

| \bar{N}, p_n | SUMMABILITY FACTORS OF INFINITE SERIES

BY S. M. MAZHAR

1. 1. Let $\sum a_n$ be a given infinite series with s_n as its n -th partial sum. Also let $\{p_n\}$ be a sequence of positive real constants such that P_n tends to infinity with n , where $P_n = \sum_{\nu=0}^n p_\nu$. We write

$$(1. 1. 1) \quad t_n = \frac{1}{P_n} \sum_{\nu=0}^n p_\nu s_\nu.$$

The series $\sum a_n$ is said to be absolutely summable (\bar{N}, p_n) or, simply summable $[\bar{N}, p_n]$, if the sequence $\{t_n\}$ is of bounded variation.

If for some finite s

$$\sum_{\nu=1}^n |s_\nu - s| p_\nu = o(P_n),$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$, then $\sum a_n$ is said to be strongly summable (\bar{N}, p_n) or, simply summable $[\bar{N}, p_n]$. If

$$\sum_{\nu=1}^n |s_\nu| p_\nu = O(P_n),$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$, then $\sum a_n$ is said to be bounded $[\bar{N}, p_n]$.

Writing $p_n = 1/n$ in the above definitions we get summability $|R, \log n, 1|$,¹⁾ summability $[R, \log n, 1]$ and bounded $[R, \log n, 1]$ respectively.

1. 2. Suppose $\sum a_n$ is summable $[\bar{N}, p_n]$. Then, since

$$s_{n+1} p_{n+1} = t_{n+1} P_{n+1} - t_n P_n,$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_1^m |s_{n+1}| p_{n+1} &= \sum_1^m |\Delta t_n P_n| \\ &\leq \sum_1^m P_n |\Delta t_n| + \sum_1^m |t_{n+1}| |\Delta P_n| \\ &= O(P_m) + O\left(\sum_1^m |\Delta P_n|\right) \end{aligned}$$

Received September 27, 1965.

1) Summability $|R, \log n, 1|$ is equivalent to the summability $[\bar{N}, 1/n]$.

$$=O(P_{m+1}).$$

Thus if a series $\sum a_n$ is summable $|\bar{N}, p_n|$ it is necessarily bounded $[\bar{N}, p_n]$. However the converse is not true.

The object of this paper is to obtain a suitable summability factor $\{\lambda_n\}$ so that boundedness $[\bar{N}, p_n]$ of $\sum a_n$ may imply $|\bar{N}, p_n|$ summability of $\sum a_n \lambda_n$.

2. 1. In what follows we shall prove the following theorem.

THEOREM 1. *If $\sum a_n$ is bounded $[\bar{N}, p_n]$, where $\{p_{n+1}/p_n\}$ is bounded and if $\{\lambda_n\}$ is a bounded sequence satisfying the following conditions:*

- (a)
$$\sum_1^m |\Delta \lambda_n| = O(1),$$
- (b)
$$\sum_2^m \frac{p_n |\lambda_n|}{P_n} = O(1),$$
- (c)
$$\sum_2^m P_n |\Delta \lambda_{n+1}| \left| \Delta \frac{1}{p_n} \right| = O(1),$$
- (d)
$$\sum_2^m \frac{P_n}{p_n} |\Delta^2 \lambda_n| = O(1),$$

as $m \rightarrow \infty$, then $\sum a_n \lambda_n$ is summable $|\bar{N}, p_n|$.

It may be remarked that the special case for $p_n = 1/n$ of this theorem has been recently considered by Kulshrestha [2].

2. 2. *Proof of Theorem 1.* Let $c_n = a_n \lambda_n$, $T_n = \sum_{\nu=0}^n c_\nu$ and

