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#### Abstract

We improve an estimate of A. Granville (1987) on the number of vanishing Fermat quotients $q_{p}(\ell)$ modulo a prime $p$ when $\ell$ runs through primes $\ell \leq N$. We use this bound to obtain an unconditional improvement of the conditional (under the Generalised Riemann Hypothesis) estimate of Y. Ihara (2006) on a certain sum, related to vanishing Fermat quotients. In turn this sum appears in the study of the index of certain subfields of cyclotomic fields $\mathbf{Q}\left(\exp \left(2 \pi i / p^{2}\right)\right)$.


## 1. Introduction

For a prime $p$ and an integer $u$ with $\operatorname{gcd}(u, p)=1$ we define the Fermat quotient $q_{p}(u)$ as the unique integer with

$$
q_{p}(u) \equiv \frac{u^{p-1}-1}{p}(\bmod p), \quad 0 \leq q_{p}(u) \leq p-1 .
$$

We also define $q_{p}(u)=0$ for $u \equiv 0(\bmod p)$.
Fermat quotients appear and play a major role in various questions of computational and algebraic number theory and thus have been studied in a number of works: see, for example, $[1,2,3,5,6,8,10,12]$ and references therein. Understanding the vanishing of Fermat quotients $q_{p}(a)$ is important for many applications and in particular, the smallest value $\ell_{p}$ of $u \geq 1$ with $q_{p}(u) \neq 0$, has been investigated in $[1,2,3,5,10]$. For example, in [1], improving the previous estimate $\ell_{p}=O\left((\log p)^{2}\right)$ of Lenstra [10] (see also [3, 6, 8]), the following bounds have been given:

$$
\ell_{p} \leq \begin{cases}(\log p)^{463 / 252+o(1)} & \text { for all primes } p \\ (\log p)^{5 / 3+o(1)} & \text { for almost all primes } p\end{cases}
$$

(where "almost all primes $p$ " means for all primes $p$ but a set of relative density zero).

[^0]For integers $M \geq 0$ and $N \geq 1$ we consider the sets

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathscr{D}_{p}(M, N)=\left\{M+1 \leq n \leq M+N: q_{p}(n)=0\right\}, \\
& \mathscr{R}_{p}(M, N)=\left\{M+1 \leq \ell \leq M+N: \ell \text { prime, } q_{p}(\ell)=0\right\},
\end{aligned}
$$

and also put

$$
\mathscr{2}_{p}(N)=\mathscr{V}_{p}(0, N) \quad \text { and } \quad \mathscr{R}_{p}(N)=\mathscr{R}_{p}(0, N) .
$$

Here we use some results of [1], combined with the approach of Granville [4] and some other arguments, to obtain new estimates on the cardinalities of these sets.

For example, for small $N$ our estimates on $\# \mathscr{2}_{p}(N)$ and $\# \mathscr{R}_{p}(N)$ improve those of [4]. We apply these improvements to study the sums

$$
S_{p}=\sum_{n \in \mathscr{Q}_{p}(p)} \frac{\Lambda(n)}{n}
$$

introduced by Ihara [8], where, as usual,

$$
\Lambda(n)= \begin{cases}\log \ell, & \text { if } n \text { is a power of a prime } \ell, \\ 0, & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

denotes the von Mangoldt function.
We note that in [8, Corollary 7], under the Generalised Riemann Hypothesis, the bound

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{p} \leq 2 \log \log p+2+o(1) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

as $p \rightarrow \infty$, has been obtained. Here we give an unconditional proof of a stronger bound.

Throughout the paper, the implied constants in the symbols ' $O$ ', and ' $<$ ' may occasionally depend on the real positive parameter $\alpha$ and are absolute otherwise (we recall that the notation $U \ll V$ is equivalent to $U=O(V)$ ).

## 2. Preparations

We recall that for any integers $m$ and $n$ with $\operatorname{gcd}(m n, p)=1$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
q_{p}(m n) \equiv q_{p}(m)+q_{p}(n) \quad(\bmod p), \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

see, for example, [2, Equation (2)].
Let $\mathscr{G}_{p}$ be the group of the $p$ th power residues in the unit group $\mathbf{Z}_{p^{2}}^{*}$ of the residue ring $\mathbf{Z}_{p^{2}}$ modulo $p^{2}$.

Lemma 1. For any $u \in \mathbf{Z}_{p^{2}}^{*}$ the conditions $q_{p}(u)=0$ and $u \in \mathscr{G}_{p}$ are equivalent.
Proof. Clearly $q_{p}(u)=0$ for $u \in \mathbf{Z}_{p^{2}}^{*}$ is equivalent to $u^{p-1} \equiv 1\left(\bmod p^{2}\right)$, which in turn is equivalent to $u \in \mathscr{G}_{p}$.

