

REDUCTION OF A FAMILY OF IDEALS

TOMASZ RODAK

Abstract

In the paper we prove that there exists a simultaneous reduction of one-parameter family of \mathfrak{m}_t -primary ideals in the ring of germs of holomorphic functions. Moreover, we generalize the result of A. Płoski [8] on the semicontinuity of the Łojasiewicz exponent in a multiplicity-constant deformation.

1. Introduction

Let R be a ring and I an ideal. We say that an ideal J is a *reduction of I* if it satisfies the following condition:

$$J \subset I, \quad \text{and for some } r > 0 \text{ we have } I^{r+1} = JI^r.$$

The notion of reduction is closely related to the notions of *Hilbert-Samuel multiplicity* and *integral closure* of an ideal.

Recall that if (R, \mathfrak{m}) is a Noetherian local ring of dimension n and I is an \mathfrak{m} -primary ideal of R , then the *Hilbert-Samuel multiplicity* of I is given by the formula

$$e(I) = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{n!}{k^n} \text{length}_R R/I^k.$$

For the multiplicity theory in local rings see for example [7] or [4].

Let I be an ideal in a ring R . An element $x \in R$ is said to be *integral over I* if there exists an integer n and elements $a_k \in I^k$, $k = 1, \dots, n$, such that

$$x^n + a_1 x^{n-1} + \dots + a_n = 0.$$

The set of all elements of R that are integral over I is called the *integral closure of I* , and is denoted \bar{I} . If $I = \bar{I}$ then I is called *integrally closed*. It is well known that \bar{I} is an ideal.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 14B07; Secondary 14C17, 13H15.

Key words and phrases. Reduction of an ideal, Hilbert-Samuel multiplicity, Łojasiewicz exponent.

This research was partially supported by the Polish OPUS Grant No 2012/07/B/ST1/03293.

Received January 21, 2014; revised September 10, 2014.

The relationship between the above notions is given in the following Theorem due to D. Rees:

THEOREM 1 (Rees, [4, Cor. 1.2.5, Thm. 11.3.1]). *Let (R, \mathfrak{m}) be a formally equidimensional Noetherian local ring and let $J \subset I$ be two \mathfrak{m} -primary ideals. Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

1. J is a reduction of I ;
2. $e(I) = e(J)$;
3. $\bar{I} = \bar{J}$.

If R/\mathfrak{m} is infinite, $\dim R = d$ and I is an \mathfrak{m} -primary ideal of R then any d “sufficiently general” elements of I form a reduction of I . More precisely we have the following result

THEOREM 2 ([7, Theorem 14.14]). *Let (R, \mathfrak{m}) be a d -dimensional Noetherian local ring, and suppose that $k = R/\mathfrak{m}$ is an infinite field; let $I = (u_1, \dots, u_s)$ be an \mathfrak{m} -primary ideal. Then there exist a finite number of polynomials $D_\alpha \in k[\mathbb{Z}_{ij}; 1 \leq i \leq d, 1 \leq j \leq s]$, $1 \leq \alpha \leq v$ such that if $y_i = \sum a_{ij}u_j$, $i = 1, \dots, d$ and at least one of $D_\alpha(\bar{a}_{ij}; 1 \leq i \leq d, 1 \leq j \leq s) \neq 0$, then the ideal $(y_1, \dots, y_d)R$ is a reduction of I and $\{y_1, \dots, y_d\}$ is a system of parameters of R .*

In fact, the above theorem could be generalized to arbitrary ideals in R . Recall that if (R, \mathfrak{m}) is a Noetherian local ring, the *analytic spread* of I (denoted $\ell(I)$) is the Krull dimension of the fiber cone of I :

$$\frac{R[It]}{\mathfrak{m}R[It]} \approx \frac{R}{\mathfrak{m}} \oplus \frac{I}{\mathfrak{m}I} \oplus \frac{I^2}{\mathfrak{m}I^2} \oplus \dots$$

where t is a variable over R .

