & \text { for } k \geq 8\end{cases}
$$

Proof. For $0 \leq k \leq 2$, it directly follows from (3.1). For $k=3$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
d\left(v_{2}^{7} \phi_{1}\right) & \equiv v_{2}^{8} t_{2}^{32}+v_{2}^{11} t_{2}+v_{2}^{12} t_{3}^{16} \\
d\left(v_{2}^{9} \phi_{2}\right) & \equiv v_{2}^{11} t_{2}+v_{2}^{12} t_{3}^{4}+v_{2}^{17} t_{2}^{2} \\
d\left(v_{2}^{11} \phi_{3}\right) & \equiv v_{2}^{12}\left(t_{3}^{4}+t_{3}^{32}+v_{4}^{4} t_{2}^{8}\right)+v_{2}^{15} v_{4}^{16} t_{2}+v_{2}^{16}\left(t_{2}^{24}+t_{2}^{80}+t_{4}^{16}+v_{5}^{8} t_{2}^{16}\right) \\
d\left(v_{2}^{10} v_{4}^{4} \hat{x}_{1}\right) & \equiv v_{2}^{12} v_{4}^{4} t_{2}^{8}+v_{2}^{14} v_{4}^{2} t_{2}^{16}+v_{2}^{16} t_{2}^{24}+v_{2}^{18} v_{4}^{4} t_{2}^{2} \\
d\left(v_{2}^{13} v_{4}^{16} \phi_{2}\right) & \equiv v_{2}^{15} v_{4}^{16} t_{2}+v_{2}^{16} v_{4}^{16} t_{3}^{4} \\
d\left(v_{2}^{15} v_{4}^{16} \phi_{3}\right) & \equiv v_{2}^{16} v_{4}^{16}\left(t_{3}^{4}+t_{3}^{32}+v_{4}^{4} t_{2}^{8}\right) \\
d\left(v_{2}^{14} v_{4}^{20} \hat{x}_{1}\right) & \equiv v_{2}^{16} v_{4}^{20} t_{2}^{8}+v_{2}^{18} v_{4}^{18} t_{2}^{16}
\end{aligned}
$$

modulo $\left(2, v_{1}, v_{2}^{19}\right)$. Summing these congruences, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
d\left(\hat{y}_{3}\right) \equiv & v_{2}^{8} t_{2}^{32}+v_{2}^{12}\left(t_{3}^{16}+t_{3}^{32}\right)+v_{2}^{14} v_{4}^{2} t_{2}^{16}+v_{2}^{16}\left(t_{2}^{80}+t_{4}^{16}+v_{4}^{16} t_{3}^{32}+v_{5}^{8} t_{2}^{16}\right) \\
& +v_{2}^{17} t_{2}^{2}+v_{2}^{18}\left(v_{4}^{4} t_{2}^{2}+v_{4}^{18} t_{2}^{16}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
d\left(\hat{x}_{3}\right) \equiv & v_{2}^{12}\left(t_{3}^{16}+t_{3}^{32}\right)+v_{2}^{14} v_{4}^{2} t_{2}^{16}+v_{2}^{16}\left(t_{2}^{80}+t_{4}^{16}+v_{4}^{16} t_{3}^{32}+v_{5}^{8} t_{2}^{16}\right) \\
& +v_{2}^{17} t_{2}^{2}+v_{2}^{18}\left(v_{4}^{4} t_{2}^{2}+v_{4}^{18} t_{2}^{16}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

modulo $\left(2, v_{1}, v_{2}^{19}\right)$. For $k=4$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
d\left(\hat{y}_{4}\right) & =d\left(v_{2}^{27} v_{4}^{4} \phi_{1}\right) \\
& \equiv v_{2}^{28} v_{4}^{4} t_{2}^{32}
\end{aligned}
$$

and $\quad d\left(\hat{x}_{4}\right) \equiv v_{2}^{24}\left(t_{3}^{32}+t_{3}^{64}\right)$
modulo $\left(2, v_{1}, v_{2}^{31}\right)$. For $k=5$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
d\left(v_{2}^{48} \hat{w}_{5}^{16}\right) \equiv & v_{2}^{48}\left(t_{3}^{16}+t_{3}^{128}+v_{4}^{16} t_{2}^{32}+v_{4}^{64} t_{2}^{16}\right) \\
d\left(v_{2}^{47} v_{4}^{16} \phi_{1}\right) \equiv & v_{2}^{48} v_{4}^{16}\left(t_{2}^{32}+v_{2}^{3} t_{2}+v_{2}^{4} t_{3}^{16}\right) \\
d\left(v_{2}^{44} v_{4}^{64} \hat{x}_{2}\right) \equiv & v_{2}^{48} v_{4}^{64} t_{2}^{16} \\
d\left(v_{2}^{36} \hat{x}_{3}\right) \equiv & v_{2}^{48}\left(t_{3}^{16}+t_{3}^{32}\right)+v_{2}^{50} v_{4}^{2} t_{2}^{16}+v_{2}^{52}\left(t_{2}^{80}+t_{4}^{16}+v_{4}^{16} t_{3}^{32}+v_{5}^{8} t_{2}^{16}\right) \\
& +v_{2}^{53} t_{2}^{2}+v_{2}^{54}\left(v_{4}^{4} t_{2}^{2}+v_{4}^{18} t_{2}^{16}\right) \\
d\left(v_{2}^{24} \hat{x}_{4}\right) \equiv & v_{2}^{48}\left(t_{3}^{32}+t_{3}^{64}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