For integers $M \geq 0$ and $N \geq 1$ Let $T_{p}(M, N)$ be the number of $w \in[M+1$, $M+N]$ such that their residues modulo $p^{2}$ belong to $\mathscr{C}_{p}$. Clearly,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\not \mathscr{2}_{p}(M, N)=T_{p}(M, N)+O(N / p+1) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

(the term $O(N / p+1)$ comes from $w \equiv 0(\bmod p))$. The following estimate follows immediately from [1, Equation (12)] (we also note that although the proof of [1, Equation (12)], given only for initial intervals it works without any changes for any interval).

Lemma 2. For any fixed

$$
\alpha>\frac{463}{252},
$$

and

$$
N \geq p^{\alpha}
$$

we have

$$
T_{p}(M, N) \ll N / p .
$$

Furthermore, we need the following estimate which is derived by HeathBrown and Konyagin [7, Section 2] from [7, Lemma 4] (more general results are given by Malykhin [11, Theorems 1 and 2]).

Lemma 3. We have

$$
W_{p} \ll p^{5 / 2}
$$

where

$$
W_{p}=\#\left\{w_{1}, w_{2}, w_{3}, w_{4} \in \mathscr{G}_{p}: w_{1}+w_{2} \equiv w_{3}+w_{4}\left(\bmod p^{2}\right)\right\} .
$$

Let $\tau_{s}(n)$ be the number of representations of $n$ as a product of $s$ positive integers:

$$
\tau_{s}(n)=\#\left\{\left(n_{1}, \ldots, n_{s}\right) \in \mathbf{N}^{s} \mid n=n_{1} n_{2} \cdots n_{s}\right\} .
$$

We also need the following upper bound from [13]:
Lemma 4. Uniformly over $n$ and $s$ we have

$$
\tau_{s}(n) \leq \exp \left(\frac{(\log n)(\log s)}{\log \log n}\left(1+O\left(\frac{\log \log \log n+\log s}{\log \log n}\right)\right)\right)
$$

In particular, we have:
Corollary 5. If $s=(\log n)^{o(1)}$ then

$$
\tau_{s}(n) \leq n^{o(1)} .
$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

## 3. Distribution of vanishing Fermat quotients

Here we estimate the cardinality of the sets $\mathscr{Q}_{p}(M ; N)$ and $\mathscr{R}_{p}(M ; N)$. For large values of $N$, namely for $N \geq p^{\alpha}$ with some fixed $\alpha>463 / 252$ an essentially optimal bound $\# \mathscr{2}_{p}(M, N) \ll N / p$ follows from (3) and Lemma 2. Hence, for $N \leq p^{463 / 252}$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\# \mathscr{Q}_{p}(M, N) \ll \min \left\{N, p^{211 / 252+o(1)}\right\}, \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

as $p \rightarrow \infty$.
Here we consider the case of smaller values of $N$.
We start with the case of $M=0$, that is, with the sets $\mathscr{2}_{p}(N)$ and $\mathscr{R}_{p}(N)$. In this case, Granville [4] has given a nontrivial bound on the cardinality of the set $\mathscr{R}_{p}(N)$. Namely, it is shown in [4] that for $u=1,2, \ldots$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\# \mathscr{R}_{p}\left(p^{1 / u}\right) \leq u p^{1 / 2 u} . \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

We note that the argument used in the proof of (5) can be used to estimate $\# \mathscr{R}_{p}\left(p^{1 / u}\right)$ for any real $u \geq 1$.

We derive now upper bounds on $\# \mathscr{2}_{p}(N)$ and $\# \mathscr{R}_{p}(N)$ that improve (5).
Theorem 6. For any fixed

$$
\alpha>\frac{463}{252},
$$

for $1 \leq u=(\log p)^{o(1)}$, where

$$
u=\frac{\log p}{\log N}
$$

we have

$$
\not \mathscr{Q}_{p}(N) \ll N p^{-(1+o(1)) /\lceil\alpha u]}
$$

as $p \rightarrow \infty$.
Proof. We put

$$
s=\lceil\alpha u\rceil .
$$

We consider $\left(\# \mathscr{2}_{p}(N)\right)^{s}$ products $n=n_{1} \cdots n_{s}$ where $\left(n_{1}, \ldots, n_{s}\right) \in \mathscr{Q}_{p}(N)^{s}$. By (2) we see that

$$
q_{p}(n) \equiv q_{p}\left(n_{1}\right)+\cdots+q_{p}\left(n_{s}\right) \equiv 0 \quad(\bmod p),
$$

thus $q_{p}(n)=0$.
Furthermore, using Corollary 5 we see that each $n \leq N^{s}<p^{\alpha+1}$ has at most