THEOREM 3 ([4, Theorem 8.6.6]). *Let (R, \mathfrak{m}) be a Noetherian local ring with infinite residue field and I an ideal of analytic spread at most l . There exists a non-empty Zariski-open subset U of $(I/\mathfrak{m}I)^l$ such that whenever $x_1, \dots, x_l \in I$ with $(x_1 + \mathfrak{m}I, \dots, x_l + \mathfrak{m}I) \in U$, then $(x_1, \dots, x_l)R$ is a reduction of I .*

Now, let $(\mathcal{O}_n, \mathfrak{m}_n)$ be the ring of germs of holomorphic functions $(\mathbf{C}^n, 0) \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$. From Theorem 3 we see that, if $I = (f_1, \dots, f_m)\mathcal{O}_n$ and l denotes the integer $\ell(I)$, then the ideal

$$\left(\sum a_{1j}f_j, \dots, \sum a_{lj}f_j \right) \mathcal{O}_n,$$

is a reduction of I for generic coefficients $a_{ij} \in \mathbf{C}$.

If $g = (g_1, \dots, g_m) : (\mathbf{C}^n, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbf{C}^m, 0)$ is an analytic map germ, then we denote by $(g)\mathcal{O}_n$ the ideal of \mathcal{O}_n generated by g_1, \dots, g_m . The aim of this note is to study the following

QUESTION 4. Let $I := (F)\mathcal{O}_{n+k}$ and $I_t := (F_t)\mathcal{O}_n \subset \mathfrak{m}_n$ be a family of ideals given by a holomorphic map $F = F_t(x) = F(x, t) : (\mathbf{C}^n \times \mathbf{C}^k, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbf{C}^m, 0)$. Assume that the analytic spread of I_t is constant in some neighbourhood of $0 \in \mathbf{C}^k$. Denote this constant value l . Does there exist a linear map $\pi : \mathbf{C}^m \rightarrow \mathbf{C}^l$ such that $J_t := (\pi \circ F_t)\mathcal{O}_n$ is a reduction of I_t for t close to $0 \in \mathbf{C}^k$?

By Theorem 3, the answer is immediate if $\ell(I) = \ell(I_t)$ in some neighbourhood of $0 \in \mathbf{C}^k$. It turns out that the above condition is fulfilled in multiplicity-constant families of \mathfrak{m}_n -primary ideals. This fact is implicitly stated in the proof of the principle of specialization of integral dependence given by B. Teissier in [11]. We will here recall this argument.

PROPOSITION 5. *Let I be as in Question 4. If I_t are \mathfrak{m}_n -primary ideals and the function $t \mapsto e(I_t)$ is constant, then $\ell(I) = \ell(I_t) = n$ in some neighbourhood of $0 \in \mathbf{C}^k$. In particular, the answer to Question 4 is positive in this case.*

Proof. By [4, Corollary 8.3.9] we have $\text{ht}(I) \leq \ell(I) \leq \dim R$ for any ideal I in Noetherian local ring (R, \mathfrak{m}) . Thus $\ell(I_t) = n$ since I_t are \mathfrak{m}_n -primary. Let $\pi : \mathbf{C}^m \rightarrow \mathbf{C}^n$ be a linear map such that $(\pi \circ F_0)\mathcal{O}_n$ is a reduction of I_0 . Put $J_t := (\pi \circ F_t)\mathcal{O}_n$, for all t . We have $e(J_t) \leq e(J_0)$, since the multiplicity $e(\cdot)$ is upper semicontinuous. Moreover $e(I_t) \leq e(J_t)$, because $J_t \subset I_t$. Summing up

$$e(I_0) = e(J_0) \geq e(J_t) \geq e(I_t) = e(I_0).$$

Therefore $\bar{J}_t = \bar{I}_t$ by Rees theorem. From this and [11, Corollaire I.2, p. 132] we deduce that $\bar{J} = \bar{I}$ and consequently $\ell(I) = n$. □

In the next section we get as a corollary that in a multiplicity-constant family of ideals the Łojasiewicz exponent is a lower semicontinuous function. A. Płoski proved this result under the additional restriction $m = n$.

Example 6. Let $F : (\mathbf{C}^2 \times \mathbf{C}, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbf{C}, 0)$ be given by $F(x, y, t) := (x^5, y^5, t^5xy)$. By the main result of [2] we have $\ell((F)\mathcal{O}_3) = 3$. However, if $\pi : \mathbf{C}^3 \rightarrow \mathbf{C}^2$ is given by $\pi(u, v, w) = (u + w, v + w)$ then $\pi \circ F_t$ generate a reduction of $(F_t)\mathcal{O}_2$ for any t . Indeed, if we put $I_t := (F_t)\mathcal{O}_2$, $J_t := (\pi \circ F_t)\mathcal{O}_2$ then $I_t^2 = J_t I_t$. Observe that the family $(F_t)\mathcal{O}_2$ is not multiplicity-constant. We have

$$e((F_t)\mathcal{O}_2) = \begin{cases} 10 & t \neq 0, \\ 25 & t = 0. \end{cases}$$

Our main result is given in the next theorem. It is a positive answer for Question 4 in case of one-parameter families of \mathfrak{m}_n -primary ideals.

