Time-changed spectrally positive Lévy processes started from infinity

CLÉMENT FOUCART¹, PEI-SEN LI² and XIAOWEN ZHOU³

¹Université Sorbonne Paris Nord, Laboratoire Analyse, Géométrie & Applications, UMR 7539 Institut Galilée, 99 avenue J.B. Clément, 93430 Villetaneuse, France. E-mail: foucart@math.univ-paris13.fr
 ²Institute for Mathematical Sciences, Renmin University of China, 100872 Beijing, P.R. China. E-mail: peisenli@ruc.edu.cn
 ³Department of Mathematics and Statistics, Concordia University, 1455 De Maisonneuve Blvd. W., Montreal, Canada. E-mail: xiaowen.zhou@concordia.ca

Consider a spectrally positive Lévy process Z with log-Laplace exponent Ψ and a positive continuous function R on $(0, \infty)$. We investigate the entrance from infinity of the process X obtained by changing time in Z with the inverse of the additive functional $\eta(t) = \int_0^t \frac{ds}{R(Z_s)}$. We provide a necessary and sufficient condition for infinity to be an entrance boundary of the process X. Under this condition, the process can start from infinity and we study its speed of coming down from infinity. When the Lévy process has a negative drift $\delta := -\gamma < 0$, sufficient conditions over R and Ψ are found for the process to come down from infinity along the deterministic function $(x_t, t \ge 0)$ solution to $dx_t = -\gamma R(x_t) dt$ with $x_0 = \infty$. If $\Psi(\lambda) \sim c\lambda^{\alpha}$ as $\lambda \to 0$, $\alpha \in (1, 2]$, c > 0 and R is regularly varying at ∞ with index $\theta > \alpha$, the process comes down from infinity and we find a renormalisation in law of its running infimum at small times.

Keywords: Coming down from infinity; entrance boundary; hitting time; continuous-state non-linear branching process; regularly varying function; spectrally positive Lévy process; time-change; weighted occupation time

1. Introduction

A classical question in the theoretical study of Markov processes is to know if a given process can be started from its boundaries. In the framework of birth-death processes and diffusions, Feller's tests provide necessary and sufficient conditions for a boundary to be an entrance. Namely, the boundary cannot be reached but the process can start from it, see, for example, Anderson [1], Chapter 8, and Karlin and Taylor [16], Chapter 15, for a comprehensive account on Feller's tests. No explicit tests classifying boundaries are available for general Markov processes, and taylor-made criteria have to be designed for a given class of processes. We mention for instance the recent article of Döring and Kyprianou [11], where integral tests classifying the boundary $\pm \infty$ of diffusions with stable jumps are found.

We consider in this article the class of Markov processes obtained as time-changes of Lévy processes with no negative jumps. We will provide a simple test for such processes to have infinity as entrance boundary and investigate further their small-time asymptotics when they leave ∞ . Such studies have been carried out for instance, for birth-death processes, see Bansaye et al. [3], Sagitov and France [26], and for Kolmogorov diffusions, see Bansaye et al. [2].

Time-changed spectrally positive Lévy processes have been considered from the point of view of stochastic population models, see Li [21], Li and Zhou [23] and Li et al. [22], where they appear as solutions to certain stochastic differential equations with jumps. We retain the name coined in those works and call the class of processes under study, *nonlinear continuous-state branching processes* (nonlinear CSBPs for short).

We now give a formal definition. Consider a continuous function R on $[0, \infty)$ strictly positive on $(0, \infty)$, and Z a Lévy process with no negative jumps started from x > 0 with log-Laplace exponent Ψ , that is, $\mathbb{E}[e^{-\lambda Z_1}|Z_0 = x] = e^{-\lambda x + \Psi(\lambda)}$ for any $\lambda \ge 0$. We define a nonlinear CSBP with *branching mechanism* Ψ and *branching rate* R as the process $(X_t, 0 \le t < \zeta)$ given by

$$X_t := Z_{\eta^{-1}(t) \land \tau_0^-} \tag{1.1}$$

where τ_0^- is the first passage time below zero of Z and for any $s \ge 0$,

$$\eta(s) := \int_0^{s \wedge \tau_0^-} \frac{\mathrm{d}r}{R(Z_r)} \quad \text{and} \quad \eta^{-1}(t) := \inf\{s \ge 0 : \eta(s) > t\}$$

with the convention $\inf \emptyset := \infty$ and $\zeta = \eta(\tau_0^-) \in (0, \infty]$. Since *R* is strictly positive on $(0, \infty)$, for $0 < t < \tau_0^-$, $\frac{1}{R(Z_r)}$ is bounded on $r \in [0, t]$, and hence, the integral $\eta(t)$ is finite for $0 < t < \tau_0^-$. We also follow the terminology of branching processes by saying that a nonlinear CSBP is *supercritical*, *critical* or *subcritical* if respectively, $\gamma < 0$, $\gamma = 0$ or $\gamma > 0$, where $\gamma = \Psi'(0+)$.

When the function *R* is the identity, the time-change procedure (1.1) above corresponds to the Lamperti transformation which relates a spectrally positive Lévy process *Z* into a CSBP. We refer to for example, Kyprianou [19], Theorem 12.2, Bingham [5] and Caballero et al. [9] for a study of CSBPs by random time-change. It is worth noticing that CSBPs cannot be started from ∞ as the boundary ∞ is absorbing, see, for example, [9], Proposition 1. Processes obtained by the time-change (1.1) for a general function *R* are natural generalisations of CSBPs, in which, heuristically the underlying population evolves non linearly at a rate governed by the function *R*.

When the function *R* is an exponential function, namely $R(x) = e^{\theta x}$, with $\theta > 0$, for all $x \ge 0$, the second Lamperti's transformation, see, for example, [19], Theorem 13.1, entails that the process $(Y_t, t \ge 0)$ defined by $Y_t := e^{-X_t}$ for $t \ge 0$, is a positive self-similar Markov process (PSSMP for short) with index of self-similarity θ and Lévy parent process *Z*. The property of entrance from ∞ for the process *X* is related to the possibility for *Y* to be started at 0. This latter topic has been extensively studied, see Caballero and Chaumont [9] and the references therein.

We shall mainly focus on subcritical and critical nonlinear CSBPs, as it will come up, without surprise, that supercritical ones never come down from infinity. Observe also that (sub)-critical non-linear CSBPs cannot explode (i.e., hit ∞ in finite time) as in these cases, $\mathbb{P}(\sup_{0 \le t < \infty} X_t < \infty) = \mathbb{P}(\sup_{t < \tau_0^-} Z_t < \infty) = \mathbb{P}(\tau_0^- < \infty) = 1$.

The following symbolic representation of a sample path of X with $X_0 = x$ illustrates how the timechange (1.1) shrinks time without changing jump sizes and starting levels of the jumps of Z.

If the branching rate function *R* takes arbitrarily large values on a neighborhood of ∞ , then jumps will occur faster and faster as the initial state *x* goes to infinity. The main problem is thus to see whether those jumps will prevent the process "started at infinity" to escape or not. The second question is to understand how the process *comes down from infinity* when ∞ is an entrance boundary. We call speed of coming down from infinity, any function $(x_t, t \ge 0)$ such that $X_t/x_t \xrightarrow[t \to 0]{} 1$ in probability or almost-surely as *t* goes to 0+, when $X_0 = \infty$ a.s.

Denote by $-\gamma t$ the drift part of the underlying Lévy process Z, with $\gamma > 0$ in the subcritical case. We see heuristically in Figure 1, that between each jump, the subcritical nonlinear CSBP, follows the deterministic flow

$$\mathrm{d}x_t = -\gamma \, R(x_t) \, \mathrm{d}t. \tag{1.2}$$

A natural candidate for a speed function in the subcritical case is therefore $(x_t, t \ge 0)$ solution to (1.2) started from $x_0 = \infty$. We will find conditions on Ψ and R entailing indeed that $X_t/x_t \xrightarrow{} 0$ 1 in



Figure 1. The lighter line represents a Lévy process Z with drift $-\gamma < 0$ and the heavier one the subcritical nonlinear CSBP X obtained by time-change. Both processes start at x and the jump rate of X is greater where R takes large values.

probability or almost-surely. In the critical case $\gamma = 0$, the nonlinear CSBP oscillates and we find some renormalisation in law of the running infimum $(\underline{X}_t, t \ge 0)$ defined by $\underline{X}_t = \inf_{0 \le s \le t} X_s$ for specific branching mechanisms and branching rates.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we give a rigorous definition of infinity as entrance boundary and gather fundamental properties of nonlinear CSBPs, such as their Feller property. We recall the definition of the scale function of a spectrally positive Lévy process as well as some properties of weighted occupation times. We state our main results in Section 3. In Section 4, we study the Laplace transform and the moments of the first passage times of nonlinear CSBPs started from infinity, below a fixed level. In Section 5, we investigate the asymptotics of the latter, when the level tends to ∞ . In Section 6, we study the small-time asymptotics of the process started from infinity.

Notation. We use in the sequel Landau's notation: for any positive functions f and g, we write $f(z) \sim g(z)$ as z goes to a real number a, if $\frac{f(z)}{g(z)} \xrightarrow{z \to a} 1$, f(z) = O(g(z)) as z goes to ∞ if for a large enough z_0 , $\sup_{z \ge z_0} \frac{f(z)}{g(z)} < \infty$ and f(z) = o(g(z)) as z goes to ∞ if $\frac{f(z)}{g(z)} \xrightarrow{z \to \infty} 0$. The integrability of a function f in a neighborhood of ∞ (respectively, 0) is written for short as $\int^{\infty} f(x) dx < \infty$ (respectively, $\int_0 f(x) dx < \infty$) and ||f|| denotes the supremum norm of f. For any $x \in [0, \infty]$, let \mathbb{P}_x be the distribution of X with $X_0 = x$, let \mathbb{E}_x and Var_x be the corresponding expectation and variance, respectively. The equality in law is denoted by $\stackrel{\mathcal{L}}{=}$.

2. Preliminaries

We provide in this section some fundamental properties of the process $(X_t \ge 0)$ and give a rigorous definition of infinity as entrance boundary. Some results on spectrally positive Lévy processes that we shall need later are stated.

2.1. Nonlinear CSBPs started from infinity

Recall that a nonlinear CSBP X satisfies (1.1) with R a continuous and strictly positive function on $(0, \infty)$ and where η is the additive functional $\eta : t \mapsto \int_0^t \frac{ds}{R(Z_s)}$. The process X has obviously no negative jumps and we show in the next proposition that it has the Feller property. Recall that τ_0^- denotes the first passage time below 0 of the Lévy process Z and $\zeta = \eta(\tau_0^-)$ is the lifetime of the nonlinear CSBP X.

Proposition 2.1. Assume that R is continuous and strictly positive on $(0, \infty)$ and that Z is a spectrally positive Lévy process. For any x > 0 and any $0 \le t < \tau_0^-$, we have $\eta(t) < \infty \mathbb{P}_x$ -a.s. The process $(X_t, t \ge 0)$ is well-defined, strong Markov and with càdlàg paths. Its semigroup $(P_t, t \ge 0)$ satisfies for any function f bounded and continuous on $[0, \infty)$,

- (i) $P_t f$ is continuous on $[0, \infty)$,
- (ii) $P_t f(x) \xrightarrow[t \to 0+]{} f(x)$ for any $x \in [0, \infty)$.

The proof of Proposition 2.1 is postponed to the supplement in Foucart et al. [13]. As our primary aim is to study the boundary ∞ of the nonlinear CSBP *X*, we precise now the definition of entrance boundary that we adopt. We refer for this definition to Kallenberg [15], Chapter 23. Set $T_b := \inf\{t \ge 0 : X_t < b\}$ for all $b \ge 0$ with the convention $\inf \emptyset := \infty$. The random variable T_b is the first passage time of the nonlinear CSBP *X* below the level *b* and is a stopping time in the natural filtration of *X*.

Definition 2.2. The boundary ∞ is said to be an *instantaneous entrance boundary* for the process $(X_t, t \ge 0)$ if the process does not explode and

$$\forall t > 0, \quad \lim_{b \to \infty} \liminf_{x \to \infty} \mathbb{P}_x(T_b \le t) = 1.$$
(2.1)

The following lemma provides equivalent conditions for ∞ to be an entrance for processes with no negative jumps. We refer to Foucart et al. [14], Lemma 1.2, for its proof.

Lemma 2.3. Consider a strong Markov process $(X_t, t \ge 0)$ with no negative jumps. The following statements are equivalent:

- (a) Condition (2.1) holds;
- (b) For large enough b, $\sup_{x \ge b} \mathbb{E}_x[T_b] < \infty$;
- (c) $\lim_{b\to\infty} \lim_{x\to\infty} \mathbb{E}_x[T_b] = 0.$

Condition (2.1), with Proposition 2.1 (Feller property), ensures the existence of a càdlàg strong Markov process X on $[0, \infty]$, which starts from infinity and leaves it instantaneously. Namely, $\mathbb{P}_{\infty}(X_0 = \infty) = 1$ and $\mathbb{P}_{\infty}(X_t < \infty$ for any t > 0) = 1. The process has, moreover, the same law as the nonlinear CSBP defined in (1.1) under \mathbb{P}_x for any $x \in (0, \infty)$. We refer the reader to [14], Theorem 2.2. The next proposition, also established in [14], is crucial in the study of the speed of coming down from infinity.

Proposition 2.4. Let h be a nonnegative continuous and increasing function on $[0, \infty)$. Suppose that (2.1) holds. Then

- (a) for any $\theta > 0$, there exists $b_{\theta} > 0$, such that for all $b \ge b_{\theta}$, $\mathbb{E}_{\infty}(e^{\theta T_b}) < \infty$;
- (b) for any b > 0, if $T_b < \infty$, \mathbb{P}_{∞} -almost-surely and $\mathbb{E}_{\infty}(h(T_b)) < \infty$, then $\mathbb{E}_x(h(T_b)) \xrightarrow[x \to \infty]{} \mathbb{E}_{\infty}(h(T_b))$.

In particular, we see that, under \mathbb{P}_{∞} , one can find a large enough b such that T_b has moments of all orders.

We close this section with the following simple observation.

Lemma 2.5. In the supercritical case the boundary ∞ is not an entrance boundary.

Proof. Assume $\Psi'(0+) = \gamma < 0$. Since the Lévy process $(Z_t, t \ge 0)$ drifts towards $+\infty$, under \mathbb{P}_x , $(Z_t, t \ge 0)$ stays above any level b < x with positive probability. On this event, the time-changed process $(X_t, t \ge 0)$ also stays above *b*. This entails $\mathbb{E}_x(T_b) = \infty$ and condition (b) in Lemma 2.3 is not fulfilled.