modulo $\left(2, v_{1}, v_{2}^{55}\right)$. Summing these congruences, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
d\left(\hat{y}_{5}\right) \equiv & v_{2}^{48}\left(t_{3}^{64}+t_{3}^{128}\right)+v_{2}^{50} v_{4}^{2} t_{2}^{16}+v_{2}^{51} v_{4}^{16} t_{2} \\
& +v_{2}^{52}\left(t_{2}^{80}+t_{4}^{16}+v_{4}^{16} t_{3}^{16}+v_{4}^{16} t_{3}^{32}+v_{5}^{8} t_{2}^{16}\right) \\
& +v_{2}^{53} t_{2}^{2}+v_{2}^{54}\left(v_{4}^{4} t_{2}^{2}+v_{4}^{18} t_{2}^{16}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
d\left(\hat{x}_{5}\right) \equiv & v_{2}^{50} v_{4}^{2} t_{2}^{16}+v_{2}^{51} v_{4}^{16} t_{2}+v_{2}^{52}\left(t_{2}^{80}+t_{4}^{16}+v_{4}^{16} t_{3}^{16}+v_{4}^{16} t_{3}^{32}+v_{5}^{8} t_{2}^{16}\right) \\
& +v_{2}^{53} t_{2}^{2}+v_{2}^{54}\left(v_{4}^{4} t_{2}^{2}+v_{4}^{18} t_{2}^{16}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

modulo $\left(2, v_{1}, v_{2}^{55}\right)$. For $k=6$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
d\left(v_{2}^{99} v_{4}^{4} \phi_{1}\right) & \equiv v_{2}^{99}\left\{d\left(v_{4}^{4}\right) \phi_{1}+\eta_{R}\left(v_{4}^{4}\right) d\left(\phi_{1}\right)\right\} \\
& \equiv v_{2}^{100} v_{4}^{4} t_{2}^{32}+v_{2}^{103}\left(v_{4} t_{2}^{16}+v_{4}^{4} t_{2}\right)+v_{2}^{104}\left(t_{2}^{48}+v_{4}^{4} t_{3}^{16}+v_{5}^{4} t_{2}^{16}\right) \\
d\left(v_{2}^{102} v_{4}^{32} \hat{w}_{4}^{2}\right) & \equiv v_{2}^{102}\left(v_{4}^{32} t_{2}^{2}+v_{4}^{32} t_{2}^{16}\right)+v_{2}^{104} v_{4}^{32} t_{3}^{8} \\
d\left(v_{2}^{98} v_{4}^{32} \hat{x}_{2}\right) & \equiv v_{2}^{102} v_{4}^{32} t_{2}^{16} \\
d\left(v_{2}^{101} v_{4}^{4} \phi_{2}\right) & \equiv v_{2}^{103} v_{4}^{4} t_{2}+v_{2}^{104} v_{4}^{4} t_{3}^{4}+v_{2}^{105} v_{4}^{2} t_{2}^{16}
\end{aligned}
$$

modulo $\left(2, v_{1}, v_{2}^{106}\right)$. Summing these congruences, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
d\left(\hat{y}_{6}\right) \equiv & v_{2}^{100} v_{4}^{4} t_{2}^{32}+v_{2}^{102} v_{4}^{32} t_{2}^{2}+v_{2}^{103} v_{4} t_{2}^{16} \\
& +v_{2}^{104}\left(t_{2}^{48}+v_{4}^{4} t_{3}^{4}+v_{4}^{4} t_{3}^{16}+v_{4}^{32} t_{3}^{8}+v_{5}^{4} t_{2}^{16}\right)+v_{2}^{105} v_{4}^{2} t_{2}^{16} \\
\text { and } \quad d\left(\hat{x}_{6}\right) \equiv & v_{2}^{103} v_{4} t_{2}^{16}+v_{2}^{104}\left(t_{2}^{48}+t_{2}^{160}+t_{4}^{32}+v_{4}^{4} t_{3}^{4}+v_{4}^{4} t_{3}^{16}+v_{4}^{32} t_{3}^{8}+v_{4}^{32} t_{3}^{32}\right. \\
& \left.+v_{4}^{32} t_{3}^{64}+v_{5}^{4} t_{2}^{16}+v_{5}^{16} t_{2}^{32}\right)+v_{2}^{105} v_{4}^{2} t_{2}^{16}
\end{aligned}
$$

modulo $\left(2, v_{1}, v_{2}^{106}\right)$. For $k=7$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
d\left(\hat{y}_{7}\right) & =d\left(v_{2}^{205} v_{4}^{2} \phi_{1}\right) \\
& =v_{2}^{205}\left\{d\left(v_{4}^{2}\right) \phi_{1}+\eta_{R}\left(v_{4}^{2}\right) d\left(\phi_{1}\right)\right\} \\
& \equiv v_{2}^{206} v_{4}^{2} t_{2}^{32}+v_{2}^{207} v_{4} t_{2}^{8}+v_{2}^{208}\left(t_{2}^{40}+v_{5}^{4} t_{2}^{8}\right)+v_{2}^{209} v_{4}^{2} t_{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

and $\quad d\left(\hat{x}_{7}\right) \equiv v_{2}^{207} v_{4} t_{2}^{8}+v_{2}^{208}\left(t_{2}^{40}+t_{2}^{96}+t_{2}^{320}+t_{4}^{64}+v_{4}^{8} t_{3}^{8}+v_{4}^{8} t_{3}^{32}+v_{4}^{64} t_{3}^{16}+v_{4}^{64} t_{3}^{64}\right.$

