

## ON WIENER'S FORMULA FOR STOCHASTIC PROCESSES

BY HIROHISA HATORI AND TOSHIO MORI

1. Let  $\mathcal{E}(t)$  ( $-\infty < t < \infty$ ) be a weakly stationary stochastic process with the spectral representation:

$$(1) \quad \mathcal{E}(t) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{it\lambda} dZ(\lambda),$$

and let

$$(2) \quad X(t) = f(t) + \mathcal{E}(t),$$

where  $f(t)$  is a numerical valued function. Consider the stochastic integral

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} X(t) aK(at) dt$$

with  $K(t) \in L_1(-\infty, \infty)$ . Kawata [1] has shown that under some conditions on  $K(t)$  and  $f(t)$  we have the following Wiener type formula:

$$(3) \quad \text{l.i.m.}_{a \rightarrow 0} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} X(t) e^{-i\xi t} aK(at) dt = [M_{\xi} + Z(\xi + 0) - Z(\xi - 0)] \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} K(t) dt,$$

where  $\xi$  is a real constant and

$$M_{\xi} = \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2T} \int_{-T}^T f(t) e^{-i\xi t} dt.$$

The purpose of this paper is to prove the similar formula for the more general class of stochastic processes.

2. We state first the following

LEMMA. Let  $\{f_{\lambda}(\cdot)\}_{\lambda \in A}$  be a class of functions defined on  $(0, \infty)$ . If

- (i)  $K(x)$  is absolutely continuous in every finite interval,
- (ii)  $|x^2 K(x)| < H$ ,  $K(x) \in L_1(0, \infty)$ ,  $H$  being a constant,
- (iii)  $\frac{1}{T} \int_0^T |f_{\lambda}(t)| dt \leq G$ ,  $G$  being a constant independent of  $\lambda$  and  $T$ , and
- (iv)  $\lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T f_{\lambda}(t) dt = M_{\lambda}$ , uniformly in  $\lambda \in A$ ,

then

---

Received May 7, 1966.

$$\lim_{a \rightarrow 0} \int_0^{\infty} f_{\lambda}(t) a K(at) dt = M_{\lambda} \int_0^{\infty} K(t) dt$$

uniformly in  $\lambda \in \Lambda$ .

The proof of this Lemma will not be given here, since it is quite similar to the proof of well-known Wiener's formula (see [2], pp. 30-32).

Let  $X(t)$  ( $t \geq 0$ ) be a stochastic process which satisfies that

(v)  $E\{|X(t)|^2\} < \infty$ ,

(vi) the stochastic integral

$$\int_b^a X(t) dt$$

exists for every finite interval  $[a, b]$ ,

(vii)  $\frac{1}{T} \int_0^T \sqrt{E\{|X(t)|^2\}} dt \leq G$ ,  $G$  being a constant independent of  $T$ , and

(viii) there exists a random variable  $X_0$  with  $E\{|X_0|^2\} < \infty$  such that

$$\lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} E \left\{ \left| \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T X(t) dt - X_0 \right|^2 \right\} = 0.$$

We shall now prove the following

**THEOREM.** *Let  $X(t)$  ( $t \geq 0$ ) satisfy the conditions (v), (vi), (vii) and (viii). If  $K(t)$  satisfies the conditions (i) and (ii) of Lemma, then*

$$(4) \quad \text{l.i.m.}_{a \rightarrow 0} \int_0^{\infty} X(t) a K(at) dt = X_0 \int_0^{\infty} K(t) dt.$$

*Proof.* Denote by  $\mathfrak{H}$  the Hilbert space consisting of all random variables  $Y$  with  $E\{|Y|^2\} < \infty$ . If  $Z \in \mathfrak{H}$ , then we have by (viii) that

$$(5) \quad \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} E \left\{ \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T X(t) dt \cdot \bar{Z} \right\} = \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T E\{X(t) \cdot \bar{Z}\} dt = E\{X_0 \cdot \bar{Z}\}.$$

Therefore by Lemma we have from (5) and (vii) that for every  $Z \in \mathfrak{H}$

$$(6) \quad \begin{aligned} & \lim_{a \rightarrow 0} E \left\{ \int_0^{\infty} X(t) a K(at) dt \cdot \bar{Z} \right\} \\ &= \lim_{a \rightarrow 0} \int_0^{\infty} E\{X(t) \cdot \bar{Z}\} a K(at) dt \\ &= E\{X_0 \cdot \bar{Z}\} \int_0^{\infty} K(t) dt, \end{aligned}$$

or

$$(7) \quad w\text{-}\lim_{a \rightarrow 0} \int_0^{\infty} X(t) a K(at) dt = X_0 \cdot \int_0^{\infty} K(t) dt.$$

In order to prove (4), or equivalently to prove

$$(8) \quad s\text{-}\lim_{a \rightarrow 0} \int_0^{\infty} X(t) a K(at) dt = X_0 \cdot \int_0^{\infty} K(t) dt,$$

it is sufficient to show in addition to (7) that

$$(9) \quad E \left\{ \int_0^{\infty} X(t) a K(at) dt \cdot \int_0^{\infty} \overline{X(s)} b \overline{K(bs)} ds \right\} \rightarrow E \left\{ X_0 \cdot \int_0^{\infty} K(t) dt \cdot \int_0^{\infty} \overline{X(s)} b \cdot \overline{K(bs)} ds \right\}$$

as  $a \rightarrow 0$  uniformly in  $b \in U$  where  $U$  is a neighborhood of  $b=0$ . Since  $\int_0^{\infty} X(s) b K(bs) ds$  converges weakly,  $E\{|\int_0^{\infty} X(s) b K(bs) ds|^2\}$  is bounded for  $b \in U$ . Therefore it follows from (viii) that

$$(10) \quad E \left\{ \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T X(t) dt \cdot \int_0^{\infty} \overline{X(s)} b \overline{K(bs)} ds \right\} \rightarrow E \left\{ X_0 \int_0^{\infty} \overline{X(s)} b \overline{K(bs)} ds \right\}$$

as  $T \rightarrow \infty$  uniformly in  $b \in U$ , that is,

$$(11) \quad \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T \left( \int_0^{\infty} E\{X(t) \overline{X(s)}\} b \overline{K(bs)} ds \right) dt = \int_0^{\infty} E\{X_0 \cdot \overline{X(s)}\} b \overline{K(bs)} ds$$

uniformly in  $b \in U$ . And we have for  $b \in U$  that

$$(12) \quad \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T \left| \int_0^{\infty} E\{X(t) \overline{X(s)}\} b \overline{K(bs)} ds \right| dt \leq G \cdot \sup_{b \in U} \sqrt{E \left\{ \left| \int_0^{\infty} X(s) b K(bs) ds \right|^2 \right\}}.$$

Hence by Lemma we have that

$$(13) \quad \lim_{a \rightarrow 0} \int_0^{\infty} \left( \int_0^{\infty} E\{X(t) \overline{X(s)}\} b \overline{K(bs)} ds \right) a K(at) dt = \int_0^{\infty} E\{X_0 \cdot \overline{X(s)}\} b \overline{K(bs)} ds \cdot \int_0^{\infty} K(t) dt$$

uniformly in  $b \in U$ . But (13) is equivalent to (9), and thus theorem was proved.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] KAWATA, T., Some convergence theorems for stationary stochastic processes. Ann. Math. Stat. **30** (1959), 1192-1214.
- [2] BOCHNER, S., Vorlesungen über Fouriersche Integrale. Leipzig (1932).

SCIENCE UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO,  
CHÜBU INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY.