A MONE ON NORASD RING.
By Naoki mixa

1. IoGelfand has shown in his first paper on Nommierte Ringe (Recuell Mathematique, T. 9 (51), 1941) that if $R$ satisiles fous conditions $(\alpha),(\beta)$, ( $\delta$ ), and ( 8 ) given below, then $R$ is algebraically isomorphic and topologically homeomorphic to $R^{\prime}$ with the same three conditions $(\alpha),(\beta),(\mathcal{V})$, and (8) which is atrictiy atronger than (8).
sccording to his proof, he assumed commutativity of $R$ or, at least, the existence of right unit element of R. In this noto, we shall show that his assertion is still valid in the case without assumption such as commutativity of $R$.

It is to be mentioned, however, that our concition (T) has a pight unit ole. ment, while Gelfand's ( $V$ ) hat left unit element.
2. Let $R$ be set of elements $x_{\text {, }}$. Jo z. . . which atisifies the following foup conditions $(\alpha)$, $(\beta)$ ) ( $\gamma$ ) and (d).
(A) 8 is a Banach space with complex numbers as ita coerfioient field.
( $\beta$ ) is arings
$x(\lambda y+\mu z)=\lambda x y+\mu x z$
( $\lambda, \mu$ are complex numbers)。
$x(y z)=(x y) 2$
(\%) h has right unit oloment 0 $x e x$
moreover HeVx* 0
(8) Operation of Multiplication ma continuous. 1.e日

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x_{x} x \quad x \quad \text { implies } y x_{x} \rightarrow y x \\
& \text { and } \quad x_{n} y \rightarrow x y .
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $Q$ be Banach space of all 11 near operators on $R$ into $R$ itself. And let $R^{\prime}$ be the totality of Ax in $Q$ such that

$$
A_{x} y=x y,
$$

1.0.* $R^{\prime}\left(A_{x} ; x \in R\right)$

Then, for the mapping $\boldsymbol{y}^{8} x \longleftrightarrow$ Ax between $R$ and $R^{\prime}$, we can easily show that
(1) $x \neq x$ implies $A x \neq A x^{\prime}$. which evidentiy asserts ane-tom one mapoing of $P$ between $R$ and $R^{0}$.
(2) 9 is aigobraic isomarphism.
(3) $P$ is continuous from $R^{\prime}$ onto .
(4) $R^{\prime}$ is closed in as thus $R^{\prime}$ is complete.
Therefore by the known theorem of Banach,
(5) $R_{R^{\prime}}$ is contimuous from $R$ onto

We can then conclude that
$R$ and $R^{\prime}$ are seonopphse and bemeomorphic, and mopeorer $\mathrm{h}^{1}$ antintien En Arconger conditions
( $\boldsymbol{r}^{\prime}$ )
( 8 )
Proof (1)
If $x=x^{\prime}$, then

$$
A_{x} e=x e=x+x^{\prime}=x t=A_{x} e
$$

Hence $A_{x} \neq A_{x}$
In the case of Gelfand, (1) Is not astiaried, and we ahail give its counter example at the end of thia note (4. (b)).
(2) Obvious.
(3) By the inequality $M A_{x} N \geq \frac{1}{T} M E M$.
(s) If Aram $\rightarrow A \in Q$ then $\left\{x x_{1}\right\}$ is a Cauchy sequence, for

$$
\begin{array}{r}
u x_{n}-x_{m} s \min A_{n}-A_{n} y \rightarrow 0 \\
\left(x_{4} m \rightarrow \infty\right)
\end{array}
$$

$R$ being complete, there exists an element $x \in R$, such that

$$
2 x \rightarrow 2(x \rightarrow \infty)
$$

For any element $y \in R$

## $x_{n} y \rightarrow x y$ (by $\left.(\delta)\right)$

and $A_{\text {any }} \rightarrow A_{y}$ (by assumption),
1.e.., $x_{n y} \rightarrow$ Ay

Hence it must be

$$
A_{x}=x y
$$

Thus

$$
A=A_{x} \in R^{\prime}
$$

This asserts the closedness of $R^{\prime}$ in Q.
(5) By (1), (3) and (4).
3. Let

$$
\begin{aligned}
& M=(x ; e x=x) \\
& N=(x ; \text { ex }=0)
\end{aligned}
$$

Then $M$ is a right ideal with e as a unit element, and $N$ is an ideal. To be explicit

$$
M^{2}=M, N M=N, R N=(0),
$$

and

$$
R=M+N \quad \text { (direct sum). }
$$

According as the direct decomposition of $R$, $R^{\prime}$ can be expressed in a matrix form such that

$$
A_{z}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
B_{x} & 0 \\
C_{y} & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

where

$$
z=x+y, x \in M, y \in N,
$$

and for any $w \in M, B_{x} w=x w, C y w=y w$.
4. Examples.
$R=((\lambda, \mu) ; \lambda, \mu$ any complex numbers $)$
and $\|(\lambda, \mu)\|=|\lambda|+|\mu|$

Then $R$ is a Banach space with respect to this norm.

By the operation of multiplication $(\lambda, \mu) \cdot\left(\lambda^{\prime}, \mu^{\prime}\right)=\left(\lambda \lambda^{\prime}, \mu^{\prime} \lambda^{\prime}\right), R$ forms a normed ring.

In this case $(1,0)$ is a right unit element, but not a left unit element, for $(1,0)\left(\lambda^{\prime}, \mu^{\prime}\right)=\left(\lambda^{\prime}, 0\right)$

And

$$
A_{(\lambda, \mu)}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\lambda, & 0 \\
\mu, & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

(b) In place of the multiplication in (a), a littie change of multiplication such that

$$
(\lambda, \mu)\left(\lambda^{\prime}, \mu^{\prime}\right)=\left(\lambda \lambda^{\prime}, \lambda \mu^{\prime}\right)
$$

Yields a counter example of Gelfand $s$ case。
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