## REMARK ON GALOIS THEORY OF SIMPLE RING

## By Akira Inatomi

1. Bortfeld [1] proved the following: Let D be a division ring which has (left) finite dimensionality over a division subring L of D and we suppose that the center C of D is an infinite field. If L is the invariant ring of an automorphism  $\omega$  of D, then, for each intermediate division subring T between L and A, there exists an automorphism  $\rho$  such that T is an invariant ring of  $\rho$ .

Recently, Nagahara and Tominaga [2] extended this therorem to simple rings, in case the commutator of an invariant ring is a division ring.

In this note, we shall prove that Nagahara and Tominaga's result is still valid in the case where the commutator is a simple ring.

2. By a simple ring, we shall mean a two sided simple ring with a unit element satisfying minimum condition for left ideals, and we suppose that its center is an infinite field. Let A be a simple ring which has finite dimensionality over a subring S of A. If S is the invariant ring of a group  $\mathfrak{G}$  of automorphisms of A and  $V_A(S)$  is simple ring then

$$(A:S) \ge (\mathfrak{G}:\mathfrak{J})(V_A(S):C),$$

where  $\Im$  is a subgroup which consists of all the inner automorphisms of  $\Im$  and C is the center of A.

LEMMA. Let B be a finite-dimensional simple algabra over center K and F be a subfield contained in K. If F is the invariant field of an automorphism  $\omega$  of B, and  $(K:F) < \infty$  then B is commutative.

*Proof.* Since  $(K:F) = m < \infty$ , K is a finite Galois extension of F. Let  $\overline{K}$  be a field isomorphic with K. Then

$$B \underset{F}{\times} \overline{K} \simeq e_1 \overline{B} \oplus e_2 \overline{B} \oplus \cdots \oplus e_m \overline{B}$$

where  $e_1, \dots, e_m$  are mutually orthogonal idempotent elements and  $\overline{B} \simeq B$ . If  $u_1, \dots, u_m$  be basis of  $\overline{K}$  over F, then  $B \times_F K \ni a = \sum_{i=1}^m a_i u_i$ , where  $a_i \in B$ . And we can extend  $\omega$  to the automorphism  $\overline{\omega}$  of  $B \times_F K$  in the following way:

$$a^{\overline{\omega}} = \sum_{n=1}^{m} a_n^{\omega} u_n.$$

Then, the invariant ring of  $\overline{\omega}$  is  $\overline{K}$  in  $B \times_F K$ . Clearly,  $\overline{\omega}(e_i) = e_j$ ; that is,  $\overline{\omega}$  induces the permutation of  $(e_1, \dots, e_m)$ . This permutation is cyclic. Indeed, if it has a cyclic component  $(e_{i_1}, e_{i_2}, \dots, e_{i_r})$ , where r < m, then.

$$\overline{\boldsymbol{\omega}}(xe_{i_1}+\cdots+xe_{i_r})=xe_{i_1}+\cdots+xe_{i_r},$$

Received May 20, 1962.

for  $x \in \overline{K}$ . Hence we may suppose that  $\overline{\omega}(e_i) = e_{i+1}$ , where  $1 \leq i \leq m-1$  and  $\overline{\omega}(e_m) = e_1$ . If, for  $y \in \overline{B}$ ,  $\overline{\omega}(e_i y) = e_{i+1} y'$  then, by  $y \to y'$ , an automorphism of  $\overline{B}$  is given and this automorphism leaves  $\overline{K}$  fixed elementwise, so it is an inner automorphism  $\sigma_{\lambda_i}$ , where  $\lambda_i \in \overline{B}$  and  $1 \leq i \leq m$ . Let us take an element z of B such that  $\sigma_{\lambda_m} \cdots \sigma_{\lambda_2} \sigma_{\lambda_1}(z) = z$  and let

$$t = e_1 z + e_2 \sigma_{\lambda_1}(z) + e_3 \sigma_{\lambda_2} \sigma_{\lambda_1}(z) + \cdots + e_m \sigma_{\lambda_{m-1}} \sigma_{\lambda_{m-2}} \cdots \sigma_{\lambda_1}(z).$$

Then, clearly,  $\overline{\omega}(t) = t$ , so  $z \in \overline{K}$ . But, if *B* is non-commutative, the element *z* such as above is taken outside of  $\overline{K}$ , so  $\overline{B}$  is commutative. Thus *B* is commutative.

THEOREM. Let A be a simple ring which has finite dimensionality over a simple subring S, and let  $V_A(S)$  be a simple ring. If S is the invariant ring of an automorphism  $\omega$  of A then, for each intermediate ring T between A and S, there exists an automorphism  $\rho$  such that T is an invariant of  $\rho$ .

**Proof.** Clearly,  $V_A(S)$  is  $\omega$ -normal, and, in  $V_A(S)$ ,  $\omega$ -invariant ring is  $S \frown V_A(S)$ . Since  $S \frown V_A(S)$  is contained in the center of  $V_A(S)$ ,  $V_A(S)$  is a field. Hence, from the result of Nagahara and Tominaga, the above fact holds good.

## References

- BORTFELD, R., Ein Satz zur Galoistheorie in Schiefkörpern, J. reine u. angew. Math. 201 (1959), 196-206.
- [2] NAGAHARA, T., AND H. TOMINAGA, On Galois and locally Galois extensions of simple rings. Math. J. Okayama Univ. 10 (1961), 143-166.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, TOKYO INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY.