By Takayuki TAMURA and Naoki KIMURA

If there exists a homomorphism of a semigroup S onto a semigroup S\* having special type, all elements of S are decomposed into the class sum of mutually disjoint subsets. Then we say that the decomposition of S to S\* is obtained. In particular the decomposition to a semilattice is of importance, i.e.,  $S = \bigcup_{a \in P} S_a$  where  $S_a \cap S_{\beta} = \phi(a + \beta)$ , every  $S_a$  is a restrictive subsemigroup, and for any  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$ , there is a unique **r** such that  $S_{\alpha} S_{\beta} \subset S_{\delta}$  as well as  $S_{\beta} S_{\alpha} \subset S_{\delta}$ . In §1 we argue that there is greatest decomposition of a semigroup to a semilattice; particularly in §2 we show a decomposition of a commutative semigroup by method different from Mr. Numakura's, and in §3 our decomposition is proved to be greatest.

31 Greatest decomposition

In this paragraph S is assumed to be a general semigroup. A decomposition of S to an idempotent semigroup gives an equivalence relation; and an equivalence relation  $\sim$  in S raises a decomposition of S to an idempotent semigroup if and only if

(1)  $a \sim b$ ,  $c \sim d$  imply  $ac \sim bd$ , (2) if  $a \sim b$  then  $a \sim ab$ .

Lemma 1. (1) and (2) are equivalent to (1') and (2'), (1') a~& implies ac~& c and ca~c& for every c, (2') a~a<sup>2</sup> for every a.

Proof.  $(1') \rightarrow (1)$ : For, from  $a \sim b$ , follows  $ac \sim 4c$ ; and from  $c \sim d$ ,  $bc \sim bd$ . By transitivity,  $ac \sim bd \cdot (1) \rightarrow (1')$ : evident. (1')&  $(2') \rightarrow (2)$ : from  $a \sim b$ , it follows that  $a \sim a^2 \sim ab \cdot (2) \rightarrow (2')$ : evident.

We denote by  $\mathfrak{O}$  the set of all decompositions  $\varphi$  of S to a semilattice, and by  $\mathfrak{L}$  the congruence relation which gives  $\varphi$ . The relation  $\mathfrak{L}$  and  $\mathfrak{L}$  are equal if they give the same decomposition. Obviously  $\mathfrak{Q}$  is not empty, because it includes at least a trivial decomposition, a partition of all elements of S into one class.

Now we introduce the ordering into  $\mathfrak{O}$ : i.e.  $\mathfrak{P} \geq \psi$  means that  $\mathfrak{I} \mathfrak{I} \mathfrak{I}$  if  $\mathfrak{I} \mathfrak{I} \mathfrak{I} \mathfrak{I}$ . The ordering is clearly a partial ordering. Then we have the below lammas.

Lemma 2. & forms a complete semilattice.

Proof. Since  $\mathfrak{G}$  is a partly ordered set, we show that any subset  $\mathfrak{G}_i$  of  $\mathfrak{G}$  has a least upper bound. We define a relation  $\mathfrak{G}_i$  as follows.  $x \perp y$  means that  $x \prec y$  for every  $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathfrak{G}} \mathfrak{G}_i$ . It is not hard to verify that  $\mathfrak{G}_i$  is an equivalence relation and satisfies the condition (1') and (2') (in Lemma 1). Clearly  $\mathfrak{g} \geqq \mathfrak{g}$ for all  $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathfrak{G}} \mathfrak{G}_i$ . Take up any  $\mathfrak{g}_i \geqq \mathfrak{g}$ for all  $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathfrak{G}} \mathfrak{G}_i$ , then from  $\mathfrak{g}_i \nvDash \mathfrak{g}_i$ for all  $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathfrak{G}} \mathfrak{G}_i$ , then from  $\mathfrak{g}_i \nvDash \mathfrak{g}_i$ hence  $\mathfrak{g}_i \geqq \mathfrak{g}_i$ , and so  $\mathfrak{g}_i$  is the least upper bound of  $\mathfrak{G}_i$ . Consequently

Theorem 1. There is a greatest element of  $\mathcal{D}$ . In other words, there exists the greatest decomposition of a semigroup to a semilattice.

In another article we shall relate what is an equivalence relation giving the greatest decomposition of a general semigroup.

§ 2 A decomposition of a commutative semigroup

Let S be a commutative semigroup. We define an ordering  $a \ge 4$  between elements a and b of S to mean that a certain element  $x \in S$  and a positive integer m are found such that

 $a^m = bx$ 

The definition is obviously equivalent to the following:

 $a^m = b^n y$  for some positive integers m, n, and an element  $y \in S$ .

Lemma 3. This ordering is a quasi-ordering.

Proof. (1)  $a \ge a$  for all a, because  $a^m = a a^{m-1}$  for m > 1.(2)  $a \ge 4$  and  $4 \ge c$  imply  $a \ge c$ . For, from  $a^m = 4x$ and  $4^m = cy$ , we get  $a^{m-1} = cz$  where  $3^m = yx^n$ .

Lemma 4.  $a \ge 1$  implies  $a c \ge 1$  for every  $c \in S$ .

Proof. By the assumption  $a^m = \ell x$ for some m and x. Multiply  $c^m$  by both sides of the equality, we get  $(ac)^m = (\ell c)(c^{m-1}x)$  where m may be supposed to be greater than 1. This shows  $ac \ge 4c$ .

Now, if we define a relation as  $a \ge 4$  and  $4 \ge a$ , the relation is an equivalence relation.

Lemma 5.  $a \sim b$  implies that  $a c \sim b c$ .

Proof. Use Lemma 4.

Lemma 6.  $a \sim a^2$  for every  $a \in S$ .

Proof. Obvious by the definition.

From Lemma 1, 5, and 6, we have

Theorem 2. We have a decomposition of a commutative semigroup S by introducing the equivalence relation  $a \sim 4$ , or  $a \ge 4$  and  $4 \ge a$ , into S.

Next, we investigate the property of the subsemigroup  $S_{\alpha}$  whose class sum is § .

Lemma 7. Let e be an idempotent element of S. If  $e \ge a$ , there exists x of S such that  $e \ge x \ge a$  and  $a_X = e$ .

Proof. By the definition of the ordering,  $e = a^n y$  for some  $y \in S$  where we may assume n > 1. Set  $x = a^{n-1} y$ then e = ax and  $e \ge x \ge a$ .

Lemma 8. If  $a \sim e$  where e is an idempotent, there is  $\propto$  such that  $a_{x=e}$  and  $e \sim x$ .

Proof. Since  $e \ge a$  by Lemma 7, there is  $\infty$  such that ax = e and  $e \ge x \ge a$ . On the other hand  $a \ge e$ ; hence  $e \sim x$ .

Now, let D be the set of all idempotents of a commutative semigroup S .

Lemma 9. D is not only a subsemigroup of S but a semilattice.

The partial ordering  $\succ$  is introduced into D in usual way:

 $e \succ f$  if e = fe

Lemma 10. As far as elements of D are concerned, it holds that  $e \succ f$  if and only if  $e \ge f$ .

Proof. Suppose  $e \ge f$  i.e. e = fxfor some  $x \in S$ . Then  $fe = f^2x = fx = e$ . Hence  $e \succ f$ . The converse is trivial.

Lemma 11. Let  $e, f \in D$ .  $e \sim f$ implies e = f.

Proof. From  $e \sim f$ , we have  $e \succ f$ and  $f \succ e$  by Lemma 10. Since  $\succ$  is a partial ordering, e = f is concluded.

From Lemma 11 we have the interesting theorem.

Theorem 3. In the decomposition of a commutative semigroup as Theorem 2,  $S_{\alpha}$  is a subsemigroup having at most one idempotent.

Furthermore, if  $S_{\alpha}$  contains an idempotent,  $S_{\alpha}$  is a unipotent inversible semigroup [1]. Then  $S_{\alpha}e$ , in which e is an idempotent of  $S_{\alpha}$ , is the greatest group of  $S_{\alpha}$  and  $S_{\alpha}$  has the property that

For  $x \in S_{\alpha}$  there is a positive integer n such that  $x^n \in S_{\alpha}e$ .

The structure of a commutative nonpotent semigroups such as  $S_{\star}$  will be argued precisely in another paper.

3 Two decompositions

Mr. K. Numakura obtained a decomposition of a commutative semigroup S by the following equivalence relation  $\approx$  [2] as follows.

$$a \approx b$$
 if and only if  $\bigcap_{n < 1}^{\infty} (Spa^n) = \bigcap_{n < 1}^{\infty} (Spb^n)$   
for all  $p \in S_{0}$ 

The decomposition due to  $\sim$  (§2) and  $\approx$  are denoted by  $\varphi_1$  and  $\varphi_2$  respectively. We shall abscuss the relations between  $\varphi_1$  and  $\varphi_2$ .

Theorem 4.  $\varphi_i \geq \varphi_2$ , in other words, if  $a \sim i$  then  $a \approx i$ .