2.2. Scale function and weighted occupation times

Notice that the dual process $\hat{Z} := -Z$ is a spectrally negative Lévy process with Laplace exponent Ψ , that is, for any $\lambda \ge 0$,

$$\Psi(\lambda) := \frac{1}{t} \log \mathbb{E}_0 \left[e^{\lambda \hat{Z}_t} \right] = \gamma \lambda + \frac{\sigma^2}{2} \lambda^2 + \int_0^\infty \left(e^{-\lambda z} - 1 + \lambda z \right) \pi(\mathrm{d}z)$$
(2.2)

where γ is the drift, π is the Lévy measure satisfying $\int_0^\infty z \wedge z^2 \pi(dz) < \infty$ and $\sigma^2/2$ is the Brownian coefficient.

As fluctuations of the Lévy process Z will play an important role, we recall the definition of the scale function W and some of its basic properties. Some classical results on exit problems for spectrally positive Lévy processes are summarized in the following lemma. For any y, set $\tau_y^+ := \inf\{t \ge 0 : Z_t > y\}$ and $\tau_y^- := \inf\{t \ge 0 : Z_t < y\}$.

Lemma 2.6. Suppose that $\gamma \ge 0$. There exists a strictly increasing continuous function W, called scale function, such that W(x) = 0 for any x < 0 and for any q > 0

$$\int_0^\infty e^{-qy} W(y) \, \mathrm{d}y = \frac{1}{\Psi(q)}.$$
 (2.3)

For any $x \in \mathbb{R}$, $\frac{W(y)}{W(x+y)} \xrightarrow[y \to \infty]{} 1$, $W(y) \xrightarrow[y \to \infty]{} W(\infty) := \frac{1}{\gamma} \in]0, \infty]$, $W(y) = o(e^{py})$ when $y \to \infty$, for any p > 0. Moreover, for any $x, y \ge 0$

$$\mathbb{P}_0(\tau_x^+ < \infty) = \frac{W(\infty) - W(x)}{W(\infty)} \quad and \quad \mathbb{P}_x(\tau_0^- \le \tau_{x+y}^+) = \mathbb{P}_0(\tau_{-x}^- \le \tau_y^+) = \frac{W(y)}{W(x+y)}$$

and there exist $c_1, c_2 > 0$ such that for any $x \ge 0$

$$c_1 \frac{1}{x\Psi(1/x)} \le W(x) \le c_2 \frac{1}{x\Psi(1/x)}.$$
 (2.4)

We refer to Bertoin [4], Chapter VII, and Kyprianou [19], Chapter 8, for proofs. See Propositions III.1 and VII.10 in [4] for (2.4). Scale functions are rarely explicit, and we refer the reader to Kuznetsov et al. [18]. In the critical stable case, for which $\Psi(\lambda) = \lambda^{\alpha}$ with $\alpha \in (1, 2]$, the scale function can be found for instance in [18], Example 4.17, and $W(x) = x^{\alpha-1}/\Gamma(\alpha)$, for x > 0.

The following theorem about weighted occupation times will allow us to study the Laplace transforms of the first entrance times. Its proof follows closely arguments of Li and Palmowski [20] and is postponed to the supplement in Foucart et al. [13].

Theorem 2.7. Given a locally bounded nonnegative function ω on $(0, \infty)$, let $W_n^{(\omega)}(x)$ satisfy

$$W_0^{(\omega)}(x) = 1, \qquad W_{n+1}^{(\omega)}(x) = \int_x^\infty W(z - x)\omega(z)W_n^{(\omega)}(z)\,\mathrm{d}z \quad \text{for } x \ge 0, n \ge 0.$$
(2.5)

Given $b \ge 0$, if $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} W_n^{(\omega)}(b) < \infty$, then for all $x \ge b$,

$$\mathbb{E}_{x}\left[\exp\left(-\int_{0}^{\tau_{b}^{-}}\omega(Z_{s})\,ds\right);\,\tau_{b}^{-}<\infty\right]=\frac{\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}W_{n}^{(\omega)}(x)}{\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}W_{n}^{(\omega)}(b)}.$$

3. Main results and strategy of proofs

From now on, unless explicitly stated, we consider the subcritical and critical nonlinear CSBPs, namely those satisfying $\gamma \ge 0$. Recall $T_b := \inf\{t \ge 0 : X_t < b\}$ for any b > 0 and the scale function W.

3.1. Main results

Our first result is the following necessary and sufficient condition for coming down from infinity.

Theorem 3.1. Assume $\gamma \ge 0$. The boundary ∞ is an instantaneous entrance boundary for the process $(X_t, t \ge 0)$ if and only if

$$\int^{\infty} \frac{1}{x\Psi(1/x)R(x)} \,\mathrm{d}x < \infty. \tag{3.1}$$

Moreover, if (3.1) *holds, then for all* b > 0, $\mathbb{E}_{\infty}(T_b) = m(b) < \infty$, where *m* is defined on $(0, \infty)$ by

$$m:b\mapsto \int_{b}^{\infty} \frac{W(x-b)}{R(x)} \,\mathrm{d}x. \tag{3.2}$$

The proof of Theorem 3.1 is deferred to Section 4.

Remark 3.2. One has $x\Psi(1/x) \xrightarrow[x\to\infty]{} \Psi'(0+) = \gamma$. In the subcritical case, $\gamma > 0$, and we see that (3.1) holds if and only if $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{dx}{R(x)} < \infty$. It is worth noticing that this integral condition is the condition for ∞ to be an entrance for the deterministic flow $(x_t, t \ge 0)$ solving (1.2). In the critical case, $\gamma = 0$, and the condition $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{dx}{R(x)} < \infty$ is not sufficient for (3.1) to hold, but only necessary.

We focus now on nonlinear CSBPs satisfying (3.1). In the subcritical case, for which $\gamma > 0$, one defines the function φ on $(0, \infty)$ as

$$\varphi: b \mapsto \frac{1}{\gamma} \int_{b}^{\infty} \frac{\mathrm{d}x}{R(x)}.$$
(3.3)

The following conditions will play a role in the sequel:

$$\mathbb{H}_1: \limsup_{h \to 1^+} \liminf_{x \to \infty} \frac{\varphi(hx)}{\varphi(x)} = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbb{H}_2: \text{for any } h > 1, \quad \liminf_{x \to \infty} \frac{\varphi(x)}{\varphi(hx)} > 1.$$

Define for any z > 0 and $\rho > 0$,

$$V(z,\rho) := \sup_{x \ge z} \left(\frac{R(x)}{R(x+\rho z)} - 1 \right)_+$$
(3.4)

where $(x)_+ := \max(x, 0)$ is the positive part of x. The next condition can be interpreted as requiring the function R to have no deep valleys near ∞ :

$$\mathbb{H}_3: \limsup_{\rho \to 0+} \lim_{z \to \infty} V(z, \rho) = 0.$$

Note that when *R* is non-decreasing, the function *V* is identically zero and \mathbb{H}_3 always holds. These three conditions frequently appear in the asymptotic analysis of stochastic processes, see, for example, Buldygin et al. [7] and the references therein.

Theorem 3.3. Assume $\gamma > 0$ and (3.1). If conditions \mathbb{H}_1 , \mathbb{H}_2 and \mathbb{H}_3 are satisfied, then in \mathbb{P}_{∞} -probability, $\frac{X_t}{\varphi^{-1}(t)} \xrightarrow[\tau \to 0]{} 1$.

To exemplify Theorem 3.3, we will consider branching rate functions with *regular variation*. Recall that *R* is regularly varying at ∞ with index $\theta \in [0, \infty)$ if for any $\lambda > 0$

$$\frac{R(\lambda x)}{R(x)} \xrightarrow[x \to \infty]{} \lambda^{\theta}.$$
(3.5)

The function *R* is also called *slowly varying* if $\theta = 0$. We refer the reader to Bingham et al. [6] for a reference on those functions. Consider a function *R*, satisfying (3.5) with $\theta > 1$. Karamata's theorem, see, for example, [6], Proposition 1.5.10, ensures that (3.1) is satisfied and that the function φ is regularly varying at ∞ with index $1 - \theta < 0$. This implies that \mathbb{H}_1 and \mathbb{H}_2 are fulfilled. Moreover, [6], Theorem 1.5.12, entails that φ^{-1} is regularly varying at 0 with index $-1/(\theta - 1)$. If furthermore, *R* is assumed to be non-decreasing, then \mathbb{H}_3 is also satisfied and Theorem 3.3 applies.

In the setting of a regularly varying branching rate R, some refinements of the condition \mathbb{H}_3 will enable us to get an almost-sure speed for two important classes of subcritical branching mechanisms. Recall π the Lévy measure of the underlying Lévy process.

Theorem 3.4. Assume $\gamma > 0$ and R regularly varying at ∞ with index $\theta > 1$. In both of the following cases (a) and (b)

- (a) $\Psi(\lambda) \gamma \lambda \sim c \lambda^{1+\delta} \text{ as } \lambda \to 0 + \text{ for some } \delta \in (0, 1), c > 0 \text{ and } V(z, \frac{1}{\sqrt{z}}) = O(z^{-\delta/2}) \text{ as } z \to \infty,$
- (b) there exists $v \in (0, \infty)$ such that $\Psi(-v) = 0$, $\int_0^1 u\pi(du) < \infty$, and $V(z, \frac{2\ln \ln z}{vz}) = O(\ln(z)^{-2})$ as $z \to \infty$,

we have $\frac{X_t}{\varphi^{-1}(t)} \xrightarrow[t \to 0+]{} 1 \mathbb{P}_{\infty}$ -almost-surely and the speed function φ^{-1} is regularly varying at 0 with negative index $-1/(\theta - 1)$.

Remark 3.5. The first condition in (b) is known as Cramér condition, see, for example, Kyprianou [19], Chapter 7. It requires that the Lévy measure π has an exponential moment. The second condition on π means that there is no accumulation of small jumps. In particular, Cramér condition is satisfied in the pure diffusive case, for which for any $\lambda \ge 0$, $\Psi(\lambda) = \gamma \lambda + \frac{\sigma^2}{2} \lambda^2$, and (b) is fulfilled as soon as $V(z, \frac{2\ln \ln z}{\nu z}) = O(\ln(z)^{-2})$ as $z \to \infty$. Also notice that if *R* is increasing, then conditions of *V* in (a,b) are fulfilled.

In the following theorem, we study the critical processes started at ∞ when Ψ is stable-like and R is regularly varying at infinity. Recall the running infinum $(\underline{X}_t, t \ge 0)$ defined by $\underline{X}_t = \inf_{0 \le s \le t} X_s$. We find a renormalisation in law of this running infimum. Recall m defined in (3.2) and denote its right-inverse by m^{-1} .

Theorem 3.6. Assume that $\gamma = 0$, R is regularly varying at ∞ with index $\theta > \alpha$, and $\Psi(\lambda) \sim c\lambda^{\alpha}$ as λ goes to 0 for $\alpha \in (1, 2]$ and c > 0. Then ∞ is an instantaneous entrance boundary and under \mathbb{P}_{∞}

$$\limsup_{t \to 0+} \frac{X_t}{\underline{X}_t} = \infty \quad a.s \text{ and } \quad \frac{\underline{X}_t}{m^{-1}(t)} \longrightarrow S_{\alpha,\theta}^{\frac{1}{\theta-\alpha}} \quad in \text{ law as } t \text{ goes to } 0,$$

where m^{-1} is regularly varying at 0 with negative index $-\frac{1}{\theta-\alpha}$, and $S_{\alpha,\theta}$ is a positive random variable with Laplace transform

$$\mathbb{E}\left[e^{-sS_{\alpha,\theta}}\right] = \left[\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{s\Gamma(\theta)}{\Gamma(\theta-\alpha)}\right)^n \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{\Gamma(i\theta-i\alpha)}{\Gamma(i\theta-(i-1)\alpha)}\right]^{-1} \quad \text{for any } s \ge 0,$$

where Γ is the Gamma function and the empty product, with n = 0, is taken to be 1.

Theorem 3.6 naturally leads to the question whether the critical processes that come down from infinity, always oscillate widely or not. In the next proposition, we find a class of functions R for which \mathbb{H}_1 is not satisfied and the running infimum of the critical process can be renormalized to converge in probability towards 1.

Proposition 3.7. Assume that $R(x) = g(x)e^{\theta x}$ for x > 0 and some constant $\theta > 0$, and that the function g is continuous on $[0, \infty)$, strictly positive on $(0, \infty)$ and regularly varying at ∞ . Then ∞ is an instantaneous entrance boundary and in \mathbb{P}_{∞} -probability,

$$\frac{\underline{X}_t}{m^{-1}(t)} \xrightarrow[t \to 0]{} 1 \quad in \ the \ critical \ case \ and \quad \frac{X_t}{\varphi^{-1}(t)} \xrightarrow[t \to 0]{} 1 \quad in \ the \ subcritical \ case.$$

Moreover, the speed functions $t \mapsto \varphi^{-1}(t)$ *and* $t \mapsto m^{-1}(t)$ *are slowly varying at* 0.

Theorems 3.3, 3.4, 3.6 and Proposition 3.7 are proved in Section 6. We show now how our results apply for explicit branching rates and/or branching mechanisms.

Example 3.8.

- (1) If for any $x \ge 0$, $R(x) = x^{\theta}$ for some $\theta > 0$, then a simple change of variable in (3.1) ensures that ∞ is an entrance boundary if and only if $\int_0 \frac{x^{\theta-1}}{\Psi(x)} dx < \infty$. This condition was established in Li [21], Theorem 1.10. Recall that $\int_0 \frac{1}{\Psi(x)} dx = \infty$ for any Ψ defined as in (2.2). When $\theta = 1$, we recover that (sub)critical CSBPs do not come down from infinity. However, when $\theta > 1$ and $\gamma > 0$, by Theorem 3.3, the process comes down from infinity at speed $\varphi^{-1}(t) = [\gamma(\theta-1)t]^{\frac{1}{1-\theta}}$.
- (2) If for any $x \ge 0$, $\Psi(x) = cx^{\alpha}$ for some c > 0 and $\alpha \in (1, 2]$, then ∞ is an entrance boundary if and only if $\int_{R(x)}^{\infty} \frac{x^{\alpha-1}}{R(x)} dx < \infty$, see Example 4.17 of [18] for the scale function for Ψ . In this case, the nonlinear CSBP X is also a stable jump diffusion (with asymmetric jumps), see Döring and Kyprianou [11]. We recover the integral test established in [11], Theorem 2.2. When for any $x \ge 0$, $R(x) = x^{\theta}$ with $\theta > \alpha$, the process comes down from infinity, and by Theorem 3.6, its running infimum comes down at speed $m^{-1}(t) = [\Gamma(\theta) / \Gamma(\theta \alpha)t]^{\frac{1}{\alpha \theta}}$. See the forthcoming Remark 5.3 for the explicit form of m.
- (3) If for any $x \ge 0$, $R(x) = e^{\theta x}$ for some $\theta > 0$, then for any subcritical or critical branching mechanism Ψ , by Lemma 2.6, $\int^{\infty} \frac{dx}{R(x)\Psi(1/x)} < \infty$ and by Theorem 3.1, the process comes down from

infinity. By Proposition 3.7, if $\gamma > 0$, then the speed is $\varphi^{-1}(t) = -\log(\gamma \theta t)/\theta \underset{t \to 0+}{\sim} -\log(t)/\theta$. If $\gamma = 0$, then the running infimum comes down at speed $m^{-1}(t) = -\log(\Psi(\theta)t)/\theta \underset{t \to 0+}{\sim} -\log(t)/\theta$. See the forthcoming Corollary 4.4 for an explicit form of m.