$$
\left.+v_{4}^{64} t_{3}^{128}+v_{5}^{4} t_{2}^{8}+v_{5}^{8} t_{2}^{32}+v_{5}^{32} t_{2}^{64}\right)+v_{2}^{209} v_{4}^{2} t_{2}
$$

modulo $\left(2, v_{1}, v_{2}^{210}\right)$. For $k=8$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
d\left(v_{2}^{364} \hat{x}_{5}\right) \equiv & v_{2}^{414} v_{4}^{2} t_{2}^{16}+v_{2}^{415} v_{4}^{16} t_{2}+v_{2}^{416}\left(t_{2}^{80}+t_{4}^{16}+v_{4}^{16} t_{3}^{16}+v_{4}^{16} t_{3}^{32}+v_{5}^{8} t_{2}^{16}\right) \\
& +v_{2}^{417} t_{2}^{2}+v_{2}^{418}\left(v_{4}^{4} t_{2}^{2}+v_{4}^{18} t_{2}^{16}\right) \\
d\left(v_{2}^{413} v_{4}^{16} \phi_{2}\right) \equiv & v_{2}^{415} v_{4}^{16} t_{2}+v_{2}^{416} v_{4}^{16} t_{3}^{4}, \\
d\left(v_{2}^{415} v_{4}^{16} \phi_{3}\right) \equiv & v_{2}^{416}\left(v_{4}^{16} t_{3}^{4}+v_{4}^{16} t_{3}^{32}+v_{4}^{20} t_{2}^{8}\right), \\
d\left(v_{2}^{414} v_{4}^{20} \hat{x}_{1}\right) \equiv & v_{2}^{416} v_{4}^{20} t_{2}^{8}+v_{2}^{418} v_{4}^{18} t_{2}^{16}, \\
d\left(v_{2}^{416} \phi_{4}\right) \equiv & v_{2}^{416}\left(t_{4}^{16}+t_{4}^{128}+v_{4}^{16} t_{3}^{256}+v_{4}^{128} t_{3}^{16}+t_{2}^{192}+t_{2}^{640}+v_{5}^{16} t_{2}^{64}+v_{5}^{64} t_{2}^{128}\right), \\
d\left(v_{2}^{416} v_{4}^{16} \hat{w}_{5}^{32}\right) \equiv & v_{2}^{416}\left(v_{4}^{16} t_{3}^{32}+v_{4}^{16} t_{3}^{256}+v_{4}^{48} t_{2}^{64}+v_{4}^{144} t_{2}^{32}\right), \\
d\left(v_{2}^{414} \phi_{5}\right) \equiv & v_{2}^{416} v_{4}^{48} t_{2}^{64} \\
d\left(v_{2}^{416} v_{4}^{128} \hat{w}_{5}^{16}\right) \equiv & v_{2}^{416}\left(v_{4}^{128} t_{3}^{16}+v_{4}^{128} t_{3}^{128}+v_{4}^{144} t_{2}^{32}+v_{4}^{192} t_{2}^{16}\right) \\
d\left(v_{2}^{412} v_{4}^{192} \hat{x}_{2}\right) \equiv & v_{2}^{416} v_{4}^{192} t_{2}^{16}, \\
d\left(v_{2}^{416} v_{4}^{128} \hat{w}_{5}^{32}\right) \equiv & v_{2}^{416}\left(v_{4}^{128} t_{3}^{32}+v_{4}^{128} t_{3}^{256}+v_{4}^{160} t_{2}^{64}+v_{4}^{256} t_{2}^{32}\right) \\
d\left(v_{2}^{414} v_{4}^{160} \phi_{5}\right) & \equiv v_{2}^{416} v_{4}^{160} t_{2}^{64}, \\
d\left(v_{2}^{415} v_{4}^{256} \phi_{1}\right) \equiv & \equiv v_{2}^{416} v_{4}^{256} t_{2}^{32}, \\
d\left(v_{2}^{413} \phi_{6}\right) \equiv & v_{2}^{417} t_{2}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

modulo $\left(2, v_{1}, v_{2}^{419}\right)$. Summing these congruences, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
d\left(\hat{y}_{8}\right) \equiv & v_{2}^{414} v_{4}^{2} t_{2}^{16}+v_{2}^{418} v_{4}^{4} t_{2}^{2} \\
& +v_{2}^{416}\left(t_{2}^{80}+t_{2}^{192}+t_{2}^{640}+t_{4}^{128}+v_{4}^{16} t_{3}^{16}+v_{4}^{16} t_{3}^{32}\right. \\
& \left.\quad+v_{4}^{128} t_{3}^{32}+v_{4}^{128} t_{3}^{128}+v_{4}^{128} t_{3}^{256}+v_{5}^{8} t_{2}^{16}+v_{5}^{16} t_{2}^{64}+v_{5}^{64} t_{2}^{128}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

and $\quad d\left(\hat{x}_{8}\right) \equiv v_{2}^{416}\left(v_{4}^{16} t_{3}^{32}+v_{4}^{16} t_{3}^{64}\right)$

$$
\equiv v_{2}^{416} v_{4}^{16} d\left(\hat{x}_{4}\right)
$$

modulo $\left(2, v_{1}, v_{2}^{419}\right)$.
For $k \geq 9$, we prove the formula by induction. Assume that the congruence