$$t_n^* = \frac{1}{P_n} \sum_{\nu=0}^n T_\nu p_\nu.$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta t_n^* &= \Delta \left(\frac{1}{P_n} \sum_{\nu=0}^n p_\nu T_\nu \right) \\ &= \Delta \left(\frac{1}{P_n} \right) \sum_{\nu=0}^n p_\nu T_\nu - \frac{p_{n+1} T_{n+1}}{P_{n+1}} \\ &= -\Delta \left(\frac{1}{P_n} \right) \sum_{\nu=0}^{n-1} P_\nu c_{\nu+1} + \Delta \left(\frac{1}{P_n} \right) P_n T_n - \frac{p_{n+1} T_{n+1}}{P_{n+1}} \\ &= -\Delta \left(\frac{1}{P_n} \right) \sum_{\nu=0}^n P_\nu c_{\nu+1} \\ &= -\Delta \left(\frac{1}{P_n} \right) \sum_{\nu=0}^{n-1} (s_{\nu+1} - a_0) \Delta (P_\nu \lambda_{\nu+1}) - \Delta \left(\frac{1}{P_n} \right) (s_{n+1} - a_0) P_n \lambda_{n+1} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \Delta \left(\frac{1}{P_n} \right) \sum_{\nu=0}^{n-1} (s_{\nu+1} - a_0) \lambda_{\nu+1} \dot{p}_{\nu+1} - \Delta \left(\frac{1}{P_n} \right) \sum_{\nu=0}^{n-1} (s_{\nu+1} - a_0) P_{\nu+1} \Delta \lambda_{\nu+1} \\
&\quad - \Delta \left(\frac{1}{P_n} \right) (s_{n+1} - a_0) P_n \lambda_{n+1} \\
&= \Delta \left(\frac{1}{P_n} \right) \sum_{\nu=0}^{n-2} \Delta \lambda_{\nu+1} \sum_{\mu=0}^{\nu} (s_{\mu+1} - a_0) \dot{p}_{\mu+1} + \Delta \left(\frac{1}{P_n} \right) \lambda_n \sum_{\mu=0}^{n-1} (s_{\mu+1} - a_0) \dot{p}_{\mu+1} \\
&\quad - \Delta \left(\frac{1}{P_n} \right) \sum_{\nu=0}^{n-2} \Delta \left\{ \frac{P_{\nu+1}}{\dot{p}_{\nu+1}} \Delta \lambda_{\nu+1} \right\} \sum_{\mu=0}^{\nu} (s_{\mu+1} - a_0) \dot{p}_{\mu+1} \\
&\quad - \Delta \left(\frac{1}{P_n} \right) \frac{P_n}{\dot{p}_n} \Delta \lambda_n \sum_{\mu=0}^{n-1} (s_{\mu+1} - a_0) \dot{p}_{\mu+1} - \Delta \left(\frac{1}{P_n} \right) (s_{n+1} - a_0) P_n \lambda_{n+1} \\
&= L_1 + L_2 + L_3 + L_4 + L_5, \quad \text{say.}
\end{aligned}$$

Now

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.2.1) \quad \sum_2^m |L_1| &= O \left(\sum_2^m \Delta \left(\frac{1}{P_n} \right) \sum_{\nu=0}^{n-2} |\Delta \lambda_{\nu+1}| P_{\nu+1} \right) \\
&= O \left(\sum_0^{m-2} |\Delta \lambda_{\nu+1}| P_{\nu+1} \sum_{\nu+2}^m \Delta \left(\frac{1}{P_n} \right) \right) \\
&= O \left(\sum_{\nu=0}^{m-2} |\Delta \lambda_{\nu+1}| \right) = O(1),
\end{aligned}$$

by virtue of the condition (a) of the hypotheses.

Next

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_2^m |L_2| &= O \left(\sum_2^m \Delta \left(\frac{1}{P_n} \right) |\lambda_n| P_n \right) \\
&= O \left(\sum_2^m |\lambda_n| \cdot \frac{\dot{p}_{n+1}}{P_{n+1}} \right) \\
&= O \left(\sum_2^m |\lambda_n| \cdot \frac{\dot{p}_n}{P_n} \right) = O(1),
\end{aligned}$$

by the condition (b) and the hypothesis that $\{\dot{p}_{n+1}/\dot{p}_n\}$ is bounded.

Again

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_2^m |L_3| &= O \left(\sum_2^m \Delta \left(\frac{1}{P_n} \right) \sum_{\nu=0}^{n-2} P_{\nu+1} \left| \Delta \left\{ \frac{P_{\nu+1}}{\dot{p}_{\nu+1}} \Delta \lambda_{\nu+1} \right\} \right| \right) \\
&= O \left(\sum_2^m \Delta \left(\frac{1}{P_n} \right) \sum_{\nu=0}^{n-2} P_{\nu+1} \frac{\dot{p}_{\nu+2}}{\dot{p}_{\nu+1}} |\Delta \lambda_{\nu+1}| \right) + O \left(\sum_2^m \Delta \left(\frac{1}{P_n} \right) \sum_{\nu=0}^{n-2} \frac{P_{\nu+1} P_{\nu+2}}{\dot{p}_{\nu+1}} |\Delta^2 \lambda_{\nu+1}| \right)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & +O\left(\sum_2^m \mathcal{A}\left(\frac{1}{P_n}\right) \sum_{\nu=0}^{n-2} P_{\nu+1} P_{\nu+2} |\Delta \lambda_{\nu+2}| \left| \mathcal{A}\left(\frac{1}{\bar{p}_{\nu+1}}\right) \right|\right) \\
 & =O\left(\sum_2^m \mathcal{A}\left(\frac{1}{P_n}\right) \sum_{\nu=0}^{n-2} P_{\nu+1} |\Delta \lambda_{\nu+1}|\right) +O\left(\sum_{\nu=0}^{m-2} P_{\nu+1} |\Delta \lambda_{\nu+2}| \left| \mathcal{A}\left(\frac{1}{\bar{p}_{\nu+1}}\right) \right|\right) \\
 & +O\left(\sum_{\nu=0}^{m-2} \frac{P_{\nu+1}}{\bar{p}_{\nu+1}} |\Delta^2 \lambda_{\nu+1}|\right) =O(1),
 \end{aligned}$$

by (2. 2. 1) and conditions (c) and (d) respectively.