An example of nonlinear CSBP with a non-increasing branching rate R is studied at the end of Section 5, see Example 5.12.

3.2. Strategy of proofs

In order to establish our main results, we will follow the approach developed by Bansaye et al. in [2,3] for Kolmogorov diffusions and birth-death processes. It consists in studying the long-term behavior of T_b under \mathbb{P}_{∞} when b goes to ∞ . The main difference with these works lies in the fact that the process X may have arbitrarily large jumps, which makes its position more involved to follow.

Let b > 0. Recall $\tau_b^- := \inf\{t \ge 0 : Z_t < b\}$. A simple time-change argument gives

$$T_b := \int_0^{\tau_b^-} \frac{1}{R(Z_s)} \,\mathrm{d}s. \tag{3.6}$$

We see therefore that T_b is a weighted occupation time for the spectrally positive Lévy process Z with the function $\omega = 1/R$. We will apply the known results on those functionals of Lévy processes, as well as Theorem 2.7 for studying the moments and the Laplace transform under \mathbb{P}_{∞} .

Recall the function φ defined in (3.3). The map φ is strictly decreasing and its inverse function φ^{-1} is the solution $(x_t, t \ge 0)$ to the Cauchy problem (1.2) started from $x_0 = \infty$. For any b > 0, $\varphi(b)$ corresponds to the first passage time of $(x_t, t \ge 0)$ below the level b and $\varphi(b) - \varphi(bh)$ is the time needed for $(x_t, t \ge 0)$ to go from the level bh to b for any b > 0 and h > 1. Observe that \mathbb{H}_1 is equivalent to $\liminf_{h \to 1^+} \limsup_{b \to \infty} \frac{\varphi(b) - \varphi(bh)}{\varphi(b)} = 0$. Intuitively, the time needed for $(x_t, t \ge 0)$ to go from bh to b with h > 1, is negligible in comparison to the time needed to reach b when started at ∞ . The condition \mathbb{H}_1 requires therefore that the coming down from infinity does not occur too fast.

We shall see that when \mathbb{H}_1 is satisfied, the mean time for the process X, started from ∞ , to reach b is equivalent to $\varphi(b)$. Under the conditions \mathbb{H}_1 and \mathbb{H}_3 , the following weak law of large numbers will occur

$$\frac{T_b}{\mathbb{E}_{\infty}[T_b]} \xrightarrow{b \to \infty} 1 \quad \text{in } \mathbb{P}_{\infty}\text{-probability.}$$
(3.7)

The additional condition \mathbb{H}_2 on φ will allow us to transfer our results on T_b as b goes to ∞ to results on the small-time asymptotics under \mathbb{P}_{∞} , of the running infimum process $(\underline{X}_t, t \ge 0)$, defined by $\underline{X}_t = \inf_{0 \le s \le t} X_s$. In the subcritical case, excursions of $(X_t, t \ge 0)$ above its running infimum are negligible and asymptotics for $(\underline{X}_t, t \ge 0)$ will provide asymptotics for $(X_t, t \ge 0)$.

Getting the almost-sure convergence in (3.7) is more involved. The method requires rather explicit fluctuation identities, which are available for important classes of branching mechanisms, namely those with stable-like behaviors and with exponential moments.

In the critical case or when \mathbb{H}_1 is not satisfied, the convergence in probability (3.7) will typically not occur. We shall see that for the critical branching mechanisms considered in Theorem 3.6, $(T_b/\mathbb{E}_{\infty}[T_b], b \ge 0)$ converges in law as *b* goes to ∞ .

4. First entrance times

We prove in this section Theorem 3.1 and provide a formula for the variance of the first entrance time T_b under \mathbb{P}_{∞} . Recall the expression (3.6) for T_b under \mathbb{P}_x for any $x \in (0, \infty)$.

Lemma 4.1. For any x > b > 0, we have $\mathbb{E}_x[T_b] = \int_b^\infty \frac{dy}{R(y)} [W(y-b) - W(y-x)].$

Proof. By the time change, we have

$$\mathbb{E}_{x}[T_{b}] = \mathbb{E}_{x}\left[\int_{0}^{\tau_{b}^{-}} \frac{\mathrm{d}s}{R(Z_{s})}\right] = \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{R(y)} \int_{0}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}_{x}\left(Z_{s} \in \mathrm{d}y, s < \tau_{b}^{-}\right) \mathrm{d}s$$
$$= \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{W(y-b) - W(y-x)}{R(y)} \mathrm{d}y,$$

where for the last equality, we apply Kuznetsov et al. [18], Theorem 2.7 (ii), for an expression of the potential measure. \Box

Proof of Theorem 3.1. We have seen in Lemma 2.5 that in the supercritical case (for which $\gamma < 0$), ∞ is not an entrance boundary. We treat now the case $\gamma \ge 0$. Recall that there is no explosion when $\gamma \ge 0$. Since the scale function is continuous and strictly increasing on $[0, \infty)$, using Lemma 4.1 and the monotone convergence theorem, we see that

$$\lim_{x \to \infty} \mathbb{E}_x[T_b] = \int_b^\infty \frac{W(y-b)}{R(y)} \,\mathrm{d}y = m(b). \tag{4.1}$$

By Lemma 2.3 (b), ∞ is an entrance boundary, namely (2.1) holds, if and only if $m(b) < \infty$ for a large enough b > 0. By Lemma 2.6, $\frac{W(y)}{W(y-b)} \xrightarrow{y \to \infty} 1$ for any b > 0 and using the bounds (2.4), one sees that the last integral is finite if and only if (3.1) holds. Moreover, by applying Proposition 2.4 with the function h(x) = x, we obtain that for any b > 0 such that $m(b) < \infty$, one has $m(b) = \mathbb{E}_{\infty}[T_b]$.

It remains to establish that if there is *c* such that $m(c) < \infty$ then $m(b) < \infty$ for all b > 0. Suppose that for a constant c > 0, $\mathbb{E}_{\infty}(T_c) < \infty$. Then by Lemma 2.6, $\frac{W(y-c)}{W(y-b)} \xrightarrow[y \to \infty]{} 1$ for b > 0, and thus, from (4.1) we see that for any constant b > 0, $\mathbb{E}_{\infty}[T_b] < \infty$.

Lemma 4.2. Under the assumption (3.1), the function *m* is positive, continuous and strictly decreasing on $(0, \infty)$.

Proof. By the strong Markov property and the absence of negative jumps, for any b < a, $m(b) - m(a) = \mathbb{E}_{\infty}[T_b - T_a] = \mathbb{E}_a[T_b] > 0$ and *m* is strictly decreasing. Let a > 0 such that $x \mapsto \frac{W(x)}{R(x)}$ is integrable on (a, ∞) . For any x > a, $\frac{W(x-b)}{R(x)} \le \frac{W(x)}{R(x)}$. Since the map $b \mapsto \frac{W(x-b)}{R(x)}$ is continuous, by continuity under the integral sign, the map *m* is continuous on (a, ∞) .

We now study the Laplace transform of the first entrance time T_b . Define recursively the positive functions $(W_n, n \ge 0)$ by

$$W_0(x) = 1, \qquad W_{n+1}(x) = \int_x^\infty \frac{W(z-x)}{R(z)} W_n(z) \, \mathrm{d}z, \quad n \ge 0, \, x \ge 0.$$
(4.2)

Note that $W_1(b) = m(b)$ for any b > 0.

Theorem 4.3. If there is $b \ge 0$ such that $m(b) < \infty$, then for any $0 < \lambda < 1/m(b)$, $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \lambda^n W_n(b) < \infty$ and for any x > b, we have

$$\mathbb{E}_{x}\left[e^{-\lambda T_{b}}\right] = \frac{\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \lambda^{n} W_{n}(x)}{\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \lambda^{n} W_{n}(b)}.$$
(4.3)

In addition,

$$\mathbb{E}_{\infty}\left[e^{-\lambda T_{b}}\right] = \frac{1}{\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \lambda^{n} W_{n}(b)}.$$
(4.4)

Proof. We show that $\sum_{n\geq 0} \lambda^n W_n(b) < \infty$ for $0 < \lambda < \frac{1}{W_1(b)}$. Recall from Lemma 2.6 that $x \mapsto W(x)$ is nondecreasing. By induction we can show that for any *n*, the function $x \in [0, \infty) \mapsto W_n(x)$ is decreasing. Then

$$W_{n+1}(x) = \int_{x}^{\infty} \frac{W(z-x)}{R(z)} W_n(z) \, \mathrm{d}z \le W_n(x) W_1(x)$$

so that $W_n(x) \le W_1(x)^n$ for any $x \ge 0$. Since $W_1(x) \xrightarrow[x \to \infty]{} 0$, for any $\lambda > 0$, there exists b > 0 such that $\lambda < \frac{1}{W_1(b)}$. For any $x \ge b$,

$$\sum_{n\geq 0} \lambda^n W_n(x) \leq \sum_{n\geq 0} \lambda^n W_n(b) \leq \sum_{n\geq 0} (\lambda W_1(b))^n < \infty.$$

By applying Theorem 2.7 with $\omega(x) = \frac{1}{R(x)}$, and noticing that $\lim_{x\to\infty} W_n(x) = 0$, $n \ge 1$, we obtain the series representation (4.3).

According to Theorem 2.7, the formula for the Laplace transform (4.3) holds true more generally at any $\lambda > 0$ such that the series $\sum_{n>1} \lambda^n W_n(b)$ converges.

We compute explicitly the functions $(W_n, n \ge 1)$ when $R(x) = e^{\theta x}$. They were first obtained by Patie in [25] in the theory of PSSMPs.

Corollary 4.4. Suppose that $R(x) = e^{\theta x}$ for a given $\theta > 0$ and $\gamma \ge 0$. Then for any $b \ge 0$, $m(b) = \frac{e^{-\theta b}}{\Psi(\theta)} < \infty$ and for any $x \ge 0$,

$$W_n(x) := \frac{e^{-n\theta x}}{\prod_{j=1}^n \Psi(j\theta)}, \quad \text{for } n \ge 1.$$
(4.5)

Further, (4.3) *and* (4.4) *hold true for any* $\lambda > 0$.

Proof. We are going to prove it by induction. By Lemma 2.6, for any $x \ge 0$, $m(x) = W_1(x) = \int_0^\infty \frac{W(y)}{e^{\theta(y+x)}} dy = \frac{e^{-\theta x}}{\Psi(\theta)} < \infty$. Suppose that (4.5) holds for n = m. Then for n = m + 1 we have

$$W_{m+1}(x) = \int_{x}^{\infty} \frac{W(z-x)}{e^{\theta z}} W_{m}(z) dz = \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{W(z)}{e^{\theta(z+x)}} W_{m}(z+x) dz$$
$$= \frac{1}{\prod_{j=1}^{m} \Psi(j\theta)} \int_{0}^{\infty} W(z) e^{-(m+1)\theta(z+x)} dz = \frac{e^{-(m+1)\theta x}}{\prod_{j=1}^{m+1} \Psi(j\theta)},$$

where we used (2.3) in the third equality. The formula is obtained for any *n* by induction.

One readily checks that for any $x \ge 0$, $\frac{W_{n+1}(x)}{W_n(x)} \xrightarrow[n \to \infty]{} 0$, which ensures that the entire series with coefficients (4.5) has an infinite radius of convergence.

We see in the next proposition that in the subcritical case the series (4.3) always converges.

Proposition 4.5. Suppose that $\gamma > 0$ and $\int_{R(x)}^{\infty} \frac{dx}{R(x)} < \infty$. Then, for all b > 0, for any $\lambda > 0$, $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \lambda^n W_n(b) < \infty$.

Proof. Recall the function φ , defined in (3.3), as $\varphi(z) = \frac{1}{\gamma} \int_{z}^{\infty} \frac{du}{R(u)}$. We show by induction and (4.2) that for all $n \ge 1$ and all b > 0, $W_n(b) \le \frac{\varphi(b)^n}{n!}$. Since for any $x \ge 0$, $W(x) \le W(\infty) = \frac{1}{\gamma}$, one clearly has $W_1(b) = \int_b^{\infty} \frac{W(x-b)}{R(x)} dx \le \varphi(b)$. Assume that for any b > 0, $W_n(b) \le \frac{\varphi(b)^n}{n!}$. The recursion formula (4.2) entails

$$W_{n+1}(b) = \int_b^\infty \frac{W(z-b)}{R(z)} W_n(z) \,\mathrm{d}z \le \frac{1}{n!} \int_b^\infty \frac{1}{\gamma R(z)} \varphi(z)^n \,\mathrm{d}z.$$

Since $\varphi'(z) = -\frac{1}{\gamma R(z)}$, then $\int_b^\infty \frac{\varphi(z)^n}{\gamma R(z)} dz = \frac{\varphi(b)^{n+1}}{n+1}$ and $W_{n+1}(b) \le \frac{\varphi(b)^{n+1}}{(n+1)!}$. The result follows by induction.