$$
\begin{equation*}
d\left(\hat{x}_{k-1}\right) \equiv v_{2}^{49 \cdot 2^{k-6}} \hat{x}_{k-5} d\left(\hat{x}_{k-5}\right) \quad \bmod \left(v_{2}^{3+\hat{a}(k-1)}\right) \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

is satisfied. Notice that (4.2) may be rewritten as

$$
\begin{gathered}
\operatorname{Ext}_{\Gamma(2)}^{0}\left(B P_{*}, M_{2}^{1}\right) \\
\hat{a}(k)=2 \hat{a}(k-1)+ \begin{cases}2 & \text { for } k \equiv 0(4), \\
2 & \text { for } k \equiv 1(4), \\
3 & \text { for } k \equiv 2(4), \\
1 & \text { for } k \equiv 3(4)\end{cases}
\end{gathered}
$$

This suggests that we should compute $d\left(\hat{x}_{k}\right)$ modulo $\left(v_{2}^{3+\hat{a}(k)}\right)$ rather than modulo $\left(v_{2}^{1+\hat{a}(k)}\right)$. Denote $\hat{x}_{k}+\hat{x}_{k-1}^{2}$ by $\hat{z}_{k}$. By definition, $\hat{z}_{k}$ is related to $\hat{z}_{k-4}$ by

$$
\hat{z}_{k}=v_{2}^{\hat{a}(k)-\hat{a}(k-4)} \hat{x}_{k-4} \hat{z}_{k-4} .
$$

Then $d\left(\hat{z}_{k}\right)$ is computed as

$$
\begin{align*}
d\left(\hat{z}_{k}\right) & =v_{2}^{\hat{a}(k)-\hat{a}(k-4)} d\left(\hat{x}_{k-4} \hat{z}_{k-4}\right)  \tag{4.7}\\
& =v_{2}^{\hat{a}(k)-\hat{a}(k-4)}\left(d\left(\hat{x}_{k-4}\right) \hat{z}_{k-4}+\eta_{R}\left(\hat{x}_{k-4}\right) d\left(\hat{z}_{k-4}\right)\right)
\end{align*}
$$

Define integers $n(k)$ by

$$
n(k)= \begin{cases}24 & \text { for } k=9 \\ 98 & \text { for } k=10 \\ 205 & \text { for } k=11 \\ 364 & \text { for } k=12 \\ \hat{a}(k-4)-\hat{a}(k-8) & \text { for } k \geq 13\end{cases}
$$

By definition, $\hat{z}_{k-4}$ is divisible by $v_{2}^{n(k-4)}$ so that we observe that

$$
\begin{aligned}
v_{2}^{\hat{a}(k)-\hat{a}(k-4)} \cdot d\left(\hat{x}_{k-4}\right) \hat{z}_{k-4} & =v_{2}^{\hat{a}(k)-\hat{a}(k-4)} \cdot d\left(\hat{x}_{k-4}\right) v_{2}^{n(k)} z \\
& =v_{2}^{\hat{a}(k)-\hat{a}(k-4)+n(k)} z \cdot d\left(\hat{x}_{k-4}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

for some $z$. Because $d\left(\hat{x}_{k-4}\right)$ is trivial modulo $\left(v_{2}^{\hat{a}(k-4)}\right)$ and the inequality

$$
\hat{a}(k)+n(k) \geq \hat{a}(k)+3
$$

is satisfied, we can ignore the first term of (4.7) modulo $\left(v_{2}^{3+\hat{a}(k)}\right)$. We can also apply the similar statement for the second term. Thus we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
d\left(\hat{z}_{k}\right) \equiv v_{2}^{\hat{a}(k)-\hat{a}(k-4)} \hat{x}_{k-4} d\left(\hat{z}_{k-4}\right) \quad \bmod \left(v_{2}^{\hat{a}(k)+3}\right) \tag{4.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, by assumption (4.6) we have

$$
\begin{align*}
d\left(\hat{x}_{k-1}^{2}\right) & \equiv v_{2}^{49 \cdot 2^{k-5}} \hat{x}_{k-5}^{2} d\left(\hat{x}_{k-5}^{2}\right)  \tag{4.9}\\
& \equiv v_{2}^{49 \cdot 2^{k-5}} \hat{x}_{k-4} d\left(\hat{x}_{k-5}^{2}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

Summing (4.8) and (4.9), we obtain the desired formula.

## 5. Proof of the Theorem 1.1

Define integers $\hat{c}(k)(k \geq 0)$ by

$$
\hat{c}(k)= \begin{cases}0 & \text { for } 0 \leq k \leq 4  \tag{5.1}\\ 2 & \text { for } k=5 \\ 1 & \text { for } 6 \leq k \leq 7 \\ 2^{k-4}+\hat{c}(k-4) & \text { for } k \geq 8\end{cases}
$$