THEOREM 7. *Let $F = F_t(x) = F(x, t) : (\mathbf{C}^n \times \mathbf{C}, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbf{C}^m, 0)$ be a holomorphic map. Assume that $(F_t)\mathcal{O}_n$ is an \mathfrak{m}_n -primary ideal for all t . Then there exists*

a complex linear map $\pi : \mathbf{C}^m \rightarrow \mathbf{C}^n$ such that for all t the ideal $(\pi \circ F_t)\mathcal{O}_n$ is a reduction of $(F_t)\mathcal{O}_n$.

We give the proof of Theorem 7 in Section 5. It is based on some geometric property of Hilbert-Samuel multiplicity given in Section 3. In Section 4 we recall the notion of elementary blowing-up.

Acknowledgements. We would like to thank the anonymous referee for pointing out the connection between the problem considered in the paper and the notion of analytic spread.

2. Semicontinuity of the Łojasiewicz exponent

Let (R, \mathfrak{m}) be a local ring and let I be an \mathfrak{m} -primary ideal. By the Łojasiewicz exponent $\mathcal{L}(I)$ of I we define the infimum of

$$\left\{ \frac{p}{q} : \mathfrak{m}^p \subset \overline{I^q} \right\}.$$

It was proved in [5] that if $F : (\mathbf{C}^n, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbf{C}^m, 0)$ is a holomorphic map with an isolated zero at the origin and $I := (F)\mathcal{O}_n$, then $\mathcal{L}(I)$ is the optimal exponent v in the inequality

$$|F(x)| \geq C|x|^v,$$

where C is some positive constant and x runs through sufficiently small neighbourhood of $0 \in \mathbf{C}^n$.

LEMMA 8. *Let (R, \mathfrak{m}) be a Noetherian local ring. If I is an \mathfrak{m} -primary ideal of R and J is a reduction of I then $\mathcal{L}(I) = \mathcal{L}(J)$.*

Proof. Obviously $\mathcal{L}(I) \leq \mathcal{L}(J)$. Assume that $\mathfrak{m}^p \subset \overline{I^q}$. Since J is a reduction of I , then also J^q is a reduction of I^q [4, Prop. 8.1.5]. Thus $\overline{J^q} = \overline{I^q}$ by Theorem 1, which gives $\mathfrak{m}^p \subset \overline{J^q}$. This proves the inequality $\mathcal{L}(J) \leq \mathcal{L}(I)$ and ends the proof. \square

COROLLARY 9 (A. Płoski for $m = n$, [8]). *Let $F : (\mathbf{C}^n \times \mathbf{C}^k, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbf{C}^m, 0)$ be a holomorphic map. Put $I_t := (F_t)\mathcal{O}_n$. If the function $t \mapsto e(I_t)$ is constant and finite then the function $t \mapsto \mathcal{L}(I_t)$ is lower semicontinuous.*

Proof. By Proposition 5 and Theorem 2 there exists a linear map $\pi : \mathbf{C}^m \rightarrow \mathbf{C}^n$ such that $J_t := (\pi \circ F_t)\mathcal{O}_n$ is a reduction of I_t for all t . Thus $\mathcal{L}(J_t) = \mathcal{L}(I_t)$ and $e(J_t) = e(I_t)$ by Theorem 1 and Lemma 8. Consequently $t \mapsto e(J_t)$ is constant and finite and the assertion follows from the case $m = n$ proved by A. Płoski. \square

More direct proof of this result we will give in our forthcoming paper [10].