Lemma 4.6. Suppose that (3.1) holds. Then for any $x \ge b > 0$, $\mathbb{E}_x[T_b^2] = 2[W_2(x) - W_2(b) + W_1(b)(W_1(b) - W_1(x))]$, which can also be written as

$$\mathbb{E}_x\left[T_b^2\right] = 2\int_b^\infty \frac{\mathrm{d}u}{R(u)} \int_b^\infty \frac{\mathrm{d}z}{R(z)} \left[W(z-b) - W(z-x)\right] \left[W(u-b) - W(u-z)\right]$$

In particular, we have

$$\mathbb{E}_{\infty}[T_{b}^{2}] = 2W_{1}(b)^{2} - 2W_{2}(b)$$

= $2\left[\int_{b}^{\infty} \frac{1}{R(y)}W(y-b)\,\mathrm{d}y\right]^{2}$
 $- 2\int_{b}^{\infty} \frac{1}{R(x)}\,\mathrm{d}x\int_{b}^{\infty} \frac{1}{R(y)}W(y-b)W(x-y)\,\mathrm{d}y.$

Proof. We have seen in the proof of Theorem 4.3, that for any $x \ge 0$, $W_n(x) \le W_1(x)^n$ for all $n \ge 0$. Hence, for any $0 \le \lambda < \frac{1}{m(b)}$, $\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n(n-1)\lambda^{n-2}W_n(x) < \infty$. For any x > b and $0 \le \lambda < 1/m(b)$, set $f_x(\lambda) := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \lambda^n W_n(x)$ and note that $\mathbb{E}_x[e^{-\lambda T_b}] = \frac{f_x(\lambda)}{f_b(\lambda)}$. The function f_x is twice differentiable and satisfies $f_x(0) = 1$, $f'_x(0) = W_1(x)$ and $f''_x(0) = 2W_2(x)$. Simple computations provide that for $0 \le \lambda < 1/m(b)$,

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}^2}{\mathrm{d}\lambda^2} \mathbb{E}_x \left[e^{-\lambda T_b} \right] = \left(f_x''(\lambda) f_b(\lambda) - f_x(\lambda) f_b''(\lambda) \right) f_b(\lambda)^{-2} \\ - 2 \left(f_x'(\lambda) f_b(\lambda) - f_x(\lambda) f_b'(\lambda) \right) f_b(\lambda)^{-3} f_b'(\lambda)$$

and we get with $\lambda = 0$, $\mathbb{E}_x[T_b^2] = 2[W_2(x) - W_2(b) + W_1(b)(W_1(b) - W_1(x))]$. Let $I_1 := W_2(x) - W_2(b)$ and let $I_2 := W_1(b)(W_1(b) - W_1(x))$. Recall W_1 and W_2 defined in (4.2) and $W_1(b) = m(b)$.

Noticing the fact that W(x) = 0 for x < 0, we see that

$$I_{1} = \int_{b}^{\infty} \frac{W(z-x)}{R(z)} \int_{z}^{\infty} \frac{W(u-z)}{R(u)} du dz - \int_{b}^{\infty} \frac{W(z-b)}{R(z)} \int_{z}^{\infty} \frac{W(u-z)}{R(u)} du dz$$

= $\int_{b}^{\infty} \frac{du}{R(u)} \int_{b}^{u} \frac{W(z-x)W(u-z)}{R(z)} dz - \int_{b}^{\infty} \frac{du}{R(u)} \int_{b}^{u} \frac{W(z-b)W(u-z)}{R(z)} dz$
= $-\int_{b}^{\infty} \frac{du}{R(u)} \int_{b}^{\infty} \frac{dz}{R(z)} [W(z-b) - W(z-x)] W(u-z)$

and $I_2 = \int_b^\infty \frac{du}{R(u)} W(u-b) \int_b^\infty \frac{dz}{R(z)} [W(z-b) - W(z-x)]$. Therefore,

$$\mathbb{E}_{x}\left[T_{b}^{2}\right] = 2I_{1} + 2I_{2} = 2\int_{b}^{\infty} \frac{\mathrm{d}u}{R(u)} \int_{b}^{\infty} \frac{\mathrm{d}z}{R(z)} \left[W(z-b) - W(z-x)\right] \left[W(u-b) - W(u-z)\right].$$

Finally, we let $x \to \infty$. Then by the fact that $\lim_{x\to 0+} W(x) = 0$ decreasingly and Proposition 2.4 (b), we obtain the desired result.

Corollary 4.7. Suppose that (3.1) holds. For any b > 0, $\operatorname{Var}_{\infty}(T_b) = W_1(b)^2 - 2W_2(b)$ which can also be written as

$$\operatorname{Var}_{\infty}(T_{b}) = 2 \int_{b}^{\infty} \frac{1}{R(x)} W(x-b) \, \mathrm{d}x \int_{x}^{\infty} \frac{1}{R(y)} \Big[W(y-b) - W(y-x) \Big] \, \mathrm{d}y.$$
(4.6)

Proof. By Lemmas 4.1 and 4.6,

$$\operatorname{Var}_{\infty}(T_b) = \mathbb{E}_{\infty} [T_b^2] - \left(\mathbb{E}_{\infty}[T_b]\right)^2$$
$$= \int_b^{\infty} \frac{1}{R(x)} \, \mathrm{d}x \int_b^{\infty} \frac{1}{R(y)} W(y-b) W(x-b) \, \mathrm{d}y$$
$$- 2 \int_b^{\infty} \frac{1}{R(x)} \, \mathrm{d}x \int_b^{\infty} \frac{1}{R(y)} W(y-b) W(x-y) \, \mathrm{d}y$$
$$= 2 \int_b^{\infty} \frac{1}{R(x)} \, \mathrm{d}x \int_x^{\infty} \frac{1}{R(y)} W(y-b) W(x-b) \, \mathrm{d}y$$
$$- 2 \int_b^{\infty} \frac{1}{R(x)} \, \mathrm{d}x \int_b^x \frac{1}{R(y)} W(y-b) W(x-y) \, \mathrm{d}y.$$

Changing the order of integrals, we have

$$Var_{\infty}(T_{b}) = 2 \int_{b}^{\infty} \frac{1}{R(x)} dx \int_{x}^{\infty} \frac{1}{R(y)} W(x-b) W(y-b) dy$$

$$- 2 \int_{b}^{\infty} \frac{1}{R(x)} dx \int_{x}^{\infty} \frac{1}{R(y)} W(x-b) W(y-x) dy$$

$$= 2 \int_{b}^{\infty} \frac{1}{R(x)} W(x-b) dx \int_{x}^{\infty} \frac{1}{R(y)} [W(y-b) - W(y-x)] dy.$$

5. Asymptotic behaviors of hitting times

In this section, we study the convergence of $(T_b/\mathbb{E}_{\infty}[T_b], b \ge 0)$ as $b \to \infty$. By applying Theorem 4.3, we first find conditions on R for a convergence in law to hold.

5.1. Convergence in law

Corollary 5.1. Suppose that $R(x) = e^{\theta_2 x} g(x)$ for x > 0 and some constant $\theta_2 > 0$ and function g is regularly varying at ∞ . Then (3.1) is satisfied and $m(b) \sim \frac{1}{\Psi(\theta_2)R(b)}$ as b goes to ∞ . Moreover, for any $\lambda \ge 0$,

$$\lim_{b \to \infty} \mathbb{E}_{\infty} \left[e^{-\lambda \frac{T_b}{m(b)}} \right] = \left(1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\Psi(\theta_2)^n \lambda^n}{\prod_{j=1}^n \Psi(j\theta_2)} \right)^{-1}$$

Proof. Assume that g is regularly varying with index $\theta_1 \in \mathbb{R}$. Set $\ell(x) := x^{-\theta_1}g(x)$ for any x > 0. The function ℓ is slowly varying at ∞ . Recall (4.2) and $W_1(x) = m(x)$. For any x > 0

$$W_1(x) = \int_0^\infty \frac{W(z)}{\ell(x+z)(x+z)^{\theta_1} e^{\theta_2(x+z)}} \, \mathrm{d}z \le \frac{e^{-\theta_2 x}}{\ell(x)x^{\theta_1}} \int_0^\infty \frac{W(z)}{e^{\theta_2 z}} \frac{\ell(x)}{\ell(x+z)} \, \mathrm{d}z. \tag{5.1}$$

The representation theorem for slowly varying functions, see, for example, [6], Theorem 1.3.1, entails that for any fixed $z \ge 0$, $\frac{\ell(x)}{\ell(x+z)} \xrightarrow[x \to \infty]{} 1$. Moreover, by Potter's theorem, see, for example, [6], Theorem 1.5.6, for any chosen constant C > 1, and large enough x,

$$\frac{\ell(x)}{\ell(x+z)} \le C\left(1+\frac{z}{x}\right) \le C(1+z).$$
(5.2)

Recall (2.3), $\int_0^\infty \frac{W(z)}{e^{\theta_2 z}} dz = \frac{1}{\Psi(\theta_2)}$. Fix $\epsilon \in (0, 1)$. Since $\int_0^\infty (1+z)W(z)e^{-\theta_2 z} dz < \infty$, by Lebesgue's theorem, for x large enough $\int_0^\infty \frac{W(z)}{e^{\theta_2 z}} \frac{\ell(x)}{\ell(x+z)} dz \le \frac{1+\epsilon}{\Psi(\theta_2)}$ and (5.1) entails $W_1(x) \le \frac{1+\epsilon}{R(x)\Psi(\theta_2)}$. In order to find a lower bound, first note that for $0 < \beta < 1$ $W_1(x) \ge \int_0^{x^\beta} \frac{W(z)}{\ell(x+z)(x+z)^{\theta_1}e^{\theta_2(x+z)}} dz$. Applying (2.3) again, we have for large enough x

$$\int_0^{x^{\beta}} \frac{W(z)}{e^{\theta_2 z}} \,\mathrm{d}z \ge \frac{1-\epsilon}{\Psi(\theta_2)}.$$
(5.3)

Thus,

$$\int_{0}^{x^{\beta}} \frac{W(z)}{\ell(x+z)(x+z)^{\theta_{1}} e^{\theta_{2}(x+z)}} dz \ge \frac{e^{-\theta_{2}x}}{\sup_{y \in [x,x+x^{\beta}]} \ell(y)(x+x^{\beta})^{\theta_{1}}} \int_{0}^{x^{\beta}} \frac{W(z)}{e^{\theta_{2}z}} dz$$
$$\ge \frac{1}{R(x)} \frac{\ell(x)}{\sup_{y \in [x,x+x^{\beta}]} \ell(y)} \left(\frac{x}{x+x^{\beta}}\right)^{\theta_{1}} \frac{1-\epsilon}{\Psi(\theta_{2})}.$$
(5.4)

Since $\beta < 1$, $(\frac{x}{x+x^{\beta}})^{\theta_1} \xrightarrow[x \to \infty]{} 1$ and for x large enough

$$\frac{\ell(x)}{\inf_{\lambda \in [1,2]} \ell(\lambda x)} \ge \frac{\ell(x)}{\sup_{y \in [x, x+x^{\beta}]} \ell(y)} \ge \frac{\ell(x)}{\sup_{\lambda \in [1,2]} \ell(\lambda x)}$$

Applying the uniform convergence theorem, [6], Theorem 1.2.1, to the slowly varying functions ℓ and $1/\ell$, we see that both of the bounds above converge towards 1 as x goes to ∞ . Therefore, for large enough x,

$$\frac{\ell(x)}{\sup_{y \in [x, x+x^{\beta}]} \ell(y)} \left(\frac{x}{x+x^{\beta}}\right)^{\theta_{1}} \ge 1 - \epsilon$$
(5.5)

and thus, for large enough x

$$\frac{(1-\epsilon)^2}{R(x)\Psi(\theta_2)} \le W_1(x) \le \frac{1+\epsilon}{R(x)\Psi(\theta_2)}.$$
(5.6)

Recall that $W_1(x) = m(x)$. The inequalities above yield that $m(x) \sim \frac{1}{\Psi(\theta_2)R(x)}$ as *x* goes to infinity. We proceed to show by induction that for any $n \ge 1$, there is $m_n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for all large enough *x*,

$$\frac{(1-\epsilon)^{m_n}}{R(x)^n \prod_{j=1}^n \Psi(j\theta_2)} \le W_n(x) \le \frac{(1+\epsilon)^n}{R(x)^n \prod_{j=1}^n \Psi(j\theta_2)},$$
(5.7)

which immediately yields $W_n(x) \sim \frac{1}{R(x)^n \prod_{j=1}^n \Psi(j\theta_2)}$ as x goes to infinity. By letting $m_1 = 2$ one can see that (5.7) holds for n = 1. Assume that (5.7) holds for some $n \ge 1$ and $m_n \ge 1$. To show (5.7) for n + 1, we start with the lower bound.

$$\begin{split} W_{n+1}(x) &= \int_0^\infty \frac{W(z)W_n(x+z)}{R(x+z)} \, \mathrm{d}z \ge \frac{(1-\epsilon)^{m_n}}{\prod_{j=1}^n \Psi(j\theta_2)} \int_0^\infty \frac{W(z)}{R(x+z)^{n+1}} \, \mathrm{d}z \\ &\ge \frac{(1-\epsilon)^{m_n}}{\prod_{j=1}^n \Psi(j\theta_2)} \int_0^{x^\beta} \frac{W(z)}{R(x+z)^{n+1}} \, \mathrm{d}z \\ &= \frac{(1-\epsilon)^{m_n}}{R(x)^{n+1} \prod_{j=1}^n \Psi(j\theta_2)} \int_0^{x^\beta} \frac{W(z)}{e^{(n+1)\theta_2 z}} \left(\frac{x}{x+z}\right)^{(n+1)\theta_1} \left(\frac{\ell(x)}{\ell(x+z)}\right)^{n+1} \, \mathrm{d}x. \end{split}$$

Applying (5.3) for $(n + 1)\theta_2$ and (5.5), we obtain that

$$W_{n+1}(x) \ge \frac{(1-\epsilon)^{m_n}}{R(x)^{n+1} \prod_{j=1}^n \Psi(j\theta_2)} \frac{1-\epsilon}{\Psi((n+1)\theta_2)} (1-\epsilon)^{n+1} = \frac{(1-\epsilon)^{m_{n+1}}}{R(x)^{n+1} \prod_{j=1}^{n+1} \Psi(j\theta_2)}$$

with $m_{n+1} := m_n + n + 2$. We now look for the upper bound. One has

$$W_{n+1}(x) \le \frac{(1+\epsilon)^n}{\prod_{j=1}^n \Psi(j\theta_2)} \int_0^\infty \frac{W(z)}{R(x+z)^{n+1}} dz$$

$$\le \frac{(1+\epsilon)^n}{R(x)^{n+1} \prod_{j=1}^n \Psi(j\theta_2)} \int_0^\infty \frac{W(z)}{e^{(n+1)\theta_2 z}} \left(\frac{\ell(x)}{\ell(x+z)}\right)^{n+1} dz.$$

By (5.2), $(\frac{\ell(x)}{\ell(x+z)})^{n+1} \le C^{n+1}(1+z)^{n+1}$ and since $\int_0^\infty (1+z)^{n+1} \frac{W(z)}{e^{(n+1)\theta_2 z}} dz < \infty$, Lebesgue's theorem entails

$$\int_0^\infty \frac{W(z)}{e^{(n+1)\theta_2 z}} \left(\frac{\ell(x)}{\ell(x+z)}\right)^{n+1} dz \xrightarrow[x \to \infty]{} \frac{1}{\Psi((n+1)\theta_2)}$$

which allows us to conclude. We deduce from (5.7) and the convergence of the series in Corollary 4.4 that for large enough x, the series $\sum_{n\geq 1} \lambda^n W_n(x)$ is convergent for any $\lambda \geq 0$. Moreover, for any fixed n, $\lim_{x\to\infty} \frac{W_n(x)}{(W_1(x))^n} = \prod_{j=1}^n \frac{\Psi(\theta_2)}{\Psi(j\theta_2)}$. Recall that for $b \geq 0$, $m(b) = W_1(b)$. Then by replacing λ by $\lambda/W_1(b)$ in (4.4) in Theorem 4.3, we get the desired limit.