$$
\tau_{s}(n)=p^{o(1)}
$$

such representations. We also note that $N^{s} \geq p^{\alpha}$. Therefore, combining Lemmas 1 and 2, we derive

$$
\left(\# \mathscr{2}_{p}(N)\right)^{s} \leq T_{p}\left(N^{s}\right) p^{o(1)} \leq N^{s} p^{-1+o(1)}
$$

which implies the desired result.
Corollary 7. If

$$
\frac{\log p}{\log N}=(\log p)^{o(1)} \quad \text { and } \quad \frac{\log p}{\log N} \rightarrow \infty
$$

then

$$
\not \mathscr{Q}_{p}(N) \leq N^{211 / 463+o(1)}
$$

as $p \rightarrow \infty$.
For the set $\mathscr{R}_{p}(N)$ we have a bound in a wider range of $u$.
Theorem 8. For any fixed

$$
\alpha>\frac{463}{252},
$$

for $u \geq 1$, where

$$
u=\frac{\log p}{\log N},
$$

we have

$$
\# \mathscr{R}_{p}(N) \ll u N p^{-1 /[\alpha u]}
$$

as $p \rightarrow \infty$.
Proof. The proof is the same as that of Theorem 6 except that instead of Corollary 5 we note that there are at most $s$ ! products of $s$ primes $\ell_{1} \cdots \ell_{s}$ that take the same value. So, we derive

$$
\left(\# \mathscr{R}_{p}(N)\right)^{s} \ll s!T_{p}\left(N^{s}\right) \ll s!N^{s} p^{-1}
$$

and the result now follows.
Corollary 9. If $N=p^{o(1)}$ then

$$
\# \mathscr{R}_{p}(N) \leq N^{211 / 463+o(1)} \log p
$$

as $p \rightarrow \infty$.
The method that has been used in Theorems 6 and 8 does not apply to shifted intervals. To estimate $\mathscr{2}_{p}(M, N)$ for an arbitrary $M$ we use a different method.

Theorem 10. We have,

$$
\not \mathscr{Q}_{p}(M, N) \ll N^{1 / 4} p^{5 / 8} .
$$

Proof. We may assume that $N<0.5 p^{2}$ as otherwise the bound is trivial. Let

$$
V_{p}(\lambda)=\#\left\{w_{1}, w_{2} \in \mathscr{G}_{p}: w_{1}+w_{2} \equiv \lambda\left(\bmod p^{2}\right)\right\}
$$

Clearly

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{\lambda \in[2 M, 2 M+2 N]} V_{p}(\lambda) \geq T_{p}(M, N)^{2} . \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Furthermore, by the Cauchy inequality

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(\sum_{\lambda \in[2 M, 2 M+2 N]} V_{p}(\lambda)\right)^{2} & \leq N \sum_{\lambda \in[2 M, 2 M+2 N]} V_{p}(\lambda)^{2}  \tag{7}\\
& \leq N \sum_{\lambda=1}^{p^{2}} V_{p}(\lambda)^{2}=N W_{p}
\end{align*}
$$

where $W_{p}$ is as in Lemma 3.
Combining the inequalities (6) and (7) and using Lemma 3, we obtain $T_{p}(M, N) \ll N^{1 / 4} p^{5 / 8}$. Recalling (3), and verifying that $N^{1 / 4} p^{5 / 8} \geq N / p$ for $N \leq 0.5 p^{2}$, we obtain the desired result.

Clearly, the bound of Theorem 10 improves the bound (4) for

$$
p^{5 / 6} \leq N \leq p^{107 / 126}
$$

## 4. Ihara sums

First we consider approximations of $S_{p}$ by partial sums

$$
S_{p}(N)=\sum_{n \in \mathscr{Q}_{p}(N)} \frac{\Lambda(n)}{n} .
$$

Theorem 11. For $N=p^{o(1)}$ we have

$$
S_{p}=S_{p}(N)+O\left(N^{-252 / 463+o(1)} \log p\right)
$$

as $p \rightarrow \infty$.
Proof. Clearly, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{p}-S_{p}(N)=\sum_{\substack{\ell>N \\ \ell \in \mathscr{R}_{p}(p)}} \frac{\log \ell}{\ell}+O\left(N^{-1} \log N\right) \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

We now see from Corollary 9 that for any

$$
L<N^{3}
$$

we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\sum_{\substack{2 L \geq \geq>L \\
\ell \in \mathscr{R}_{p}(p)}} \frac{\log \ell}{\ell} & \leq \frac{\log L}{L} \sum_{\ell \in \mathscr{R}_{p}(2 L)} 1  \tag{9}\\
& \leq \frac{\log L}{L} L^{211 / 463+o(1)} \log p=L^{-252 / 463+o(1)} \log p .
\end{align*}
$$