From  $a \sim b$ , i.e.  $a^m = bx$ ,  $b^n = ay$ , for any  $p \in S$ ,

$$\bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} (Spa^{i}) = \bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} (Spa^{km}) \subset \bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} (Spa^{i}).$$

Similarly

$$\bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} (S_{p}l^{i}) \subset \bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} (S_{p}a^{i}).$$

Thus we have

$$\bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} (Spa^{i}) = \bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} (Spb^{i}), \text{ i.e., } a \approx b.$$

Let  $\varphi_{\circ}$  be the greatest decomposition of S to a semilattice (for the existence of  $\varphi_{\circ}$  is assured in § 1), and let  $\equiv$  be the equivalence relation determined by  $\varphi_{\circ}$ . Evidently  $\varphi_{\circ} \in \varphi_{\circ} \in \varphi_{\circ}$ .

Theorem 5. It holds that  $\varphi_i = \varphi_o$ , in other words,  $\varphi_i$  is the greatest decomposition of S to a semilattice.

Proof. It is sufficient to show that  $a \sim 4$ , (or  $a^m = lx$  and  $4^m - ay$ ) implies  $a \equiv 4$ . Since each class by  $\varphi$ , is a subsemigroup, it follows that  $a = a^m$ . Let  $\overline{a}$  be a class to which a belongs, and  $\overline{S}$  be the semilattice which is determined by  $\varphi$ . The multiplication in  $\overline{S}$  is denoted by  $\checkmark$ . From  $a^m = lx$ , we get  $\overline{a} = \overline{l} \lor \overline{z}$ , and consequently  $\overline{a} \succ \overline{l}$  where  $\succ$  is a partial ordering in  $\overline{S}$ . Similarly, from  $l^m = ay$ , we have  $\overline{a} \prec \overline{l}$ . Thus it has proved that  $a \sim l$  implies  $\overline{a} = \overline{l} \quad O^T \quad a \equiv l$ .

Now, if a semigroup S is decomposed to a semilattice composed of only one element, S is called an s-indecomposable semigroup. We have immediately from Theorem 5 the below theorem.

Theorem 6. A commutative semigroup

S is s-indecomposable, if and only if, for every pair a, 4 of elements of S, there exist a positive in integer mand an element  $x \in S$  such that  $a^m = 4x$ .

Finally we show  $\varphi_2 < \varphi_2$  by an example. Let S be the set of all pairs (i, j) of non-negative integers except one (o, o), and the multiplication is defined as

$$(i_1, j_1)$$
  $(i_2, j_2) = (i_1 + i_2, j_1 + j_2)$ 

where  $i_1+i_2$ ,  $j_1+j_2$  are usual additions.

S is a commutative semigroup. Now let

},

$$A = \{(i, o); i \ge 1\},$$
  

$$B = \{(o, j); j \ge 1\},$$
  

$$C = \{(i, j); i \ge 1, j \ge 1\},$$

A,  $\beta$  and C are mutually disjoint subsemigroups and

 $S = A \cup B \cup C$ 

It is easily seen that this is a decomposition, written by  $\varphi'$ , of S to a semilattice. Of course  $\varphi' \leq \varphi_{\circ}$ . On the other hand, we consider the mapping f of S on the additive semigroup I of all natural numbers as follows.

$$(i,j) \xrightarrow{f} i+j$$

f is a homomorphism of S on I. Setting a = (i, j),

$$f(xpa^{n}) = f(x) + f(p) + n f(a)$$

$$\geq 1 + 1 + n (i + j)$$

$$> n$$

$$I_{n} = \{i, i > n\}, \text{ Then } f(Spa^{n}) \subset I_{n}$$

Let  $I_n = \{i; i > n\}$ . Then  $f(Spa^n) \subset I_n$ . Since  $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} I_n = \phi$ ,  $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} (Spa^n) = \phi$  for

every p,  $a \in S$ . It follows that  $\varphi_2$  decomposes all elements of S into one class. Clearly  $\varphi_1 < \varphi'$ . At last we arrived at  $\varphi_2 < \varphi_2$ .

## References

l T. Tamura, Note on unipotent inversible semigroups, Kodai Math. Sem. Rep., 1954, No. 3, pp. 93-95. 2 K. Numakura, A note on the structure of commutative semigroups, Proc. Japan Acad., Vol. 30, 1954, No. 4, pp. 262-265.

Gakugei Faculty, Tokushima University, and Tokyo Institute of Technology. \*) Received October 15, 1954.