Corollary 5.2. Suppose that there exists $1 < \alpha \le 2$ such that for c > 0, $\Psi(\lambda) \sim c\lambda^{\alpha}$ as $\lambda \to 0+$. If $R(x) = x^{\theta}\ell(x)$ for x > 0 and $\theta > \alpha$ and ℓ is a slowly varying function at ∞ , then (3.1) is satisfied and $\lim_{b\to\infty} \mathbb{E}_{\infty}[e^{-\lambda \frac{T_b}{m(b)}}] = \mathbb{E}[e^{-\lambda S_{\alpha,\theta}}]$ where

$$\mathbb{E}\left[e^{-\lambda S_{\alpha,\theta}}\right] = \left[\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\lambda \Gamma(\theta)}{\Gamma(\theta-\alpha)}\right)^n \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{\Gamma(i\theta-i\alpha)}{\Gamma(i\theta-(i-1)\alpha)}\right]^{-1} \quad \text{for any } \lambda \ge 0.$$

Proof. By the assumption, since $\theta > \alpha$ and $\Psi(\lambda) \sim c\lambda^{\alpha}$ when λ goes to 0, we have $\int_{x}^{\infty} \frac{dx}{x^{\theta+1}\ell(x)\Psi(1/x)} < \infty$ and (3.1) holds. We establish now that the series $\sum_{n\geq 1} a_n\lambda^n$ with $a_n := \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{\Gamma(i\theta-i\alpha)}{\Gamma(i\theta-(i-1)\alpha)}$ converges for all $\lambda \geq 0$. Since $\alpha > 1$, then $\Gamma(i\theta - (i-1)\alpha) \geq \Gamma(i\theta - i\alpha)(i\theta - i\alpha)$ and plainly $a_n \leq \frac{1}{(\theta - \alpha)^n n!}$ which ensures the convergence of the series for any λ .

Notice that

$$W_{1}(x) = \int_{x}^{\infty} \frac{W(z-x)}{R(z)} dz = \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{W(z)}{(x+z)^{\theta} \ell(z+x)} dz$$
$$= \frac{1}{\Gamma(\theta)} \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{W(z)}{\ell(z+x)} dz \int_{0}^{\infty} \lambda^{\theta-1} e^{-\lambda(z+x)} d\lambda$$
$$= \frac{1}{\Gamma(\theta)} \int_{0}^{\infty} d\lambda e^{-\lambda x} \lambda^{\theta-1} \frac{1}{\ell(x)} \int_{0}^{\infty} W(z) e^{-\lambda z} \frac{\ell(x)}{\ell(x+z)} dz.$$
(5.8)

For any fixed z, $\frac{\ell(x)}{\ell(x+z)} \xrightarrow[x \to \infty]{} 1$. By Potter's theorem, for any C > 1 and x large enough, $\frac{\ell(x)}{\ell(x+z)} \le C(1+z/x) \le C(1+z)$. Since $\int_0^\infty W(z)e^{-\lambda z}(1+z) dz < \infty$, by Lebesgue's theorem we have

$$\int_0^\infty W(z) e^{-\lambda z} \frac{\ell(x)}{\ell(x+z)} dz \xrightarrow[x \to \infty]{} \frac{1}{\Psi(\lambda)}.$$
(5.9)

One thus concludes that

$$W_1(x) \underset{x \to \infty}{\sim} \frac{1}{\Gamma(\theta)\ell(x)} \int_0^\infty d\lambda e^{-\lambda x} \frac{\lambda^{\theta-1}}{\Psi(\lambda)} \underset{x \to \infty}{\sim} \frac{c\Gamma(\theta-\alpha)}{\Gamma(\theta)} \frac{1}{x^{\theta-\alpha}\ell(x)}.$$
 (5.10)

We are going to prove by induction that for any $n \ge 1$

$$W_n(x) \sim \frac{x^{n\alpha - n\theta}}{\ell(x)^n} \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{\Gamma(i\theta - i\alpha)}{\Gamma(i\theta - (i-1)\alpha)} \quad \text{as } x \to \infty.$$
(5.11)

If it holds for n = m, then

$$W_{m+1}(x) = \int_{x}^{\infty} \frac{W(z-x)}{z^{\theta}\ell(z)} W_{m}(z) dz$$
$$\sim \frac{1}{\ell(x)^{m}} \prod_{i=1}^{m} \frac{\Gamma(i\theta - i\alpha)}{\Gamma(i\theta - (i-1)\alpha)} \int_{x}^{\infty} \frac{W(z-x)}{z^{\theta}\ell(z)} z^{m\alpha - m\theta} dz.$$

Focussing on the integral term above, one has

$$\begin{split} &\int_{x}^{\infty} \frac{W(z-x)}{z^{\theta}\ell(z)} z^{m\alpha-m\theta} \, \mathrm{d}z \\ &= \frac{1}{\ell(x)} \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{\ell(x)}{\ell(z+x)} \frac{W(z)}{(z+x)^{(m+1)\theta-m\alpha}} \, \mathrm{d}z \\ &= \frac{1}{\ell(x)} \frac{1}{\Gamma((m+1)\theta-m\alpha)} \int_{0}^{\infty} \mathrm{d}\lambda e^{-\lambda x} \lambda^{(m+1)\theta-m\alpha-1} \int_{0}^{\infty} W(z) e^{-\lambda z} \frac{\ell(x)}{\ell(x+z)} \, \mathrm{d}z \\ &\sim \frac{1}{\ell(x)} \frac{1}{\Gamma((m+1)\theta-m\alpha)} x^{(m+1)(\alpha-\theta)} \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-\lambda} \lambda^{(m+1)(\theta-\alpha)-1} \, \mathrm{d}\lambda \\ &= \frac{1}{\ell(x)} \frac{\Gamma((m+1)\theta-(m+1)\alpha)}{\Gamma((m+1)\theta-m\alpha)} x^{(m+1)(\alpha-\theta)}, \end{split}$$

where the equivalence above follows from (5.9). We then conclude that

$$W_{m+1}(x) \sim \frac{x^{(m+1)\alpha - (m+1)\theta}}{\ell(x)^{m+1}} \prod_{i=1}^{m+1} \frac{\Gamma(i\theta - i\alpha)}{\Gamma(i\theta - (i-1)\alpha)} \quad \text{as } x \to \infty$$

and (5.11) holds for any $n \ge 1$. The equivalence (5.11) and the convergence of the series $\sum_{n\ge 1} a_n \lambda^n$ for any $\lambda \ge 0$ entail that for large enough x, $\sum_{n\ge 1} \lambda^n W_n(x) < \infty$ for any $\lambda \ge 0$. Finally, by (5.10) and (5.11) we observe that

$$\lim_{x \to \infty} \frac{W_n(x)}{(W_1(x))^n} = \left(\frac{\Gamma(\theta)}{\Gamma(\theta - \alpha)}\right)^n \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{\Gamma(i\theta - i\alpha)}{\Gamma(i\theta - (i - 1)\alpha)}$$

Recall that $W_1(b) = m(b)$. Applying Theorem 4.3 and letting $x \to \infty$ give the desired result.

Remark 5.3. If $\Psi(\lambda) = c\lambda^{\alpha}$ for all $\lambda \ge 0$ and some c > 0 and $R(x) = x^{\theta}$ for all $x \ge 0$ with $\theta > \alpha$, we see by replacing ℓ by constant function 1 in (5.8) that $m(b) = c \frac{\Gamma(\theta - \alpha)}{\Gamma(\theta)} b^{\alpha - \theta}$ for any b > 0.

Finding a more general condition over Ψ and R for $(\frac{T_b}{\mathbb{E}_{\infty}(T_b)}, b \ge 0)$ to converge in law does not seem to follow directly from our approach. We now look for conditions entailing convergence in probability.

5.2. Convergence in probability

We first show that under \mathbb{H}_1 , $\mathbb{E}_{\infty}(T_b) \underset{b \to \infty}{\sim} \varphi(b)$. Recall that $\varphi(b)$ is the first passage time below *b* of the deterministic flow $(x_t, t \ge 0)$ started from infinity.

Lemma 5.4. Assume $\gamma > 0$ and (3.1). If \mathbb{H}_1 is satisfied, then $\mathbb{E}_{\infty}[T_b] \underset{b \to \infty}{\sim} \varphi(b)$.

Proof. By Lemma 4.1,

$$\frac{\mathbb{E}_{\infty}[T_b]}{\varphi(b)} = \frac{\int_b^{\infty} \frac{W(x-b)}{R(x)} \, \mathrm{d}x}{\int_b^{\infty} \frac{1}{\gamma} \frac{\mathrm{d}x}{R(x)}} = 1 - \frac{\int_b^{\infty} \frac{W(\infty) - W(x-b)}{R(x)} \, \mathrm{d}x}{\int_b^{\infty} \frac{1}{\gamma} \frac{\mathrm{d}x}{R(x)}}$$

and for h > 1

$$0 \leq \frac{\int_b^\infty \frac{W(\infty) - W(x-b)}{R(x)} \, \mathrm{d}x}{\int_b^\infty \frac{1}{\gamma} \frac{\mathrm{d}x}{R(x)}} = \frac{\int_{bh}^\infty \frac{W(\infty) - W(x-b)}{R(x)} \, \mathrm{d}x + \int_b^{bh} \frac{W(\infty) - W(x-b)}{R(x)} \, \mathrm{d}x}{\int_b^\infty \frac{1}{\gamma} \frac{\mathrm{d}x}{R(x)}}$$
$$\leq \gamma \left(W(\infty) - W\left((h-1)b\right) \right) + \frac{\varphi(b) - \varphi(bh)}{\varphi(b)}.$$

Therefore,

$$\limsup_{b\to\infty} \frac{\int_b^\infty \frac{W(\infty)-W(x-b)}{R(x)}\,\mathrm{d}x}{\int_b^\infty \frac{1}{\gamma}\frac{\mathrm{d}x}{R(x)}} \le 1-\liminf_{b\to\infty} \frac{\varphi(bh)}{\varphi(b)}.$$

By \mathbb{H}_1 , we have

$$\limsup_{h \to 1+} \liminf_{b \to \infty} \frac{\varphi(bh)}{\varphi(b)} = 1,$$

and we get

$$\limsup_{b \to \infty} \frac{\int_b^\infty \frac{W(\infty) - W(x-b)}{R(x)} \, \mathrm{d}x}{\int_b^\infty \frac{1}{\gamma} \frac{\mathrm{d}x}{R(x)}} = 0.$$

Theorem 5.5. Assume $\gamma > 0$ and (3.1). If \mathbb{H}_1 and \mathbb{H}_3 are satisfied, then $\frac{T_b}{\mathbb{E}_{\infty}[T_b]} \xrightarrow{1}{\to} 1$ in \mathbb{P}_{∞} -probability.

Proof. The proof is based on a Chebyshev's inequality type argument. By Corollary 4.7, for any b > 0 and h > 1

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Var}_{\infty}(T_b) &= 2 \int_b^\infty \frac{W(x-b)}{R(x)} \, \mathrm{d}x \int_x^\infty \frac{W(y-b) - W(y-x)}{R(y)} \, \mathrm{d}y \\ &\leq 2 \int_b^\infty \frac{\mathrm{d}x}{\gamma R(x)} \int_{x+(h-1)b}^\infty \frac{W(y-b) - W(y-x)}{R(y)} \, \mathrm{d}y \\ &\quad + 2 \int_b^\infty \frac{\mathrm{d}x}{\gamma R(x)} \int_x^{x+(h-1)b} \frac{W(y-b) - W(y-x)}{R(y)} \, \mathrm{d}y \\ &=: J_1 + J_2, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$J_1 \le 2\gamma \int_b^\infty \frac{\mathrm{d}x}{\gamma R(x)} \int_{x+(h-1)b}^\infty \frac{\mathrm{d}y}{\gamma R(y)} \Big(W(\infty) - W\big((h-1)b\big) \Big)$$

1308

Time-changed Lévy processes started from infinity

$$\leq 2\gamma \big(W(\infty) - W\big((h-1)b\big) \big) \varphi(b)\varphi(bh) \leq 2\gamma \big(W(\infty) - W\big((h-1)b\big) \big) \varphi(b)^2$$

and, since $W(y-b) - W(y-x) \le W(\infty) \le \frac{1}{\nu}$, then

$$J_2 \le 2 \int_b^\infty \frac{\varphi(x) - \varphi(x + (h-1)b)}{\gamma R(x)} dx$$

= $2 \left[-\varphi(x) \left(\varphi(x) - \varphi \left(x + (h-1)b \right) \right) \right]_{x=b}^{x=\infty} + 2 \int_b^\infty \varphi(x) \left(\frac{1}{R(x + (h-1)b)} - \frac{1}{R(x)} \right) dx.$

Recall V defined in (3.4). The latter integral is bounded above by $2V(b, h-1) \int_b^\infty \frac{\varphi(x)}{R(x)} dx$. Therefore, $J_2 \leq 2\varphi(b)[\varphi(b) - \varphi(bh)] + 2\gamma\varphi(b)^2V(b, h-1) \text{ and for all } b > 0 \text{ and } h > 1,$

$$\frac{\operatorname{Var}_{\infty}(T_b)}{\varphi(b)^2} \le 2\gamma \left(W(\infty) - W((h-1)b) \right) + 2\frac{\varphi(b)[\varphi(b) - \varphi(bh)]}{\varphi(b)^2} + 2\gamma V(b, h-1)$$
(5.12)

One further has $\limsup_{b\to\infty} \frac{\operatorname{Var}_{\infty}(T_b)}{\varphi(b)^2} \le 2(1 - \liminf_{b\to\infty} \frac{\varphi(bh)}{\varphi(b)}) + 2\gamma \limsup_{b\to\infty} V(b, h-1)$ and letting *h* go to 1, we get by \mathbb{H}_1 and \mathbb{H}_3 that $\limsup_{b\to\infty} \frac{\operatorname{Var}_{\infty}(T_b)}{\omega(b)^2} = 0$.

Remark 5.6. The upper bound (5.12) for the variance of $T_b/\varphi(b)$ under \mathbb{P}_{∞} has three terms, the first controls the random fluctuations, the second the speed of coming down from infinity of the deterministic flow and the third controls the valleys depth in the neighbourhoods of infinity.