For

$$
p \geq L>N^{3}
$$

we choose

$$
\alpha=\frac{463}{251}
$$

and note that for $u \geq 1$ we have

$$
\lceil\alpha u\rceil \leq \frac{3}{2} \alpha u .
$$

Thus Theorem 8 implies the bound

$$
\# \mathscr{R}_{p}(L) \ll L^{1-2 / 3 \alpha} \log p \ll L^{2 / 3} \log p .
$$

Hence in the above range, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\sum_{\substack{2 L \geq L>L \\
\ell \in \mathscr{R}_{p}(p)}} \frac{\log \ell}{\ell} & \leq \frac{\log L}{L} \sum_{\ell \in \mathscr{\mathscr { R }}_{p}(2 L)} 1  \tag{10}\\
& \leq \frac{\log L}{L} L^{2 / 3} \log p=L^{-1 / 3+o(1)} \log p
\end{align*}
$$

Thus covering the range $[N, p]$ by dyadic intervals of the form $[L, 2 L]$ and using the bounds (9), and (10) we derive

$$
\sum_{\substack{t>N \\ \ell \in \mathcal{M}_{p}(p)}} \frac{\log \ell}{\ell} \leq N^{-252 / 463+o(1)} \log p
$$

which after the substituting it in (8) implies the desired estimate.
Since by the Mertens formula (see, for example, [9, Equation (2.14)])

$$
S_{p}(N) \leq \sum_{n \leq N} \frac{\Lambda(n)}{n}=\log N+O(1)
$$

we derive from Theorem 11:

Corollary 12. For $N=p^{o(1)}$ we have

$$
S_{p} \leq \log N+O\left(N^{-252 / 463+o(1)} \log p+1\right)
$$

as $p \rightarrow \infty$.
We now obtain an unconditional improvement of the conditional estimate (1).

Corollary 13. We have

$$
S_{p} \leq(463 / 252+o(1)) \log \log p
$$

as $p \rightarrow \infty$.
Proof. Taking $N=\left\lceil(\log p)^{\alpha}\right\rceil$ with $\alpha>463 / 252$ in the bound of Corollary 12 leads to the estimate

$$
S_{p} \leq \alpha \log \log p+O(1)
$$

Since $\alpha$ is arbitrary, the result now follows.

## 5. Index of some subfields of cyclotomic fields

We recall that the index $I(\mathbf{K})$ of an algebraic number field $\mathbf{K}$ is the greatest common divisor of indexes $\left[\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{K}}: \mathbf{Z}[\xi]\right]$ taken over all $\xi \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{K}}$, where $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{K}}$ is the ring of integers of $\mathbf{K}$.

As in [8], we denote by $I_{p}$ the index of the field $\mathbf{K}_{p}$, which is the unique cyclic extension of degree $p$ over $\mathbf{Q}$ that is contained in the cyclotomic field $\mathbf{Q}\left(\exp \left(2 \pi i / p^{2}\right)\right)$.

It has been shown in [8, Proposition 4 (i)] that under the Generalised Riemann Hypothesis the bound

$$
\begin{equation*}
\log I_{p} \leq(1+o(1)) p^{2} \log \log p \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds as $p \rightarrow \infty$. Also [8, Proposition 5] gives an unconditional but weaker bound

$$
\log I_{p} \leq(1 / 4+o(1)) p^{2} \log p
$$

We use Corollary 13 to obtain an unconditional improvement of (11).
Theorem 14. We have

$$
\log I_{p} \leq\left(\frac{463}{504}+o(1)\right) p^{2} \log \log p
$$

as $p \rightarrow \infty$.
Proof. By [8, Equation (2.4.1)] we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\log I_{p}=\sum_{n \in \mathscr{I}_{p}(p)} \alpha_{p}(n) \Lambda(n), \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\alpha_{p}(n)=\left\lfloor\frac{p}{n}\right\rfloor\left(p-\frac{1}{2} n-\frac{1}{2}\left\lfloor\frac{p}{n}\right\rfloor n\right) .
$$

Since

$$
\alpha_{p}(n)=\left\lfloor\frac{p}{n}\right\rfloor\left(p-\frac{1}{2} n\left(1+\left\lfloor\frac{p}{n}\right\rfloor\right)\right) \leq\left\lfloor\frac{p}{n}\right\rfloor \frac{p}{2} \leq \frac{p^{2}}{2 n}
$$

we see from (12) that

$$
\log I_{p} \leq \frac{p^{2}}{2} S_{p}
$$

Using Corollary 13, we conclude the proof.
One certainly expects that $I_{p}$ is much smaller than the bound given in Theorem 14, however no unconditional lower bound seems to be known. However, Ihara [8, Proposition 4 (ii)] gives a conditional lower bound of the type

$$
\log I_{p} \gg p^{3 / 2}
$$

with an explicit value of the implied constant.
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