Next

$$\begin{aligned}
 \sum_2^m |L_4| & =O\left(\sum_2^m \mathcal{A}\left(\frac{1}{P_n}\right) \frac{P_n}{\bar{p}_n} |\Delta \lambda_n| P_n\right) \\
 & =O\left(\sum_2^m |\Delta \lambda_n|\right) =O(1).
 \end{aligned}$$

Finally, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \sum_2^m |L_5| & \leq \sum_2^m \mathcal{A}\left(\frac{1}{P_n}\right) P_n |\lambda_{n+1}| |s_{n+1} - a_0| \\
 & = \sum_2^m \frac{\bar{p}_{n+1}}{P_{n+1}} |\lambda_{n+1}| |s_{n+1} - a_0| \\
 & = \sum_2^{m-1} \mathcal{A}\left(\frac{|\lambda_{n+1}|}{P_{n+1}}\right) \sum_{\mu=0}^n \bar{p}_{\mu+1} |s_{\mu+1} - a_0| + \frac{|\lambda_{m+1}|}{P_{m+1}} \sum_{\mu=0}^m \bar{p}_{\mu+1} |s_{\mu+1} - a_0| + O(1) \\
 & = O\left(\sum_2^{m-1} \left| \frac{\mathcal{A}|\lambda_{n+1}|}{P_{n+1}} \right| P_{n+1}\right) + O\left(\sum_2^{m-1} |\lambda_{n+2}| \mathcal{A}\left(\frac{1}{P_{n+1}}\right) P_{n+1}\right) + O(|\lambda_{m+1}|) + O(1) \\
 & = O\left(\sum_2^{m-1} |\Delta \lambda_{n+1}|\right) + O\left(\sum_2^{m-1} |\lambda_{n+2}| \frac{\bar{p}_{n+2}}{P_{n+2}}\right) + O(1) = O(1),
 \end{aligned}$$

by conditions (a) and (b) of the hypotheses.

This completes the proof of Theorem 1.

3. 1. The following theorem concerning summability $|C, 1|$ of $\sum a_n \lambda_n$ is a direct corollary of the above theorem (obtained by taking $\bar{p}_n = 1$).

THEOREM 2. *If*

$$(3. 1. 1) \quad \sum_1^n |s_\nu| = O(n)$$

and $\{\lambda_n\}$ is a bounded sequence such that

$$(a) \quad \sum_1^m |\Delta \lambda_n| = O(1),$$

$$(b)' \quad \sum_2^m \left| \frac{\lambda_n}{n} \right| = O(1),$$

$$(c)' \quad \sum_2^m n |\Delta^2 \lambda_n| = O(1),$$

as $m \rightarrow \infty$, then $\sum a_n \lambda_n$ is summable $[C, 1]$.

This is a generalisation of the following theorem of Pati [3].

THEOREM A. *If $\sum a_n$ is summable $[C, 1]$ then $\sum a_n \lambda_n$ is summable $[C, 1]$, where $\{\lambda_n\}$ is a convex sequence such that $\sum \lambda_n/n < \infty$.*

It may be observed that summability $[C, 1]$ implies (3.1.1). Also it is well known [1, 4] that if $\{\lambda_n\}$ is a convex sequence such that $\sum \lambda_n/n < \infty$, then λ_n necessarily satisfies all the above conditions of Theorem 2 but the converse is not true.

The author is highly indebted to Prof. B. N. Prasad for his constant encouragement and helpful suggestions.

REFERENCES

- [1] CHOW, H. C., On the summability factors of Fourier series. *J. London Math. Soc.* **16** (1941), 215-220.
- [2] KULSHRESTHA, G. C. N., Absolute Riesz summability factors of infinite series. *Math. Z.* **86** (1965), 365-371.
- [3] PATI, T., The summability factors of infinite series. *Duke Math. J.* **21** (1954), 271-284.
- [4] PATI, T., Absolute Cesàro summability factors of infinite series. *Math. Z.* **78** (1962), 293-297.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS,
ALIGARH MUSLIM UNIVERSITY, ALIGARH, INDIA.