5.3. Almost-sure convergence

We now look for conditions ensuring that $(T_b/\mathbb{E}_{\infty}[T_b], b \ge 0)$ converges almost-surely towards 1 under \mathbb{P}_{∞} . Recall φ defined in (3.3), V defined in (3.4), W the scale function and for any z > 0, set $\Delta(z) :=$ $W(\infty) - W(z).$

Theorem 5.7. Assume (3.1) and $\gamma > 0$. If R satisfies the following condition: \mathbb{H}_4 : there is a map $p: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ such that $z \mapsto zp(z)$ is non-decreasing, $p(z) \xrightarrow{z \to \infty} 0$ and

- (i) as z goes to ∞ , $\varphi(z) \varphi(z + zp(z)) = O(\varphi(z)\Delta(zp(z)))$,
- (ii) there is c > 1 such that $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\Delta(zp(z))}{\varphi(cz)R(cz)} dz < \infty$, (iii) as z goes to ∞ , $V(z, p(z)) = O(\Delta(zp(z)))$,

then $\frac{T_b}{\mathbb{E}_{\infty}[T_b]} \xrightarrow[b \to \infty]{} 1 \mathbb{P}_{\infty}$ -a.s.

We will give examples of functions satisfying the assumptions of Theorem 5.7 later in Corollary 5.11. The proof of Theorem 5.7 is based on a strong law of large numbers for tails of random series, established in Klesov [17] and Nam [24]. Recall that for any z > 0, $m(z) = \mathbb{E}_{\infty}[T_z]$.

Lemma 5.8. Let $(z_n)_{n\geq 1}$ be a positive non-decreasing sequence going to ∞ . If

$$\sum_{n\geq 1} \frac{\operatorname{Var}_{\infty}(T_{z_n})}{m(z_n)^2} < \infty,$$
(5.13)

then

$$\frac{T_{z_n}}{m(z_n)} \xrightarrow[n \to \infty]{} 1, \quad \mathbb{P}_{\infty}\text{-}a.s.$$
(5.14)

Proof. Since the process has no negative jumps, under \mathbb{P}_{∞} , for any $n \ge 1$, $T_{z_n} = \sum_{k\ge n} \tau_k$ with $\tau_k := T_{z_k} - T_{z_{k+1}}$ and by the strong Markov property of the process $(X_t, t \ge 0)$, the random variables $(\tau_k, k \ge 1)$ are independent with the same distribution as $T_{z_{k+1}} \circ \theta_{T_{z_k}}$, where θ is the shift operator (see e.g. [15], p.146). Under \mathbb{P}_{∞} , set for any $n \ge 1$, $\zeta_n := T_{z_n} - m(z_n) = \sum_{k\ge n} (\tau_k - \mathbb{E}_{\infty}[\tau_k])$. Applying [17], Proposition 1, with, in the notation there, t = 2, $b_k = 1/m(z_k)$ and $\xi_k = \tau_k - \mathbb{E}_{\infty}[\tau_k]$, if $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\operatorname{Var}_{\infty}(\tau_n)}{m(z_n)^2} < \infty$, then $\frac{\zeta_n}{m(z_n)} = \frac{T_{z_n}}{m(z_n)} - 1 \xrightarrow[n \to \infty]{} 0 \mathbb{P}_{\infty}$ -a.s. Since $T_{z_{n+1}} + \tau_n = T_{z_n}$ and τ_n is independent of $T_{z_{n+1}}$, $\operatorname{Var}_{\infty}(\tau_n) = \operatorname{Var}_{\infty}(T_{z_n}) - \operatorname{Var}_{\infty}(T_{z_{n+1}}) \le \operatorname{Var}_{\infty}(T_{z_n})$ and $\frac{\operatorname{Var}_{\infty}(\tau_n)}{m(z_n)^2} \le \frac{\operatorname{Var}_{\infty}(T_{z_n})}{m(z_n)^2}$. This concludes the proof.

We now deal with the proof of Theorem 5.7.

Proof of Theorem 5.7. We start by finding a sequence $(z_n, n \ge 1)$ such that under the assumption \mathbb{H}_4 , the series (5.13) converges. Recall from Lemma 5.4 that under \mathbb{H}_1 , $m(z) \sim \varphi(z)$ as z goes to ∞ . One thus only needs to study $\sum_{n\ge 1} \frac{\operatorname{Var}_{\infty}(T_{z_n})}{\varphi(z_n)^2}$. Condition \mathbb{H}_4 enables us to control precisely the variance of T_{z_n} under \mathbb{P}_{∞} for large n. Applying (5.12) with $h = 1 + p(z_n)$ (so that $(h - 1)z_n = z_n p(z_n)$) provides

$$\frac{\operatorname{Var}_{\infty}(T_{z_n})}{2\varphi(z_n)^2} \le \gamma \Delta(z_n p(z_n)) + \frac{\varphi(z_n) - \varphi(z_n(1+p(z_n)))}{\varphi(z_n)} + \gamma V(z_n, p(z_n)).$$
(5.15)

By the conditions (i) and (iii) in \mathbb{H}_4 , $\frac{\operatorname{Var}_{\infty}(T_{z_n})}{2\varphi(z_n)^2} \leq C\Delta(z_n p(z_n))$ for some constant C > 0. It is therefore sufficient to identify a sequence $(z_n, n \geq 1)$ such that under the assumption \mathbb{H}_4 -(ii), $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \Delta(z_n p(z_n)) < \infty$. For any fixed constant c > 1, and any $c_1 < \frac{1}{\gamma}$, for z large enough

$$m(z) = \int_{z}^{\infty} \frac{W(x-z)}{R(x)} \, \mathrm{d}x \ge W\left((c-1)z\right) \int_{cz}^{\infty} \frac{\mathrm{d}x}{R(x)} \ge c_1 \gamma \varphi(cz).$$

Note that $z \mapsto m(z)$ is continuous and strictly decreasing in z, and $m(z) \to 0+$ as $z \to \infty$. Given $0 < \rho < 1$ and z_0 large enough, for $n \ge 1$ recursively define

$$z_n := \inf \{ z > z_{n-1} : m(z) = (1 - \rho)m(z_{n-1}) \}.$$
(5.16)

Then by continuity $m(z_n) = (1 - \rho)^n m(z_0)$. Note that $m(z_n) \ge c_1 \gamma \varphi(cz_n)$ for all $n \ge 0$. Then $z_n \ge y_n := \frac{1}{c} \varphi^{-1} (c_2(1 - \rho)^n)$, n = 1, 2, ... with $c_2 = \frac{m(z_0)}{\gamma c_1}$. Since $z \mapsto zp(z)$ is non-decreasing and $z \mapsto \Delta(z)$ is non-increasing, for any n we have $\Delta(z_n p(z_n)) \le \Delta(y_n p(y_n))$.

We now show that $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \Delta(y_n p(y_n)) < \infty$. This will follow by comparison with an integral. set $\beta := -\log(1-\rho)$. For any $z \in]n, n+1[$, we have $y_{n+1} \ge \frac{1}{c}\varphi^{-1}(c_2e^{-\beta z}) =: u(z)$. Therefore, if

$$\int^{\infty} \Delta(u(z)p(u(z))) \,\mathrm{d}z < \infty, \tag{5.17}$$

then $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \Delta(y_n p(y_n)) < \infty$. By changing of variable and setting v := u(z), one can check that $dz = \frac{c}{\beta} \frac{dv}{\varphi(cv)R(cv)}$ and (5.17) holds if and only if $\int^{\infty} \frac{\Delta(vp(v))}{\varphi(cv)R(cv)} dv < \infty$ which is \mathbb{H}_4 -(ii). Lemma 5.8 entails the almost-sure convergence (5.14) along the sequence (5.16).

To conclude, we now show the almost-sure convergence along any sequence. For any $z > z_0$ such that $z \in [z_{n-1}, z_n]$ we have

$$\frac{T_{z_{n-1}}}{m(z_{n-1})} \ge \frac{T_z}{m(z_{n-1})} \ge (1-\rho)\frac{T_z}{m(z)} \ge (1-\rho)\frac{T_{z_n}}{m(z_{n-1})} = (1-\rho)^2 \frac{T_{z_n}}{m(z_n)}$$

Therefore, $1 - \rho \leq \liminf_{z \to \infty} \frac{T_z}{m(z)} \leq \limsup_{z \to \infty} \frac{T_z}{m(z)} \leq \frac{1}{1-\rho}$. Since ρ can be arbitrarily close to 0, the almost-sure convergence to 1 is established.

Remark 5.9. The condition \mathbb{H}_4 -(iii) requires somehow that the fluctuations of the Lévy process prevail over those of the function R. We provide here an alternative condition which does not involve the function Δ but only R. Set condition \mathbb{H}_4 -(iii'): There exists a decreasing function \overline{V} and c > 1 such that $V(z, p(z)) \leq \overline{V}(z)$ for large enough z and $\int^{\infty} \frac{\overline{V}(v)}{\varphi(cv)R(cv)} dv < \infty$. By comparing the series $\sum_{n\geq 1} V(z_n, p(z_n))$ with the integral above, we check that under \mathbb{H}_4 -(iii'),

By comparing the series $\sum_{n\geq 1} V(z_n, p(z_n))$ with the integral above, we check that under \mathbb{H}_4 -(iii'), $\sum_{n\geq 1} V(z_n, p(z_n)) < \infty$. By repeating the arguments of the proof, from (5.15), we get that \mathbb{H}_4 -(iii) can be replaced by \mathbb{H}_4 -(iii') in Theorem 5.7.

Condition \mathbb{H}_4 is difficult to verify in general. The next lemma further simplifies \mathbb{H}_4 -(i) and \mathbb{H}_4 -(ii) for *R* regularly varying.

Lemma 5.10. Assume that R is regularly varying with index $\theta > 1$. If there exists a map $p : \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ such that $z \mapsto zp(z)$ is non-decreasing, $p(z) \xrightarrow[z \to \infty]{} 0$, $\frac{p(z)}{\Delta(zp(z))}$ is bounded for large enough z and $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\Delta(zp(z))}{z} dz < \infty$, then both \mathbb{H}_4 -(i) and \mathbb{H}_4 -(ii) hold.

Proof. A simple application of the mean value theorem provides the following useful bound for condition \mathbb{H}_{4} -(i):

$$d_p(z) := \frac{1}{\Delta(zp(z))} \left(\frac{\varphi(z) - \varphi(z + zp(z))}{\varphi(z)} \right) \le \frac{zp(z)}{\Delta(zp(z))\varphi(z)} \sup_{u \in]z, z(1+p(z))[} \frac{1}{R(u)}.$$
(5.18)

Since *R* is regularly varying at ∞ with index θ , *R* takes the form $R(x) = x^{\theta} \ell(x)$, where ℓ is a slowly varying function at ∞ . By (5.18), one has

$$d_p(z) \le \frac{zp(z)}{\Delta(zp(z))} \frac{1}{\varphi(z)R(z)} \sup_{u \in]z, z(1+p(z))[} \frac{\ell(z)}{\ell(u)}.$$

By the uniform convergence theorem for slowly varying function, see, for example, [6], Theorem 1.2.1, we have $\sup_{u \in]z, z(1+p(z))[} \frac{\ell(z)}{\ell(u)} \xrightarrow[z \to \infty]{} 1$. Moreover, $\varphi(z)R(z) \underset{z \to \infty}{\sim} (\theta - 1)z$. Therefore, the map d_p is bounded as soon as $z \mapsto \frac{p(z)}{\Delta(zp(z))}$ is bounded. The condition \mathbb{H}_4 -(ii) is readily equivalent to $\int_{z}^{\infty} \frac{\Delta(zp(z))}{z} dz < \infty$.

We now apply Lemma 5.10 and Theorem 5.7 to different branching mechanisms (those in the setting of Theorem 3.4). Recall that we denote by π the Lévy measure.

Corollary 5.11. Assume $\gamma > 0$ and R regularly varying at ∞ with index $\theta > 1$. Then (3.1) is satisfied. Set the conditions

(a) $\Psi(\lambda) - \gamma \lambda \sim c \lambda^{1+\delta}$ as $\lambda \to 0+$ for some $\delta \in (0, 1), c > 0$ and

$$V\left(z,\frac{1}{\sqrt{z}}\right) := \sup_{u \ge z} \left(\frac{R(u)}{R(u+\sqrt{z})} - 1\right)_{+} = O\left(z^{-\delta/2}\right) \quad as \ z \to \infty; \tag{5.19}$$

(b) There exists $v \in (0, \infty)$ such that $\Psi(-v) = 0$, $\int_0^1 u\pi(du) < \infty$ and

$$V\left(z, \frac{2\ln\ln z}{\nu z}\right) := \sup_{u \ge z} \left(\frac{R(u)}{R(u + \frac{2}{\nu}\ln\ln(z))} - 1\right)_{+} = O\left(\ln(z)^{-2}\right) \quad as \ z \to \infty.$$
(5.20)

If either condition (a) or condition (b) is satisfied, then \mathbb{P}_{∞} -almost-surely, $\frac{T_b}{\mathbb{E}_{\infty}[T_b]} \underset{b \to \infty}{\longrightarrow} 1$.

Proof. By Karamata's theorem, see, e.g., [6], Proposition 1.5.10, (3.1) is satisfied. We next verify the conditions of Lemma 5.10. Assume first that condition (*a*) holds. By Lemma 2.6,

$$\int_0^\infty (W(\infty) - W(x)) e^{-\lambda x} dx$$

= $\frac{W(\infty)}{\lambda} - \frac{1}{\Psi(\lambda)}$
 $\sim \frac{(\lambda/W(\infty) + c\lambda^{1+\delta})W(\infty) - \lambda}{\lambda(\lambda/W(\infty) + c\lambda^{1+\delta})} \sim W(\infty)^2 c\lambda^{\delta-1}$ as $\lambda \to 0$.

By the Tauberian theorem, see, for example, [4], p.10, we have $\Delta(x) = W(\infty) - W(x) \sim c_1 x^{-\delta}$ as $x \to \infty$ with $c_1 = \frac{cW(\infty)^2}{\Gamma(2-\delta)}$. Then $\Delta(zp(z)) \underset{z\to\infty}{\sim} c_1(zp(z))^{-\delta}$ and $\frac{p(z)}{\Delta(zp(z))} \underset{z\to\infty}{\sim} \frac{1}{c_1} z^{\delta} p(z)^{1+\delta}$. Choose $p(z) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{z}}$. Then $z \mapsto zp(z)$ is non-decreasing, and $\frac{p(z)}{\Delta(zp(z))} \underset{z\to\infty}{\sim} \frac{1}{c_1} z^{\delta/2-1/2}$ which is bounded. Since $\int_{z^{\delta/2+1}}^{\infty} \frac{dz}{z^{\delta/2+1}} < \infty$, we have that $\int_{z^{\delta/2}}^{\infty} \frac{\Delta(zp(z))}{z} dz < \infty$ and we conclude by Lemma 5.10 that \mathbb{H}_4 -(i) and \mathbb{H}_4 -(ii) hold true. Condition (5.19) corresponds to \mathbb{H}_4 -(iii) for $p(z) = z^{-1/2}$. Since *R* is regularly varying with index $\theta > 1$, one can readily check that φ satisfies \mathbb{H}_1 . Theorem 5.7 thus applies.