This is the exponent of $v_{4}$ in $d\left(\hat{x}_{k}\right)$ and thus Lemma 4.5 is rewritten as

$$
d\left(\hat{x}_{k}\right) \equiv v_{2}^{\hat{a}(k)} v_{4}^{\hat{c}(k)} \begin{cases}t_{2}^{k+2} & \text { for } 0 \leq k \leq 2  \tag{5.2}\\ \left(t_{3}^{16}+t_{3}^{32}\right) & \text { for } k=3 \\ \left(t_{3}^{32}+t_{3}^{t^{64}}\right) & \text { for } k \equiv 0 \bmod (4) \\ t_{2}^{16} & \text { for } k \equiv 1 \operatorname{and} 2 \bmod (4) \\ t_{2}^{8} & \text { for } k \equiv 3 \bmod (4)\end{cases}
$$

modulo $\left(v_{2}^{1+\hat{a}(k)}\right)$. By (5.2) and the multiplicative property of $\eta_{R}$, we obtain

$$
\delta\left(\hat{x}_{k}^{s} / v_{2}^{\hat{( }(k)}\right)=v_{4}^{2^{k}(s-1)+\hat{c}(k)} \begin{cases}\hat{h}_{1, k+2} & \text { for } 0 \leq k \leq 2,  \tag{5.3}\\ \left(\hat{h}_{2,4}+\hat{h}_{2,5}\right) & \text { for } k=3, \\ \left(\hat{h}_{2,5}+\hat{h}_{2,6}\right) & \text { for } k \equiv 0 \bmod (4), \\ \hat{h}_{1,4} & \text { for } k \equiv 1 \operatorname{and} 2 \bmod (4), \\ \hat{h}_{1,3} & \text { for } k \equiv 3 \bmod (4) .\end{cases}
$$

Because of the condition (2.5), it suffices to show that these elements are linearly independent over $\mathbf{Z} /(2)$ (recall that we set $v_{3}=1$ ).

For $k \geq 8$, set $k=k_{0}+4 k_{1}$ with $4 \leq k_{0} \leq 7$ and $k_{1} \geq 1$. Then (5.1) may be rewritten as

$$
\hat{c}(k)= \begin{cases}2^{4}\left(16^{k_{1}-1}+16^{k_{1}-2}+\cdots+16^{2}+16+1\right) & \text { for } k \equiv 0 \bmod (4), \\ 2^{5}\left(16^{k_{1}-1}+16^{k_{1}-2}+\cdots+16^{2}+16+1\right)+2 & \text { for } k \equiv 1 \bmod (4), \\ 2^{6}\left(16^{k_{1}-1}+16^{k_{1}-2}+\cdots+16^{2}+16+1\right)+1 & \text { for } k \equiv 2 \bmod (4), \\ 2^{7}\left(16^{k_{1}-1}+16^{k_{1}-2}+\cdots+16^{2}+16+1\right)+1 & \text { for } k \equiv 3 \bmod (4) .\end{cases}
$$

Denote $2^{k}(s-1)+\hat{c}(k)$ (the exponent of $v_{4}$ in $\left.\delta\left(\hat{x}_{k}^{s} / v_{2}^{\hat{u}(k)}\right)\right)$ by $D(k, s)$. The next is the table which classified $D(k, s)$ according to the classes $\hat{h}_{1, j}(2 \leq j \leq 4)$ or $\hat{h}_{2, j}+\hat{h}_{2, j+1}(j=4,5)($ see (5.3)).

| class | exponent of $v_{4}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\hat{h}_{1,2}$ | $D(0, s)$ |
| $\hat{h}_{1,3}$ | $D(1, s), D(7, s), D\left(7+4 k_{1}, s\right)$ |
| $\hat{h}_{1,4}$ | $D(2, s), D(5, s), D(6, s), D\left(5+4 k_{1}, s\right), D\left(6+4 k_{1}, s\right)$ |
| $\hat{h}_{2,4}+\hat{h}_{2,5}$ | $D(3, s)$ |
| $\hat{h}_{2,5}+\hat{h}_{2,6}$ | $D(4, s), D\left(4+4 k_{1}, s\right)$ |

$$
\operatorname{Ext}_{\Gamma(2)}^{0}\left(B P_{*}, M_{2}^{1}\right)
$$

In order to confirm that the set of elements (5.3) is linearly independent, it is enough to check that two $D(k, s)$ belonging to the same class are different each other. For example, $D(k, s)$ corresponding to $\hat{h}_{1,3}$ are

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
D(1, s)=2(s-1) \\
D(7, s)=2^{7}(s-1)+1 \\
D\left(7+4 k_{1}, s\right)=2^{7+4 k_{1}}(s-1)+2^{7}\left(16^{k_{1}-1}+16^{k_{1}-2}+\cdots+16^{2}+16+1\right)+1
\end{array}\right.
$$

$D(1, s)$ is clearly different from other cases because only $D(1, s)$ is even. Moreover, we see that

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
D(7, s) & \equiv 1 & \bmod \left(2^{8}\right), \\
\text { but } & D\left(7+4 k_{1}, s\right) & \equiv 2^{7}+1
\end{array}
$$

because $s-1$ is even, so $D(7, s) \neq D\left(7+4 k_{1}, s\right)$.
We also have to confirm that two integers $D\left(7+4 \ell_{1}, s_{1}\right)$ and $D\left(7+4 \ell_{2}, s_{2}\right)$ are different each other whenever $\left(\ell_{1}, s_{1}\right) \neq\left(\ell_{2}, s_{2}\right)$. Assume that