3. Improper intersection multiplicity

Let V, Z be a pair of analytic sets defined in some neighbourhood of $p \in \mathbf{C}^N$ and assume that p is an isolated point of $V \cap Z$. If $\dim_p V + \dim_p Z = N$ then it is well known how to define the *intersection index* $i(V \cdot Z, p)$ of V and Z at p (see e.g. [3]). Now, assume that $\dim_p V + \dim_p Z < N$ and Z is smooth at p . In this case the *intersection index* of V and Z at p was defined in [1] by the formula $\tilde{i}(V \cdot Z; p) = \min_W i_p(V \cdot W; p)$, where W goes over all analytic sets defined in some neighbourhood of p such that

- $Z \cap U \subset W \cap U$ for some neighbourhood U of p ,
- p is an isolated point of $V \cap W$,
- $\dim_p V + \dim_p W = N$.

Let $f : (\mathbf{C}^n, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbf{C}^m, 0)$ be a holomorphic map with an isolated zero. Using the above definition one may define (see [9]) the so-called *improper intersection multiplicity* of f by the formula

$$i_0(f) := \tilde{i}(\text{graph } f \cdot (\mathbf{C}^n \times \{0\}^m); (0, 0)).$$

We recall one more definition. Let V be a germ of an analytic set at $p \in \mathbf{C}^n$. Then the (*Whitney*) *tangent cone* of V is the set of all $v \in \mathbf{C}^n$ such that there exist $\{p_n\} \subset V, \{t_n\} \subset \mathbf{C}$ with $p_n \rightarrow p$ and $t_n(p_n - p) \rightarrow v$. For the map f as above by C_f we will denote the tangent cone of the germ of the image of f at the origin.

The following observation is due to S. Spodzieja.

THEOREM 10 ([9]). *If $\pi : \mathbf{C}^m \rightarrow \mathbf{C}^l$ is a linear map such that $\ker \pi \cap C_f = \{0\}$, then $\pi \circ f$ has an isolated zero in the origin and $i_0(f) = i_0(\pi \circ f)$. If additionally $l = n$ then $i_0(f) = e((\pi \circ f)\mathcal{O}_n)$. Moreover, the number $i_0(f)$ depends only on the ideal generated by the components of f .*

In what follows we will write $i_0(I) := i_0(f)$, where $f = (f_1, \dots, f_m)$ are any generators of an \mathfrak{m}_n -primary ideal I in \mathcal{O}_n .

COROLLARY 11. *If I is an \mathfrak{m}_n -primary ideal in \mathcal{O}_n , then $i_0(I) = e(I)$.*

Proof. Let $I = (f_1, \dots, f_m)\mathcal{O}_n$. By Theorems 2 and 10 there exist linear combinations $g_i = \sum a_{ij}f_j, i = 1, \dots, n$ such that $J = (g_1, \dots, g_n)\mathcal{O}_n$ is a reduction of $I, \{g_1, \dots, g_n\}$ is a system of parameters of \mathcal{O}_n and $i_0(I) = i_0(J) = e(J)$. From Theorem 1 we get $e(I) = e(J)$. This ends the proof. \square

COROLLARY 12. *If $\pi : \mathbf{C}^m \rightarrow \mathbf{C}^l$ is a linear map such that $\ker \pi \cap C_f = \{0\}$, then the ideal J generated by $\pi \circ f$ is a reduction of I .*

Proof. We have $J \subset I$ and $e(J) = e(I)$. This and Theorem 1 give the assertion. \square

4. Elementary blowing-up

Here we recall the notion of an elementary blowing-up after [6].

Let $U \subset \mathbf{C}^n$ be an open and connected neighbourhood of $0 \in \mathbf{C}^n$; let $f = (f_0, \dots, f_m) \neq 0$ be a sequence of holomorphic functions on U . Put $S = \{x \in U : f(x) = 0\}$ and

$$E(f) = \{(x, u) \in U \times \mathbf{P}^m : f_i(x)u_j = f_j(x)u_i, i, j = 0, \dots, m\},$$

where $u = [u_0 : \dots : u_m] \in \mathbf{P}^m$.

Let Y be the closure of $E(f) \setminus (S \times \mathbf{P}^m)$ in $U \times \mathbf{P}^m$. The natural projection

$$\pi : Y \rightarrow U$$

is called the (*elementary*) *blowing-up of U by means of f_0, \dots, f_m* . The analytic subset S is called the *centre of the blowing-up* and its inverse image $\pi^{-1}(S) \subset Y$ is called the *exceptional set* of the blowing-up.

PROPOSITION 13. *Under above notations we have:*

1. Y is an analytic subset of $U \times \mathbf{P}^m$;
2. π is proper, its range is U and the restriction $\pi|_{Y \setminus \pi^{-1}(S)}$ is a biholomorphism onto $U \setminus S$;
3. Y is irreducible;
4. The exceptional set $\pi^{-1}(S)$ is analytic in $U \times \mathbf{P}^m$ and it is of pure dimension $n - 1$.

Proof. For items 1. and 2. see [6, VII.5.1]. Item 3. follows from our assumption that U is connected. For the proof of 4., let us consider the analytic map

$$F : U \times \mathbf{P}^m \ni (x, u) \mapsto (f(x), u) \in \mathbf{C}^{m+1} \times \mathbf{P}^m.$$

Let y_0, \dots, y_m be coordinates in \mathbf{C}^{m+1} . If we denote by $\pi_{m+1} : \Pi_{m+1} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}^{m+1}$ the blowing-up of \mathbf{C}^{m+1} by means of y_0, \dots, y_m then for the restriction $\tilde{f} = F|_Y$ we get the following commutative diagram of analytic maps:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Y & \xrightarrow{\tilde{f}} & \Pi_{m+1} \\ \downarrow \pi & & \downarrow \pi_{m+1} \\ U & \xrightarrow{f} & \mathbf{C}^{m+1} \end{array}$$

Take $(x_0, u_0) \in \pi^{-1}(0)$. Let $\Omega \subset \Pi_{m+1}$ be a neighbourhood of $(0, u_0)$, $h : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ an analytic function such that

$$\pi_{m+1}^{-1}(0) \cap \Omega = \{(y, u) \in \Omega : h(y, u) = 0\}.$$

Let $\tilde{\Omega} \subset Y$ be a neighbourhood of (x_0, u_0) such that $\tilde{f}(\tilde{\Omega}) \subset \Omega$. Since $\tilde{f}^{-1}(\pi_{m+1}^{-1}(0)) = \pi^{-1}(S)$ we get

$$\pi^{-1}(S) \cap \tilde{\Omega} = \{(x, u) \in \tilde{\Omega} : h \circ \tilde{f}(x, u) = 0\}.$$

Thus there exists a neighbourhood $\Delta \subset U \times \mathbf{P}^m$ of (x_0, u_0) and an analytic set $V \subset \Delta$ of pure dimension $n + m - 1$ such that

$$\pi^{-1}(S) \cap \Delta = V \cap Y \cap \Delta.$$

This gives

$$\dim_{(x_0, u_0)} \pi^{-1}(S) \geq \dim_{(x_0, u_0)} Y - 1 = n - 1.$$

Since Y is irreducible and $\pi^{-1}(S) \subsetneq Y$ we get that $\dim_p \pi^{-1}(S) = n - 1$ for any $p \in \pi^{-1}(S)$. This ends the proof. \square

5. Proof of Theorem 7

LEMMA 14. *Let $F : (\mathbf{C}^n \times \mathbf{C}, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbf{C}^{m+1}, 0)$, $m \geq n$ be a holomorphic map. Assume that 0 is an isolated point of $F_t^{-1}(0)$ for $|t| < \delta$. Then there exists $\delta > \varepsilon > 0$ and a complex line $V \subset \mathbf{C}^{m+1}$, such that $V \cap C_{F_t} = \{0\}$ for $|t| < \varepsilon$.*

Proof. Let $F : U \rightarrow \mathbf{C}^{m+1}$, where $U \subset \mathbf{C}^n \times \mathbf{C}$ is a connected neighbourhood of the origin. Put $S = \{(z, t) \in U : F(z, t) = 0\}$ and let $\pi : U \times \mathbf{P}^m \supset Y \rightarrow U$ be the elementary blowing-up of U by F . By Proposition 13 its exceptional set $E := \pi^{-1}(S)$ is an analytic set of pure dimension n . Let \mathcal{E} be the set of irreducible components W of E such that the origin in \mathbf{C}^{n+1} is an accumulation point of $\pi(W) \cap (\{0\} \times \mathbf{C})$. Then \mathcal{E} is finite. Denote by \widetilde{C}_{F_t} the image of the cone C_{F_t} in \mathbf{P}^m . Observe that

$$\{(0, t)\} \times \widetilde{C}_{F_t} \subset \bigcup_{W \in \mathcal{E}} W, \quad |t| < \delta.$$

On the other hand, if $W \in \mathcal{E}$ then W is n -dimensional irreducible set and $W \not\subset \{0\} \times \mathbf{P}^m$. Consequently

$$\dim W \cap (\{0\} \times \mathbf{P}^m) \leq n - 1 < m.$$

Thus there exists $\varepsilon > 0$ and an open set $G \subset \mathbf{P}^m$ such that

$$(\{(0, t)\} \times G) \cap \left(\bigcup_{W \in \mathcal{E}} W \right) = \emptyset, \quad 0 < |t| < \varepsilon$$

As a result if V is a line in \mathbf{C}^{m+1} corresponding to some point in G then $V \cap C_{F_t} = \{0\}$ for $0 < |t| < \varepsilon$. Since G is not a subset of C_{F_0} we get the assertion. \square

Proof of Theorem 7. Induction on m . In the case $m = n$ there is nothing to prove. Let us assume that the assertion is true for some $m \geq n$ and let $F : (\mathbf{C}^n \times \mathbf{C}, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbf{C}^{m+1}, 0)$ be a holomorphic map such that the ideals $(F_t)\mathcal{O}_n$ are \mathfrak{m}_n -primary. By Lemma 14 there exists $\varepsilon > 0$ and a linear mapping $\pi' : \mathbf{C}^{m+1} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}^m$ such that $\ker \pi' \cap C_{F_t} = \{0\}$ for $|t| < \varepsilon$. Thus, by Corollary 12 the ideal $(\pi' \circ F_t)\mathcal{O}_n$ is a reduction of $(F_t)\mathcal{O}_n$, for all $t \in \mathbf{C}$ such that $|t| < \varepsilon$. On

the other hand, by induction hypothesis, there exists a linear map $\pi'' : \mathbf{C}^m \rightarrow \mathbf{C}^n$ such that $(\pi'' \circ \pi' \circ F_t)\mathcal{O}_n$ is a reduction of $(\pi' \circ F_t)\mathcal{O}_n$ for small t . Thus if we put $\pi := \pi'' \circ \pi'$ we get the assertion. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] R. ACHILLES, P. TWORZEWSKI AND T. WINIARSKI, On improper isolated intersection in complex analytic geometry, *Ann. Polon. Math.* **51** (1990), 21–36.
- [2] CARLES BIVIÀ-AUSINA, The analytic spread of monomial ideals, *Comm. Algebra* **31** (2003), 3487–3496.
- [3] RICHARD N. DRAPER, Intersection theory in analytic geometry, *Math. Ann.* **180** (1969), 175–204.
- [4] CRAIG HUNEKE AND IRENA SWANSON, Integral closure of ideals, rings, and modules, *London Math. Soc. lecture note ser.* **336**, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2006.
- [5] MONIQUE LEJEUNE-JALABERT AND BERNARD TEISSIER, Clôture intégrale des idéaux et équisingularité, *Ann. Fac. Sci. Toulouse Math.* (6) **17** (2008), 781–859.
- [6] STANISŁAW ŁOJASIEWICZ, Introduction to complex analytic geometry, Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 1991.
- [7] HIDEYUKI MATSUMURA, Commutative ring theory, *Cambridge studies in advanced mathematics* **8**, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1989.
- [8] A. PŁOSKI, Semicontinuity of the Łojasiewicz exponent, *Univ. Iagel. Acta Math.* **48** (2010), 103–110.
- [9] S. SPODZIEJA, Multiplicity and the Łojasiewicz exponent, *Ann. Polon. Math.* **73** (2000), 257–267.
- [10] T. RODAK, A. RÓŻYCKI AND S. SPODZIEJA, Semicontinuity of Łojasiewicz exponent in multiplicity-constant deformation, in preparation.
- [11] B. TEISSIER, Resolution simultanee–II, Resolution simultanee et cycles evanescents, *Séminaire sur les Singularités des Surfaces*, Lecture notes in mathematics **777**, Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 1980, 82–146.

Tomasz Rodak
 UNIVERSITY OF ŁÓDŹ
 FACULTY OF MATHEMATICS AND COMPUTER SCIENCE
 S. BANACHA 22, 90-238 ŁÓDŹ
 POLAND
 E-mail: rodakt@math.uni.lodz.pl