Assume now that condition (b) holds. Recall from Lemma 2.6 that for any $x \ge 0$, $\mathbb{P}_0(\tau_x^+ < \infty) = \frac{W(\infty) - W(x)}{W(\infty)}$. By Cramér's theorem, see, for example, [19], Theorem 7.6, we have

$$\lim_{x \to \infty} e^{\nu x} \mathbb{P}_0(\tau_x^+ < \infty) = \frac{\Psi'(0+)}{\Psi'(-\nu)} =: c_\nu \in [0,\infty)$$

with $c_{\nu} = 0$ if $\Psi'(-\nu) = \infty$. We can check from (2.2) that the assumption $\int_{0}^{1} u\pi(du) < \infty$ entails $\Psi'(-\nu) < \infty$. Therefore, $c_{\nu} > 0$ and $W(\infty) - W(x) \sim \frac{c_{\nu}}{\gamma} e^{-\nu x}$ as x goes to ∞ . Choose $p(z) = \frac{2 \ln \ln z}{\nu z}$ for large z. The map $z \mapsto zp(z)$ is non-decreasing, $p(z) \xrightarrow[z \to \infty]{} 0$ and moreover $\Delta(zp(z)) \sim \frac{c_{\nu}}{\gamma \ln(z)^{2}}$ as z goes to ∞ . Therefore, $\frac{p(z)}{\Delta(zp(z))} \sim \frac{2}{\gamma \nu c_{\nu}} \frac{\ln \ln z}{z} \ln(z)^{2}$ as z goes to ∞ and $z \mapsto \frac{p(z)}{\Delta(zp(z))}$ is bounded for z large enough. Note that $\int_{\infty}^{\infty} \frac{dz}{z \ln(z)^{2}} < \infty$ and then $\int_{\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\Delta(zp(z))}{z} dz < \infty$. By Lemma 5.10, \mathbb{H}_{4} -(i) and \mathbb{H}_{4} -(ii) are satisfied. Condition (5.20) corresponds to \mathbb{H}_{4} -(iii) with $p(z) = \frac{2 \ln \ln z}{\nu z}$ and finally Theorem 5.7 applies.

We close this section by providing an example of a regularly varying branching rate R with valleys in any neighborhood of ∞ .

1312

Example 5.12. Let $\theta > 1$, 0 < v < 1 and $x_0 > 0$. Assume that $R(x) = x^{\theta}(2 + \frac{\cos x}{x^{v}})$ for all $x \ge x_0$. The function R is not monotonic on (b, ∞) for any $b > x_0$ and simple calculations provide that for any $\rho > 0$ and large enough z, $V(z, \rho) \le C\rho z^{1-v}$ for some constant C > 0. One can check that (5.20) is always fulfilled. Moreover, $V(z, 1/\sqrt{z}) \le Cz^{1/2-v}$ and (5.19) holds when $v \ge \frac{1+\delta}{2}$.

As noticed in the proof of Corollary 5.11, \mathbb{H}_4 -(i) and \mathbb{H}_4 -(ii) are verified and (5.19) corresponds to \mathbb{H}_4 -(iii). Instead of (5.19), we may thus verify \mathbb{H}_4 -(iii') as defined in Remark 5.9. Set $\bar{V}(z) := Cz^{1/2-v}$, when v > 1/2, \bar{V} is decreasing and $\int^{\infty} \frac{\bar{V}(z)}{z} dz < \infty$. Therefore, when v > 1/2, \mathbb{H}_4 -(iii') holds and one concludes by Theorem 5.7 that \mathbb{P}_{∞} -almost-surely, $\frac{T_b}{\mathbb{E}_{\infty}[T_b]} \xrightarrow[b \to \infty]{} 1$.

6. Speeds of coming down from infinity

In this final section, we show how to invert the results obtained on $(T_b, b \ge 0)$ in the previous section to study the speed of coming down from infinity. We prove Theorem 3.3, Theorem 3.4 and Proposition 3.7.

6.1. Running infimum at small times

Recall Theorem 3.1. Under (3.1), the function *m* is well-defined, positive continuous and strictly decreasing. We write m^{-1} for its inverse function. Note that $\mathbb{E}_{\infty}(T_{m^{-1}(t)}) = t$ and $m^{-1}(t) \to \infty$ as $t \to 0+$. Let $\underline{X}_t := \inf_{0 \le s \le t} X_s, t \ge 0$ be the running minimum process for *X*. Under \mathbb{P}_{∞} , the asymptotic behavior of T_b for large *b* is associated to the small time asymptotic behavior for \underline{X}_t .

Lemma 6.1. Assume that (3.1) holds.

(a) Suppose that for any h > 1, $\liminf_{x \to \infty} \frac{m(x)}{m(hx)} > 1$ and that we have

$$T_b/m(b) \to 1$$
 in \mathbb{P}_{∞} -probability as $b \to \infty$. (6.1)

Then under $\mathbb{P}_{\infty}, \frac{\underline{X}_t}{m^{-1}(t)} \to 1$ in probability as $t \to 0+$.

(b) Suppose that for any h > 1, $\liminf_{x \to \infty} \frac{m(x)}{m(hx)} = \infty$ and that $T_b/m(b)$ converges in law under \mathbb{P}_{∞} . Then under \mathbb{P}_{∞} , $\frac{X_t}{m^{-1}(t)} \to 1$ in probability as $t \to 0+$.

Proof. We show (a). By assumption, for any h > 0, there exists a constant $\underline{c}_h \in (1, \infty)$ such that $\liminf_{x\to\infty} \frac{m(x)}{m(hx)} > \underline{c}_h$. For h > 1, $0 < \rho < \underline{c}_h$, $\delta > 0$ and t > 0 small enough,

$$\begin{split} \mathbb{P}_{\infty}(T_{hm^{-1}(t)} \leq t) &= \mathbb{P}_{\infty}\left(T_{hm^{-1}(t)} \leq \mathbb{E}_{\infty}[T_{m^{-1}(t)}]\right) \\ &= \mathbb{P}_{\infty}\left(\frac{T_{hm^{-1}(t)}}{\mathbb{E}_{\infty}[T_{hm^{-1}(t)}]} \leq \frac{\mathbb{E}_{\infty}[T_{m^{-1}(t)}]}{\mathbb{E}_{\infty}[T_{hm^{-1}(t)}]}\right) \\ &\geq \mathbb{P}_{\infty}\left(\frac{T_{hm^{-1}(t)}}{\mathbb{E}_{\infty}[T_{hm^{-1}(t)}]} \leq \underline{c}_{h} - \rho\right). \end{split}$$

Similarly,

$$\mathbb{P}_{\infty}(T_{m^{-1}(t)/h} \ge t) = \mathbb{P}_{\infty}\left(\frac{T_{m^{-1}(t)/h}}{\mathbb{E}_{\infty}[T_{m^{-1}(t)/h}]} \ge \frac{\mathbb{E}_{\infty}[T_{m^{-1}(t)}]}{\mathbb{E}_{\infty}[T_{m^{-1}(t)/h}]}\right)$$
$$\ge \mathbb{P}_{\infty}\left(\frac{T_{m^{-1}(t)/h}}{\mathbb{E}_{\infty}[T_{m^{-1}(t)/h}]} \ge \frac{1}{\underline{c}_{h}} + \rho\right).$$

Then for $\underline{c}_h - \rho > 1$, $\frac{1}{\underline{c}_h} + \rho < 1$ and for all $\varepsilon > 0$ and all t small enough, by (6.1) we have $\mathbb{P}_{\infty}(T_{hm^{-1}(t)} \leq t, T_{m^{-1}(t)/h} \geq t) \geq 1 - \varepsilon$. Observe that $\underline{X}_{T_b} = b$ and \underline{X}_t decreases in t. Then $\mathbb{P}_{\infty}(\underline{X}_t \in [m^{-1}(t)/h, m^{-1}(t)h]) \geq \mathbb{P}_{\infty}(t \in [T_{m^{-1}(t)h}, T_{m^{-1}(t)/h}]) \geq 1 - \varepsilon$. Since ε is arbitrary, $\lim_{t \to 0+} \mathbb{P}_{\infty}(\underline{X}_t \in [m^{-1}(t)/h, m^{-1}(t)h]) = 1$. The desired limit in (a) follows by letting $h \to 1+$.

The proof for (b) is similar and we leave it to interested readers.

We now identify conditions under which $\lim_{t\to 0+} X_t/X_t = 1 \mathbb{P}_{\infty}$ -a.s., that is, for t close to 0, the sample path of X_t is "almost" a decreasing function with relatively small upward fluctuations.

Proposition 6.2. Assume $\gamma > 0$ and (3.1). Then \mathbb{P}_{∞} -a.s., $\lim_{t\to 0+} \frac{X_t}{X_t} = 1$.

Proof. Recall the first exit times τ_b^+ and τ_b^- for the Lévy process *Z*, see Section 2.2. For any b > 0, set $T_b^+ := \inf\{t > 0 : X_t > b\}$ the first exit time of *X* above *b*. Since the process *X* comes down from infinity, from Lemma 2.3, there exists b > 0 such that $\mathbb{E}_{\infty}[T_b] < \infty$. Observe that, since the process *X* is a time-change of process *Z*, for $b < b_1 < x < b_2$ and $Y_0 = X_0 = x$, we have $\{T_{b_1} < T_{b_2}^+\} = \{\tau_{b_1}^- < \tau_{b_2}^+\}$ and $\{T_{b_1} > T_{b_2}^+\} = \{\tau_{b_1}^- > \tau_{b_2}^+\}$. Then, the fluctuations of *X* can be studied via the fluctuations of *Z*.

Given a > 1, for any $\delta > 0$ and sequence $(a_n)_{n \ge 1} := (a^{1+n\delta})_{n \ge 1}$, choose *m* large enough so that $a_m > b$ and $W(a_{n+1} - a_{n-1}) > W(\infty)/2$ for all $n \ge m$. Further choose *k* large enough so that $a^{k\delta}(a^{\delta} - 1) \ge 1$. Then for all $n \ge 1$,

$$a_{n+k+1} - a_{n+k} = a^{1+n\delta} a^{k\delta} (a^{\delta} - 1) \ge a_n - a_{n-2},$$

which implies $W(a_{n+k+1} - a_{n+k}) \ge W(a_n - a_{n-2})$. It follows that

$$\sum_{n=m+1}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}_{a_n} \left(T_{a_{n+1}}^+ < T_{a_{n-1}} \right) = \sum_{n=m+1}^{\infty} \left(1 - \frac{W(a_{n+1} - a_n)}{W(a_{n+1} - a_{n-1})} \right)$$

$$\leq \frac{2}{W(\infty)} \sum_{n=m+1}^{\infty} \left(W(a_{n+1} - a_{n-1}) - W(a_{n+1} - a_n) \right)$$

$$\leq \frac{2}{W(\infty)} \lim_{n' \to \infty} \left\{ \sum_{n=m+1}^{n'-k-1} \left(W(a_{n+1} - a_{n-1}) - W(a_{n+k+2} - a_{n+k+1}) \right) + \sum_{n=n'-k}^{n'} W(a_{n+1} - a_{n-1}) \right\}$$

$$\leq \frac{2}{W(\infty)} \times (k+1) W(\infty) < \infty, \qquad (6.2)$$

where we used Lemma 2.6 in the first equality. Since the process X comes down from infinity, by the strong Markov property and the lack of negative jumps for X,

$$\mathbb{P}_{\infty}\left(T_{a_{n+1}}^+ \circ \theta_{T_{a_n}} < T_{a_{n-1}} \circ \theta_{T_{a_n}}\right) = \mathbb{P}_{a_n}\left(T_{a_{n+1}}^+ < T_{a_{n-1}}\right),$$

where θ is the shift operator, see, for example, [15], p. 146. Applying the Borel–Cantelli lemma, by (6.2) we have \mathbb{P}_{∞} -a.s. for all *n* large enough,

$$T_{a_{n+1}}^+ \circ \theta_{T_{a_n}} \ge T_{a_{n-1}} \circ \theta_{T_{a_n}}, \tag{6.3}$$

It follows from (6.3) that \mathbb{P}_{∞} -a.s., for any t small enough, $t \in [T_{a_n}, T_{a_{n-1}})$ implies that $X_t \in (a_{n-1}, a_{n+1})$ and consequently, $1 \ge \frac{X_t}{X_t} \ge \frac{a_{n-1}}{a_{n+1}} = a^{-2\delta}$. Since $T_{a_n} \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$ under \mathbb{P}_{∞} and $\delta > 0$ is arbitrary, the limit then follows by letting $\delta \to 0+$.

The following result finds a condition on Ψ under which $\lim_{t\to 0+} X_t/X_t = 1$ fails.

Proposition 6.3. Assume $\Psi(\lambda) \sim c\lambda^{\alpha}$ as λ goes to 0, for some $\alpha \in (1, 2]$ and c > 0. Suppose that X comes down from infinity, then \mathbb{P}_{∞} -a.s. $\limsup_{t\to 0+} \frac{X_t}{X_t} = \infty$.

Proof. From Lemma 2.6 and the Tauberian theorem, one sees that $\Psi(\lambda) \sim c\lambda^{\alpha}$ as $\lambda \to 0+$ for some constant c > 0 is equivalent to $W(x) \sim c' x^{\alpha-1}$ as $x \to \infty$. Using Lemma 2.6 and the same time-change techniques as in the proof of Proposition 6.2, we see that for a > 1,

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \mathbb{P}_{a^{n}} \left(T_{a^{n+1}}^{+} < T_{a^{n-1}} \right) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \mathbb{P}_{a^{n}} \left(\tau_{a^{n+1}}^{+} < \tau_{a^{n-1}}^{-} \right) = 1 - \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{W(a^{n+1} - a^{n})}{W(a^{n+1} - a^{n-1})}$$
$$= 1 - \lim_{n \to \infty} \left(\frac{a^{n+1} - a^{n}}{a^{n+1} - a^{n-1}} \right)^{\alpha - 1} = 1 - \left(\frac{a}{a+1} \right)^{\alpha - 1} > 0.$$
(6.4)

Since the process comes down from infinity, for any a > 1 and $n \ge 1$, $\mathbb{P}_{\infty}(T_{a^{n-1}} < \infty) = 1$. By the strong Markov property and (6.4)

$$\sum_{n=m}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}_{\infty} (T_{a^{n+1}}^+ \circ \theta_{T_{a^n}} < T_{a^{n-1}} \circ \theta_{T_{a^n}}) = \sum_{n=m}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}_{a^n} (T_{a^{n+1}}^+ < T_{a^{n-1}}) = \infty.$$

Applying the Borel–Cantelli lemma we have \mathbb{P}_{∞} -a.s. for infinitely many n, $T_{a^{n+1}}^+ \circ \theta_{T_{a^n}} < T_{a^{n-1}} \circ \theta_{T_{a^n}}$, and $\limsup_{t\to 0+} \frac{X_t}{X_t} \ge a \mathbb{P}_{\infty}$ -a.s for all a > 1. Thus, $\limsup_{t\to 0+} \frac{X_t}{X_t} = \infty \mathbb{P}_{\infty}$ -a.s.