$$
D\left(7+4 \ell_{1}, s_{1}\right)=D\left(7+4 \ell_{2}, s_{2}\right)
$$

with $\ell_{1}<\ell_{2}$. Then we see that

$$
\begin{aligned}
2^{7+4 \ell_{1}}\left(s_{1}-1\right)-2^{7+4 \ell_{2}}\left(s_{2}-1\right)= & 2^{7}\left(16^{\ell_{2}-1}+16^{\ell_{2}-2}+\cdots+16^{2}+16+1\right) \\
& -2^{7}\left(16^{\ell_{1}-1}+16^{\ell_{1}-2}+\cdots+16^{2}+16+1\right) \\
= & 2^{7}\left(16^{\ell_{2}-1}+16^{\ell_{2}-2}+\cdots+16^{\ell_{1}}\right) \\
= & 2^{7} \cdot 16^{\ell_{1}}\left(16^{\ell_{2}-\ell_{1}-1}+16^{\ell_{2}-\ell_{1}-2}+\cdots+16+1\right) \\
= & 2^{7+4 \ell_{1}}\left(16^{\ell_{2}-\ell_{1}-1}+16^{\ell_{2}-\ell_{1}-2}+\cdots+16+1\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Dividing both sides by $2^{7+4 / 1}$, we have

$$
s_{1}-1-2^{4\left(\ell_{2}-\ell_{1}\right)}\left(s_{2}-1\right)=16^{\ell_{2}-\ell_{1}-1}+16^{\ell_{2}-\ell_{1}-2}+\cdots+16+1 .
$$

Observe that the left hand side is even (because $s_{1}-1$ is even) while the right hand side is odd in this equality. This is a contradiction and we can conclude that $D\left(7+4 \ell_{1}, s_{1}\right) \neq D\left(7+4 \ell_{2}, s_{2}\right)$.

Similar statements are satisfied in other cases, too. Consequently, (5.3) is a linearly independent set over $\mathbf{Z} /(2)$ and thus $B^{0}$ is isomorphic to our target $\operatorname{Ext}_{\Gamma(2)}^{0}\left(M_{2}^{1}\right)$.

## Appendix A. A beginner's guide to the calculation by Mathematica program

Here we exhibit some Mathematica programs which would be useful for those who are working in $B P$ theory. The programming is not so difficult, but
there are few guides for programming in terms of Brown-Peterson theory or related topics. That is why we think that it might be better to give explanation for the program which we used to obtain the results in this paper.

Our steps to obtain the results in this paper were as follows: First we used Mathematica to obtain the exact definition of $\hat{a}(k)$ (4.2) and $\hat{y}_{k}$ (4.4). Next we confirmed it both by Mathematica and by hand.

Because it is possible to make some mistakes in programming, it is not good to depend only on calculating by computer, we think. However, it is of benefit to reduce the amount of our computational jobs. (We usually waste almost of our time to get exact definition of $\hat{x}_{k}$ and $\hat{a}(k)!$ ) So we checked our results not only by computer but also by hand. In fact, the first author used Mathematica to obtain the results of [6] and [2] in a similar way.

The first author studied how to program using Mathematica from some programs by D. C. Ravenel. We thank him so much for giving us his useful Mathematica programs and permission to exhibit some of them here.
A.1. Definition of some functions. We must specify a prime number at first. For example, if we set $p=2$, then we write

```
Clear[p]; p = 2;
```

Denote $\ell_{i}$ (generators of $B P_{*} \otimes \mathbf{Q}$ ) by $1[i]$ and Hazewinkel generators $v_{i}$ by $\mathrm{v}[\mathrm{i}]$ as usual. Because of formulas

$$
\begin{aligned}
p \ell_{1} & =v_{1} \\
\text { and } \quad p \ell_{i} & =v_{i}+\sum_{k=1}^{i-1} v_{i-k}^{p^{k}} \ell_{k} \quad \text { for } i \geq 2
\end{aligned}
$$

we can express $1[i]$ using $v[i]$ as

```
Clear[l, v, t];
l[0] = 1; l[1] = v[1]/p; t[0] = 1;
l[i_] := Expand[
    (v[i] + Sum[v[i - k]^(p^k)*l[k], {k, 1, i - 1}])/p
                    ]; i >= 2;
```

The following program (originally due to Ravenel) is designed in order to define the algebra structure of the right unit $\eta_{R}: B P_{*} \rightarrow B P_{*}(B P)$.
(A.1) Clear[RU, RRU]

```
RU[x_ + y_] := RU[x] + RU[y];
```

RU[x_*y_] := RU[x]*RU[y];
RU[x_/y_] := RU[x]/RU[y];

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Ext}_{\Gamma(2)}^{0}\left(B P_{*}, M_{2}^{1}\right) \tag{151}
\end{equation*}
$$

```
RU[x_^i_Integer] := RU[x]^i;
RU[x_Rational] := x;
RU[x_Integer] := x;
RU[x_Rational*y_] := x*RU[y];
RU[x_Integer*y_] := x*RU[y];
RRU[x_] := Expand[RU[x] - x];
```

Here the symbol RU means the right unit $\eta_{R}$ and RRU means the reduced right unit $\eta_{R}-\eta_{L}$. Recall that $\eta_{R}\left(v_{i}\right)$ is given by the recursive formulas

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \eta_{R}\left(v_{1}\right)
\end{aligned}=p \eta_{R}\left(\ell_{1}\right) .
$$

If we denote $\eta_{R}\left(\ell_{i}\right)$ by RUonl[i], then the above formulas are rewritten as
(A.2) Clear[RUonl]

RUonl[i_] := Sum[l[k]*t[i - k]^(p^k), \{k, 0, i\}];
RU[v[1]] = Expand[p*RUonl[1]];
RU[v[i_]] := Expand[p*RUonl[i]

- Sum[RU[v[i - k]]^(p^k)*RUonl[k], $\{k, 1, i-1\}]] ;$

Under these preparations, we can make the program calculate $\eta_{R}\left(v_{i}\right)$. For example, input as

```
In[1] := RU[v[1]]
    RU[v[2]]
    RU[v[3]]
```

Then the corresponding outputs are

```
Out[1] := 2t[1] + v[1]
Out[2] := -4t[1] 3 + 2t[2] - 5t[1]'v[1] - 3t[1]v[1] 2 +v[2]
Out[3] := -16t[1]]
    - 4t[1] 3}t[2]v[1] - t[2] 'v[1] - 85t[1] 5'v[1]] 2,
    - 2t[1] 't[2]v[1] 2 - 70t[1] +}v[1\mp@subsup{]}{}{3}-2t[1]t[2]v[1] 3
    - 36t[1] }\mp@subsup{}{}{3}v[1\mp@subsup{]}{}{4}-t[2]v[1\mp@subsup{]}{}{4}-11t[1\mp@subsup{]}{}{2}v[1\mp@subsup{]}{}{5
    - 2t[1]v[1]}\mp@subsup{}{}{6}+t[1\mp@subsup{]}{}{4}v[2] - 4t[1]t[2]v[2
    - 2t[1] 3}v[1]v[2] - 2t[2]v[1]v[2] - t[1] 'v[1] 2v[2]
    - t[1]v[1]}\mp@subsup{}{}{3}v[2] - t[1]v[2]'2 + v[3
```

A.2. Programs for $\bmod p$ calculation. Here we introduce some programs which we actually used to obtain the results in this paper.

If there are so many processes in running programs, then a computer would need very long time (or stop). So, whenever we do programming, we must make our best effort at designing programs so as to reduce the size of computation.

When we do calculations modulo $p$, then we put the following program in front of (A.1):

```
Clear[PM, PR, SP]
PM[x_] := PolynomialMod[x, p];
PR[x_, e_] := PolynomialRemainder[x, v[2]^e, v[2]];
SP[x_Plus, k_] := (#^(p^k)) & /@ x
SP[x_Times, k_] := (#^(p^k)) & /@ x
SP[x_Integer, k_] := x
SP[x_, k_] := x^( ( ^^k)
```

and change the first line of (A.1) into
Clear[RU, RRU, padic, pdigits]
padic[i_] := IntegerDigits[i, p];
pdigits[i_] := Length[padic[i]];
the fifth line of (A.1) into

```
RU[x_^i_Integer] := Product[
    SP[RU[x]^(padic[i][[pdigits[i] - k]]), k],
    {k, 0, pdigits[i] - 1}];
```

and the fourth line of (A.2) into

```
RU[v[i_]] := PM[p*RUonl[i]
    - Sum[RU[v[i - k]]^(p^k)*RUOnl[k],
    {k, 1, i - 1}]];
```

In the above program $\operatorname{PM}[($ polynomial $)]$ means the reduced polynomial modulo $(p), \operatorname{PR}[($ polynomial $), e]$ means the reduced polynomial modulo $\left(v_{2}^{e}\right)$, and $\operatorname{SP}\left[\sum_{i} x_{i}, k\right]$ (each $x_{i}$ is a monomial) means $\sum_{i} x_{i}^{p^{k}}$.

In this paper we considered the right unit $M_{2}^{1} \rightarrow M_{2}^{1} \otimes B P_{*}(B P) /\left(t_{1}\right)$, so we set

```
t[1] = 0; v[1] = 0;
```

Moreover, because $M_{2}^{1}$ is $v_{3}$-local, we may also set

```
v[3] = 1;
```

$$
\operatorname{Ext}_{\Gamma(2)}^{0}\left(B P_{*}, M_{2}^{1}\right)
$$

For $d\left(\hat{x}_{k}\right) \quad(0 \leq k \leq 2)$, input data of $\hat{x}_{k}$ as

```
Clear[a, x]
a[0] = 1; a[1] = p; a[2] = p^2;
x[0] = v[4]; x[1] = x[0]^p; x[2] = x[1]^p;
Do[
    Print[""]
        Print["d(x[", i, "]) is computed as follows:"]
        Print[" a[", i, "]=", a[i]]
        Print[" x[", i, "]=", x[i]]
        Print[" d(x[", i, "])=", PM[RRU[x[i]]],
            " mod (", p, ",v[1])"]
        Print[" d(x[", i, "])=", PR[PM[RRU[x[i]]], 1 + a[i]],
            " mod (", p, ",v[1],", v[2]^(1 + a[i]), ")"]
    , {i, 0, 2}
    ]
```

Then the corresponding outputs are

```
d on x[0] is computed as follows:
```

    \(a[0]=1\)
    \(x[0]=v[4]\)
    \(d(x[0])=t[2]^{4} v[2]+t[2] v[2]^{4} \quad \bmod (2, v[1])\)
    \(d(x[0])=t[2]^{4} v[2] \quad \bmod \left(2, v[1], v[2]^{2}\right)\)
    d on $\mathrm{x}[1]$ is computed as follows:
$a[1]=2$
$\mathrm{x}[1]=\mathrm{v}[4]^{2}$
$d(x[1])=t[2]^{8} v[2]^{2}+t[2]^{2} v[2]^{8} \bmod (2, v[1])$
$d(x[1])=t[2]^{8} v[2]^{2} \quad \bmod \left(2, v[1], v[2]^{3}\right)$
d on $\mathrm{x}[2]$ is computed as follows:
$a[2]=4$
$\mathrm{x}[2]=\mathrm{v}[4]^{4}$
$d(x[2])=t[2]^{16} v[2]^{4}+t[2]^{4} v[2]^{16} \quad \bmod (2, v[1])$
$d(x[2])=t[2]^{16} v[2]^{4} \quad \bmod \left(2, v[1], v[2]^{5}\right)$