6.2. Proofs of the main results

By Lemma 6.1, we can now identify the speeds of coming down from infinity for different rate functions. We shall need the following result on functions satisfying \mathbb{H}_1 and \mathbb{H}_2 . We refer the reader to Buldygin et al. [8], Theorem 3.1 and Theorem 6.2, see also Djurčić and Torgašev [10].

Lemma 6.4. Assume that φ satisfies \mathbb{H}_1 and \mathbb{H}_2 . For any nonnegative functions u, v on \mathbb{R}_+ such that $u(x) \underset{x \to \infty}{\sim} v(x)$ and $u(x) \underset{x \to \infty}{\longrightarrow} 0$, we have $\varphi^{-1}(u(x)) \underset{x \to \infty}{\sim} \varphi^{-1}(v(x))$. If $\varphi(x) \underset{x \to \infty}{\sim} g(x)$ for some nonnegative decreasing function g on \mathbb{R}_+ , then $\varphi^{-1}(t) \underset{t \to 0+}{\sim} g^{-1}(t)$.

Proof of Theorem 3.3. Under \mathbb{H}_1 , Lemma 5.4 and Proposition 5.5 entail $m(b) \underset{b\to\infty}{\sim} \varphi(b)$ and the convergence in probability towards 1 of $(T_b/m(b), b \ge 0)$. Thus, since \mathbb{H}_2 entails $\liminf_{x\to\infty} \frac{m(x)}{m(hx)} > 1$ for any h > 1, by Lemma 6.1, in \mathbb{P}_{∞} -probability $\frac{X_t}{m^{-1}(t)} \underset{t\to 0+}{\longrightarrow} 1$. By Proposition 6.2, $X_t \underset{t\to 0+}{\sim} X_t$ almost-surely and Theorem 3.3 follows since by Lemma 6.4, $m^{-1}(t) \underset{t\to 0+}{\sim} \varphi^{-1}(t)$.

Proof of Theorem 3.4. By the assumption, R is regularly varying at ∞ with index $\theta > 1$ and Corollary 5.11 ensures that (3.1) is satisfied and \mathbb{P}_{∞} -almost-surely, $\frac{T_b}{m(b)} \xrightarrow{}_{b \to \infty} 1$. By Lemma 5.4, $m(b) \sim_{b \to \infty} \varphi(b)$ and Lemma 6.4 entails $\frac{m^{-1}(T_b)}{b} \xrightarrow{}_{b \to \infty} 1$ \mathbb{P}_{∞} -a.s. Since for any h > 1 and for any $t \in (T_{hb}, T_b)$, we have $b \leq \underline{X}_t \leq hb$. Then $\frac{b}{m^{-1}(T_{hb})} \leq \frac{\underline{X}_t}{m^{-1}(t)} \leq \frac{bh}{m^{-1}(T_b)}$. Therefore, \mathbb{P}_{∞} almost-surely, $\liminf_{t \to 0+} \frac{\underline{X}_t}{m^{-1}(t)} \geq \frac{1}{h}$ and $\limsup_{t \to 0+} \frac{\underline{X}_t}{m^{-1}(t)} \leq h$. Since h can be arbitrarily close to 1, we have $\limsup_{t \to 0+} \frac{\underline{X}_t}{m^{-1}(t)} = \liminf_{t \to 0+} \frac{\underline{X}_t}{m^{-1}(t)} = 1$ \mathbb{P}_{∞} -a.s. By Proposition 6.2, $\underline{X}_t \sim_{t \to 0+} X_t$ \mathbb{P}_{∞} -a.s. and since by Lemma 6.4, $m^{-1}(t) \sim_{t \to 0+} \varphi^{-1}(t)$, we have that $\frac{X_t}{\varphi^{-1}(t)} \xrightarrow{}_{t \to 0+} 1$ \mathbb{P}_{∞} -a.s. Moreover, [6], Theorem 1.5.12, entails that φ^{-1} is regularly varying at 0 with index $-1/(\theta - 1)$.

Proof of Theorem 3.6. Assume $\Psi(\lambda) \sim c\lambda^{\alpha}$ with $\alpha \in (1, 2]$ and c > 0 and R regularly varying at ∞ with index $\theta > \alpha$. We have seen in Corollary 5.2 that (3.1) is satisfied. The first statement $\limsup_{t\to 0+} \frac{X_t}{X_t} = \infty$ is given by Proposition 6.3. For any t > 0 and any $y \ge 0$,

$$\mathbb{P}_{\infty}\left(\frac{\underline{X}_t}{m^{-1}(t)} \le y\right) = \mathbb{P}_{\infty}(T_{ym^{-1}(t)} \le t) = \mathbb{P}_{\infty}\left(\frac{T_{ym^{-1}(t)}}{m(ym^{-1}(t))} \le \frac{t}{m(ym^{-1}(t))}\right).$$

By (5.10), *m* is a regularly varying function with index $\alpha - \theta < 0$ at ∞ and $\frac{t}{m(ym^{-1}(t))} = \frac{m(m^{-1}(t))}{m(ym^{-1}(t))} \xrightarrow[t \to 0+]{} y^{\theta - \alpha}$. By Corollary 5.2, for any $y \ge 0$, $\mathbb{P}_{\infty}(\frac{X_t}{m^{-1}(t)} \le y) \xrightarrow[t \to 0+]{} \mathbb{P}(S_{\alpha,\theta}^{\frac{1}{\theta - \alpha}} \le y)$. By [6], Theorem 1.5.12, m^{-1} is regularly varying at 0 with index $\frac{1}{\alpha - \theta}$.

Proof of Proposition 3.7. Assume $R(x) = x^{\theta_1} \ell(x) e^{\theta_2 x}$ for $\theta_1 \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\theta_2 > 0$, where ℓ is a slowly varying function at ∞ . We have seen in Corollary 5.1 that (3.1) is satisfied and the inequality (5.6) yields that for some $\epsilon > 0$ and large enough x, $\frac{m(x)}{m(xh)} \ge \frac{(1-\epsilon)^2}{1+\epsilon} \frac{R(hx)}{R(x)}$. Since for h > 1, $\lim \inf_{x\to\infty} \frac{R(hx)}{R(x)} = \infty$, we have $\liminf_{x\to\infty} \frac{m(x)}{m(hx)} = \infty$ and by Corollary 5.1 and Lemma 6.1, in \mathbb{P}_{∞} -probability $\frac{X_t}{m^{-1}(t)} \xrightarrow[t\to 0+]{} 1$ and Proposition 6.2 entails $X_t \underset{t\to 0+}{\sim} X_t$. It remains to show that, when $\gamma > 0$, $m^{-1}(t) \underset{t\to 0+}{\sim} \varphi^{-1}(t)$. By Corollary 5.1, $m(x) \underset{x\to\infty}{\sim} \frac{1}{\Psi(\theta_2)R(x)}$ and $\varphi(x) \underset{x\to\infty}{\sim} \frac{1}{\theta_2\gamma R(x)}$ (for the latter equivalence, consider $\Psi(\lambda) = \gamma\lambda$ and note that $W_1 = \varphi$). Therefore, $\frac{m(x)}{\varphi(x)} \xrightarrow[x\to\infty]{} \frac{\gamma\theta_2}{\Psi(\theta_2)}$. For any $\lambda > \frac{\Psi(\theta_2)}{\gamma\theta_2}$ and $\mu > \frac{\gamma\theta_2}{\Psi(\theta_2)}$, for large enough x, $\mu\varphi(x) \ge m(x) \ge \frac{1}{\lambda}\varphi(x)$ and for t small enough $\varphi^{-1}(t/\mu) \le m^{-1}(t) \le \varphi^{-1}(\lambda t)$. By Elez and Djurčić [12], Theorem 1.1-(d), since $\varphi(x)/\varphi(cx) \xrightarrow[x\to\infty]{} \infty$ for any c > 1, φ^{-1} is slowly varying at 0, and $\frac{m^{-1}(t)}{\varphi^{-1}(t)} \xrightarrow[t\to 0+]{} 1$.

Acknowledgements

C.F's research is partially supported by the French National Research Agency (ANR) LABEX MME-DII (ANR11-LBX-0023-01). X.Z.'s research is supported by Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada (RGPIN-2016-06704) and National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 11731012 and No. 11771018). P.L.'s research is supported by Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada (RGPIN-2012-07750 and RGPIN-2016-06704) and National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 11901570 and No. 11771046). The authors are grateful to Donald Dawson for his generous support and encouragement. They also thank an anonymous referee for very detailed comments.

Supplementary Material

Supplement: Proofs of some results (DOI: 10.3150/20-BEJ1274SUPP; .pdf). The supplementary material contains the proofs of Proposition 2.1 and Theorem 2.7, and a remark on Theorem 2.7.

References

- Anderson, W.J. (1991). Continuous-Time Markov Chains: An Applications-Oriented Approach. Springer Series in Statistics: Probability and Its Applications. New York: Springer. MR1118840 https://doi.org/10. 1007/978-1-4612-3038-0
- [2] Bansaye, V., Collet, P., Martinez, S., Méléard, S. and San Martin, J. (2019). Diffusions from infinity. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 372 5781–5823. MR4014294 https://doi.org/10.1090/tran/7841
- [3] Bansaye, V., Méléard, S. and Richard, M. (2016). Speed of coming down from infinity for birth-and-death processes. Adv. in Appl. Probab. 48 1183–1210. MR3595771 https://doi.org/10.1017/apr.2016.70
- Bertoin, J. (1996). Lévy Processes. Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics 121. Cambridge: Cambridge Univ. Press. MR1406564
- Bingham, N.H. (1976). Continuous branching processes and spectral positivity. *Stochastic Process. Appl.* 4 217–242. MR0410961 https://doi.org/10.1016/0304-4149(76)90011-9
- [6] Bingham, N.H., Goldie, C.M. and Teugels, J.L. (1987). Regular Variation. Encyclopedia of Mathematics and Its Applications 27. Cambridge: Cambridge Univ. Press. MR0898871 https://doi.org/10.1017/ CBO9780511721434
- Buldygin, V.V., Indlekofer, K.-H., Klesov, O.I. and Steinebach, J.G. (2018). Pseudo-Regularly Varying Functions and Generalized Renewal Processes. Probability Theory and Stochastic Modelling 91. Cham: Springer. MR3839312 https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-99537-3
- [8] Buldygin, V.V., Klesov, O.I. and Steinebach, J.G. (2002). Properties of a subclass of Avakumović functions and their generalized inverses. Ukraïn. Mat. Zh. 54 149–169. MR1952816 https://doi.org/10.1023/A: 1020178327423
- [9] Caballero, M.E. and Chaumont, L. (2006). Weak convergence of positive self-similar Markov processes and overshoots of Lévy processes. Ann. Probab. 34 1012–1034. MR2243877 https://doi.org/10.1214/ 009117905000000611
- [10] Djurčić, D. and Torgašev, A. (2001). Strong asymptotic equivalence and inversion of functions in the class K_c. J. Math. Anal. Appl. 255 383–390. MR1815787 https://doi.org/10.1006/jmaa.2000.7083
- [11] Döring, L. and Kyprianou, A.E. (2020). Entrance and exit at infinity for stable jump diffusions. *Ann. Probab.* 48 1220–1265. MR4112713 https://doi.org/10.1214/19-AOP1389
- [12] Elez, N. and Djurčić, D. (2013). Some properties of rapidly varying functions. J. Math. Anal. Appl. 401 888–895. MR3018036 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmaa.2012.12.012
- [13] Foucart, C., Li, P.-S. and Zhou, X. (2021). Supplement to "Time-changed spectrally positive Lévy processes started from infinity." https://doi.org/10.3150/20-BEJ1274SUPP

- [14] Foucart, C., Li, P.-S. and Zhou, X. (2020). On the entrance at infinity of Feller processes with no negative jumps. *Statist. Probab. Lett.* 165 108859, 9. MR4118938 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.spl.2020.108859
- [15] Kallenberg, O. (2002). Foundations of Modern Probability, 2nd ed. Probability and Its Applications (New York). New York: Springer. MR1876169 https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4757-4015-8
- [16] Karlin, S. and Taylor, H.M. (1981). A Second Course in Stochastic Processes. New York–London: Academic Press [Harcourt Brace Jovanovich, Publishers]. MR0611513
- [17] Klesov, O.I. (1983). The rate of convergence of series of random variables. Ukraïn. Mat. Zh. 35 309–314. MR0704328
- [18] Kuznetsov, A., Kyprianou, A.E. and Rivero, V. (2012). The theory of scale functions for spectrally negative Lévy processes. In Lévy Matters II. Lecture Notes in Math. 2061 97–186. Heidelberg: Springer. MR3014147 https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-31407-0_2
- [19] Kyprianou, A.E. (2014). Fluctuations of Lévy Processes with Applications: Introductory Lectures, 2nd ed. Universitext. Heidelberg: Springer. MR3155252 https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-37632-0
- [20] Li, B. and Palmowski, Z. (2018). Fluctuations of omega-killed spectrally negative Lévy processes. *Stochastic Process. Appl.* **128** 3273–3299. MR3849809 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.spa.2017.10.018
- [21] Li, P.-S. (2019). A continuous-state polynomial branching process. *Stochastic Process. Appl.* 129 2941–2967. MR3980150 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.spa.2018.08.013
- [22] Li, P.-S., Yang, X. and Zhou, X. (2019). A general continuous-state nonlinear branching process. Ann. Appl. Probab. 29 2523–2555. MR3983343 https://doi.org/10.1214/18-AAP1459
- [23] Li, P.-S. and Zhou, X. (2018). Integral functionals for spectrally positive Lévy processes. arXiv:1809.05759.
- [24] Nam, E. (1992). On the rate of convergence of series of random variables. PhD Thesis–University of Florida. Available at: http://ufdc.ufl.edu/AA00003702/00001.
- [25] Pierre, P. (2009). Infinite divisibility of solutions to some self-similar integro-differential equations and exponential functionals of Lévy processes. Ann. Inst. Henri Poincaré Probab. Stat. 45 667–684. MR2548498 https://doi.org/10.1214/08-AIHP182
- [26] Sagitov, S. and France, T. (2017). Limit theorems for pure death processes coming down from infinity. J. Appl. Probab. 54 720–731. MR3707825 https://doi.org/10.1017/jpr.2017.30

Received January 2020 and revised May 2020