For $d\left(\hat{x}_{k}\right)(k \geq 3)$, programming becomes more complicated because there are many monomials in $\hat{x}_{k}-\hat{x}_{k-1}^{2}$. The next program is designed in order to make outputs easy to see.

```
Do[Dx[j] = PM[RRU[x[j]]], {j, 0, 2}];
Result[k_] := (
    DA[k, i_] = RRU[A[k, i]];
    Dy[k] =
        Collect[PM[Sum[PR[PM[DA[k, i]], aa[k]],
            {i, ElementNum[k]}]], v[2]];
    Dx[k] = Collect[PM[SP[Dx[k - 1], 1] + Dy[k]], v[2]];
    RU[x[k]] = Dx[k] + x[k];
    Print["If we set "]
        Print[" a[", k, "]=", a[k]]
        Print[" aa[", k, "]=", aa[k]]
        Print["then we have "]
        Do[Print[" d(A[", k",", i, "])=", PR[PM[DA[k, i]],
            aa[k]], " mod(", v[2]^(aa[k]), "),"],
            {i, ElementNum[k]}]
        Print["Summing these congruences, we have "]
        Print[" d(y[", k, "])=", Dy[k],
            " mod(", v[2]^(aa[k]), "),"]
        Print["Consequently, we obtain "]
        Print[" d(x[", k, "])=", PR[Dx[k], aa[k]],
            " mod(", v[2]^(aa[k]), ")"]
    Print[" d(x[", k, "])=", PR[Dx[k], 1 + a[k]],
                " mod(", v[2]^(1 + a[k]), ")"]
    );
```

Here the symbols $\mathrm{a}[\mathrm{k}], \mathrm{x}[\mathrm{k}], \mathrm{Dx}[\mathrm{k}]$ and $\mathrm{Dy}[\mathrm{k}]$ mean $\hat{a}(k), \hat{x}_{k}, d\left(\hat{x}_{k}\right)$ and $d\left(\hat{y}_{k}\right)$ respectively, and aa[k] is a larger integer than $a[k]$. Notice that we actually computed $d\left(\hat{x}_{k}\right)$ modulo $\left(v_{2}^{\mathrm{aa}[\mathrm{k}]}\right)$ in the proof of Lemma 4.5.

Each $\mathrm{A}[\mathrm{k}, \mathrm{i}]$ consists of elements added to $\hat{x}_{k-1}$, i.e.,

$$
\hat{y}_{k}=\sum_{i} \mathrm{~A}[\mathrm{k}, \mathrm{i}]
$$

and DA[k,i] is $d(A[k, i])$. ElementNum[k] is the number of such $A[k, i]$ (so $i$ runs from 1 to this number in the above sum).

The next program defines $\hat{w}_{i}(i=4,5)$ in (3.3):

```
Clear[w];
w[4] = v[5]; w[5] = v[6] + v[4]*v[5]^2;
```

$$
\operatorname{Ext}_{\Gamma(2)}^{0}\left(B P_{*}, M_{2}^{1}\right)
$$

Under these preparations, we are ready to compute $d\left(\hat{x}_{k}\right)$ for $k \geq 3$. For example, input data of elements of $\hat{x}_{3}-\hat{x}_{2}^{2}$ as

```
Clear[k, A]
k = 3;
A[k, 1] = v[2]^8**W[4]^4;
A[k, 2] = v[2]^7*x[0];
A[k, 3] = v[2]^(11)*W[4];
A[k, 4] = v[2]^9*x[1];
A[k, 5] = v[2]^(12)*SP[w[5], 2];
A[k, 6] = v[2]^(11)*v[4]^(16)*x[0];
A[k, 7] = v[2]^(10)*v[4]^4*x[1];
A[k, 8] = v[2]^(15)*v[4]^(16)*w[4];
A[k, 9] = v[2]^(13)*v[4]^(16)*x[1];
A[k, 10] = v[2]^(16)*v[4]^(16)*SP[w[5], 2];
A[k, 11] = v[2]^(15)*v[4]^(32)*x[0];
A[k, 12] = v[2]^(14)*v[4]^(20)*x[1];
ElementNum[k] = 12; a[k] = 12; aa[k] = 19;
Result[k]
```

Then the corresponding outputs show the same results as described in the proof of Lemma 4.5. We have got the results on $d\left(\hat{x}_{k}\right)$ for higher $k$ in similar ways. We believe that interested readers can follow $k \geq 4$ cases, referring to the above-mentioned programs.

To obtain $d\left(\hat{x}_{k}\right)$ we needed about 1.733 second for $k=3,0.233$ second for $k=4,1.233$ second for $k=5,0.817$ second for $k=6,0.4$ second for $k=7$, 11.967 second for $k=8$, and so on, with Mathematica Ver. 4.1 and 667 MHz PowerBook G4 with Mac OS Ver. 9.2.2.

Of course, we think that the programs exhibited here might be naive and that some professional persons can make better programs. We will appreciate it if the reader could show us a better way.
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